# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

HANAN ELATR KHASHOGGI

Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-779

Plaintiff,

v.

NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

and

Q CYBER TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,

22 Galgalei Haplada, Herzliya, Tel Aviv-Yafo, Israel 4672222

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT** 

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Hanan Elatr Khashoggi ("Hanan" or "Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, alleges the following against Defendants NSO Group Technologies Limited ("NSO Group") and Q Cyber Technologies Limited ("Q Cyber") (collectively, "NSO Group" or "Defendants"):

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Defendants have long infringed upon the basic principles of personal freedom and the fundamental right to privacy through the creation, sale, and operation of highly sophisticated and malicious spyware. Those actions can—and have—resulted in disastrous outcomes, including intimidation, physical injury, and death. For Hanan Khashoggi, the ramifications of Defendants' exploits have tragically played out before her eyes, forever altering her life.
- 2. NSO Group and its parent company, Q Cyber, create, market, and sell spyware and provide technical assistance and consulting to government clients that contract with them to use their spyware. Often, these clients were known authoritarian regimes working with NSO Group to use its spyware to target anyone who poses a perceived "threat" to the reigning power. Targeted persons deemed "threats" include not just criminals, but also activists, humanitarians, dissidents, and journalists. Unfortunately, the nefarious use of the spyware does not stop there—NSO Group technology is also used to track the friends, family members, and loved ones of anyone the client deems suspicious.
- 3. Defendants' actions have drawn criticism—and intense fear—from reporters and activists targeted by the spyware, as well as from politicians, government officials, and the technology industry at large. Shane Huntley, Director of Google's Threat Analysis Group ("TAG") testified in front of the U.S. House Committee on Intelligence, gravely summarizing:

While these vendors claim to vet their customers and usage carefully with the promise that the work is used to counter criminals and terrorists, what we have observed in TAG is consistent with others' reporting—that again and again these tools are found to be used by governments for purposes antithetical to democratic values, targeting dissidents, journalists, human rights workers, and political

- opponents. NSO Group is the most prominent actor offering spyware and these services. . . . <sup>1</sup>
- 4. Hanan Khashoggi suffered through the brutal kidnapping and murder of her husband, Jamal Khashoggi, at the hands of Saudi Arabian actors sent by the Crown Prince of Saudi Arabia, Mohammed bin Salman, assisted by allies in the United Arab Emirates. While she was still mourning her husband's death, in addition to dealing with the violent nature of the killing and international publicity surrounding it, she was hit with another disturbing revelation. For nearly a year leading up to Jamal's murder, Hanan's phones had been infiltrated by NSO Group spyware.
- 5. Hanan was then left to deal with the knowledge that her husband's life was cut short by Saudi agents who perpetuated the killing, using, upon information and belief, knowledge about Jamal obtained by NSO Group from Hanan's own devices, which were transformed into handheld spies.

#### II. THE PARTIES

#### **PLAINTIFF**

- 6. Plaintiff Hanan Elatr Khashoggi is an Egyptian citizen and the widow of Jamal Khashoggi. Plaintiff is a lawful resident of the United States and is currently seeking the status of political asylum in the United States.
  - 7. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf.
- 8. Jamal Khashoggi was a prominent and prolific writer, editor, and activist who was well-known for his thoughtful opinions on the rights of women and other minorities, and his calls for governmental reform in Saudi Arabia and the Middle East at-large.
- 9. Hanan currently resides in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Before her husband Jamal's death, Hanan shared a home with him in Fairfax County, Virginia. She is currently legally employed through a work visa and is working and living full-time in Virginia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Combatting the Threats to U.S. National Security from the Proliferation of Foreign Commercial Spyware Before the U.S. House of Representatives, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, 117<sup>th</sup> Cong. (2022).

- 10. Hanan was employed as a flight attendant for Emirates Airlines for more than twenty years.
- 11. Hanan and Jamal met at a conference in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") in 2009, and Hanan became Jamal's confidente and friend. The two communicated by phone, staying in touch for years before their relationship became romantic.
- 12. As detailed herein, Jamal eventually had reason to fear for his safety in Saudi Arabia, and was forced to flee Saudi Arabia in the summer of 2017. Once in the United States, Jamal reached out to Hanan and invited her to reconnect with him in his new home in Virginia.
- 13. After accepting Jamal's invitations, the two quickly began dating, and not long after began contemplating marriage. Jamal proposed to Hanan in April 2018.
- 14. Shortly after the proposal, in the course of her usual flight schedule, Hanan arrived in Dubai. However, this time, she was greeted at the airport by UAE intelligence officials that confiscated her devices, questioned, and detained Hanan for two weeks.
- 15. In June 2018, the couple was married by an Imam in an Islamic ceremony in Virginia. After their wedding, the newlyweds lived in their shared Tysons Corner, Virginia condominium as husband and wife.
- 16. In October 2018, Hanan and Jamal's story came to a violent end when Jamal was assassinated in the Saudi Arabian consulate in Istanbul for his writings and critiques of the Saudi regime.
- 17. After the murder of her husband, Hanan lost her job after experiencing continued harassment from the government of the UAE, including being interrogated and held against her will—again—for more than two months in early 2019. The UAE, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and other actors have closely monitored and intimidated Jamal's loved ones even after his death.
- 18. Due to the actions of Defendants and their clients, Hanan is now seeking the protections of political asylum in the United States.

19. Continuing the advocacy that her husband began, Hanan has spoken out against the actors responsible for causing Jamal's death and seeks justice for him and on her own behalf through this action.

#### **DEFENDANTS**

- 20. Defendant NSO Group Technologies Limited is a limited liability company incorporated in Israel on January 25, 2010. NSO Group created, developed, sold, and assisted in the deployment and use of cutting-edge spyware technology to clients around the world.
- 21. Defendant NSO Group is a subsidiary of Q Cyber Technologies, and upon information and belief, sometimes conducts business under that moniker.
- 22. Defendant Q Cyber Technologies Limited is a limited liability company incorporated in Israel on December 2, 2013 under the name L.E.G.D. Company Limited. The company officially changed its name to Q Cyber Technologies on May 29, 2016. Q Cyber is the parent company of NSO Group and a subsidiary of OSY Technologies SARL.
- 23. Upon information and belief, NSO Group and Q Cyber Technologies are currently managed, in all material respects, by one of their founders, Omri Lavie.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Omri Lavie registered Dufresne Holding, a limited liability company, in Luxembourg on or about February 2023.
- 25. Upon information and belief, at the time of its registration Dufresne Holding had one shareholder, Omri Lavie.
- 26. Upon information and belief, on or around April 2023 Dufresne Holding became the sole shareholder of NorthPole Newco S.a.r.l.
- 27. Upon information and belief, NorthPole Newco S.a.r.l was the sole shareholder of OSY Technologies S.a.r.l at the time Dufresne Holding became the sole shareholder of NorthPole Newco S.a.r.l.
- 28. Upon information and belief, the ownership and management of NSO Group and Q Cyber through groups based in Luxembourg consisted, at one time or another, of up to seven

other companies: Triangle Holdings, Square 2, Novalpina Capital Partners, Novalpina Capital Group, Northpole Holdco, NorthPole Bidco and NorthPole Newco.<sup>2</sup>

- 29. Defendants intentionally targeted the devices of Hanan Khashoggi and caused her immense harm, both through the tragic loss of her husband and through her own loss of safety, privacy, and autonomy, as well as the loss of her financial stability and career.
- 30. In addition to intentionally targeting Hanan (and through Hanan, Jamal) and her devices in Virginia, NSO Group also has significant ties to the United States. For much of the past decade, NSO Group has been primarily funded and controlled by California-based investment funds and has engaged the U.S. government (and local governments within the U.S.) as potential clients.<sup>3</sup> Further, NSO Group has a U.S. subsidiary company, Westbridge Technologies, Inc. that is headquartered in Virginia. NSO Group created Westbridge to help market and sell Defendants' spyware to the U.S. market.
- 31. Even after being placed on a restricted entity list by the United States Department of Commerce, NSO Group has continued to aggressively lobby its services in the United States, and upon information and belief, continues that lobbying today.<sup>4</sup> In 2022 alone, "NSO Group paid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Cordula Schnuer, "Nine NSO entities in Luxembourg, minister confirms," *Delano*, July 21, 2021, <a href="https://delano.lu/article/nine-nso-entities-in-luxembour">https://delano.lu/article/nine-nso-entities-in-luxembour</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023); see also Stephanie Kirchgaessner, "NSO Group co-founder emerges as new majority owner," *The Guardian*, March 1, 2023,

https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2023/mar/01/one-of-nso-groups-founders-emerges-as-new-majority-owner (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mark Mazzetti and Ronen Bergman, "Internal Documents Show How Close the F.B.I. Came to Deploying Spyware," New **York** Times, November 12, 2022, https://www.nytimes.com/2022/11/12/us/politics/fbi-pegasus-spyware-phones-nso.html (stating that FBI officials "made a push in late 2020 and the first half of 2021 to deploy the hacking tools made by the Israeli spyware firm NSO—in its own criminal investigations.") (last accessed June 5, 2023); see also Joseph Cox, "LAPD Got Tech Demos from Israeli Phone Hacking Firm NSO Group," Vice, June 9, 2020, https://www.vice.com/en/article/n7wna7/lapd-phone-hacking-nsogroup-westbridge (stating that members of the Los Angeles Police Department received a demo of Pegasus from NSO Group) (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Inci Sayki, "Spyware firm NSO Group Continues Lobbying Efforts to Resume Business-as-Usual in the U.S.," *OpenSecrets.org*, May 15, 2023, https://www.opensecrets.org/news/2023/05/spyware-firm-nso-group-continues-lobbying-efforts-

over \$1.1 million to public relations companies and law firms in the U.S. . . ., more than the government of Israel . . . spent in total on its U.S. lobbying operation through the same period. . . . Since 2020, NSO Group has paid foreign agents more than \$2.9 million for foreign influence and lobbying operations in the U.S."<sup>5</sup>

32. Upon information and belief, at all times material to this case, each Defendant was the agent, partner, alter ego, subsidiary, parent, and/or co-conspirator of and with the other Defendant, and the acts of each Defendant were within the scope of that relationship; each Defendant knowingly and intentionally agreed with the other to carry out the acts alleged in this Complaint; and in carrying out the acts alleged in this Complaint, each Defendant acted with the knowledge, permission, and consent of the other, and each Defendant aided and abetted the other.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 33. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal causes of action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because these causes of action arise under federal law—the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the Virginia Computer Crimes Act, Va. Code § 18.2-152.1, et seq., claims of Trespass to Chattels, Negligence, Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress, and claims for equitable relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because these claims arise out of the same nucleus of operative fact as Plaintiff's federal law claims.
- 34. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants engaged in conduct within the Commonwealth of Virginia, resulting in sufficient minimum contacts with this forum. Defendants have utilized instrumentalities located in Virginia (Plaintiff's personal devices) as well as targeting residents of Virginia (Hanan and Jamal Khashoggi) specifically, with

to-resume-business-as-usual-in-the-u-

s#:~:text=NSO%20Group%20paid%20over%20%241.1,operation%20through%20the%20same %20period (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

knowledge that such targeting would result in significant harm to Plaintiff in Virginia and violate the laws of the United States.

- 35. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendants under the "effects" test set forth in *Calder v. Jones*, 465 U.S. 783 (1984) because Defendants have committed an intentional tort, Plaintiff suffered the harm of that act in this forum, and Defendants expressly aimed their tortious conduct at the Plaintiff in Virginia such that Virginia can be said to be the focal point of the tortious activity.
- 36. Personal jurisdiction is proper under Virginia's long-arm statute, Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-328.1, which provides that a court may exercise personal jurisdiction over a party as to a cause of action arising from the party (a) transacting any business in Virginia, (b) causing tortious injury by an act or omission in Virginia, or (c) causing tortious injury in Virginia by an act or omission outside Virginia if the party regularly does or solicits business, or engages in any other persistent course of conduct, or derives substantial revenue from goods used or consumed or services rendered, in Virginia. Pursuant to the long-arm statute, using a computer or computer network located in Virginia constitutes an act in Virginia. Defendants are therefore subject to personal jurisdiction in Virginia because they caused tortious injury to the Plaintiff in Virginia when they infiltrated and continuously monitored Plaintiff through her devices while she lived in Virginia with her husband. Defendants are located in Israel and Defendants have gained substantial revenue by providing services to their clients, with knowledge that those clients were then likely to target individuals residing in the United States.
- 37. Alternatively, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2).
- 38. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) or, alternatively, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3).

# IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

### THE WORLD'S MOST POWERFUL SPYWARE—NSO GROUP'S "PEGASUS"

- 39. NSO Group has made its name amongst authoritarian governments and those known for perpetuating human rights abuses by offering them "Pegasus," the world's most powerful, sophisticated, and infamous cyberweapon.<sup>6</sup>
- 40. Pegasus is an advanced surveillance tool designed to be undetectable—it evades traditional security measures and is installed on the user's device without their knowledge or consent. Further, Pegasus can remotely infect a target's cell phone using a simple text message.
- 41. The version of Pegasus installed on Plaintiff's phone was in high demand due to its unique remote "zero click" feature. That is, no interaction was required by the target to have their phone compromised. Most available spyware requires some interaction by the target, such as clicking a link or opening a file. With Pegasus, NSO Group needed only the target's phone number, and it could then see "every piece of data stored on the phone."
- 42. Forensic investigation performed by Citizen Lab, a research laboratory based out of the University of Toronto's Munk School of Global Affairs, provided evidence that both of Plaintiff's Android phones were infected with Pegasus by April 2018, and likely earlier, with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Upon information and belief, Pegasus has been sold to the Governments of Ghana, Rwanda, and the United Arab Emirates, despite the questionable human rights records of each. Stephanie Kirchgaessner and Diane Taylor, "Nephew of jailed Hotel Rwanda Dissident hacked by NSO Spyware," Guardian, 2022. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2022/jul/18/nephew-of-jailed-hotel-rwanda-dissidenthacked-by-nso-spyware (last accessed June 5, 2023); see also Ronen Bergman and Mark Mazzetti, "The Battle for the World's Most Powerful Cyberweapon," The New York Times, January 28, https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/28/magazine/nso-group-israel-spyware.html 2022. accessed June 5, 2023); Omer Benjakob, "NSO Ghana Op Exposed: Never-before-seen Pegasus Spyware Footage, Workers' Passports," January 20, 2022, https://www.haaretz.com/israelnews/tech-news/2022-01-20/ty-article/nso-ghana-op-exposed-never-before-seen-pegasusspyware-footage-workers-passports/0000017f-f1fb-df98-a5ff-f3ffb9a20000 (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ronen Bergman and Mark Mazzetti, "The Battle for the World's Most Powerful Cyberweapon," *The New York Times*, January 28, 2022, <a href="https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/28/magazine/nso-group-israel-spyware.html">https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/28/magazine/nso-group-israel-spyware.html</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

attempts on her devices dating back to November 2017.<sup>8</sup> The infiltration allowed for all information stored on Plaintiff's phones to become accessible. However, it also granted access to all future phone calls, communication activity through apps, and text messages in perpetuity. Further, the infiltration gave Defendants and Defendants' client(s) the ability to activate the cameras and microphones of Plaintiff's phones without her knowledge, turning her phones into sophisticated listening and recording devices.<sup>9</sup>

- 43. Because of the unique danger posed by Pegasus and NSO Group, the United States Commerce Department's Bureau of Industry and Security placed NSO Group on its Entity List based on evidence that it "developed and supplied spyware to foreign governments that used these tools to maliciously target government officials, journalists, businesspeople, activists, academics, and embassy workers." Defendants' activity has resulted in countless human rights violations and is an urgent matter of national security.
- 44. John Scott-Railton, a Senior Researcher at the Citizen Lab,<sup>11</sup> addressed the pernicious nature of the industry in front of the U.S. House Intelligence Committee:

When confronted with abuses the mercenary spyware industry typically has a message: Our technology is designed to fight crime

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See infra section IV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Dana Priest, "A UAE Agency put Pegasus spyware on phone of Jamal Khashoggi's wife months before his murder, new forensics show," *Washington Post*, December 21, 2021, https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/interactive/2021/hanan-elatr-phone-pegasus/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce, "Commerce Adds NSO Group and Other Foreign Companies to Entity List for Malicious Cyber Activities," November 3, 2021, <a href="https://www.commerce.gov/news/press-releases/2021/11/commerce-adds-nso-group-and-other-foreign-companies-entity-list">https://www.commerce.gov/news/press-releases/2021/11/commerce-adds-nso-group-and-other-foreign-companies-entity-list</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>11</sup> Citizen Lab has been at the forefront of researching and sounding the alarm on NSO Group and other mercenary spyware companies. Citizen Lab analyzed the devices of Hanan Khashoggi for evidence of NSO Group activity and has done so for countless others as the go-to organization for detection of the extremely sophisticated spyware. According to its website, "The Citizen Lab is an interdisciplinary laboratory based at the Munk School of Global Affairs & Public Policy, University of Toronto, focusing on research, development, and high-level strategic policy and legal engagement at the intersection of information and communication technologies, human rights, and global security." *See* "About the Citizen Lab" <a href="https://citizenlab.ca/about/">https://citizenlab.ca/about/</a> (last accessed June 9, 2023).

and terror. Period. But the facts don't bear this out, in two ways. *First, abuses have been a feature of this technology and industry since day one*. Second, and as we have discussed today, the crime and terror narrative omits the fact that a significant proportion of the use that we see of mercenary spyware is state-on-state espionage, governments targeting other governments. And of course the United States has been one of those targets.<sup>12</sup>

- 45. NSO Group publicly states that it takes a hands-off approach after selling its powerful spyware to authoritarians, and that it offers no assistance to its clients after the transaction is complete.<sup>13</sup>
- 46. However, those statements are simply not true. NSO Group stays intimately involved in the surveillance process after providing its tools to its clients, with representatives explicitly stating, "we hear about...every phone call that is being hacked over the globe, we get a report immediately." <sup>14</sup>
- 47. Also contrary to its prior assertions, NSO Group itself has boasted that it offers clients "cyber intelligence, data acquisition, *and analysis*." <sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Combatting the Threats to U.S. National Security from the Proliferation of Foreign Commercial Spyware Before the U.S. House of Representatives, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, 117<sup>th</sup> Cong. (2022) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In a post titled "Enough is Enough!" NSO Group's website states its repetitive claim for plausible deniability: "NSO is a technology company. We do not operate the system, nor do we have access to the data of our customers, yet they are obligated to provide us with such information under investigations." NSO News, Enough is Enough, NSO Group, <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20230323182216/https://www.nsogroup.com/Newses/enough-isenough/">https://web.archive.org/web/20230323182216/https://www.nsogroup.com/Newses/enough-isenough/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ronan Farrow, "How Democracies Spy on their Citizens," *The New Yorker*, April 18, 2022, <a href="https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2022/04/25/how-democracies-spy-on-their-citizens">https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2022/04/25/how-democracies-spy-on-their-citizens</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 2019 ISS World Europe—Lead Sponsor, *TeleStrategies ISS World Europe*, <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20190908051829/https://www.issworldtraining.com/iss\_europe/sponsors.html">https://web.archive.org/web/20190908051829/https://www.issworldtraining.com/iss\_europe/sponsors.html</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023) (emphasis added).

- 48. A sales brochure for Pegasus, also filed in *WhatsApp v. NSO Group*, further outlines tactics that NSO Group suggests its clients use to infiltrate target phones.<sup>16</sup>
- 49. Upon information and belief, NSO Group describes two remote "installation vectors" for Pegasus: Remote installation ("over-the-air" or "OTA") and Enhanced Social Engineering Messages ("ESEM").
- 50. Social engineering, in the cybersecurity context, refers to a manipulative tactic to induce the target to provide their own vulnerabilities to a bad actor. One common example is phishing—where a website or email appears to be legitimate, but in fact is not, inducing the target to click on a link that exposes them to malware or spyware.
- 51. NSO Group states that the ESEM method allows "the system operator [to] choose to send a regular text message (SMS) or an email, luring the target to open it." NSO Group brags that a "[s]ingle click, either planned or unintentional, on the link will result in hidden agent installation."<sup>17</sup>
- 52. NSO Group further offers that "[t]he Pegasus solution provides a wide range of tools to compose a tailored and innocent message to lure the target to open the message." 18
- 53. NSO Group clients have multiple options when deciding how to obtain a primary target's personal information. First, they can "direct target" the primary individual in question—for example, a journalist, human rights activist, or political refugee. Direct targeting may be utilized for individuals that have exploitable security gaps on their phone. The problem with this approach for NSO Group and its clients is that most of these "direct targets" know that they may be at risk of some sort of spyware and often are more vigilant in securing their devices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Exhibit 1 at 13 ("When physical access to the device is an option, the Pegasus agent can be manually injected and installed in less than five minutes."). *See generally* Compl., *WhatsApp Inc. v. NSO Group Technologies Limited*, 3:19-cv-07123 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 2019), ECF No. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Exhibit 1 at 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id.* at 13.

- 54. The way around this obstacle is to invoke a second option: utilization of what is known as "relational" or "off-center" targeting. Relational targeting is when "spouses, siblings, parents, staff, or close associates of primary targets [are] targeted and infected with Pegasus." This allows NSO Group's clients to circumvent the security features that are typically utilized by hyper-vigilant primary targets, like Jamal Khashoggi.<sup>20</sup>
- 55. These two options are not mutually exclusive and can be deployed in tandem by the Pegasus operator to give the best chance of success in obtaining the personal details of the primary target.
- 56. Infecting a primary target's network of close, trusted associates allows NSO Group's clients to exploit the laxer security standards of individuals who would otherwise not fear targeting. Relational targeting also allows clients to develop contingency in the case that the primary target's phone cannot be hacked for technical reasons (*e.g.*, if the primary target is unable to be exploited). Even if the primary target infiltration is successful, having a relational target close to the direct target provides security for the client in the event that connection is disrupted (*e.g.*, by the target entering a country with a different network system). Finally, relational targeting also simply provides another avenue of unfiltered information both from the direct target him or herself and through what the relational target relays about the direct target to third parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> John Scott-Railton, Elies Campo, Bill Marczak, Bahr Abdul Razzak, Siena Anstis, Gözde Böcü, Salvatore Solimano, and Ron Deibert, *CatalanGate, Extensive Mercenary Spyware Operation against Catalans Using Pegasus and Chandiru*, April 18, 2022 <a href="https://citizenlab.ca/2022/04/catalangate-extensive-mercenary-spyware-operation-against-catalans-using-pegasus-candiru/">https://citizenlab.ca/2022/04/catalangate-extensive-mercenary-spyware-operation-against-catalans-using-pegasus-candiru/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Jamal, referring to Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman, reportedly told friends that "The kid is dangerous." Kristina Jovanovski and Saphora Smith, "Jamal Khashoggi was fearful of Saudi government before disappearing, friends say," *NBC News*, October 9, 2018, <a href="https://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/jamal-khashoggi-was-fearful-saudi-government-disappearing-friends-say-n917686">https://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/jamal-khashoggi-was-fearful-saudi-government-disappearing-friends-say-n917686</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023). Jamal shared his fears around his safety with Hanan, beginning at least in November 2016 after Jamal was critical of Donald Trump after he was elected President of the United States.

- 57. NSO Group knew, or should have known, that its clients routinely utilized relational targeting and that relational targeting was incredibly effective at accomplishing the goals of authoritarian regimes (*e.g.*, suppressing dissent and intimidating the public).
- 58. One clear example of this tactic played out in Catalonia, Spain, where political figures were targeted by Pegasus between 2017 and 2020. This was accomplished using a WhatsApp exploit, similar to one of the attempts on Hanan's phone.<sup>21</sup> At the time, political figures in Catalonia were campaigning for a fully independent Catalonia, which Spain's Constitutional Court maintained was contrary to law. Catalonia's former president, Carles Puigdemont, supported a binding referendum for citizens to vote on independence. In its investigation of the hacking of pro-independence politicians, Citizen Lab was not able to confirm that Puigdemont's phone was infected with Pegasus.
- 59. However, Citizen Lab concluded that "an arc of targeting" formed around Puigdemont. Eleven individuals ranging from Puigdemont's "spouse and residence staff to confidants, his lawyer, and friends" had their devices compromised.<sup>22</sup> Citizen Lab concluded that "monitoring their devices would have provided a detailed window into [Puigdemont's] life, movements, and thinking."<sup>23</sup>
- 60. NSO Group publicly contends that it can identify and stop any "misuse" of its weapon (such as the targeting of activists' family members).<sup>24</sup> However, upon information and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Scott-Railton, "CatalanGate, Extensive Mercenary Spyware Operation against Catalans Using Pegasus and Chandiru" April 18, 2022 <a href="https://citizenlab.ca/2022/04/catalangate-extensive-mercenary-spyware-operation-against-catalans-using-pegasus-candiru/">https://citizenlab.ca/2022/04/catalangate-extensive-mercenary-spyware-operation-against-catalans-using-pegasus-candiru/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> <a href="https://catalonia.citizenlab.ca/#targeting-puigdemont">https://catalonia.citizenlab.ca/#targeting-puigdemont</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Audrey Travère, "The Rise and Fall of NSO Group," *Forbidden Stories*, July 19, 2021, <a href="https://forbiddenstories.org/the-rise-and-fall-of-nso-group/">https://forbiddenstories.org/the-rise-and-fall-of-nso-group/</a> (Co-founder Shalev Hulio states "We understand that in some circumstances our customers might misuse the system and, in some cases like we reported in the Transparency and Responsibility report, we have shut down system for customers who have misused the system.") (last accessed June 5, 2023).

belief, despite this claim, NSO Group has failed to do so, as evidenced by the number of times Pegasus has been used to spy on innocent individuals.

- 61. For example, in May 2017, Mexican journalist Javier Valdez was shot and killed outside of his office. Valdez had been investigating the Sinaloa cartel at the time. Days after Valdez was killed, his colleagues received carefully crafted text messages (another instance of the use of ESEM) that would infect their phones with Pegasus if they clicked on the contained links.<sup>25</sup>
- 62. Eleven days after Valdez was killed, his wife, Griselda Triana, was also targeted by ESEM links. Griselda received two messages specifically tailored to induce her to click on them and infect her phone with Pegasus.
- 63. Citizen Lab concluded that the operator attempting to install Pegasus onto Valdez's wife and colleagues' phones was active until 2017. Citizen Lab further concluded that the operator was the Mexican Government. The Mexican Government's original infrastructure (dubbed 'RECKLESS-1' by Citizen Lab) was disabled in June 2017. However, while RECKLESS-1 was not re-enabled, Citizen Lab concluded that the Mexican Government continued operating Pegasus infrastructure.<sup>26</sup>
- 64. Upon information and belief, given RECKLESS-1's closure, and the Mexican Government's subsequent use of Pegasus infrastructure, NSO Group knew or should have known at least as early as 2017 that its cyberweapon was used to target colleagues and spouses of journalists and activists. Despite this early evidence of NSO Group's actual or constructive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> John Scott-Railton, Bill Marczak, Siena Anstis, Bahr Abdul Razzak, Masashi Crete-Nishihata, and Ron Deibert, "Reckless VII, Wife of Journalist Slain in Cartel-Linked Killing Targeted with NSO Group's Spyware," Citizen Lab Research Report No. 117, University of Toronto, March 20, 2019, <a href="https://citizenlab.ca/2019/03/nso-spyware-slain-journalists-wife/">https://citizenlab.ca/2019/03/nso-spyware-slain-journalists-wife/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> John Scott-Railton, Bill Marczak, Siena Anstis, Bahr Abdul Razzak, Masashi Crete-Nishihata, and Ron Deibert, "New Pegasus Spyware Abuses Identified in Mexico," Citizen Lab Research Report No. 78, University of Toronto, October 2, 2022, <a href="https://citizenlab.ca/2022/10/new-pegasus-spyware-abuses-identified-in-mexico/">https://citizenlab.ca/2022/10/new-pegasus-spyware-abuses-identified-in-mexico/</a> (identifying infections against journalists from 2019-2021) (last accessed June 5, 2023).

knowledge of Pegasus's usefulness in targeting journalists via their friends and family, it did nothing to prevent Jamal Khashoggi's wife, Hanan, from being targeted the following year.

- 65. To summarize, NSO Group outlines three technical options for its clients to gain total control of a device: (1) remote, zero-click entry via software exploit; (2) physical installation on the device; and/or (3) inducing the target to unwittingly install Pegasus on their device via ESEM. To maximize the chance of successful infiltration, clients can utilize one or more of these methods. For example, Citizen Lab found evidence that the Pegasus software was installed via physical installation on Hanan's phones *and* that she received a number of malicious ESEM texts containing links that would also install Pegasus on her phones. Upon information and belief, deployment of these three techniques often goes beyond the direct target, and targets include family members and close confidants.
- 66. Upon information and belief, in addition to its design and sale of spyware, NSO Group offers four levels of support to its clients after selling Pegasus to them.
- 67. The first level of technical support ("Tier 1") provides an engineer trained by NSO Group who can assist with, *inter alia*, "basic troubleshooting, configuration changes, and/or operation optimization."<sup>27</sup>
- 68. Tier 2 support provides technical support via an NSO Group "Field Service Engineer" who provides, *inter alia*, "advanced troubleshooting."<sup>28</sup>
- 69. Tier 3 support is provided by an NSO Group "Technical Support Specialist" who can provide, *inter alia*, "how to" support.<sup>29</sup>
- 70. Tier 4 support is provided by an NSO Group "R&D Engineer" who can support, *inter alia*, "design level consultation and solutions."<sup>30</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Compl. at 108, *WhatsApp Inc. v. NSO Group Technologies Limited*, 3:19-cv-07123 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 2019), ECF No. 1-1 (Exhibit 11 to *WhatsApp* Complaint).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id*.

71. NSO Group also provides phone and email support and a helpdesk that is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.<sup>31</sup>

# NSO GROUP'S REPEATED SALES TO THE UAE AND OTHER COUNTRIES NOTORIOUS FOR VIOLATIONS OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS

- 72. Ahmed Mansoor is a human rights activist based in the UAE. Upon information and belief, in 2016, Ahmed Mansoor received personalized text messages consistent with NSO Group's ESEM tactics encouraging him to click on a link. Rather than clicking, Mansoor sent the messages to Citizen Lab.
- 73. The link would have jailbroken Mansoor's iPhone and turned it into a 24/7 spydevice that he took with him everywhere he went.
- 74. Investigation by Citizen Lab confirmed that the most likely operator behind Mansoor's targeting was the UAE Government. Mansoor had been the target of the UAE several years earlier and was imprisoned in his home country for eight months in 2011.
- 75. Despite the UAE's prior targeting of human rights activists—including Mansoor—NSO Group, upon information and belief, sold its Pegasus software to the UAE in 2016.<sup>32</sup>
- 76. Not to be dissuaded by their client's use of the world's most powerful cyberweapon to spy on activists, NSO Group continued to sell its products and services to the UAE. According to reports, the UAE had been using Pegasus for more than a year when NSO Group tried to upsell them on a new update.
- 77. Intrigued by the promise of an updated Pegasus, the UAE challenged Defendants to hack the phone of an editor of a London-based Arab newspaper. In order to push its new product, NSO Group agreed to actively participate in illegally surveilling Abdulaziz Alkhamis. Days later, an NSO Group representative supplied recordings of the editor's phone calls to UAE officials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Bill Marczak & John Scott-Railton, "The Million Dollar Dissident: NSO Group's iPhone Zero-Days used against a UAE Human Rights Defender," Citizen Lab Research Report No. 78, University of Toronto, August 24, 2016, <a href="https://citizenlab.ca/2016/08/million-dollar-dissident-iphone-zero-day-nso-group-uae/">https://citizenlab.ca/2016/08/million-dollar-dissident-iphone-zero-day-nso-group-uae/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

Abdulaziz Alkhamis later confirmed that he had no idea that he was under surveillance by Defendants.<sup>33</sup> Defendants actively initiated spying on a journalist simply to showcase Pegasus's frightening capabilities.

- 78. Despite the UAE's use of Pegasus to surveil Ahmed Mansoor, and its subsequent request (and NSO Group's compliance) to illegally surveil a journalist based in the United Kingdom, NSO Group continued to sell its software to the UAE.<sup>34</sup> Even without NSO Group's knowledge of, and participation in, these illegal uses of its product, NSO Group claims to vet its clients before engaging with them—specifically looking for evidence of human rights abuses.<sup>35</sup> However, the UAE, and several of NSO Group's other suspected clients, have well-documented and long-standing histories of human rights abuses.<sup>36</sup>
- 79. Indeed, the UAE is not the only country with well-documented human rights violations with whom NSO Group eagerly partnered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>David D. Kirkpatrick and Azam Ahmed, "Hacking a Prince, an Emir and a Journalist to Impress a Client," *The New York Times*, August 31, 2018, <a href="https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/31/world/middleeast/hacking-united-arab-emirates-nso-group.html">https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/31/world/middleeast/hacking-united-arab-emirates-nso-group.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>See NSO News, NSO Group, <a href="https://www.nsogroup.com/Newses/following-the-publication-of-the-recent-article-by-forbidden-stories-we-wanted-to-directly-address-the-false-accusations-and-misleading-allegations-presented-there/">https://www.nsogroup.com/Newses/following-the-publication-of-the-recent-article-by-forbidden-stories-we-wanted-to-directly-address-the-false-accusations-and-misleading-allegations-presented-there/">https://www.nsogroup.com/Newses/following-the-publication-of-the-recent-article-by-forbidden-stories-we-wanted-to-directly-address-the-false-accusations-and-misleading-allegations-presented-there/">https://www.nsogroup.com/Newses/following-the-publication-of-the-recent-article-by-forbidden-stories-we-wanted-to-directly-address-the-false-accusations-and-misleading-allegations-presented-there/</a> (last accessed June 6, 2023) ("We would like to emphasize that NSO sells it technologies solely to law enforcement and intelligence agencies of vetted governments for the sole purpose of saving lives through preventing crime and terror acts.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> U.S. Dep't of State, Bureau of Democracy, H.R. and Lab., 2018 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices: United Arab Emirates, 2018. *Available at:* <a href="https://www.state.gov/wpcontent/uploads/2019/03/UNITED-ARAB-EMIRATES-2018.pdf">https://www.state.gov/wpcontent/uploads/2019/03/UNITED-ARAB-EMIRATES-2018.pdf</a> (In 2018, the U.S. Department of State found that human rights abuses in the UAE included: "allegations of torture in detention; arbitrary arrest and detention, including incommunicado detention, by government agents; political prisoners; government interference with privacy rights; undue restrictions on free expression and the press, including criminalization of libel, censorship, and internet site blocking; substantial interference with the rights of peaceful assembly and freedom of association; the inability of citizens to choose their government in free and fair elections; and criminalization of same sex sexual activity.").

- 80. Rwandan activist Carine Kanimba's phones were targeted by the Rwandan government in September 2020 and July 2021 using NSO Group's spyware.<sup>37</sup> Carine is the daughter of notable human rights activist Paul Rusesabagina.
- 81. In 2021 the U.S. State Department noted "significant human rights issues" including credible reports of:

unlawful or arbitrary killings by the government; forced disappearance by the government; torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment by the government; harsh and life-threatening prison conditions; arbitrary detention; political prisoners or detainees; politically motivated reprisals against individuals located outside the country, including killings, kidnappings, and violence; arbitrary or unlawful interference with privacy; serious restrictions on free expression and media, including threats of violence against journalists, unjustified arrests or prosecutions of journalists, and censorship.<sup>38</sup>

- 82. Carine Kanimba told a U.S. House Intelligence Committee that "[t]he same government that tortured my father, that is holding him hostage, and that has been trying to silence him all these years now also has access to my private messages and my conversations and my location, it is very, very scary."<sup>39</sup>
- 83. NSO Group also contracted to provide its Pegasus infrastructure to Ghana in 2016. The Ghanaian government allegedly "planned to use Pegasus to snoop on opposition figures ahead

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Antoaneta Roussi, "Daughter of imprisoned Rwandan dissident: Governments must be 'accountable' for spyware use," *Politico*, July 28, 2022, <a href="https://www.politico.eu/article/carine-kanimba-rusesabagina-daughter-imprisoned-rwanda-dissident-government-accountable-spyware-use/">https://www.politico.eu/article/carine-kanimba-rusesabagina-daughter-imprisoned-rwanda-dissident-government-accountable-spyware-use/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> U.S. Dep't of State, Bureau of Democracy, H.R. and Lab., 2021 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices: Rwanda, 2021. *Available at:* <a href="https://www.state.gov/reports/2021-country-reports-on-human-rights-practices/rwanda/">https://www.state.gov/reports/2021-country-reports-on-human-rights-practices/rwanda/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Combatting the Threats to U.S. National Security from the Proliferation of Foreign Commercial Spyware Before the U.S. House of Representatives, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, 117<sup>th</sup> Cong. 25 (2022). (statement of Carine Kanimba, Target of Foreign Commercial Spyware).

of a 2017 election."<sup>40</sup> Employees of NSO Group reportedly traveled to Ghana and trained locals how to use it.<sup>41</sup>

- 84. The U.S. State Department noted in 2016 that Ghana's human rights abuses included "...excessive force by police, including torture that resulted in death and injuries; harsh and life-threatening prison conditions; trafficking in persons; and exploitative child labor, including forced child labor."
- 85. Notably, the State Department also assessed that there was "corruption in all branches" of Ghana's government.<sup>43</sup>

# NSO GROUP'S ROLE IN THE DEATH OF JAMAL KHASHOGGI

- 86. Jamal Ahmid Khashoggi was a prominent and prolific Saudi Arabian journalist and activist. His work over the span of his career impacted the cultural and political landscape throughout the Middle East. While Jamal considered himself a "moderate," much of his work was at the forefront of forward-thinking philosophies and ideals, even when those points of view put him at odds with powerful people.
- 87. Jamal was an intrepid journalist throughout the 1980s and was the editor of *Al Madina* magazine from 1991 to 1999. Jamal went on to become the Deputy Editor-in-Chief of *Arab News*, one of the most prominent newspapers in Saudi Arabia, and subsequently became the Editor of *Al-Watan*, a position he was terminated from only two months later after running afoul

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Omer Benjakob, "NSO Ghana Op Exposed: Never-before-seen Pegasus Spyware Footage, Workers' Passports," January 20, 2022, <a href="https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/tech-news/2022-01-20/ty-article/nso-ghana-op-exposed-never-before-seen-pegasus-spyware-footage-workers-passports/0000017f-f1fb-df98-a5ff-f3ffb9a20000">https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/tech-news/2022-01-20/ty-article/nso-ghana-op-exposed-never-before-seen-pegasus-spyware-footage-workers-passports/0000017f-f1fb-df98-a5ff-f3ffb9a20000</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Id. ("I coached them on how to use it,' one employee told 'Hamakor."")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> U.S. Dep't of State, Bureau of Democracy, H.R. and Lab., 2016 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices: Ghana, 2016. *Available at:* <a href="https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Ghana-1.pdf">https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Ghana-1.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> *Id*.

of the conservative Saudi ruling entities.<sup>44</sup> Jamal eventually was reappointed as Editor of *Al-Watan*, but was once again fired after three years for writing articles related to the rights of women and abuses of power perpetrated by the Saudi religious police.<sup>45</sup> These pieces were deemed "offensive" by Saudi authorities.<sup>46</sup>

- 88. Public discourse in Saudi Arabia is tightly controlled by the monarchy, and the news outlets for which Jamal worked were no exception. The ruling royal family in Saudi Arabia, the al-Sauds, are legitimized and supported by a council of fundamentalist Islamic religious leaders called the *ulama*, who adhere to what is commonly called the "Wahhabi" school of Islamic jurisprudence.
- 89. Jamal covered the Soviet-Afghan War, and, like many Muslims the world over, was initially supportive of the resistance to the Soviet invasion.
- 90. However, Jamal staunchly opposed the violence perpetuated by Osama bin Laden that grew out of the Soviet-Afghan War, and reportedly urged bin Laden to abandon *jihad* on more than one occasion during the 1990s.
- 91. An avowed anti-extremist, Jamal refused to be associated with the growing radical movement and embraced the western idea of the separation of church and state, further angering the *ulama* in Saudi Arabia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> After an Al Qaeda bombing that killed twenty-five in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Jamal criticized the Saudi religious establishment directly, saying those "who instigated or justified the attacks" would also "have a painful impact on the peaceful nature of our nation." *See* Ben Hubbard, *MBS*: *The Rise to Power of Mohammed Bin Salman* 75 (2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Justin D. Martin, "Sidelined Speech in Saudi Arabia," May 21, 2010, <a href="https://archives.cjr.org/behind">https://archives.cjr.org/behind</a> the news/sidelined speech in saudi arab.php (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> One such article asked the reader to imagine the chaos that would be caused by a girl riding a camel to university, a critique of Saudi Arabia's ban on women driving. Ben Hubbard, *MBS: The Rise to Power of Mohammed Bin Salman* 75-76 (2020).

- 92. As a result, Jamal was forced out of his job as editor at Al-Watan in 2003, saying "The clergy. They didn't like me. They didn't like the way I ran the paper." Ever hopeful, Jamal also stated of his country, "I see change, and I would like to be part of that change."
- 93. Jamal's beliefs and advocacy never faltered. When he covered the Arab Spring,<sup>48</sup> Jamal was hopeful that Saudi Arabia would listen to the people and embrace change. Jamal criticized the violent response to the protests, saying "confronting—rather than acceding to—the demands for change [embodied in the Arab Spring] is what led to the current chaos in the region."<sup>49</sup>
- 94. Just as the Arab Spring movement was getting started, Jamal and Hanan met at a conference in the UAE in 2009, and instantly connected. Hanan described meeting him like finding her "twin." Jamal was married at the time, but the two kept in touch as friends over the next eight years, often exchanging messages and sharing their viewpoints on politics and a hope for peace and democracy in the Middle East.
- 95. Throughout his career, Jamal wrote thought-provoking articles concerning equal rights for women and minorities, religious freedom, and other issues challenging the status quo in the Middle East, and in Saudi Arabia in particular. In 2016, Hanan and Jamal were constantly in touch regarding various global political matters, including the election of Donald J. Trump to the United States Presidency. Jamal shared his misgivings with Hanan, then in late 2016, publicly shared his concerns by delivering a speech critical of the election of President Trump at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy. This criticism angered the ruling Saudis, who were working to foster a friendly relationship with Trump, and Jamal was subsequently placed under

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Peter Bergen, "Jamal Khashoggi was a journalist, not a jihadist," October 22, 2018, <a href="https://edition.cnn.com/2018/10/22/opinions/khashoggi-was-journalist-not-jihadist-bergen/index.html">https://edition.cnn.com/2018/10/22/opinions/khashoggi-was-journalist-not-jihadist-bergen/index.html</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> The "Arab Spring" was a series of anti-Government protests emerging in Tunisia in 2010, and spreading to, among other places, Egypt, Libya, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, and Bahrain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> "Khashoggi: resistance to Arab Spring caused chaos and I wish Saudi Arabia would have embraced it," *MEMO*, *Middle East Monitor*, August 31, 2017, <a href="https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20170831-khashoggi-resistance-to-arab-spring-caused-chaos-and-i-wish-saudi-arabia-would-have-embraced-it/">https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20170831-khashoggi-resistance-to-arab-spring-caused-chaos-and-i-wish-saudi-arabia-would-have-embraced-it/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

house arrest. During this time, Hanan remained in touch with Jamal, supporting him and assuaging him during his confinement. Hanan communicated with journalists around the world in an attempt to support Jamal and draw attention to his plight.

- 96. In June 2017, the Saudi government lifted Jamal's house arrest and allowed him to travel to the UAE to attend a conference. Upon arrival at the Abu Dhabi airport, he was denied entry and flew back to Saudi Arabia. This action tipped him off that he was in growing danger, and as a result, Jamal made the difficult decision to flee Saudi Arabia, seeking refuge in the United States. Once Jamal arrived in the U.S., he invited Hanan to visit and reconnect with him in person in Virginia. Shortly after his arrival, Jamal became a contributor to the Washington Post.
- 97. Jamal's outspoken statements that landed him in dangerous waters in 2016 and 2017 came amid a larger crackdown on free speech in Saudi Arabia. In Jamal's own words,

Dozens of Saudi intellectuals, clerics, journalists, and social media stars have been arrested in the past 2 months—the majority of whom, at worst, are mildly critical of the government. . . . How can we become more moderate when such extremist views are tolerated? How can we progress as a nation when those offering constructive feedback and (often humorous) dissent are banished?<sup>50</sup>

- 98. On September 18, 2017, Khashoggi's first column for *The Washington Post* appeared with a stark opening line: "When I speak of the fear, intimidation, arrests and public shaming of intellectuals and religious leaders who dare to speak their minds, and then I tell you that I'm from Saudi Arabia, are you surprised?"<sup>51</sup>
- 99. Jamal continued to bravely speak out against the manner in which Saudi Arabia was being ruled, writing in a November 15, 2017 opinion in *The Washington Post* that he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Jamal Khashoggi, "Saudi Arabia's crown prince wants to 'crush extremists.' But he's punishing the wrong people," *The Washington Post*, October 31, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2018/10/06/read-jamal-khashoggis-columns-for-the-washington-post/">https://www.washington-post/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

Jamal Khashoggi, "Saudi Arabia wasn't always this repressive. Now it's unbearable," *The Washington Post*, September 18, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2017/09/18/saudi-arabia-wasnt-always-this-repressive-now-its-unbearable/">https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2017/09/18/saudi-arabia-wasnt-always-this-repressive-now-its-unbearable/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

"champion[s] a real campaign to tackle the rampant corruption that is draining Saudi resources." This opinion in particular focused on Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman ("MBS")—explicitly stating that he was "acting like Putin" by "imposing very selective justice" in his "crackdown on even the most constructive criticism." 53

- 100. It was during this same time period that Jamal and Hanan's longtime friendship evolved into romance, and Hanan encouraged Jamal to "make use of his freedom" after fleeing Saudi Arabia. The two continued to bond over shared political beliefs, and often discussed the fraught state of much of the Middle East. Jamal confided in Hanan that he did not consider himself a "dissident," but rather he had a profound love for Saudi Arabia, and for that reason, he felt he must keep writing and raising his voice to effect change there. Jamal told Hanan he was lonely in the United States, and he longed to be able to return to his home.
- 101. Upon information and belief, in November 2017, the first Pegasus attempts were made on one of Hanan's cell phones, just as she was growing closer with Jamal. These were ESEM text messages that were personalized to induce her to follow the malicious link containing Pegasus.
- 102. In one instance, at 06:46:59 GMT on November 26, 2017, Hanan received a text message stating that a flower bouquet was sent to her. She later clicked and followed the link and was rerouted to a disabled Pegasus link. Citizen Lab attributed the domain name in these links to an agency of the UAE.
- 103. At least five more attempts were made via ESEM text messages sent to Hanan's phone in November 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Jamal Khashoggi, "Saudi Arabia's crown prince is acting like Putin," *The Washington Post*, November 5, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2017/11/05/saudi-arabias-crown-prince-is-acting-like-putin/">https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2017/11/05/saudi-arabias-crown-prince-is-acting-like-putin/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> *Id*.

- 104. Jamal and Hanan continued their relationship, and in April 2018, Jamal proposed to Hanan and gave her an engagement ring. He later also bought her a wedding ring in Tysons Corner, Virginia.
- 105. Upon information and belief, in April 2018, more malicious text messages using Pegasus spyware were sent to Plaintiff's phone.
- 106. In April 2018, while working as a flight attendant, Hanan arrived at the Dubai International Airport and found seven Emirati intelligence officers waiting for her. Hanan was blindfolded, handcuffed, and transported to a remote interrogation cell where she was questioned about Jamal and his activities for over 17 hours. Hanan was detained and her captors took both of her cell phones that she had been using to communicate with Jamal. Citizen Lab later confirmed in its analysis that it was likely during this time that Pegasus was manually installed onto at least one of her phones. NSO Group touts the ability for Pegasus to be installed through multiple mechanisms, and physical installation is advertised explicitly by NSO Group.<sup>54</sup>
- 107. Hanan was placed under house arrest in the UAE until May 2018, when she returned to the United States to be with Jamal. In her long tenure as a flight attendant, with many trips into and out of the UAE, Hanan had never been detained or questioned by the authorities before becoming engaged to Jamal. Hanan later stated that she feared for her life, and it was apparent to her immediately that she was being held because of her relationship to Jamal. She was never charged with a crime, nor offered any justification for her imprisonment.
- 108. Unbeknownst to Hanan, upon information and belief, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia had leveraged its relationship with a key ally, the United Arab Emirates, to install Pegasus on her phones, which would then allow MBS to monitor and track Jamal.<sup>55</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Dana Priest, "A UAE agency put Pegasus spyware on phone of Jamal Khashoggi's wife months before his murder, new forensics show," *The Washington Post*, December 21, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/interactive/2021/hanan-elatr-phone-pegasus/">https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/interactive/2021/hanan-elatr-phone-pegasus/</a>; *see also* Exhibit 1 at 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> This is not the first time that the UAE has acted at the behest of Saudi Arabia to silence critics of the Kingdom. In 2018, security officers in the UAE pulled over Saudi women's rights activist

- 109. Once Hanan arrived back in the United States, Jamal warned her that it would not be easy for her to be with him, and again asked if she truly wanted to spend her life with him. For Hanan, it was no question —"yes." Unbeknownst to either of the two at the time, the depth of their relationship would put them both in danger through the now-constant avenue Defendants and their clients had into their everyday lives, communications, and intimate conversations.
- 110. On June 2, 2018, Hanan and Jamal were married according to Islamic tradition by Imam Anwar Hajjaj of the Open University in Alexandria, VA, which was observed and attended by two witnesses. However, due to the level of threat Jamal was under, and the recent experience Hanan endured in the UAE, the two kept their relationship, and marriage, very quiet, alerting only select family members and friends. They spent the next weeks moving into and decorating their shared apartment in Virginia and making it their home.
- 111. Although Hanan's job as a flight attendant kept her traveling often, anytime she was able to be, she was home with Jamal. When the two were forced to be apart, they were in frequent contact through text messages, WhatsApp, phone calls, and various other apps Jamal insisted they use for privacy. Unfortunately, Jamal's suspicions were well-founded, but use of multiple apps or frequently changing SIM cards was no match for NSO Group's technology. Neither suspected that Hanan herself might become a target.
- 112. During this time, Jamal continued to stoke the ire of MBS, writing that MBS was "punishing the wrong people." After MBS rounded up and detained a number of "intellectuals

Loujain al-Hathloul in Abu Dhabi and deported her to Saudi Arabia. Loujain al-Hathloul has also been surveilled using the Pegasus spyware. *See* U.S. Dep't of State, Bureau of Democracy, H.R. and Lab., 2018 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices: United Arab Emirates, 2018. *Available at:* <a href="https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/UNITED-ARAB-EMIRATES-2018.pdf">https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/UNITED-ARAB-EMIRATES-2018.pdf</a> at 11; Joel Schectman and Christopher Bing, "How a Saudi woman's iPhone revealed hacking around the world," *Reuters*, February 17, 2022, <a href="https://www.reuters.com/technology/how-saudi-womans-iphone-revealed-hacking-around-world-2022-02-17/">https://www.reuters.com/technology/how-saudi-womans-iphone-revealed-hacking-around-world-2022-02-17/</a> (regarding the UAE surveilling al-Hathloul with Pegasus) (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Jamal Khashoggi, "Saudi Arabia's crown prince wants to 'crush extremists.' But he's punishing the wrong people," *The Washington Post*, October 31, 2017,

and religious leaders who dare to express opinions," contrary to his own safety, Jamal wrote "...I am raising my voice. To do otherwise would betray those who languish in prison. I can speak when so many cannot."<sup>57</sup> Hanan continued to support him, encouraging him to use his freedom in the United States to speak out.

- 113. Upon information and belief, during this time, MBS became increasingly obsessed with Jamal. Upon information and belief, all of Jamal and Hanan's conversations—by phone, message, or in person—were available to NSO Group and ultimately relayed to the Saudis, via the UAE, providing key information and proof of Jamal's persistent belief that Saudi Arabia needed reform.
- 114. On September 6-7, 2018, Jamal and Hanan spent what would be their last days together in a hotel in New York City. Hanan knew that Jamal was planning to go to Turkey and Jamal shared with Hanan his full travel plans, including his planned return that tragically never occurred. They discussed their future together, including having property both in Turkey and in Virginia, keeping their Tysons Corner home.
- 115. The two remained in contact by phone while Jamal was traveling. Their last communications occurred on September 30, 2018, a message Hanan did not receive until October 1, 2018, only 24 hours before Jamal's death. That last communication from Jamal to Hanan wished her a happy birthday.
  - 116. Translated from Arabic to English, their last messages state:

*Hanan*: On October 20, 2018 I will arrive in Washington at 9 am. Good Luck Jamal Have a good Day

Jamal: (September 30) Happy Birthday, with happiness and peacefulness

https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2018/10/06/read-jamal-khashoggis-columns-for-the-washington-post/ (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Jamal Khashoggi, "Saudi Arabia wasn't always this repressive. Now it's unbearable," *The Washington Post*, September 18, 2017, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2017/09/18/saudi-arabia-wasnt-always-this-repressive-now-its-unbearable/">https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/global-opinions/wp/2017/09/18/saudi-arabia-wasnt-always-this-repressive-now-its-unbearable/</a> (last accessed June 5, 2023).

*Hanan*: (October 1) thank You I hope you are fine and happy. I am in the aircraft headed to Dubai<sup>58</sup>



- 117. On October 2, 2018, Jamal Khashoggi disappeared after visiting the Saudi consulate in Istanbul.
- 118. Back home, Hanan was shocked and terrified. Her worst fears were becoming reality—and being broadcast on a global stage. As the days and weeks passed, it became apparent that Jamal had been assassinated. Hanan watched in indescribable grief and growing fear for her own safety.
- 119. The details of Jamal's death were well-documented and publicized by nearly every major news outlet in the world. Hanan was forced to relive the grisly death and dismemberment of her husband time and time again.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Screenshot provided courtesy of Hanan Khashoggi.

- 120. The CIA ultimately concluded that Saudi Arabia's Crown Prince Muhammad bin Salman orchestrated and approved of the operation to kill Jamal, and members of MBS's personal security team made up the 15-member hit squad.
- 121. The CIA's assessment aligned with what much of the general public already knew: "[t]he Crown Prince viewed Khashoggi as a threat to the Kingdom and broadly supported using violent measures if necessary to silence him." 59
- 122. Regarding Jamal Khashoggi, NSO Group has publicly maintained that it had "nothing to do with this horrible murder," despite significant evidence to the contrary.<sup>60</sup>
- 123. Upon information and belief, Defendants and their clients were aware that Jamal and Hanan were living together in Virginia and that Hanan has continued to reside in Virginia, where she was monitored for—at least—a year through NSO Group's product on her devices.

# **THE NSO GROUP REVEALED**

124. In July of 2021, multiple media outlets partnered with "Forbidden Stories," on the "Pegasus Project." <sup>61</sup> The group consisted of a network of journalists with a mission to "protect, pursue and publish the work of other journalists facing threats, prison, or murder" to expose NSO Group's technology and use of that spyware on journalists and activists around the world. <sup>62</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> U.S. Office of the Director of National Intelligence, Assessing the Saudi Government's Role in the Killing of Jamal Khashoggi, February 11, 2021. *Available at:* <a href="https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Assessment-Saudi-Gov-Role-in-JK-Death-20210226v2.pdf">https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Assessment-Saudi-Gov-Role-in-JK-Death-20210226v2.pdf</a>.

<sup>60</sup> Stephanie Kirchgaessner, "Saudis behind NSO spyware attack on Jamal Khashoggi's family, leak suggests," *The Guardian*, July 18, 2021, <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/jul/18/nso-spyware-used-to-target-family-of-jamal-khashoggi-leaked-data-shows-saudis-pegasus#:~:text=The%20phone%20analysis%20discoveries%20and, Turkish%20inquiry%20into%20his%20murder (last accessed June 5, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> The Pegasus Project media partners: The Guardian, Le Monde, The Washington Post, Süddeutsche Zeitung, Die Zeit, Aristegui Noticias, Radio France, Proceso, OCCRP, Knack, Le Soir, Haaretz/TheMarker, The Wire, Daraj, Direkt36, PBS Frontline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> "About the Pegasus Project," <a href="https://forbiddenstories.org/about-the-pegasus-project/">https://forbiddenstories.org/about-the-pegasus-project/</a>. (last accessed June 6, 2023).

- 125. Around this time, Hanan was approached by a journalist from the Washington Post to inform her that analysis from Amnesty International showed evidence that Hanan's phones may have been infiltrated. Further, more in-depth analysis performed by Citizen Lab confirmed that suspicion in November of 2021.
- 126. Defendants have been the subject of significant media and political attention for several years. In addition to being placed on the U.S. Department of Commerce's "Entity List," NSO Group and Q Cyber have been named as Defendants in several pending cases in the United States and internationally. The facts of those cases have significant overlap with Hanan's claims in this present action. In the United States, Plaintiffs Apple and WhatsApp (Meta) have filed suit against NSO Group for alleged infiltrations of their servers, impacting thousands of Apple and WhatsApp users. WhatsApp has successfully proceeded past the motion to dismiss phase and all other matters are currently pending. In Dada v. NSO Group Technologies Limited, a consortium of journalists from El Salvador working for the news publication El Faro have brought suit against NSO Group and Q Cyber for the targeting, infiltration, and breaches of privacy of their own devices. Much like Hanan's case, the Plaintiffs in Dada were allegedly targeted as a result of their perceived threat to the Salvadoran government. A

# NSO GROUP'S HARMS TO HANAN KHASHOGGI CONTINUE

- 127. As a result of being targeted by NSO Group and its client(s), Hanan's life has been irrevocably altered.
- 128. Not only has Hanan suffered the unimaginable loss of her husband, she has also had to rearrange her life to adjust to the reality of being a target of dangerous, violent, and powerful authoritarian actors, including the loss of her career and livelihood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> WhatsApp Inc. v. NSO Grp. Techs. Ltd., 17 F.4th 930 (9th Cir. 2021), cert. denied, 214 L. Ed. 2d 333, 143 S. Ct. 562 (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Amended Compl., *Dada v. NSO Group Technologies*, 3:22-cv-07513-WHA (N.D. Cal. December 16, 2022), ECF No. 31.

- 129. Defendants violated Hanan's privacy in one of the most pervasive fashions imaginable. All of her messages, app activity, emails, financial information, medical information, and more were available to Defendants, and upon information and belief, made available to Defendants' clients. Additionally, Hanan's private conversations in the intimacy of her own home and marriage were invaded by agents of an authoritarian government that, upon information and belief, ultimately used that information to murder her husband. Hanan was violated in a way few others could even fathom—NSO Group laid every intimate detail of her life bare.
- 130. Hanan was forced to leave her job of over 20 years due to the harassment and intimidation she suffered from alleged client(s) of NSO Group. Even after Jamal's death, Hanan continued to be targeted for her relationship with him. Hanan eventually lost her career as a flight attendant due to the risks to her safety and the time she (involuntarily) spent away from work. Several months after Jamal was murdered, in February 2019, Hanan was again confronted by UAE officials and again detained and placed under house arrest, this time for more than two months. When the time came for Hanan's contract to be renewed with Emirate Airlines, her boss told her they were letting her go.
- 131. Due to the physical risks of travel, and fear for her family's safety, Hanan has been unable to see her family in the Middle East for several years.
- 132. Hanan is still suffering from the effects of the NSO Group infiltration of her devices today. She lives in a state of constant hyper-vigilance, unable to safely participate in social activities, constantly looking over her shoulder.
- 133. As a result of the intimidation and threat of danger to Hanan's life, she is currently seeking the legal protection of political asylum in the United States.

### V. CAUSES OF ACTION

# COUNT 1: <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT</u> <u>18 U.S.C. § 1030 et seq.</u>

- 134. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 135. As detailed in this pleading, between November 8, 2017 and July 10, 2018, Defendants accessed or attempted to access Plaintiff's devices on multiple occasions, without authorization. Plaintiff owned the affected devices, and those devices contained a plethora of private information, including personal communications, photographs, and videos.
- 136. Pursuant to the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act ("CFAA"), the intentional access of a computer without authorization, or in excess of authorized access, to obtain information from any protected computer is prohibited. 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(2)(C).
- 137. The devices storing Plaintiff's data and personal information are "protected computers" because they are used in or affected interstate commerce or communications. 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2).
- 138. Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(2)(C) by intentionally accessing and/or causing to be accessed Plaintiff's devices without authorization and obtaining information from those devices.
- 139. Defendants accessed and/or caused to be accessed Plaintiff's devices without authorization through attacks that enabled the surreptitious installation of Pegasus on Plaintiff's devices.
- 140. Defendants infiltrated Plaintiff's devices with Pegasus to enable real-time surveillance of Plaintiff and her husband, including through unauthorized use of the device's microphone and camera, and to exfiltrate private data from those devices to Defendants and their clients. Once installed, Pegasus provided Defendants and their clients with nearly unfettered access to Plaintiff's devices.

- 141. Although by its very nature and design, Pegasus leaves very little trace, if any, of its presence on a device, forensic investigation completed by Citizen Lab on December 20, 2021 confirmed the presence of Pegasus and NSO Group-related infiltration evidence on Plaintiff's devices. Upon information and belief, Defendants and their clients obtained both stored and real-time data and surveillance from Plaintiff's targeted devices.
- 142. A private right of action exists for any person who suffers damage or loss by reason of a violation of the CFAA, provided that one of the statutorily enumerated factors are present. 18 U.S.C. §1030(g).
- 143. Plaintiff suffered both damage and loss as a result of the infiltration of her devices by NSO Group and its clients.
- 144. Among the factors enumerated for civil recovery are: "(I) loss to 1 or more persons during any 1-year period . . . aggregating at least \$5,000 in value. . . (III) physical injury to any person; [and] (IV) a threat to public health or safety. 18 U.S.C. § 1030(c)(4)(A)(i)(I, III-IV).
- 145. Plaintiff's total economic loss stemming from the Pegasus attacks exceeded \$5,000 in aggregate during a one-year period, including, but not limited to, the costs of fleeing to the United States, the loss of her and her husband's income, and the costs of replacing her devices.
- 146. There is no economic loss requirement for factors (III) and (IV) listed above, although Plaintiff has experienced significant damage and loss falling into those categories.
- 147. Plaintiff experienced the suffering, both physical and mental, of being held and interrogated by UAE officials (who, upon information and belief, used that time to physically install Pegasus on Plaintiff's devices) resulting in physical injury to Plaintiff.
- 148. Jamal Khashoggi was also physically injured as a result of Defendants' violations of the CFAA. Defendants contributed to Jamal's death by knowingly providing Pegasus and other products to clients with known human rights violations, by aiding them and providing support to those clients, and by intentionally, recklessly, and/or negligently aiding and abetting in the commission of the crimes of torture and murder.

- 149. Defendants further contributed to a threat to public health or safety by perpetuating their client(s)' crimes and human rights violations.
- 150. Defendants violated 18 U.S.C. § 1030(b) by conspiring and attempting to commit the violations alleged in the preceding paragraphs.
- 151. In the alternative, Defendants knowingly and intentionally aided and abetted their clients in the violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1030 alleged in the preceding paragraphs.

# COUNT 2: <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE VIRGINIA COMPUTER CRIMES ACT</u> <u>Va. Code § 18.2-152.1 et seg.</u>

- 152. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 153. Pursuant to the Virginia Computer Crimes Act ("VCCA"), Va. Code § 18.2-152.1 *et seq.*, any person whose property or person is injured by a provision of the Act "may sue therefor and recover any damages sustained and the costs of the suit." Va. Code § 18.2-152.12(A).
- 154. The VCCA further states that it is unlawful to "[i]nstall or cause to be installed, or collect information through, computer software that records all or a majority of the keystrokes made on the computer of another." Va. Code § 18.2-152.4 (A)(8).
- 155. As alleged in the preceding paragraphs, Defendants accessed Plaintiff's devices and personal information without authorization, in violation of the VCCA. Defendants and their clients knew that Hanan and Jamal were living in Virginia at the time Pegasus was installed on Plaintiff's devices. Defendants installed, or caused to be installed, Pegasus on Plaintiff's devices.
- 156. Defendants and their clients used false pretenses to commit largery regarding private messages, emails, conversations, location information, and other personal information of both Plaintiff and her husband.
- 157. Upon information and belief, Defendants and their clients used false pretenses by sending ESEM messages to Plaintiff's devices in order to entice her to engage with the links thus activating Pegasus on her devices.

- 158. Defendants then used the personal information gleaned from this infiltration to cause substantial harm to Plaintiff, as enumerated in the preceding paragraphs.
- 159. Defendants further violated Va. Code § 18.2-152.4, under which it is unlawful to "remove [] or otherwise disable any computer data . . . from a computer . . ." It is also unlawful for a person to use a computer to "make . . . an unauthorized copy, in any form, . . . of computer data."
- 160. As alleged in the preceding paragraphs, Defendants also violated the VCCA through their actions in illegally accessing and misappropriating Plaintiff's personal data.
- 161. Plaintiff has sustained substantial damages and costs, as alleged herein, related to investigating and responding to Defendants' offenses, severe mental anguish and emotional distress, the physical injury to herself and her husband, and the resulting loss of her husband, loss of her income and job, and other consequential damages.
- 162. Evidence of consequential damages falls within the "any damages" language of the VCCA. *A.V. ex rel Vanderhye*, 562 F.3d 630, 647 (4th Cir. 2009).
- 163. Pursuant to Va. Code § 18.2-152.3, Plaintiff is also entitled to recover the costs of this suit.

# COUNT 3: NEGLIGENCE

- 164. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 165. At all relevant times, Defendants developed, set up, maintained, marketed, advertised, controlled, and sold their spyware infrastructure to nation-state clients.
- 166. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to exercise reasonable care in the development, set up, maintenance, operation, marketing, advertisement, control, and sale of its spyware infrastructure to not create an unreasonable risk of harm from the use of its infrastructure and to protect Plaintiff from unreasonable risk of injury from and in the use of its spyware infrastructure.

- 167. Imposing a duty on Defendants is not burdensome and would benefit the community of journalists, activists, dissidents, and their family members and loved ones, at large.
- 168. Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim of the Defendants' spyware infrastructure. Defendants sought out, marketed, and sold its spyware infrastructure to countries with disturbing human rights records.
- 169. As a result of Defendants' failure to exercise reasonable care when they repeatedly sold Pegasus to clients that were widely known to violate human rights and do harm to dissenters, Defendants caused and proximately caused harm to Plaintiff.
- 170. Defendants have breached their duties of care owed to Plaintiff through their affirmative malfeasance, actions, business decisions, and policies in the development, setup, management, maintenance, operation, marketing, advertising, promotion, supervision, and control, and sale of its spyware infrastructure.
- 171. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of one or more of their duties, Plaintiff was harmed. Specifically, Defendants breached their duty by knowingly marketing and selling their spyware to countries with long histories of human rights abuses.
- 172. Defendants' breach of one or more of their duties was a substantial factor in causing harms and injuries to the Plaintiff.
- 173. Defendants' conduct, as described above, was intentional, fraudulent, willful, wanton, reckless, malicious, fraudulent, oppressive, extreme, and outrageous, and displayed an entire want of care and a conscious and depraved indifference to the consequences of their conduct, including to the health, safety, and welfare of the likely targets of their clients, and warrants an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish the Defendants and deter others from like conduct.
- 174. Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for injunctive relief as described below, and for compensatory, treble, and punitive damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper.

### COUNT 4: TRESPASS TO CHATTELS

- 175. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 176. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Plaintiff had legal title to and actual possession of her cell phones, except where explicitly indicated.
- 177. Plaintiff owned two cell phone devices targeted in the Pegasus attack in which she had a possessory interest in and the exclusive right to use the targeted devices. These devices contained Plaintiff's private information, including phone calls, text messages and other communications.
- 178. Defendants intentionally intermeddled with Plaintiff's phones/devices when they gained access to Plaintiff's devices by use of their proprietary "Pegasus" spyware which allowed all information on Plaintiff's phone to be downloaded and provided to Defendant's clients.
- 179. The technology used allowed Defendants and their clients to review in real time any phone call, text, or other communication, GPS activity, as well as turn Plaintiff's phones into a remote listening device by surreptitiously activating her microphone and camera at any time. The value of the devices was thus impaired for Plaintiff's use, becoming effectively valueless to Plaintiff.

# COUNT 5: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 180. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
  - 181. Defendants acted negligently as detailed above.
- 182. Defendants' conduct was intentional and malicious and done for the purpose of causing Plaintiff to suffer humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress.
- 183. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress and the Plaintiff's severe emotional distress was proximately caused by the Defendants' conduct.

- 184. Plaintiff continues to suffer from fear, anxiety, and extreme stress as a result of having both of her phones hacked and turned into continuously operating spy devices.
- 185. As a further proximate result of Defendants' actions and the consequences proximately caused by them, as alleged above, Plaintiff suffered severe humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, resulting in damages.

# COUNT 6: NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 186. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
  - 187. Defendants acted negligently as detailed above.
- 188. Defendants knew, or should have known, that failure to exercise due care in the selling of their spyware infrastructure would cause Plaintiff severe emotional distress.
- 189. As a further proximate result of Defendants' actions and the consequences proximately caused by them, as alleged above, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress and mental suffering, resulting in damages.

# COUNT 7: EQUITABLE RELIEF

- 190. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 191. Plaintiff demands the identities of Defendants' clients and any of their agents that targeted and accessed her devices.
- 192. Plaintiff demands disclosure of all contracting documents between Defendants and their clients that targeted and accessed her devices.
- 193. Defendants publicly claim that they can monitor and forbid any misuse of their spyware infrastructure. As such, Plaintiff demands permanent cessation, in the form of an injunction, of all monitoring of her personal electronic devices.

### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants to the full extent of the law, including but not limited to:

- 1. Judgment for Plaintiff and against Defendants on all Counts enumerated herein;
- 2. damages (both past and future) to compensate Plaintiff for injuries sustained as a result of Defendants' conduct, including but not limited to physical pain and suffering, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, expenses for hospitalizations and medical treatments other economic harm that includes but is not limited to lost earnings and loss of earning capacity;
- damages to compensate Plaintiff for loss of consortium, companionship, services, society, love, and comforts, and alteration their martial association, and mental anguish and emotional distress;
- 4. exemplary, treble, and/or punitive damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits;
- 5. attorneys' fees;
- 6. experts' fees;
- 7. costs of litigation;
- 8. pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the lawful rate;
- 9. injunctive relief, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendants to stop the harmful conduct alleged herein,
- 10. any other relief as this Court may deem equitable and just, or that may be available.

#### VI. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: June 16, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL PENDELL (pro hac vice forthcoming)

# MOTLEY RICE LLC

One Corporate Center 20 Church S., 17TH Floor Hartford, CT 06103 T: 860.882.1681 mpendell@motleyrice.com

ANNIE E. KOUBA (pro hac vice forthcoming)

ROSS HEYL (pro hac vice forthcoming)

#### **MOTLEY RICE LLC**

28 Bridgeside Blvd Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464 T: 843.216.9000 akouba@motleyrice.com rheyl@motleyrice.com

RANDA FAHMY (pro hac vice forthcoming)

282 35th Street Avalon, NJ 08202 T: 202.352.2186

Randa@fahmyhudome.com

#### /s/ Steven T. Webster

Steven T. Webster (VSB No. 31975) swebster@websterbook.com
Aaron S. Book (VSB No. 43868) abook@websterbook.com
Webster Book LLP
300 N. Washington St., Suite 404
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(888) 987-9991 (telephone and fax)