1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9	JANE AND JOHN DOES 1 - 10, individually and on behalf of others	No.	
10	similarly situated,	COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION	
11	Plaintiffs,		
12	v.		
13	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, a		
14	Washington public corporation; DAVID DALEIDEN, an individual; and ZACHARY FREEMAN, an individual,		
15	Defendants.		
16	Derendants.		

Plaintiffs, Jane and John Does, by and through their attorneys of record, bring this Class 17 Action Complaint on behalf of themselves and all those similarly situated against Defendants 18 University of Washington, David Daleiden, and Zachary Freeman. Doe Plaintiffs seek to enjoin 19 the University of Washington from disclosing records in response to public records requests 20 submitted by Defendants Daleiden and Freemen unless their personal identifying information is 21 redacted from the records. The records sought relate to the donation and transfer of fetal tissue 22 involving the University of Washington's Birth Defects Research Laboratory. Doe Plaintiffs do 23 not object to disclosure of the substantive records themselves, but merely seek to have their 24 personal identifying information withheld to protect their safety and privacy. In support of their 25 Complaint, Doe Plaintiffs allege as follows: 26

1		I. PARTIES	
2	Proposed Class Representatives		
3	1.	John Doe 1, who is an employee of Seattle Children's Hospital, and at all relevant	
4	times was a resident of the State of Washington.		
5	2.	Jane Doe 2, who is an employee of the University of Washington's Birth Defects	
6	Research Laboratory.		
7	3.	Jane Doe 3, who is an employee of Planned Parenthood of Greater Washington	
8	and North Idaho ("PPGWNI"), and at all relevant times was a resident of the State of		
9	Washington.		
10	4.	Jane Doe 4, who is a former employee of Planned Parenthood Federation of	
11	America ("PP	PFA "), and at all relevant times was a resident of the State of New York.	
12	5.	Jane Doe 5, who is an employee of Cedar River Clinics, and at all relevant times	
13	was a resident of the State of Washington.		
14	6.	Jane Doe 6, who is an employee of Evergreen Hospital Medical Center, and at all	
15	relevant times was a resident of the State of Washington.		
16	7.	Jane Doe 7, who is an employee of the University of Washington ("UW"), and at	
17	all relevant times was a resident of the State of Washington.		
18	8.	Jane Doe 8, who is an employee of the University of Washington ("UW"), and at	
19	all relevant times was a resident of the State of Washington.		
20	9.	This action is a class action filed by Jane and John Does 1-10 filed on behalf of all	
21	persons simila	arly situated (collectively, "Doe Plaintiffs").	
22		Defendants	
23	10.	Defendant University of Washington ("UW") is a Washington public corporation.	
24	11.	Defendant David Daleiden ("Daleiden") is an individual, also named here in his	
25	capacity as fo	under of the Center for Medical Progress. On information and belief, Daleiden is a	
26	resident of the	e State of California.	

Case 2:16-cv-01212-JLR Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 3 of 10

12. Defendant Zachary Freeman ("Freeman") is an individual and, on information 1 2 and belief, a resident of the State of Washington. 3 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 17. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court under RCW 2.08.010, RCW 4.28.020, and 4 RCW 4.28.080. 5 Venue is proper in this Court under RCW 42.56.540 because UW maintains the 6 18. 7 records at issue in King County, Washington. 8 **III. FACTS** 9 19. The UW's Birth Defects Research Laboratory ("BDRL") is a lab and repository that collects, identifies, processes, and distributes fetal tissue for research purposes to non-profit 10 and academic facilities across the country. 11 20. Daleiden is an anti-abortion activist, founder of the Center for Medical Progress, 12 and creator of secretly-recorded videos, which showed Planned Parenthood employees 13 14 discussing fetal tissue donation. 21. Freeman is the Director of Communications for the Family Policy Institute of 15 16 Washington, an anti-abortion organization which advocates for, among other policy initiatives, 17 defunding Planned Parenthood. 22. On February 10, 2016, Daleiden submitted a request under Washington's Public 18 Records Act to the UW, seeking documents, communications, invoices, and purchase orders 19 20 exchanged between certain members and affiliates PPFA, PPGWNI, Cedar River Clinics, and BDRL from 2010 to the present ("PR-2016-00109" or "Daleiden Request"). Attached at Exhibit 21 A is a copy of the Daleiden Request. 22 23. Also on February 10, 2016, Freeman submitted a public records request to the 23 UW, seeking, slightly more broadly, documents, communications, invoices, and purchase orders 24 between "any executives, agents, employees, representatives, or volunteers . . . [of] any Planned 25 26 Parenthood affiliates in Washington State" and UW's fetal tissue laboratory from 2008 to the COMPLAINT - 3

Case 2:16-cv-01212-JLR Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 4 of 10

present ("PR-2016-00117" or "Freeman Request"). Attached at Exhibit B is a copy of the
 Freeman Request.

On or about July 21, 2016, UW notified "Individuals identified in records 3 24. responsive to David Daleiden request," including Plaintiff Does, that Daleiden had submitted a 4 public records request. Attached at **Exhibit C** is a copy of the notice provided to Doe Plaintiffs 5 6 by the UW regarding the Daleiden Request. The notice stated that the UW "intends to release 7 the requested records on August 5, 2016" and that if the Doe Plaintiffs "believe that some or all of the records are exempt from public disclosure, you may wish to seek a court order to enjoin 8 9 the release." The UW identified the deadline for providing it a "signed court order enjoining the release" as August 4, 2016. 10

11 25. On July 26, 2016, UW notified "Individuals identified in records responsive to 12 Zachary Freeman request," including certain Doe Plaintiffs, that Freeman had submitted a public records request. Attached at **Exhibit D** is a copy of the notice provided to certain Doe Plaintiffs 13 by the UW regarding the Freeman Request. The notice stated that the UW "intends to release the 14 requested records on August 10, 2016" and that if Jane Does "believe that some or all of the 15 16 records are exempt from public disclosure, you may wish to seek a court order to enjoin the release." The UW identified the deadline for filing pleadings to enjoin release as August 9, 17 2016. 18

19 26. Through counsel, the Doe Plaintiffs asked Daleiden and Freeman whether, 20 without narrowing the scope of the public records request, they would agree to accept the records 21 with redactions to the personal identifying information of the Doe Plaintiffs and all others 22 similarly situated. Attached at **Exhibits E and F** are copies of those letters. Daleiden and 23 Freeman both expressed willingness to consider redactions, but no agreement was reached 24 regarding the scope. Accordingly, the Doe Plaintiffs were forced to file this action to protect 25 their safety and privacy, as well as that of all others similarly situated.

26

Case 2:16-cv-01212-JLR Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 5 of 10

27. Jane and John Does 1 and 3-6, and all those similarly situated, are or were 1 employed by a private entity involved in research using fetal tissue or fetal tissue donation 2 procurement-including, but not limited to Seattle Children's Hospital, PPFA, PPGWNI, and 3 Cedar River Clinics—or are or were contracting with, representing, interning, or volunteering for 4 the same. While some may be publicly associated with a respective private entity, these Doe 5 6 Plaintiffs' names and/or other personal identifying information (work addresses, work or cell 7 phone numbers, email addresses) are not publicly connected with involvement in fetal tissue donation or research. 8

9 28. Jane Does 2, 7 and 8, and all those similarly situated, are or were employed by 10 public agencies involved in research using fetal tissue or fetal tissue donation procurement— 11 including, but not limited to, the UW, and specifically the BDRL—or are or were contracting 12 with, representing, interning, or volunteering for the same. While some may be publicly 13 associated with their respective agencies, these Doe Plaintiffs' names and/or other personal 14 identifying information (work addresses, work or cell phone numbers, email addresses) are not 15 publicly connected with involvement in fetal tissue donation or research.

16 29. The current political climate has heightened attention and awareness of fetal tissue donation and research, including the role played by providers of medical services who 17 facilitate tissue donation by patients who consent to donating, as well as the work of researchers 18 who use fetal tissue in their research. Daleiden and the Center for Medical Progress played a 19 20 direct role in creating that political climate, which has resulted in investigations by numerous 21 state Attorneys General and federal congressional committees. So far, none of the investigations have found any evidence of wrongdoing. Also as a result of the same climate and attention, 22 employees of such medical providers across the country, including in Washington State and 23 including several individual Doe Plaintiffs, have been harassed, threatened, or witnessed 24 25 incidents of violence due to their possible affiliation with fetal tissue donations.

26

Case 2:16-cv-01212-JLR Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 6 of 10

1 30. The Doe Plaintiffs reasonably fear for their safety and privacy if their personal 2 identifying information is released and have no adequate remedy at law.

3

IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. "Class" Definition. Pursuant to CR 23(b), Doe Plaintiffs 1-10 bring this case as a 4 class action on behalf of themselves and all individuals similarly situated as members of the 5 6 "Class." The proposed Class, which Doe Plaintiffs 1-10 seek to represent, are those individuals 7 whose names and/or personal identifying information (work addresses, work or cell phone numbers, email addresses) are contained in documents prepared, owned, used, or retained by the 8 9 UW that are related to fetal tissue research or donations (the "Documents"). Doe Plaintiffs include individuals who are or were employed by a private entity or public agency involved in 10 11 research using fetal tissue or fetal tissue donation procurement-including, but not limited to, PPFA, PPGWNI, Cedar River Clinics, and the UW-or are or were contracting with, 12 representing, volunteering, or interning for the same. Excluded from the Class are Defendants' 13 legal representatives, assignees, and successors. Also excluded are the judge to whom this case 14 is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family. 15

16 32. <u>Numerosity</u>. On information and belief, there are at least 150 members in the 17 Class who are geographically dispersed throughout the State of Washington and the nation, who 18 are unable or reluctant to sue individually. The members of the Class are so numerous that 19 joinder of each individual member is impracticable and the disposition of the claims of the Class 20 in a single action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and the Court.

33. <u>Commonality</u>. The questions of law and fact common to all Doe Plaintiffs and members of the Class include, but are not necessarily limited to, whether the constitutional Right to Privacy or Right to Associate exempt Plaintiff Does' and Class members' personal information from disclosure here, and whether the Court should issue a temporary or permanent order enjoining release of the unredacted Documents by UW. Additional questions of law and

Case 2:16-cv-01212-JLR Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 7 of 10

fact include, but are not limited to whether the personal information of the Doe Plaintiffs
 employed by the UW is exempt from disclosure under RCW 42.56.230.

3 34. <u>Typicality</u>. Doe Plaintiffs claims are typical of the claims of the Class. All 4 claims for all Doe Plaintiffs and members of the Class arise out of the same conduct by 5 Defendants and are based on the same legal and remedial theories.

6 35. <u>Adequacy</u>. Doe Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class because their 7 interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members they seek to represent. 8 Moreover, Doe Plaintiffs have retained counsel competent and experienced in prosecuting class 9 actions, intend to prosecute this action vigorously, and have the financial resources to do so. 10 Thus, the interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected.

11 36. <u>Appropriateness of Injunctive and Declaratory Relief</u>. Defendants have acted and 12 will act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final injunctive and 13 corresponding declaratory relief appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole. Prosecution of 14 separate actions by individual members of the Class would create the risk of inconsistent or 15 varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class that would establish 16 incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant UW.

17

FIRST CLAIM: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

18 37. Doe Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in the preceding19 paragraphs.

38. A substantial and actual controversy exists between Doe Plaintiffs and Defendants
on a matter of public importance, namely whether the Doe Plaintiffs' personal identifying
information is exempt from disclosure under Washington's Public Records Act, RCW Ch. 42.56.
39. Under the Public Records Act, the actual or threatened disclosure of certain

information maintained by a public agency may be enjoined. Here, Doe Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgment that their personal identifying information is exempt from disclosure. A declaratory judgment establishing the parties' legal rights in this regard will be conclusive.

SECOND CLAIM: VIOLATION OF RIGHT TO PRIVACY UNDER FEDERAL AND

		STATE CONSTITUTIONS		
2	41.	Doe Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in the preceding		
3	paragraphs.			
4	42.	Doe Plaintiffs' right to the nondisclosure of their personal identifying information		
5	is protected under the federal and Washington State constitutions.			
6	43.	To the extent the PRA would mandate public disclosure of Doe Plaintiffs'		
7	personal identifying information, it would do so unreasonably, unnecessarily, or arbitrarily, and			
8	therefore unconstitutionally.			
9 10	THIRD CLAIM: VIOLATION OF RIGHT TO ASSOCIATE UNDER FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONS			
11	44.	Doe Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in the preceding		
12	paragraphs.			
13	45.	The organizations with which Doe Plaintiffs associate are engaged in a form of		
14	expression protected under the federal and Washington State constitutions.			
15	46.	Doe Plaintiffs' right to associate with the organizations in question is therefore		
16	likewise protected under the federal and Washington State constitutions.			
17	47.	To the extent the PRA would mandate public disclosure of Doe Plaintiffs'		
18	personal identifying information, it would substantially chill both Doe Plaintiffs' participation in			
19	the expressive organizations in question, and the expression of the organizations themselves, in			
20	violation of the federal and Washington State constitutions.			
21	FOURTH CLAIM: INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER PUBLIC RECORDS ACT			
22	48.	Doe Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in the preceding		
23	paragraphs.			
24	49.	Doe Plaintiffs' personal identifying information is exempt from disclosure under		
25	the Public Records Act. Disclosure of Doe Plaintiffs' personal identifying information would			
26	not be in the	public interest, and would substantially and irreparably damage the Doe Plaintiffs		

COMPLAINT - 8

Case 2:16-cv-01212-JLR Document 1 Filed 08/03/16 Page 9 of 10

and the vital governmental interest in ensuring the safety and privacy of individuals who are
employed by, contracting with, representing, interning, or volunteering for private organizations
who collaborate with governmental agencies, or those individuals who are employed by the
governmental agencies themselves.

5 50. Doe Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Final injunctive relief is 6 necessary to protect Plaintiffs and members of the Class from the release of exempt and private 7 information.

8

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

9 WHEREFORE, Doe Plaintiffs request judgment and seek relief against Defendants as 10 follows:

11 A. For certification of a class as defined above;

12 B. For appointment of Plaintiffs as representatives of the certified class;

13 C. For appointment of the undersigned counsel as counsel for the certified class:

D. Declaratory judgment that the Doe Plaintiffs' personal identifying information is
exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act;

E. Temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief enjoining UW from publicly disclosing the Doe Plaintiffs' identities and/or personal identifying information, including name, address, telephone number(s), and email address(es); any order directing release of the records should call for redaction of the Doe Plaintiffs' identities and/or personal identifying information; and

21

F. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

1	DATED: August 3, 2016.	STOEL RIVES LLP
2		Janen Sonian Pour
3		Vanessa Soriano Power, WSBA No. 30777 vanessa.power@stoel.com
4		s/ Jill D. Bowman
5		Jill D. Bowman, WSBA No. 11754 jill.bowman@stoel.com
6		Stoel Rives LLP
7		600 University Street, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98101-4109
8		Telephone: (206) 624-0900/Fax: (206) 386-7500
9		Cooperating Attorney for Legal Voice
10		LEGAL VOICE
11		s/ Janet S. Chung
12		Janet S. Chung, WSBA No. 28535 jchung@legalvoice.com
12		Legal Voice
13		907 Pine Street, Suite 500
14		Seattle, WA 98101-1818 Telephone: (206) 682-9552/Fax: (206) 682-9556
15		CORR CRONIN MICHELSON
16		BAUMGARDNER & PREECE LLP
17		s/ David Edwards
18		David Edwards, WSBA No. 44680 dedwards@corrcronin.com
10		
19		<u>s/ Steven W. Fogg</u> Steven W. Fogg, WSBA No. 23528
20		sfoff@corrcronin.com
21		s/ Mallory Bouchee
22		Mallory Bouchee, WSBA No. 50194 mbouchee@corrcronin.com
23		1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, WA 98154-1051
		Telephone: (206) 625-8600/Fax: (206) 625-0900
24		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25		
26		