

1 ROBERT W. FERGUSON
 Attorney General
 2 NOAH GUZZO PURCELL, WSBA #43492
 Solicitor General
 3 KRISTIN BENESKI, WSBA #45478
 First Assistant Attorney General
 4 COLLEEN M. MELODY, WSBA #42275
 Civil Rights Division Chief
 5 ANDREW R.W. HUGHES, WSBA #49515
 LAURYN K. FRAAS, WSBA #53238
 6 Assistant Attorneys General
 TERA M. HEINTZ, WSBA #54921
 7 Deputy Solicitor General
 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
 8 Seattle, WA 98104-3188
 (206) 464-7744
 9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

12 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 UNITED STATES FOOD AND
 DRUG ADMINISTRATION, et al.,

16 Defendants.

NO. 1:23-cv-03026-TOR

PLAINTIFF STATES'
 RESPONSE TO NOTICE
 OF SUPPLEMENTAL
 INFORMATION

1 At the oral argument held in this matter on March 28, 2023, the Court asked
2 Defendants’ counsel what other drugs require pharmacy certification. Counsel
3 responded that he did not have an example off the top of his head of such a drug.
4 On March 29, 2023, Defendants filed a Notice of Supplemental Information
5 (ECF No. 71) listing 43 medications. The Plaintiff States respectfully submit this
6 response to Defendants’ Notice.

7 Although the drugs listed in Defendants’ Notice are subject to some form
8 of pharmacy certification requirement, *none* of those requirements resemble the
9 uniquely onerous pharmacy requirements imposed by the mifepristone REMS.
10 The pharmacy certification requirement adopted by FDA for mifepristone in
11 January 2023 is unique to that drug alone because it is the only REMS that
12 requires individual pharmacies to independently create a secure system to verify
13 prescriber certification (and, moreover, only applies when the drug is used for
14 abortion or miscarriage care, not when a higher and more frequent dose is used
15 to treat Cushing’s disease). *See* ECF No. 35 ¶ 146.

16 This distinction is crucial in terms of the burdens it imposes on patient
17 access and the healthcare delivery system. 21 U.S.C. §§ 355-1(f)(2)(C)-(D)
18 (providing that ETASU must not be “unduly burdensome on patient access to the
19 drug” and must “minimize the burden on the health care delivery system.”). For
20 the drugs listed in Defendants’ Notice, certified pharmacies may simply look up
21 the certified prescriber and/or the enrolled patient in a centralized database, which
22

1 is maintained by the drug's sponsor, to verify the provider's certification and/or
2 the patient's enrollment in the REMS program. *See generally* Appendix A.
3 Indeed, the REMS for these drugs establish these national, centralized
4 clearinghouses. *Id.* This allows pharmacists nationwide to quickly and easily
5 check the database when dispensing a prescription. *See id.* As reflected by the list
6 of drugs in Defendants' Notice, these pharmacy-certification requirements are
7 imposed only on drugs with significant risk profiles that require additional
8 safeguards at the point of dispensing to ensure patient safety.¹ These life-
9 threatening and often fatal risks include serious liver injury and severe birth
10 defects (Tracleer); heart failure (Camzyos); sudden death (Caprelsa); rapidly life-
11 threatening and fatal infections (empaveli); liver toxicity, liver failure, and severe
12 birth defects (Filspari); pulmonary embolisms (Sublocade); addiction and
13 overdose (fentanyl and Xyrem/Xyway); and valvular heart disease and
14 pulmonary arterial hypertension (Fintepla), among others. *See id.* Yet despite
15 these potentially fatal side effects, the pharmacy certification requirements
16 imposed on mifepristone—an extremely safe drug that does not qualify for any
17 REMS whatsoever—are uniquely burdensome.

18 _____
19 ¹ Indeed, several of the drugs listed in Defendants' Notice cannot be
20 dispensed directly to patients at all, but only to health care providers in a
21 healthcare setting, such as Sublocade, Tecvayli, Tysabri, Zulresso, Xiaflex, and
22 Zyprexa Relprevv. *See* Appendix A.

1 The mifepristone REMS alone impose the entire administrative burden
2 solely on each individual certified pharmacy to create its own secure, dynamic
3 system for tracking and storing providers' certification information. Unlike for
4 the drugs listed in Defendants' Notice, there is no centralized system for
5 pharmacists to check relevant information for purposes of a mifepristone
6 prescription. Instead: (1) each provider must separately send their certification
7 information to *each and every* certified pharmacy dispensing a prescription
8 written by the provider; (2) each pharmacy must ensure it receives certification
9 information from each prescriber on every mifepristone prescription; and (3) each
10 pharmacy must separately track this information by creating its own secure,
11 dynamic database of certified prescribers. *See* ECF No. 1-13 at 4; ECF No. 4-1:
12 Colwill Decl. ¶ 19, DasGupta Decl. ¶¶ 8–9, Downing Decl. ¶ 8, Godfrey Decl.
13 ¶ 26.

14 This is far more time-consuming and burdensome than for the high-risk
15 drugs listed in Defendants' Notice. Instead of simply checking a centralized
16 database, individual certified pharmacies must build and maintain their own
17 secure, dynamic data-management systems to track and store the certification
18 information they have received from each prescriber of mifepristone. DasGupta
19 Decl. ¶ 15–16, Downing Decl. ¶¶ 10–11, Prager Decl. ¶ 35, Reed Decl. ¶ 6, Singh
20 Decl. ¶¶ 12–13. And instead of sending their certifications and any other pertinent
21 information to a single location, providers must likewise send them to *each and*
22

1 every certified pharmacy before that pharmacy may dispense to their patients.
2 Colwill Decl. ¶ 19, DasGupta Decl. ¶ 8, Downing Decl. ¶ 8, Godfrey Decl. ¶ 26,
3 Gold Decl. ¶ 18, Shih Decl. ¶¶ 18, 23.

4 This decentralized, patchwork process negatively impacts patients, as well.
5 Whereas the centralized systems that are in place for other REMS-restricted
6 drugs allow *any* certified pharmacy to dispense a prescription written by *any*
7 certified prescriber, the mifepristone REMS only allows a certified pharmacy to
8 dispense a prescription written by a provider who has sent their certification to
9 *that particular pharmacy*. Colwill Decl. ¶ 19, DasGupta ¶ 8, Downing Decl. ¶ 10,
10 Godfrey Decl. ¶ 26, Gold Decl. ¶ 18, Shih Decl. ¶¶ 18, 23. This piles onto the
11 complex and confusing requirements that patients already have to navigate to
12 obtain a prescription for mifepristone in the first place, further delaying and
13 blocking access to care to this time-sensitive medication. *See, e.g.*, Gold Decl.
14 ¶ 24, Janiak Decl. ¶ 23, Lazarus Decl. ¶ 17, Shih Decl. ¶ 27. To be sure, a
15 centralized database is not the answer for mifepristone, as the existence of any
16 database poses threats to provider safety. *See* ECF No. 1-9 at 3–4; ECF No. 4-1:
17 Godfrey Decl. ¶ 27, Gold Decl. ¶¶ 17–19, Janiak Decl. ¶ 20, Prager Decl. ¶¶ 38–
18 40, Shih Decl. ¶¶ 23–25. The point is that the mifepristone pharmacy REMS are
19 uniquely onerous and apply to a drug for which the imposition of *any* REMS is
20 unlawful.

1 In sum, as indicated at oral argument, the mifepristone REMS is uniquely
2 burdensome—indeed, no other drug is subject to its uniquely onerous pharmacy
3 certification requirement. Given mifepristone’s proven safety record, FDA does
4 not even attempt to argue the drug could possibly meet the statutory standard for
5 a REMS in the first place. And, in square violation of the governing statute, FDA
6 implemented the January 2023 REMS without ever considering how the REMS
7 negatively impacted patient access, the blast radius from *Dobbs*, or the resulting
8 (and compounding) effect of the REMS on rural and underserved patients. The
9 mifepristone REMS—all three components of it—is contrary to law, arbitrary,
10 and capricious.

11 DATED this 30th day of March, 2023.

12 ROBERT W. FERGUSON
13 Attorney General

14 */s/ Kristin Beneski*

NOAH GUZZO PURCELL, WSBA #43492
Solicitor General

15 KRISTIN BENESKI, WSBA #45478
First Assistant Attorney General

16 COLLEEN M. MELODY, WSBA #42275
Civil Rights Division Chief

17 ANDREW R.W. HUGHES, WSBA #49515
LAURYN K. FRAAS, WSBA #53238

Assistant Attorneys General

18 TERA M. HEINTZ, WSBA #54921

Deputy Solicitor General

800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000

19 Seattle, WA 98104-3188

(206) 464-7744

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
Attorney General of Oregon

/s/ Marc Hull

SANDER MARCUS HULL WSBA #35986
CARLA A. SCOTT WSBA #39947
Senior Assistant Attorney General
YOUNGWO JOH OSB #164105
Assistant Attorney General
Trial Attorneys
Tel (971) 673-1880
Fax (971) 673-5000
marcus.hull@doj.state.or.us
youngwoo.joh@doj.state.or.us
Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Oregon

KRIS MAYES
Attorney General of Arizona

/s/ Daniel C. Barr

Daniel C. Barr (Arizona No. 010149)
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Luci D. Davis (Arizona No. 35347)
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Arizona
2005 N. Central Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592
Phone: (602) 542-8080
Email: Daniel.Barr@azag.gov
Luci.Davis@azag.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Arizona

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

PHILIP J. WEISER
Attorney General of Colorado

/s/ Eric Olson

ERIC OLSON, CO #36414
Solicitor General
MICHAEL MCMASTER, CO #42368
Assistant Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
1300 Broadway, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Phone: (720) 508-6000
Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado

WILLIAM TONG
Attorney General of Connecticut

/s/ Joshua Perry

Joshua Perry*
Solicitor General
Office of the Connecticut Attorney General
165 Capitol Ave, Hartford, CT 06106
Joshua.perry@ct.gov
(860) 808-5372
Fax: (860) 808-5387
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Connecticut

KATHLEEN JENNINGS
Attorney General of Delaware

/s/ Vanessa L. Kassab

VANESSA L. KASSAB
Deputy Attorney General
Delaware Department of Justice
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
302-683-8899
vanessa.kassab@delaware.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Delaware

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

KWAME RAOUL
Attorney General of Illinois

/s/ Caitlyn G. McEllis
Caitlyn G. McEllis (6306561)
Senior Policy Counsel
Office of the Illinois Attorney General
100 West Randolph Street
Chicago, IL 60601
Phone: (312) 793-2394
Caitlyn.McEllis@ilag.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Illinois

DANA NESSEL
Attorney General of Michigan

/s/ Stephanie M. Service
Stephanie M. Service (P73305)
Assistant Attorney General
Michigan Department of Attorney General
Health, Education & Family
Services Division
P.O. Box 30758
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7603
ServiceS3@michigan.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney General of Michigan

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General of Nevada

/s/ Heidi Parry Stern
Heidi Parry Stern (Bar. No. 8873)*
Solicitor General
Office of the Nevada Attorney General
555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
HStern@ag.nv.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Nevada

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

RAÚL TORREZ
Attorney General of New Mexico

/s/ Aletheia Allen
Aletheia Allen
Solicitor General
New Mexico Office of the Attorney General
201 Third St. NW, Suite 300
Albuquerque, NM 87102
AAllen@nmag.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of New Mexico

PETER F. NERONHA
Attorney General of Rhode Island

/s/ Julia C. Harvey
JULIA C. HARVEY #10529
Special Assistant Attorney General
150 S. Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 274-4400 x2103
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Rhode Island

CHARITY R. CLARK
Attorney General of Vermont

/s/ Eleanor L.P. Spottswood
ELEANOR L.P. SPOTTSWOOD*
Solicitor General
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609-1001
(802)793-1646
eleanor.spottswood@vermont.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Vermont

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BRIAN L. SCHWALB
Attorney General for the District of
Columbia
JENNIFER C. JONES
Deputy Attorney General
Public Advocacy Division
WILLIAM STEPHENS
Counsel to the Deputy

/s/ Nicole S. Hill

NICOLE S. HILL
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General for the
District of Columbia
400 Sixth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 727-4171
nicole.hill@dc.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff District of Columbia

ANNE E. LOPEZ
Attorney General

/s/ Erin N. Lau

Erin N. Lau 009887*
465 South King St., Room 200
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Erin.N.Lau@hawaii.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Hawaii

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

AARON M. FREY
Attorney General

/s/ Halliday Moncure

Halliday Moncure, Bar No. 4559
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Maine Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006
(207) 626-8800
halliday.moncure@maine.gov
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Maine

ANTHONY G. BROWN
Attorney General of Maryland

/s/ Steven M. Sullivan

STEVEN M. SULLIVAN*
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General of Maryland
200 Saint Paul Place, 20th Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 576-6427
ssullivan@oag.state.md.us
Attorney for Plaintiff State of Maryland

KEITH ELLISON
Attorney General
State of Minnesota

/s/ Liz Kramer

LIZ KRAMER (#0325089)
Solicitor General
JENNIFER OLSON (#0391356)
Assistant Attorney General
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2131
(651) 757-1010 (Voice)
(651) 282-5832 (Fax)
liz.kramer@ag.state.mn.us
jennifer.olson@ag.state.mn.us
Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Minnesota

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

MICHELLE A. HENRY
Attorney General of Pennsylvania

/s/ Jill M. Graziano

JILL M. GRAZIANO (Pa Bar No. 82725)
Chief Counsel to the Attorney General
1000 Madison Ave., Ste. 310
Norristown, PA 19403
jgraziano@attorneygeneral.gov
(484) 460-1330
*Attorney for the Commonwealth of
Pennsylvania*

**Applications for pro hac vice admission
forthcoming*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 30, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, which in turn automatically generated a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to all parties in the case who are registered users of the CM/ECF system. The NEF for the foregoing specifically identifies recipients of electronic notice.

DATED this 30th day of March, 2023, at Seattle, Washington.

/s/Kristin Beneski
KRISTIN BENESKI, WSBA #45478
First Assistant Attorney General