1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 JANE DOES 1-10, et al., CASE NO. C16-1212JLR 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER GRANTING 11 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO FILE v. A SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY 12 **BRIEF** UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, 13 et al., 14 Defendants. 15 Before the court is Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a supplemental reply brief in 16 support of their motion for class certification. (MFL (Dkt. # 134); see also MFCC (Dkt. 17 # 16).) Defendants' responses, if any, were due on December 26, 2017. See Local Rules 18 W.D. Wash. LCR 7(d)(3). No party filed a response to Plaintiffs' motion. (See generally 19 Dkt.; see also Reply (Dkt. # 142) at 1 ("No response in opposition to the request for leave 20 was filed.").) "[I]f a party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion, such failure may 21

be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Local Rules W.D.

22

Wash. LCR 7(b)(2). Accordingly, the court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion to file a supplemental reply brief in support of their motion for class certification (Dkt. # 134). Dated this 27th day of December, 2017. R. Plut JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge