REPORTER'S RECORD
VOLUME 20 OF 35 VOLUMES
TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 1384794
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS NO. AP-77,025

OBEL CRUZ-GARCIA ) IN THE DISTRICT COURT

VS.
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

THE STATE OF TEXAS ()
) 337 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Appellee
VS.

Appellee )

## GUILT-INNOCENCE PROCEEDINGS



On the loth day of July, 2013, the following proceedings came on to be heard in the above-entitled and numbered cause before the Honorable Renee Magee, Judge presiding, held in Houston, Harris County, Texas;

Proceedings reported by computer-aided transcription/stenograph shorthand.

## A P P E A R A $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E}$

MS. NATALIE TISE SBOT NO. 00795683 MR. JUSTIN WOOD SBOT NO. 24039247
Assistant District Attorneys 1201 Franklin Houston, Texas 77002
PHONE: 713.755 .5800
ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS

- AND -

MR. R.P. 'SKIP' CORNELIUS
SBOT NO. 04831500
2028 Buffalo Terrace
Houston, Texas $77019-2408$
PHONE: 713.237 .8547
MR. MARIO MADRID
SBOT NO. 00797777
440 Louisiana, Suite 1225
Houston, Texas $77002-1659$
PHONE: 713.877.9400
ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT

Rolando Hernandez
Marilu Flores,
Interpreters

> I N D E X
> VOLUME 20 (GUILT-INNOCENCE PROCEEDINGS)

JULY 10, 2013
StATE'S WITNESSES
Dr. Dwayne Wolf
Direct
Cross
PAGE VOL. 4 23 15 28 Voir Dire VOL.

Eric Meh
33
$-68$
-
66
59
20
20

Angelita
Rodrigue
Carmelo Martinez 116
Santana
William Ebersole 175
Reporter's Certificate.20

Word Glossary..............................End of Volume


1 2 3

EXHIBIT INDEX
NUMBER DESCRIPTION OFFERED ADMITTED VOL.

SX - 34 Hard copy of PowerPoint presentation
$170 \quad 172$
20
SX - 55 Photograph
10
11
20
SX - 64 Shirt
13
13
20
SX - 65 Buccal swab
77
77
20
SX - 66 Buccal swab
77
77
20
SX - 79
Map
138
139
20
DX - 20 Photograph
16
17
20
(Open court, defendant present, no jury)
THE COURT: We're back on the record in
Cause No. 1384794, the State of Texas vs. Obel
Cruz-Garcia. And present at counsel table is Mr.
Cruz-Garcia, along with his attorneys, Skip Cornelius and Mario Madrid. Present for the State is Natalie Tise and Justin Wood. And we are here to proceed on three witnesses this morning, who are present in the courtroom. That is Dr. Dwayne Wolf, Sergeant Eric Mel, and Agent Griselle Guzman. Is that correct?

Okay. Would you please raise your right hands to be sworn?
(Witnesses sworn)
THE COURT: And to all of the witnesses,
the Rule has been invoked. And what that means is you're order to leave the courtroom and not re-enter unless instructed to do so by the bailiff or by me. You are not to discuss this case or your testimony with other witnesses or anyone else, except for the attorneys.

Okay. So, you are excused at this time. We'll be with you in just a moment.

Is it Dr. Wolf who is first?
MS. TISE: Yes.
THE COURT: Very well. You may stay in the
courtroom.

And bailiff, you can bring in the jury. THE BAILIFF: Yes, ma'am.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the
jury. We're ready to proceed in the state of Texas vi.
Obel Cruz-Garcia.
State, please call your next witness.

MS. TISE: The State will call Dr. Wolf.
THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, the witness has
been sworn.

THE COURT: Dr. Wolf, please keep your voice up and speak right into the microphone.

You may proceed, Ms. Tise.
DR. DWAYNE WOLF,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. TISE:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. Would you introduce yourself to the jury, please, sir?
A. I'm Dr. Dwayne Wolf.
Q. And will you tell the jury what you do for a
living?
A. I'm the deputy chief medical examiner for Harris County.
Q. Okay. And what does that mean? What do you do?
A. Our office investigates deaths in Harris County. Basically, we investigate deaths that are either sudden and unexpected or deaths that result from physical or chemical injury. By state law, we have the responsibility of determining cause and manner of death in those cases by whatever means, including review of scene findings, medical history, medical records, and complete autopsy, if necessary.
Q. Can you tell the jury a little bit about your background and training?
A. Sure. I graduated from Lamar University in Beaumont in 1986 with a bachelor's degree in biology. I then attended the University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston from 1986 through 1993, where I completed both a PhD in molecular biology as well as an MD degree. I then began my training in pathology at Brown University in Providence. I returned to the University of Texas Medical Branch in 1995 and completed my general pathology training in 1998. My forensic pathology subspecialty training was with the Dade County Medical

Examiner's Office in Miami. And I completed that training in 1999. I'm board certified in anatomic pathology and forensic pathology.
Q. Okay. I wanted to ask you how long have you been with the medical examiner's office. Because I missed that if you said it.
A. I have been with the office here in Harris County since September 2001 .
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Dr. Vladimir Parungao?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you actually work with him?
A. No. He was no longer employed by the medical examiner by the time $I$ started working there in 2001 .
Q. Were you asked to review an autopsy report that was done by Dr. Parungao back in 1992 when he was employed by the Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And for what purpose?
A. Well, to review the findings in order to reach a conclusion regarding cause and manner of death and in order to present those opinions in court.
Q. Okay. And is it common for us to ask a current employee of the Harris County Institute of Forensic

Sciences to review reports of other doctors who are no longer there or who are on vacation or sick or not present in order to testify?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You have done that many times, have you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And in order to prepare to do that, you review some things, do you not?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you review?
A. The autopsy photographs, scene photographs. Of course, the autopsy report as well, and our investigator's report. And in this case, that's pretty much the extent of the information that $I$ had.
Q. Okay. And after doing that, some times are you able to determine the cause of death?
A. Yes.
Q. And the manner of death?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury what the difference is between cause of death and manner of death?
A. Sure. Cause of death is the injury or disease that initiates the sequence of events that culminates in death. So, for example, a gunshot wound or lung cancer,
for example, are causes of death.
Manner of death is a classification, for vital statistics purposes, of the way in which the death came about. Basically, we have a check box on the death certificate of four choice: Natural, accident, suicide, or homicide. Of course, there is a fifth choice of undetermined when we can't tell.
Q. Okay. What kind of things affect your ability to determine the cause of death?
A. Well, obviously, decomposition is one of those things that can influence our ability to determine how somebody died. A lot of the injuries that we analyze, well, it really depends on our ability to assess the skin surfaces and the organs. And if those things are no longer there or they are greatly altered by decomposition, then it is much more challenging to determine cause of death. There are other causes of death that really leave no marks. Things like asphyxia. There are very often very few, if any, physical signs in an asphyxia death. So, determination of cause death in those types of cases really relies more on scene investigation, investigation, and the circumstances.

Similarly, electrocution, drowning, those sorts of things, also leave no -- or minimal physical marks. So, again, we have to rely on circumstances and
the investigation to determine those causes of death.
Q. Okay. And in some cases, the cause of death is going to be just undetermined, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. But that doesn't mean you can't still go forward to determine the manner of death in some cases?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Because when determining the manner of death, you're looking at the investigation and all the circumstances surrounding what happened to this individual?
A. Right. Well, for either cause of death or manner of death we're looking at all the information that we can gather from the scene, from the hospital, prior history of known medical records, so forth. So, for cause or manner, we're looking at the totality of the case.
Q. And you can make that determination by those factors that are external to the body itself?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. In this particular case, did you have an opportunity to review some photographs?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MS. TISE: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Is it fair to say that you reviewed quite a number of photographs in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. But I'm going to show you one of them, and that's marked as State's Exhibit 55. I'll ask you if you recognize that photograph to be one of the photographs of the individual we know as Angelo Garcia, Jr. (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And there is actually an autopsy report number there in the photo to link it to that particular case, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that number links back to the actual autopsy report that you reviewed?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And, in fact, the fact that the number is a 1992, the first two numbers are 92, does that tell you anything knowing your numbering system?
A. Right. This autopsy was conducted in 1992 .
Q. Okay.

MS. TISE: At this time, I'm going to offer State's Exhibit 55 .
(State's Exhibit No. 55 Offered)

MR. CORNELIUS: No objection.
THE COURT: State's Exhibit No. 55 is admitted without objection.

You may proceed.
(State's Exhibit No. 55 Admitted)

MS. TISE: And, Your Honor, I'm going to ask to publish it.

THE COURT: You may.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Dr. Wolf, is this basically the remains of Angelo Garcia, Jr. (indicating)?
A. Right. This is representing photographs. There are a few skeletal elements that are not shown here, but this is by and large the extent of the remains.
Q. Okay. And when you have just remains like this, especially back in 1992 with the tools that we had back then, are you able to determine a lot from these bones as far as cause of death is concerned?
A. Well, that really depends on the cause of death. Of course, sometimes we can see injuries on the bones, we can see cut marks, or bullet holes, and that sort of thing. But in this case, there were none of those things identified.
Q. Okay.
A. So, it's limited, which doesn't mean that
cutting or a gunshot wound weren't part of the cause of death, it's just that they didn't involve these skeletal elements.
Q. So, you can't -- if someone was stabbed, it didn't strike the bones that you happened to have to examine that you can see?
A. That's correct.
Q. Or if there was a gunshot wound, it didn't strike the bones that you happened to have as part of this examination?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. However, after evaluating the case as a whole, as you talked about in order to determine manner of death, were you able to come to any conclusions about the death?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was that conclusion?
A. Manner of death was homicide.
Q. Okay. Looking at these remains, Dr. Wolf, would those remains be consistent with a body being submerged in water for up to five weeks?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. In addition, there was some clothing items recovered as part of the autopsy.

MS. TISE: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) And I'm going to show you some clothing, what's already in evidence as State's Exhibit 61 and identified as clothing of Angelo Garcia, Jr. And taking a look at State's Exhibit 64, I'll ask you: Does this appear to be the shirt that we're looking at in State's Exhibit 61 (indicating)?
A. Yes.

MS. TISE: At this time, I'm going to offer State's Exhibit 61 into evidence.

MR. CORNELIUS: No objection, Judge.
THE COURT: 64, is that what you meant?
MS. TISE: I mean that, Judge. I'm sorry.
61 is already in. 64 is the actual shirt itself.
(State's Exhibit No. 64 Offered)
THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius, do you have any objection to 64?

MR. CORNELIUS: No objection, Judge.
THE COURT: State's Exhibit 64 will be admitted without objection.

You may proceed.
(State's Exhibit No. 64 Admitted)
MS. TISE: Judge, may I show this to the jury?

THE COURT: Yes, you may publish State's

Exhibit 64 to the jury.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Dr. Wolf, if there had been blood on that shirt prior to being submerged in the water -MR. CORNELIUS: May we approach the bench, Judge, please?

THE COURT: You may.
(At the Bench, on the record)

MR. CORNELIUS: I don't want to be
insensitive, but there's no excuse for the family being here crying and making sounds and all this stuff in front of the jury.

MS. TISE: We can remove them, Judge. Our plan was to have them go out, but they wanted to stay. So, I told them --

THE COURT: You're going to tell them. MS. TISE: I will have our intern tell them.

THE COURT: Because $I$ don't think that's appropriate. All right. Very good. Let's do that. (Open court, defendant and jury present) THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Tise. MS. TISE: Thank you, Judge.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Dr. Wolf, if there were blood on the item of clothing that was submerged in water for several weeks, what would you expect to happen to that
blood?
A. Blood washes away. You can't see it on the clothing anymore, even on the body, wounds on the body. Blood washes away and the wound appears bloodless.
Q. And in your experience, I'm sure you have seen a few bodies that have been in the water?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have the experience of seeing wounds where there would have been blood and it's not there and clothing items where blood might have been at one time or should have been based on the wounds that you are seeing that's been washed away?
A. Yes.

MS. TISE: I will pass the witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. CORNELIUS:

Q. Dr. Wolf, how are you?
A. Good.
Q. We have talked about many cases, but not this one yet, right?
A. Right.
Q. Good to see you this morning.
A. Likewise.
Q. I'm going to put another picture up. Well, let
me show it to you first.
MR. CORNELIUS: May I approach the witness?
THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) Let me show you what's marked as Defense 20. Is that also a picture from the autopsy (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Fairly and accurately depicts pretty much the same thing they had up there?
A. Correct.

MR. CORNELIUS: May I publish this, Judge?
THE COURT: Is it already in evidence.
MR. CORNELIUS: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: What's the number?
MR. CORNELIUS: 20.
THE COURT: Defense 20?
MR. CORNELIUS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. So, it's not marked by the State as well or not?

MR. CORNELIUS: No. It's Defense 20.
(Defense Exhibit No. 20 Offered)
THE COURT: And any objection?
MS. TISE: No objection, Judge.
THE COURT: Yes. Defense No. 20 is admitted. And you may publish it.
(Defense Exhibit No. 20 Admitted)
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) That's a similar picture to the one the state offered, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I picked this one because $I$ wanted to --

MR. CORNELIUS: May I approach --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. CORNELIUS: -- the picture up here?
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) The other one kind of had these bones cut off. What are these bones right here (indicating) ?
A. Ribs.
Q. Do you know how many of the ribs were recovered?
A. Eighteen.
Q. And what -- how many ribs do you have?
A. Twelve on each side.
Q. Twenty-four.

So, if someone were stabbed in the chest, for example, you might be able to determine that at autopsy only having the ribs if you could find the wounds in the ribs, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But you couldn't find any in this case?
A. That's correct.
Q. The other bones, the assorted bones that are there, what are they?
A. There were two bones from the arms, the humerus. So, from the shoulder to the elbow. There was the scapula, the clavicle, collar bone. There were eight vertebrae, part of the spine. Pelvic, both femurs, the thigh bone. The bones from the lower part of the leg, the fibula. There are actually two bones between your knee and your ankle, tibia and fibula. So, both of the fibulas and the smaller bones were recovered. Only one tibia was recovered. Then, of course, the skull was recovered as well, in addition to the mandible or jaw bone.
Q. Okay. So, I think you already testified that you didn't find any injuries to the bones that would indicate or be able to tell you that this person was stabbed or not stabbed, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So - MR. CORNELIUS: Could I stand over there,

Judge? THE COURT: Yes, you can. Keep your voice up, please.
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) I'll use myself as a mannequin here.

Would you come over here close to the jury?
A. (Witness complies).

THE COURT: Please keep your voice up, Dr. Wolf.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) I want you to show the jury -- you named the bones, but I'm not sure everybody knows what they are. Show the jury what part of this person's anatomy, the bones, were recovered, where they are.
A. Okay. Obviously, the skull. Plus, the jaw bone. There was one clavicle and scapula. And I don't know which one it was, but the clavicle is the collar bone, so it extends from about here to about here (indicating). The scapula, the shoulder blade.

There were a number of ribs. And I don't know which ones those were, but 18 out of $24 . \quad$ So, that's the chest cavity. Again, there were vertebra, which is the spine. There were eight bones there, which is about one-fifth of the length of the spine.

Then, of course, the humerus bones, which was this bone from here to here, on both sides (indicating). The pelvis and then both femurs, the thigh bones. So, from the pelvis to the knees on both sides. And then, essentially, three bones from the
knees down, two one side and one on the other.
Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
(Witness resumes stand)
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) And so, because there were no injuries to any of those bones, marks on them, you couldn't conclude that this person was stabbed or shot or beaten to death, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you have any prior medical records of this person?
A. No.
Q. So, no way to determine if the child was in good health before the child died or not?
A. Right. We had no information otherwise, but that's correct.
Q. Okay. Any way to tell if this person drowned?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Any way to tell if this person drowned?
A. No.
Q. And to be able to tell that, I guess you'd pretty much have to have the lungs?
A. Even in a non-decomposed body, the signs of drowning are pretty subtle and the findings in the lungs are nonspecific. So, really a diagnosis of drowning depends more on the circumstances and the history and
the investigation than what we see on autopsy.
Q. Okay. So, just from a medical standpoint, not from what other people told you, but just from a medical standpoint, you being a pathologist, the doctor examining just the medical data that you have, you couldn't say whether the person drowned or not?
A. Yeah. Based on the examination of the remains, no.
Q. Okay. So, for example, if -- just as a hypothetical suggestion -- this child was out fishing with someone and accidently fell out of the boat and drowned, you couldn't refute that based on the medical data that you have in this case?
A. Based on the examination of the remains, no.
Q. No injuries on the skull at all?
A. That's correct.
Q. Would there be any chance of getting DNA from the clothing in this case?

MS. TISE: Objection. That's outside his expertise.

THE COURT: That will be sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) Is it outside your expertise?
A. Well, we are involved in collecting evidence that's potentially tested for DNA. So, I'm familiar
with that area.
Q. Okay. Do you know if any test was done on the clothing to see if any DNA could be recovered?

MS. TISE: Objection. That calls for speculation on the part of this witness.

THE COURT: Only if he knows from his examination of the report that he's read.
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) Do you know?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay.

MR. CORNELIUS: Can $I$ have just a moment,

Judge.

THE COURT: Yes.
(Brief pause)

MR. CORNELIUS: Could I have a second to
read this, just two seconds?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Brief pause)
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) Dr. Wolf, the entire autopsy protocol is the cover sheet and one page and then the investigator's report; is that correct?
A. Right. There is a toxicology report as well, but...
Q. Okay. Is that a -- I'm sure that didn't recover anything, did it?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So, basically, the autopsy itself is Page 2 of the protocol and not even a full page, right?
A. That's correct.

MR. CORNELIUS: All right. I pass the
witness.

THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Tise?

MS. TISE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. TISE:
Q. One of the points of what you do for a living is determining when a death is accidental or homicide or self-inflicted?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's one of your jobs?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your whole industry is based on training medical doctors to be able to make that determination?
A. Yes.
Q. And the stuff that you are testifying here today is not something unique to you; this is the standard in the field, is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. All over the country doctors do what you do,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of the things that they do is they look at all of the surrounding circumstances about a case to make the determinations that they make, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. There is a lot of things that you can tell from the physical body itself, but there's a lot that you can't and you have to rely on other circumstances sometimes to decide whether something is accidental or homicide?
A. That's correct.
Q. In this case, you decided it was a homicidal act that took the life of 6-year-old Angelo Garcia, Jr.?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what are some of the factors that factored into that determination for you?
A. Well, again, from the investigator's report we know that the child was taken from his home. He didn't simply just wander away. He was recovered in an advanced state of deposition, partial skeletization that was a distance from his residence and weeks later. And so, there is really not a reason for him to have gotten as far as he got away from his house after he was abducted unless somebody else were involved in that.
Q. And it was a violent abduction, was it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this was an otherwise healthy 6-year-old child found many miles from his home?
A. Yes.
Q. Obviously, if he wandered off and drowned, there would have been some indication that someone had reported this accidental drowning of a 6-year-old boy, correct?
A. Well, I mean, if it were seen obviously, but...
Q. To find him in a remote area in the middle of the night miles from his home and knowing the circumstances under which he was taken indicates a homicide to you?
A. Yes.
Q. It sounds to me like, Dr. Wolf, a lot of what you do is really common sense?
A. Don't let anybody know.
Q. And that's really kind of what the jury is going to be asked to do at the end of the day, isn't it, is to use their common sense about the cause of death of this 6-year-old little boy, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Just a couple more questions.

Defense counsel made a big issue about the
bones and the rib bones. You don't have a lot of bones there, do you, when you consider what is present in the human body? There is a lot of bones missing?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you make a determination based on the bones that you have that someone wasn't stabbed somewhere on their body?
A. No.
Q. Okay. In fact, you can't make a determination from the bones that you have that someone wasn't stabbed in the chest, can you?
A. That's correct.
Q. You can't make a determination that someone didn't have their throat cut?
A. Correct.
Q. Or wasn't stabbed in a vital organ in their abdomen?
A. That's correct.
Q. You just don't have it?
A. That's right.
Q. And even among the bones that you, you have don't have the bones, do you? You just have pictures of the bones?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there are a lot of pictures. And I'd be
happy to offer them all. I just didn't want to over do it, but they're all very similar, aren't they?
A. Yes.
Q. And in all the pictures, there is not really a better picture of the bones, is there?
A. No.
Q. Kind of there piled up.

There might be, in those rib bones, that you can't see because of the picture?

MR. CORNELIUS: Judge, that calls for speculation.

THE COURT: That's sustained.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Can you see all the bones very well in the picture? Can you see every angle of them and every side?
A. The photographs are not optimal.
Q. Okay. You'd rather have the bones?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, unfortunately, we don't have those?
A. That's correct.
Q. But we do know all of the facts and circumstances surrounding this case, do we not?
A. Yes.
Q. And we do have our common sense, right?
A. Yes.

MS. TISE: I pass the witness.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Tise.

Mr. Cornelius, anything further?
MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. CORNELIUS: A couple things. A number
of things, actually.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CORNELIUS:
Q. When the doctor who performed the autopsy performed the autopsy and dictated his findings, part of his job would have been to note any injuries to these bones, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. I mean, if he saw a stab wound or a gunshot wound, he would have dictated that into the record, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, I guess, people make mistakes, or whatever, but you are here in his stead or commenting on his autopsy and there is not one word in there indicating that he saw any injury to any bone, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, these -- you are -- the phrase that you are here to make a finding of whether it's a homicide or
suicide or whatever. You are here basically to offer your opinion, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. This group right here is going to make the finding, correct?
A. Again, our classification of manner of death is for vital statistics purposes. It's clearly different from...
Q. And you -- to make that, you can base your decision on what people tell you, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. It doesn't have to just be based on the medical data, right?
A. That's correct. It's the whole circumstances.
Q. It can be, though, right?
A. It depends on the case.
Q. Yeah. I mean, if you get presented a body that is not decomposed, you might be able to tell very easily that this person was stabbed to death, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't need anybody to tell you what happened. You can tell just by being a medical doctor and pathologist this person died because they were stabbed to death. I can see it and here it all is. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Same thing if a person was shot, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Or strangled, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Maybe not always, but sometimes.

Any indication from these bones that this person was strangled?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Or drowned. Sometimes you can tell from autopsy that somebody drowned, right?
A. Never from skeletal remains.
Q. Never from skeletal remains, but at autopsy when you are asked to give your opinion as to what the cause and manner of death was, if the body is recovered you might not need people to tell you details about the person being kidnapped or whatever it was, you might be able to tell just strictly from the medical data that you are examining, correct?
A. Cause of death, yeah. But drowning would not be one of those things we could really diagnose without the circumstances, if that was the question.
Q. Okay. All right. So, when you say that part of your decision was that this child was taken from the home, that's because somebody told you that, right? You
are not able to determine that as a doctor?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that he was taken violently. That's what somebody told you, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You can't tell from these remains that this child was taken from their home, can you?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you can't tell whether it was violent or not, can you?
A. No, sir.
Q. In fact, you can't say anything about it being violent because there doesn't appear to be any violence on the data that you were presented, right?
A. Not from the remains, no.
Q. And when you say the child was otherwise healthy, that's based on, I guess, what somebody told you because you said you didn't have any prior medical records.
A. No prior medical records, but, again, my statement was $I$ have no indication otherwise.
Q. Okay. Got you.

So, your opinion of it being a homicide is based on the facts and circumstances not on the actual remains; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Which is quite different in many autopsies that you perform because you can make all your conclusions based on the medical data in many of them, can't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And you mentioned that someone -- or I forgot if you mentioned it or she mentioned it, but there was a statement made by someone that you would expect someone to report a drowning. She said that?
A. Yes.
Q. I didn't remember.

I guess that's true, you would expect somebody to do that, but is it possible that that could happen and somebody would be embarrassed or scared of being responsible for that and not report it? Is that a possibility?
A. I mean, I suppose.
Q. I mean, there's no way from the medical -- from the medical evidence in this case to say that didn't happen, is there?
A. That's correct.

MR. CORNELIUS: Pass the witness, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cornelius.
Anything further?

MS. TISE: Nothing further, Judge.

THE COURT: May this witness be excused?

MS. TISE: He may.

THE COURT: You are excused -- may this
witness be excused?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You are excused, Dr. Wolf.

Thank you for your time.
Please call your next.

MS. TISE: The State will call Sergeant Eric Mehl.

THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, the witness has been sworn.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Sergeant Mehl, please keep your voice up and speak into that microphone.

You may proceed, Ms. Tise.

MS. TISE: Thank you, Judge.
ERIC MEHL,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. TISE:
Q. Would you introduce yourself, please, sir, to these ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
A. My name is Eric Mehl.
Q. And can you tell the jury what you've spent
your life doing as a career?
A. I joined the Houston Police Department in 1981 and retired in February of 2010 .
Q. So, what are you doing these days?
A. Taking it easy.
Q. I'm glad to hear that some of y'all are taking it easy. There are so many of them who are back out working for other agencies.

You are also raising a daughter, are you not?
A. Yes.
Q. How old is she?
A. Fourteen.
Q. Okay. So, you said you started a long time ago. Can you tell the jury a little bit about the pattern of your career and where you were assigned over the course of that career?
A. After I graduated from the police academy, I was assigned to patrol in the southwest part of the city. I was a patrol officer until -- well, in 1986 I took the sergeant's exam, got promoted to sergeant in early 1987. I was a patrol sergeant for about 14 months. I transferred to Homicide in June of 1988. I served there until June of 1992. And I was drafted into Internal Affairs. I did my 18 -month tour in Internal

Affairs and returned to Homicide in November of '93 and spent the rest of my career there.
Q. And over the course of the years with the Houston Police Department -- I know you said you went to the academy, but were you also regularly trained and updated on how to do things, how to be a police officer, how to recover evidence, how to evaluate a crime scene?
A. We had to attend 40 hours of annual in-service training per year.
Q. And did you always stay up to date on your training?
A. Yes.
Q. At some point in the course of your career, you were asked to start a special -- or have a special focus within the Homicide Division. And that focus was on cold cases, was it not?
A. It was.
Q. Can you tell the jury how that came about?
A. In November of 2004 , $H P D$ decided that they would start a Cold Case Squad within the Homicide Division because of the number of uncleared homicides that existed dating back as far as 1970. And they asked me to do it.
Q. Okay. And did you have some help?
A. Initially it was just me and then $I$ was joined
by another sergeant. It's grown now. They have four people doing it.
Q. Okay. But at the time, you kind of started the Cold Case Unit at HPD on your own, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you kind of develop some criteria -- I'm sure there were a lot of cases that had gone cold as you've mentioned?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you know where to begin, which ones to choose?
A. I had a list of every uncleared homicide dating back to 1970. And there were roughly 3, 000 uncleared homicides between 1970 and 2004. Back in the day when DNA technology was not available, there was several cases that couldn't be tested for DNA and that weren't done because it wasn't available at the time. So, I would keep six to eight to ten cases all the time at a private laboratory. I would pack them, ship them to a private laboratory, and they would do the DNA work. And as that was going on, I could do the field work on cases that did not involve DNA or cases that had been returned from the lab with positive results.
Q. Did you also kind of keep a lookout for just cases that had the potential for DNA, cases that had a
rape reported, or cases that other types of biological evidence that would be a part of the case?
A. I specifically looked for female victims of homicide, yes.
Q. And that was because you knew there might be some DNA?
A. Correct.
Q. And with advancements in DNA technology over the years, did you think that maybe those were cases that we might be able to solve with the technology that existed in 2004 and beyond while you worked in that unit?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And in particular, did you come across a case involving a little 6-year-old by the name of Angelo Garcia, Jr. who was murdered?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And did you have some familiarity with that case from back in your days in Homicide?
A. I did not. I was in Internal Affairs at that time.
Q. Do you remember when the case happened or did you have any memory of it?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. So, when you pulled that case, it wasn't
because of anything that you remembered from back in the day when it happened, right?
A. Correct.
Q. What specifically drew you to that case? How did you learn there was a female victim, in other words, in that case?
A. Diana Garcia showed up on my list because she was associated -- she was a crime victim along with her son.
Q. Okay. And did that trigger to you, because there was a sexual assault, that there might be some DNA that could be tested?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Generally when you are dealing with cold cases, there are special types of issues that develop that you don't always have when -- based on your work in the regular Homicide Division, aren't there?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell the jury a little bit about what kinds of obstacles that you come across from time to when you have a case that's gone cold?
A. Well, any number of things. Witnesses can die, you cannot find them. You look for them and you look for them and you can't find the witnesses. Evidence can't be located. It's just a whole myriad of
frustrating things that can go wrong.
Q. And you had a little bit of that kind of stuff in this case, did you not? Did you have some witnesses you couldn't locate?
A. Yes.
Q. Had some, you know, evidence that you wish might have been collected or things might have been done differently?
A. I think $I$ got it all.
Q. Okay. And did you make a determination that this case might be one where you might want to have DNA tested and done to see if you could locate a suspect?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How did you begin?
A. After I reviewed the case, I decided what articles of evidence $I$ would need to send off to the private lab to get tested.
Q. Okay. And what items did you determine might be worth testing?
A. The sexual assault kit that was performed on Diana Garcia, there was a cigar that had been left at the scene, and there was a cutting from the panties that Diana Garcia had been wearing that night. And I also sent biological samples on Diana Garcia and Arturo Rodriguez for testing so DNA profiles could be developed
on them.
Q. Okay. And, obviously, the reason for that is when you have a rape kit, it's not uncommon to have DNA, obviously, of the victim that is going to be present on the swabs taken from her vagina, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's not uncommon for the DNA of her husband to also be found on the swabs taken from her vagina?
A. That's correct.
Q. So, as a matter of course, you're going to want to get DNA samples from the two of them?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had those and were able to do that?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Back in 1992 when this case happened, is it fair to say that DNA was pretty much in its infancy as far as using it for law enforcement purposes?
A. It was. We had never even thought of using DNA technology to solve crimes over at HPD at that time.
Q. Okay. And so, it was a developing science?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it fair to say that just like a lot of police officers might not even be thinking DNA back in 1992, a lot of defendants weren't thinking DNA either,
were they?
A. That's correct.
Q. You didn't have all these cold case shows on television showing cases being solved years later based on DNA or defendants to educate themselves on, did you?
A. That's correct.
Q. So, a defendant might be less likely to protect himself from leaving biological evidence behind at a scene because he is not even thinking that this kind of technology is going --

MR. CORNELIUS: I'm going to have to object to the speculation, Judge.

THE COURT: That's sustained.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Let me ask you this. As an officer who worked in Homicide back prior to the development of what we now know as science, would you have collected a cigar from a scene and even thought that would be something that you might find DNA on in the early 90s, late 80s?
A. I would have collected a cigar, but not for DNA purposes, no.
Q. Okay. Were you thinking about things like epithelial cells, skin cells that might be left behind on evidence that ultimately could lead to DNA results as an experienced officer back at that time?
A. No.
Q. Okay. If you thought about DNA, what kinds of evidence were you thinking?
A. Blood and semen.
Q. Okay. But not skin cells left behind on a cigar?
A. No.
Q. Okay. When you decided to determine if DNA testing might help solve this case, did you have a DNA sample from the main suspect, Obel Cruz-Garcia?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. And why wasn't there a DNA sample from him available?
A. He wasn't available to give one.
Q. Okay. From reviewing the case, you learned basically that he had -- he was gone --
A. Yes.
Q. -- right?

And efforts to locate him by HPD had not been successful?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And so, we didn't have a DNA sample from him?
A. We did not.
Q. When was that you opened this case? What year?
A. It was in September of 2007 .
Q. Okay. And because you didn't have a DNA sample from the main suspect in the case, what was your plan to do -- if you were able to get a DNA profile from the evidence that you had, what was your plan to do with that information?
A. My aim from the beginning was to obtain a CODIS-worthy DNA profile that I could have entered into it.
Q. Okay. And tell the jury what CODIS is?
A. CODIS is the Combined DNA Index System. It's a software program that contains the DNA profiles of known offenders.
Q. Okay. And so, you can take a profile that you've developed from, say, a cold case that you worked and enter into CODIS in hopes that in this national system a match might be able to be found, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And so, because you didn't have a sample from the primary suspect in the case, you were thinking that you would get a profile and enter it into CODIS and see if you got a hit?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you also have some samples from individuals that $H P D$ had questioned back in the 90 s?
A. I did.
Q. A sample from somebody named Bienviendo Melo?
A. Yes.
Q. A sample from somebody named Carmelo Martinez Santana?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have a sample from someone named Rogelio Aviles-Barroso at that time, or Candido Lebron?
A. Well, yeah, I know Candido Lebron. He has since --
Q. We know his name was Rogelio Aviles-Barroso, but at the time it was Candido Lebron?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And did you also consider that you were going to -- oh, and another person named Leonardo German, did you have his sample as well?
A. We did.
Q. And did you also have the intention of having those particular samples compared to what you had?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, at this point in time you get the evidence together, let's talk about that. You said there was a cigar?
A. Yes.
Q. There was a rape kit?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Where was the cigar being stored at that time?
A. In the HPD property room.
Q. Okay. And when you went to locate it, did you observe how it was packaged?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were you able to link it up from the packaging to the cigar recovered in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. What condition was the package in?
A. Excellent.
Q. Okay. And how was it stored? What kind of packaging? Can you describe that for the jury?
A. It was stored in a plastic bag and then in a large manila-type envelope.
Q. Not this one, but this type of deal, right (indicating)?
A. Similar, but much larger.
Q. Okay. And the packaging from the external manilla envelope, you said it was in excellent condition?
A. Yes.
Q. And the plastic bag as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, you got the cigar. What did you do with it?
A. We have a -- Cold Case has a small storage area where we find our evidence at different locations and we're able to centralize it. We get it all ready to go at the same time and ship it out. So, I put it in the cold case storage room.
Q. Okay. And when you got it -- you said you got from the HPD property room. Where is that located?
A. 1100 Goliad.
Q. Okay. You also got together the sexual assault evidence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was that?
A. That was in the property room annex at 1200 Travis.
Q. Okay. And did you observe the condition that it was being stored in?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury -- describe the condition that that piece of evidence was being stored in?
A. It, too, was in a box placed in a plastic bag and the plastic bag was sealed.

MS. TISE: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 33, and ask you if you recognize what that is (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you've got the packaging as well as the actual box here, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And do you recognize both items?
A. Yes. This is the sexual assault kit.
Q. Okay. And, again, it appeared to be in very good condition?
A. Yes.
Q. All sealed up?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And once you retrieved those items, what did you do with them?
A. I packaged them into a shipping box and secured the box and shipped it to the private lab.
Q. Okay. And what private lab are you talking about?
A. Orchid Cellmark.
Q. Okay. Is that an independent laboratory?
A. It is.
Q. It's not connected to HPD?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And you shipped those items to Orchid Cellmark to do what?
A. I asked them to examine the sexual assault kit, the cigar, and the cutting from the panties and attempt to develop a DNA profile from them, as well as develop Diana Garcia and Arturo Rodriguez's DNA profile for comparison.
Q. Okay. And did that happen?
A. Yes.
Q. At this point in time we can't tell the jury what the results are, but can you tell me when you received the results of that first part of the analysis?
A. December 5th, 2007 .
Q. Okay. And at that point in time there was a DNA profile that had been developed?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, did you go to an additional testing step, knowing that we now had an unidentified DNA profile?
A. Yes. That's when $I$ sent the biological samples of the men you mentioned previously to Orchid Cellmark so their DNA profiles could be developed and compared against the unknown DNA profile that we had.
Q. Okay. And at that point in time, eventually
you got results from that testing as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. When did you get those results?
A. January 16th, 2008 .
Q. Okay. After learning those results, you still had an unidentified male profile, correct?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And you're still wanting to know who that was?
A. Yes.
Q. Your intention was to put that into CODIS to see if you got a hit, right?
A. It was put in CODIS, yes.
Q. Okay. However, in addition to putting it in CODIS, did you receive information about the whereabouts of Obel Cruz-Garcia?
A. I did.
Q. And what geographical location was he found?
A. He was in Puerto Rico.
Q. When did you get that information, do you know?
A. In the time period between January and May of 2008 .
Q. Okay. So, after learning of the whereabouts of Obel Cruz-Garcia what did you decide to do?
A. I attempted to get DNA profile -- or a DNA
sample from this defendant.
Q. Okay. And how did you go about doing that?
A. Working in conjunction with the FBI and the FBI was able to obtain that sample for me.
Q. Obviously, the FBI has agents that work in Puerto Rico and who are there all the time?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you able to get an FBI agent to go see Obel Cruz-Garcia and get a DNA sample from him?
A. Yes.
Q. What happened with that DNA sample?
A. They sent it by FedEx to me and then $I$ sent it by FedEx to Orchid Cellmark.
Q. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
A. The FBI sent it to me by FedEx and then $I$ sent it to Orchid Cellmark by FedEx.
Q. Okay. And who were you coordinating with there in Puerto Rico?
A. The agent's name was Mark Miller.
Q. Okay. And when you ultimately got the sample from the defendant, Obel Cruz-Garcia, what did you do with it?
A. I sent it to Orchid Cellmark.
Q. Okay. And when -- can you give the date when that sample was received?
A. May 23rd, 2008 .
Q. So, May 23rd, 2008 , was the very first day that DNA from Obel Cruz-Garcia was in possession of HPD for the purposes of pursuing a DNA match to the items of evidence recovered in this case?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And when you got the defendant's DNA sample, did you open it?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, you left it in a sealed packaging as it was sent to you from you -- from the Puerto Rican agent?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you sent it off to Orchid Cellmark?
A. Yes.
Q. And what were the instructions that you asked of Orchid Cellmark?
A. I asked them to develop the DNA profile of Obel Cruz-Garcia and compare it against the DNA profile that was developed in this case.
Q. Okay. And did Orchid Cellmark do that?
A. They did.
Q. And at some point, did you receive the results of that comparison?
A. I did.
Q. Can you tell the jury when you received the results?
A. July 30th, 2008 .
Q. After you received the results of Orchid Cellmark's testing, what did you do?
A. I started to search for the people -- the witnesses and complaining witnesses that were involved in this case.
Q. And, I guess, probably one of the first people on your list was Diana Garcia?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to locate Diana Garcia?
A. I was.
Q. Where did you find her?
A. In Rio Grande City down in the Valley.
Q. And did you find she was living there with

Arturo?
A. I did.
Q. Were you able to arrange a meeting with them?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in fact, when you talked to her, what was her emotional reaction?
A. Quite cooperative.
Q. Okay. When you sat down and you actually met with her in person, did you tell her the results of the

DNA testing that you had gotten?
A. Not initially.
Q. Okay.
A. Eventually I did.
Q. Okay. What did you do first?
A. I picked up her and Arturo and took them to the homicide office. I don't speak Spanish, so Arturo was interviewed by a Spanish-speaking officer and I interviewed Diana Garcia in a private office.
Q. Okay. And did you just basically go over the events of 1992 and get a statement from them about those events?
A. I had eight photographs that $I$ put on the table in front of her and $I$ simply asked her to point to anyone that she knew and tell me her association with that person.
Q. Okay. How did that go?
A. Well.
Q. Okay. Tell us what person that you had a photograph of there.
A. The first one she picked up was of Obel Cruz-Garcia, that she knew him --

MR. CORNELIUS: I'm going to object to the hearsay part of that, Judge.

THE COURT: That will be sustained.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Did Diana identify any other individuals in any other photographs?

MR. CORNELIUS: Objection to hearsay.

THE COURT: That's sustained at this time.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Did you show her any other photos?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What other photos did you show her?
A. I showed her German Martinez Santana, a man named Jose Hernandez, Bienviendo Melo, Candido Lebron, a man named Umberto Gomez. I think that's all of them.
Q. Okay. And after you showed her those photos, what did you do next?
A. I showed her a photograph of the cigar that had been left in the apartment that night.
Q. Then what did you do?
A. I showed her a photograph of the clothing that had been recovered from the body of her son.
Q. Okay. What was her reaction to that?
A. I think she was able to look at all of the items and she was familiar with the items and...
Q. And able to identify them as well? MR. CORNELIUS: Objection to the leading nature of the question and it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: That's sustained.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) What did you do next?
A. I told her that $I$ had the identify of the cigar smoker and rapist.
Q. Did you tell her the identity of that person?
A. I did.
Q. And how did she react?
A. She started to cry.
Q. Did you also interview some other individuals that were connected?
A. I did.
Q. Did you look for Bienviendo Melo?
A. I did.
Q. Were you able to find him?
A. No. He dropped out of existence in the late

1990s and I couldn't pick up a trail from there.
Q. Okay. Did you talk to Linda Hernandez?
A. I did.
Q. Did you talk to Angelita Rodriguez?
A. I did.
Q. Did you send a Spanish-speaking officer to talk to Rudy?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. Is there anything else that you did in connection to follow up on this cold case?
A. I filed a charge of capital murder against this
defendant.
Q. And when did you do that?
A. September 5th, 2008 .

MS. TISE: I will pass the witness.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Tise.

MR. CORNELIUS: Could I have just a second,

Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.
(Brief pause)

MR. CORNELIUS: Can we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.
(At the Bench, on the record)

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CORNELIUS: He made a statement -- or maybe he just agreed with Natalie -- a statement that DNA was not even thought about at HPD in 1992. And I know he didn't mean it exactly like that, but $I$ don't know how to go into that without somehow talking about the crime lab.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TISE: He was talking about types of evidence that you would submit DNA testing for at that time. The cigar not being --

MR. CORNELIUS: Well, anyway, I wanted to ask about that. Not about the closure of the crime lab,
just...
THE COURT: Exactly.
MR. CORNELIUS: I'd love to go into all of that stuff, but $I$ know you won't let me.

THE COURT: I will allow you to go into the
fact that in certain circumstances DNA analysis was performed and was limited and whatever he knows about that, and that this evidence went to DNA -- to the HPD Crime Lab, but not into the closure. You understand that?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yeah, but the DNA crime lab, they didn't do it anyway.

THE COURT: I know.
MR. CORNELIUS: It went to a different crime lab.

THE COURT: Not go into --
MS. TISE: I object to him going into that because $I$ don't think that's how it played out.

MR. CORNELIUS: Can $I$ develop it then for the record?

THE COURT: Yes. You need to do outside the presence of the jury.

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)
THE COURT: Deputy, let's take the jury
out.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, ma'am.
(Open court, defendant present, no jury)

THE COURT: Okay. For purposes of the
record, the defense has made note that there was some question regarding DNA -- that DNA testing or evidence wasn't tested back in 1992 on murder cases and they want to develop that with this witness. And then we'll decide what will be allowed to go into on cross-examination.

MS. TISE: Can I just say for the record that that wasn't the testimony? The testimony wasn't that DNA wasn't being tested by HPD in 1992. It was that certain types of evidence, HPD officers would not have even thought about testing, like a cigar for epithelial cells.

MR. CORNELIUS: Can we read back? Maybe I'm wrong. Let's just --

THE COURT: Mary Ann, how difficult is that going to be to go back to that area? Because there were a couple of questions on it. Can you go back there?

MS. TISE: I don't think anywhere in there he didn't say that HPD Homicide was not doing DNA testing back then.

THE COURT: He did not say that
specifically.

MS. TISE: He said it was in its infancy.
THE COURT: I agree, he didn't say anything
about HPD was not doing testing, but let's just be clear on what exactly was said so then we can make a
determination on it. I'm still not going to allow you to go into the crime lab situation. I just --

MR. CORNELIUS: I understand.
THE COURT: -- want to get that down so we
know exactly how far you're going to be able to go into this cross-examination. Can you find that?
(Discussion off the record)
THE COURT: You may proceed.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. CORNELIUS:
Q. Okay. Sergeant Mehl, we just had the court reporter read back the prior testimony. You read it, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What did you mean by that?
A. I meant the technology had not advanced to the degree that it has today. In working cases in the late 1980s, early 1990 s, it was mainly witnesses, confessions. That was at least my thought process in the late $1980 s$, early $1990 s . \quad$ It wasn't as focused on

DNA as it is today.
Q. Okay. But with respect to 1992.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And my question is: In 1992 -- not in the 80s, but in 1992, were you implying to the jury that your crime lab did not do DNA testing in 1992?
A. I don't know if they did. They sent things to other labs. I know they used Baylor, I know they used Genetic Design. Whether they were -- they were trying, but $I$ don't know if they were doing it.
Q. But when $I$ read that question, and when $I$ heard it, it indicated to me -- and I'm going to let you straighten me out -- that you were saying that the crime lab was not involved in using DNA testing to solve crimes. Are those the words you said?
A. That's not what $I$ meant. What $I$ meant from my mindset as a homicide detective during that time period, we were still geared towards the witnesses and the confessions and the physical evidence that you can pick up and show as opposed to DNA technology. And I gave a poor answer. I'm sorry.
Q. But the -- you know you don't have to apologize to me.

But the crime lab was processing evidence, having it DNA tested either themselves or sending it
out. And I don't know either which one it is. I know in this case they sent it out, but it was being processed for DNA to solve crimes in 1992 , wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And there was an intent in this very case to do that. The evidence -- the so-called DNA evidence was sent to Genetic Lab -- Genetic Design -- is that what it's called?
A. Yes.
Q. -- in this very case?
A. Yes.

MR. CORNELIUS: Judge, that's what $I$ want to go into. I don't know how much of that you are going to let me go into. I don't think I can go any further with him with happened with Genetic Design. I would like to prove that up and offer all the records as part of our motion hearing, but $I^{\prime} m$ not trying to get you to change your ruling or -- $I$ just want to make my record clear. I would like to go into that. I can't do it with him, but I'd like to ask what $I$ want to ask of him and then maybe be able to go into that on my case, but I'm not going to do it if you tell me I can't.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TISE: I think this is a huge stretch.
Basically, Eric Mehl testified to what his mindset was
at that time and what he was focused on. We were not even thinking about DNA technology. He was talking about his mindset. He wasn't even in Homicide in 1992. That's when he was in Internal Affairs. But he was talking about what his mindset was when he was gathering evidence on the cases. I just don't see how any of that means that all of sudden we need to talk about the crime lab and the evidence.

THE COURT: Okay. I think it would leave a wrong impression with the jury if we didn't allow him to go -- if the defense is not allowed to go into it to a certain degree. Because the statement -- for the record, what was the statement, Mary Ann?

THE REPORTER: Well, I'm --
THE COURT: Well, y'all heard exactly what it was, but $I$ will allow you, Mr. Cornelius, to go into and cross-examine on just the fact that the crime lab was actually accepting and processing some evidence. I'm not going to allow you -- for DNA.

I'm not going to allow you to go into how they did that or where it was sent or that it was sent to another laboratory or anything like that, but that back in 1992 they were processing some evidence, whether it was in its infancy or not, for DNA. And that this evidence, specifically the rape kit, not the cigar --
you can make that distinction with your questioning -that this evidence did actually go to the HPD Crime Lab and was examined by the HPD Crime Lab. And that's it.

MR. CORNELIUS: I can't prove they sent it to Genetic Design?

MS. TISE: Judge, I'm going to object to him, on a general statement of the officer saying what DNA was going on at the time, to anything that goes specifically to the evidence in this case. Because that statement was a general statement. It's not like the witness got on the stand and said: We never sent this for DNA testing where this specific evidence would come into play. Basically, he was talking about general DNA. And if Mr. Cornelius wants to say generally there was some DNA testing -- and he can't even actually say there was testing going on because he doesn't know whether the crime lab was doing at the time, but, generally, was there some evidence at the time being sent to the lab for processing. Then that's one thing, but for him to get into the specific evidence in this case from a very general statement like that, $I$ think is way far afield and it's going to be very confusing for the jury.

THE COURT: Why would the evidence in this case, why would that not be relevant, that it went there anyway, for issues of --

MS. TISE: Because we're not relying on any of that testing.

THE COURT: The testing isn't coming in. The results of that testing isn't coming in.

MS. TISE: That's going to confuse the jury as to what happened with it. It's just going to be very confusing. I think you made a very general statement about the fact that DNA -- and it was probably overbroad, but the fact that DNA testing was not something that homicide officers were even thinking about at that time. And I think it's okay if he gives a general response that, yes, they were thinking about it to some extent and there was some evidence that was being sent to the crime lab, but to make it specific to this case is going to be very confusing to the jury.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to allow you to just go into the fact that the evidence in this case back in 1992 did go there and you can talk about the way that you found that it was resealed and packaged, but it did at one point go to the HPD Crime Lab for analysis for DNA. All right? And nothing further.

MS. TISE: I don't even know if he can say for DNA because --

THE COURT: Was it submitted for DNA?

MS. TISE: It was submitted for analysis,
but they were sending stuff off. They weren't doing it themselves.

THE COURT: They performed extraction -and I'm not going to get into that, but you left the impression that DNA was not even thought about in 1992 in general, much less this case. And it was submitted to the HPD Crime Lab for DNA analysis. Is that correct or is that not correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: I don't see how we can get around that, Ms. Tise.

So, that's all I'm going to allow you to do. Is that clear? Do you have any questions on how far you can go on that?

MR. CORNELIUS: No, I have no questions.

THE COURT: Do you know all of that from your own personal knowledge from your review of this case?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: That does not include any hearsay. I wouldn't allow him to go into any hearsay.

MR. CORNELIUS: Okay.

THE COURT: Are you ready to bring the jury back out?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Your Honor.

You know $I$ want to go into all that other stuff?

THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. CORNELIUS: Just so my record is clear, I'm not withdrawing my attempt to go into it. I'm just --

THE COURT: I'm not allowing you to go into the other stuff. Genetic Design, any of the HPD Crime Lab studies or anything that's contained in that study or anything about its closure. Okay?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Let bring in the jury.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)

THE COURT: You may be seated.

We're ready to proceed on the cross-examination of Sergeant Eric Mehl.

Mr. Cornelius, you may proceed.

MR. CORNELIUS: Thank you, Judge.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. CORNELIUS:

Q. Sergeant Mehl, how are you doing?
A. Well. Thank you.
Q. While the jury was out, we re-read some testimony to make sure we understood it all, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When you said earlier, really early in your testimony, that back in 1992 -- and $I$ think you included the 80 s when you were talking about it -- you made the statement that HPD was not even thinking about using DNA analysis to solve crimes, what did you mean by that?
A. What $I$ meant by that is in the late 1980 s , early $1990 s$ my thinking was still on witnesses, confessions, physical evidence that you brought into court, and not the scientific DNA process.
Q. Okay. But in 1992, specifically 1992, which is relevant to this case because that's when this case allegedly occurred, you did have a crime lab that was processing things for DNA analysis, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You weren't in Homicide at that point?
A. I was in Internal Affairs in 1992.
Q. Okay. But in your review of this case, you know, don't you, that certain items were submitted to the $H P D$ Crime Lab for processing for DNA?
A. Yes.
Q. In this very case?
A. Yes.

MR. CORNELIUS: That's all we have at this time, Judge. We'd like him to remain on-call.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

Ms. Tise, do you have any redirect?

MS. TISE: I'm sorry. Just one question.

THE COURT: Yes.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. TISE:
Q. But, again, in 1992, no one in HPD had a sample of Obel Cruz-Garcia's DNA to compare to any of the evidence, correct?
A. That's correct.

MS. TISE: Nothing further.
MR. CORNELIUS: I have a couple more.
THE COURT: Very good.
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. CORNELIUS:
Q. That you know of, correct? You don't know of any?
A. I do not know of any, no.
Q. Okay. And one thing $I$ might save you time coming back. When you went to see Diana Garcia, you told her the results of the DNA testing; you told her that?
A. I did.

MR. CORNELIUS: Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Okay. Nothing further.
MS. TISE: Nothing further.

THE COURT: May this witness be excused subject to recall?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sergeant, you are excused subject to recall. Please step down.

And let's see. I show your next witness is Griselle Guzman. Will she be a short witness or a long witness?

MR. WOOD: I think very brief, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's go ahead and call her.
MR. WOOD: The State calls Agent Griselle Guzman.

THE BAILIFF: The witness has been sworn, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Deputy.

Take your seat here and speak into the microphone. Keep your voice up.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Thank you.
You may proceed, Ms. Tise.
MR. WOOD: She will be my witness.
THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Wood.

MR. WOOD: No problem.
GRISELLE GUZMAN,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOOD:
Q. Good morning, Agent Guzman.
A. Good morning.
Q. How are you?
A. Good.
Q. Can you please introduce yourself with your full name, please?
A. My name is Griselle Guzman.
Q. Can you spell your name, first and last name for the court reporter?
A. $G-r-i-s-e-l-l-e . G-u-z-m-a-n$.
Q. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how you are employed?
A. I'm employed with the Federal Bureau of Investigations.
Q. Where do you currently reside?
A. I'm in the process of locating to Bogota. I work there as a legal operation assistant out of the U.S. Embassy.
Q. Where have you lived just previous to Bogota?
A. San Juan, Puerto Rico.
Q. Is that where you are originally from?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Grew up?
A. Yes.
Q. How long have you been working with the FBI?
A. Eight years since 2005 .
Q. What is your job title with the FBI?
A. Right now, it's legal operations assistant. I studied as a support service technician with violent gun squads. And then in 2010 , $I$ began the operation as a support technician for the southwest border initiative squad. And now I'm in the operations.
Q. So, until you were in the process of moving to Bogota, has your work with the FBI been solely in San Juan, Puerto Rico?
A. Yes.
Q. As part of your responsibilities there with the FBI in San Juan, were you also part of the team called the emergency response team?
A. Yes. Evidence response team, ERT. That's like a lot of duty. I've been a full member since 2006 .
Q. In Houston we have an emergency response team. That's why $I$ said that. So, the evidence response team. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us, what are some of the things that you would do as part of the evidence response team?
A. As an evidence collector, you are trained to do various functions within. Some days you are a photographer, some other days you are the team leader, you are the sketcher, evidence custodian. You rotate. You're trying to lift fingerprints, collect also the evidence.
Q. And are these -- is this sometimes done at actual crime scenes?
A. Yes.
Q. So, are you familiar with the term here in the states called the Crime Scene Unit?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it similar to what a Crime Scene Unit would perform, their duties here in our law enforcement?
A. Yes, it's an equivalent of what people may think as a C.S.I., but just for the FBI.
Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention back to May of 2008. Were you employed with the FBI at that time as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you also serving as a member of the evidence response team back in May of 2008 ?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Did you know an individual by the name of Agent Mark Miller?
A. Yes. He was our senior team leader in that year.
Q. And in May of 2008 , did you get an assignment from Agent Miller that was related to this case?
A. Yes, I did. I was requested to obtain buccal swabs from Obel Cruz-Garcia.
Q. And for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, can you explain what a buccal swab is?
A. A buccal swab is just taking a sample of the inside of the mouth, like with something similar to a Q-tip, $I$ call them. So, you just rub it against the cheek. And that's it. You have a DNA sample there.
Q. And it is commonly in law enforcement a way for obtaining a DNA sample from an individual, is it not?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. So, back in May of 2008 , Agent Miller asked that you obtain buccal swabs from the defendant in this case, Obel Cruz-Garcia. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you have an opportunity to make contact with the defendant at that time?
A. Yes, I did in May of 2008 .
Q. And specifically was that date on May $21 s t$ of $2008 ?$
A. That's correct.
Q. And what geographical location did you make contact with the defendant at?
A. We met him in Bayamon, Puerto Rico.
Q. Is that location close to San Juan, Puerto Rico?
A. About five minutes, yes.
Q. I'm going to tell you that the court reporter is going to ask what the spelling of that town was. Can you please spell that?
A. $B-a-y-a-m-o-n$.
Q. Thank you.

So, it's nearby, a suburb more or less of
San Juan.
A. Yes, it's a metropolitan area near San Juan. It's just five minute away.
Q. Okay. And you were able to make contact with Obel Cruz-Garcia on May 21st of 2008; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did you obtain buccal swabs from him at that time?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you, in fact, obtain two sets of buccal swabs?
A. Yes.
Q. When you obtain buccal swabs, how many $Q$-tips
are included in one buccal swab?
A. Two.

MR. WOOD: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) Ms. Guzman, I'm going to show you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's Exhibits 65 and 66. Do you recognize those (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. And what do you recognize those to be just generally?
A. Those are the evidence envelopes where you put the collected evidence. In this case, the buccal swabs.
Q. Okay. And if you don't mind opening up first State's Exhibit 65 and tell me what's contained within State's Exhibit 65.
A. You have a cardboard box where you put the buccal swabs in there.
Q. And are there, in fact, buccal swabs inside the cardboard box?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then in -- also in State's Exhibit 66, can you tell what's contained in there?
A. Two buccal swabs.
Q. First on State's Exhibit 65, are there identifying marks that indicate that they are the buccal swabs you took in this case?
A. Yes. The description on the buccal swabs, it has my name, the date I collected it. It also has the evidence tape with my initials and date on there.
Q. And are those same identifying marks also contained on State's Exhibit 66?
A. Yes.
Q. And with -- also with your initials?
A. My initials, along with the officer who accompanied me that day.
Q. After you obtained the buccal swabs from the defendant in this case, what did you do with this evidence?
A. Once I collected the sample, I have to let it dry. And then $I$ put it in the box, put it in the envelope, and then $I$ seal it in front of the person $I$ collected it, and $I$ initial it.
Q. I see there is another set of initials on the back. Do you know who those initials belong to?
A. Yes. Those belong to Task Force Officer Cristobol Rodriguez.
Q. And is that someone who was there with you at the time of the taking of these swabs?
A. Yes.

MR. WOOD: Your Honor, at this time I offer State's Exhibits 65 and 66 into evidence.
(State's Exhibit No. 65 and 66 Offered)

MR. CORNELIUS: I have objections already
in the record to this. And I don't have any additional ones.

THE COURT: 65 and 66. What are they --
okay. I see what you are saying.
MR. WOOD: Can we approach briefly?

THE COURT: Yes.
(At the Bench, on the record)

MR. CORNELIUS: This was covered by my motion to suppress.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Other than that, you don't object to them?

MR. CORNELIUS: I don't have any further objections.

THE COURT: All right.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)

THE COURT: State's Exhibits 65 and 66 are admitted over objection.

You may proceed.
(State's Exhibit No. 65 and 66 Admitted)
Q. (By Mr. Wood) Ms. Guzman, do you see the
individual, Obel Cruz-Garcia, here in the courtroom that you took the buccal swabs from on May 21st of 2008?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And can you please identify him and point to him and tell us something that he is wearing?
A. He is wearing a blue shirt, gray suit.
Q. And does he have headphones on?
A. Yes.

MR. WOOD: Your Honor, may the record reflect the witness has identified the defendant?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) And, Ms. Guzman, after you -excuse me -- packaged and sealed the buccal swabs, what did you do with them at that point?
A. I wrote my report, put it in a FedEx envelope, sealed it again, and sent it to the detective here in Houston.
Q. And was that Detective Eric Mehl?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did that pretty much conclude your involvement with this case?
A. Yes.

MR. WOOD: Your Honor, I will pass the witness.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wood.

Mr. Cornelius.

MR. CORNELIUS: No questions.

THE COURT: Thank you.

May this witness be excused.
MR. WOOD: No objection.

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Guzman, you may step down. You are excused and not subject to recall. Correct?

Very good.

And at this time, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to break for lunch. Is lunch back here? THE BAILIFF: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: Usually it's pretty prompt. If it's not back there already, it will be back there shortly.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.
(Lunch recess)
(Open court, defendant and jury present)
THE COURT: We're ready to proceed in cause

No. 1384794, The State of Texas vs. Obel Cruz-Garcia. State, please call your next.

MR. WOOD: The State calls Angelita

Rodriguez.
THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, the witness has not been sworn.
(Witness sworn)
THE COURT: Please keep your voice up and speak into that microphone. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

## ANGELITA RODRIGUEZ,

having been first duly sworn, testified through the interpreter as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOOD :
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Rodriguez.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. How are you doing?
A. Well.
Q. Are you a little nervous today?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Well, take your time and take a deep pretty. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Please introduce yourself to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
A. Yes. Please to meet you. My name is Angelita Rodriguez.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, tell me how old you are.
A. Forty-eight years old.
Q. Where do you currently live? What part of
town?
A. In Houston, on 45 South in Houston. You want the address?
Q. No. That's fine. Just the general area.

And tell me about your family. Are you married?
A. Yes, I am now.
Q. And do you have any children?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How many children do you have?
A. Two.
Q. And how old are they?
A. Seventeen and eleven years old.
Q. Do they still live there with you at your home?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And what kind of work do you do?
A. I'm a hairdresser and $I$ work at a beauty parlor.
Q. Do you own that salon or run that salon?
A. I'm the owner of the salon.
Q. And how long have you been in that business?
A. Seventeen years.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, where are you originally from?
A. From the Dominican Republic.
Q. And how long have you been here in Houston
approximately?
A. Twenty-three years -- no. Sorry. Twenty-two years.
Q. What originally brought you here to Houston?
A. My ex-husband.
Q. And what was his name?
A. Obel Cruz-Garcia.
Q. How long has it been since you have seen Obel Cruz-Garcia?
A. A long time.
Q. Many years?
A. Twenty years, twenty something. Since 2000 -since 1993, '92.
Q. So, a long time?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, do you see Obel Cruz-Garcia here in the courtroom today?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you please point to him and identify something that he is wearing so we know who you are talking about?
A. It's him (indicating).
Q. And tell me something he is wearing so that we know.
A. A blue shirt.
Q. Does he have some headphones on his head?
A. No.

MR. WOOD: Actually, Your Honor, may the record reflect she has identified the defendant?

THE COURT: Okay. There are two men with
blue shirts sitting right next to each other.
MR. WOOD: I will clarify.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) Ms. Rodriguez, do you know what color of suit that he is wearing?
A. Gray.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect that she's identified the defendant, Obel Cruz-Garcia.

MR. WOOD: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Proceed.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) Ms. Rodriguez, when did you first meet your ex-husband?
A. 1986 -- '85. 1985.
Q. Where was it that you first met him?
A. In Puerto Rico.
Q. How did you meet?
A. Through work that -- we worked together with a cousin of mine.
Q. And who was that cousin?
A. Isabel Martinez.
Q. Do you know where the defendant -- where the
defendant was originally from?
A. Dominican.
Q. Were you guys from the same area in the Dominican or not?
A. No.
Q. Did you always know him as Obel Cruz-Garcia or by any other name?
A. By Obel.
Q. Is that what you called him?
A. I did.
Q. Did you know of any other nicknames he went by?
A. Yes.
Q. What other names did you know him to go by?
A. Chico.
Q. After you and the defendant met, how long was it before you guys got married?
A. About two years.
Q. Did you and the defendant ever have any children together?
A. No.
Q. Describe your relationship with the defendant in the beginning.
A. At the beginning, it was a good relationship.
Q. And how long did you guys stay in Puerto Rico before you came to Houston?
A. Three years.
Q. What brought you here?
A. He told me to come over here.
Q. Do you know an individual by the name of Rudy?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And do you know that his full name is -- well, let me ask you this. What did you know his full name to be?
A. Carmelo Martinez.
Q. How do you know -- how do you know Rudy?
A. He is a good kid. You mean how I do know him?
Q. Let me ask that a different way. Are you related to Rudy?
A. Yes. He is my cousin.
Q. And at some point, did you introduce Rudy to Obel, the defendant?
A. Yes.
Q. Was Rudy living over in Puerto Rico near you guys at some point?
A. He came to the house, yes.
Q. But he was living in Puerto Rico at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you and the defendant and Rudy all come over to Houston around the same period of time?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you come together or at separate times?
A. Separate.
Q. Who came first?
A. Rudy.
Q. And then who came after Rudy?
A. Obel.
Q. And then did you join them after the two of them were already here?
A. Yes, later.
Q. Do you recall what year it was that you arrived in Houston?
A. 1989 .
Q. What kind of work were you doing when you arrived?
A. I was a hairdresser in Puerto Rico.
Q. And so, did you start that same work once you arrived in Houston?
A. I was not working.
Q. Okay. So, you started doing hair later on again?
A. Yes.
Q. When you arrived in Houston in 1989, what kind of work was your husband doing?
A. He was not working.
Q. When you arrived here, where were you and the
defendant living?
A. He lived with a friend.
Q. Do you know who that friend was?
A. Yes.
Q. If you remember, please tell us the name.
A. Ramon Robles.
Q. What about Rudy? Do you know where Rudy was living when you guys got to Houston?
A. He was with him.
Q. At some point, did you and the defendant get your own place to live?
A. Yes, afterwards.
Q. Was that pretty soon after you arrived in Houston?
A. Months later. About a month or two months.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, at some point after you arrived in Houston, did you suspect that the defendant was involved in drugs?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you suspect that?
A. Because he would come with that.
Q. When you say "he would come with that," what do you mean?
A. Because he was using drugs.
Q. And did you think he was just using drugs or
did you know if he was dealing drugs or not?
A. Both, both things.
Q. What did that do to your relationship with the defendant, your marriage?
A. Well, we lived always arguing about that.
Q. Would there be times that he did not come home at night and things like that?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you feel about that?
A. I felt bad.
Q. Would you speak to him about this?
A. Yes.
Q. Back during that time, do you recall, did the defendant smoke cigarettes?
A. He did and then he quit and then he took it again.
Q. What about cigars, did you ever know him to smoke cigars?
A. Sometimes.
Q. Did you like that?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. No. Because I didn't feel comfortable.
Q. Did it smell bad?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. During that time that the defendant you thought was using and maybe dealing drugs, was he making a lot of money or did you know?
A. Not much money. Sometimes -- not much money.
Q. Was money -- was that an issue in your marriage or no?
A. Sometimes it was.
Q. Overall once you arrived to Houston in 1989, how would you describe your relationship or your marriage with the defendant?
A. Very different.
Q. And how was it very different?
A. It was not the same.
Q. Not the same as it was in Puerto Rico?
A. Huh-uh.
Q. Did you know any of the people that the defendant was working with in the drug business?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Did you know friends of his and associates of his?
A. Not associates.
Q. Okay. At some point did you meet a couple by the name of Diana Garcia and Arturo Rodriguez?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you know -- well, I will ask that
in a minute.

Let me ask you this first. Did you think that Rudy was involved in drugs with your husband?
A. Yes.
Q. And why did you think that?
A. Because they moved together.
Q. Would they spend a lot of time together during that time?
A. Yes.

MR. WOOD: Your Honor, may I approach and get some evidence?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) Ms. Rodriguez, I'm going to show you State's Exhibit No. 89. And you also have a television right next to you, too, that you can look at.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In State's Exhibit 89, do you remember -- or does that person look familiar to you (indicating)?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who is that person?
A. He was called Charlie.
Q. And how did you know Charlie?
A. From a long time ago in Santo Domingo and I came to see him here again.
Q. So, he was somebody you also knew that lived in

Houston?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he have any friendship or association with your husband?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What did you think their relationship was?
A. They were always together. They were friends.
Q. State's Exhibit 85. Who is that (indicating)?
A. My cousin, Rudy.
Q. What did you call Rudy?
A. Rudy.
Q. State's Exhibit 90. Does that person look
familiar to you (indicating) ?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. What about State's Exhibit 84, is this someone that looks at all familiar to you (indicating)?
A. No.
Q. And 83, is that what the defendant looked like back then (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. At some point, you said that you suspected that your husband -- that the defendant was also dealing drugs, not just using drugs; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was this taking place, do you know?
A. At the apartments where we first arrived.
Q. So, did you know if people would come over to buy drugs from the defendant at your apartment?
A. No. He had me living at a hotel.
Q. Okay. So, was it -- I guess, let me ask you this. Was it -- was the dealings of drugs taking place in front of you a lot or was it not in front of you?
A. No.
Q. How did you feel about that?
A. No. Because he had me -- I was not -- I was living somewhere else.
Q. Angelita, were you using drugs at that time?
A. No.
Q. Were you involved in the dealing of drugs at that time?
A. He had me because $I$ was living with him.
Q. And at some point you even caught a case, a drug case, did you not?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you ended up being convicted of that drug case, didn't you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. I want to visit with you a little bit about Diana and Arturo. Okay?
A. Yes, sir. Okay.
Q. How did you meet them?
A. Through Obel.
Q. Did you become friends with Diana and Arturo?
A. That's right.
Q. Were you closer with Diana or were you close with both of them?
A. With both, but more with Diana.
Q. Would you and Diana do things to socialize together?
A. Sometimes.
Q. Did you ever get to meet Diana's son Angelo, or Baby Angelo as she called him?
A. Yes.
Q. And how was it -- did you get to know Angelo?
A. That's right.
Q. What kind of kid was Angelo?
A. A very happy boy.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, what did you think that Diana and Arturo's connection with the defendant was?
A. Well, they met through the drugs.
Q. And that's something you knew?
A. They were always together and Arturo also used drugs.
Q. Back during that time, where were you and the defendant living?
A. In Humble.
Q. Were you living at some point in an apartment called the Humble Tree Apartments?
A. I don't remember the name of the apartments.
Q. But you stated it was up in Humble?
A. Yes, but $I$ don't remember the name of the apartments.
Q. When you stayed in that apartment in Humble, who rented that apartment for you?
A. Diana.
Q. And why was it that Diana rented that apartment for you guys?
A. Because $I$ could not rent an apartment.
Q. Why was that?
A. Because I did not have credit. I was in trouble.
Q. So --

THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Interpreter correction. That was a problem.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) So, you relied on -- you and the defendant relied on your friends to help you rent that apartment?
A. Well, yes, since we were friends and everything.
Q. Back during that time do you remember what cars
or vehicles that you and the defendant shared?
A. Yes.
Q. What vehicle do you remember having?
A. A blue Thunderbird, Oldsmobile.
Q. And were there any other vehicles that you remember?
A. No.
Q. Would you drive one vehicle more than the other or what was the arrangement?
A. I drove the Thunderbird.
Q. And did you ever know the defendant to loan out any of his vehicles?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. I'm going to show you State's Exhibit No. 37. Does the car in State's Exhibit 37 look familiar to you (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. And how do you know that car?
A. That car is -- that's the one that Obel drove. That's the one he had.
Q. And that's the Oldsmobile?
A. Yes.
Q. So, you've told us about the blue Thunderbird, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the Oldsmobile?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you remember any other vehicles that the defendant or you had during that time?
A. No, sir.
Q. Do you ever remember you or your husband driving a Chevy vehicle?
A. Chevy? I don't remember.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, $I$ want to talk to you about a time in September of 1992. Do you remember the day that you found out about Angelo having been kidnapped?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Where were you when you found out that news?
A. In the kitchen.
Q. Was that in your apartment or where?
A. At the apartment.
Q. Was this during a time that you were living up in Humble?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And how did you learn of the news?
A. On the television.
Q. And tell me about that. How did that happen?
A. I was -- the television was on and $I$ was in the kitchen. And then $I$ went there to the television to watch a show and then $I$ saw the picture of the little
boy on the television.
Q. Was this in the morning or afternoon or night?

When was it?
A. In the afternoon.
Q. And what did you think when you saw that news report?
A. I was very surprised and $I$ went to Obel to tell him that the little boy had disappeared.
Q. Was this alarming to you because you were friends with Diana and Arturo?
A. I called Diana from the time $I$ saw the news.
Q. Were you able to reach Diana?
A. Yes. And $I$ told her that $I$ was coming over.
Q. After you placed that call to Diana, you said that you went to talk to the defendant?
A. Yes. Yes, sir.
Q. Where was the defendant when you learned of the news of Angelo missing?
A. In the room.
Q. What room?
A. He was laying down sleeping.
Q. Are you talking about the bedroom?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's in the same apartment -- in the same apartment you were in?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So, you go and wake up the defendant and what do you tell him?
A. I told him what was happening with Angelo.
Q. And how did he respond?
A. He did not respond to me.
Q. Was he awake?
A. He was sleeping and he got up.
Q. Did you tell him that you wanted to go over and see Diana and Arturo?
A. That's right.
Q. And what did he say?
A. That if $I$ wanted to go, that $I$ could go.
Q. And did he agree to go with you?
A. No.
Q. Describe his demeanor. What -- did he seem concerned about Angelo missing?
A. Calm. He looked normal.
Q. Did this seem strange to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because we were friends and he just told me to go.
Q. And where was this conversation taking place?
A. In the kitchen.
Q. What was he doing during this time that you were having this conversation?
A. I don't remember well.
Q. Did he say anything else to you during that conversation in the kitchen?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.
Q. What did he tell you about his plans from there?
A. That he was leaving this place.
Q. And where did he say he was leaving?
A. To Puerto Rico.
Q. Did he say when he was leaving?
A. Yes, that he was leaving at once.
Q. I'm sorry? I didn't understand.
A. Yes. Yes, that he was leaving at once.
Q. Did he do anything to start getting ready for that trip? Was he packing up bags or anything like that?
A. After some time he started.
Q. He started doing what?
A. To prepare his clothes, his luggage.
Q. When he told you that he was planning to go to Puerto Rico, what did you think about that?
A. Well, I told him why was he leaving.
Q. And what did he say?
A. He told me that he had to leave because he would not stay here. And I told him: Why? And he said: No, no, $I$ have to leave.
Q. This wasn't a planned trip, was it,

Ms. Rodriguez?
A. Yes.
Q. You guys weren't planning to go back to Puerto Rico to visit family or anything, were you?
A. No, sir.
Q. So, did you think that this was strange?
A. Yes.
Q. After that conversation, what did you do next?
A. I asked him if he had done something, but he stayed quiet.
Q. And why did you ask him if he had done something?
A. Because he was very -- he told me that if $I$ want to stay here, $I$ could stay, but that he had to leave. And if $I$ want to stay, $I$ could stay, but that the police would get me. So, I told him: Why are the police going to hurt me if I'm not in any trouble?
Q. Did he respond to that?
A. No.
Q. Did you eventually go and see Diana and Arturo?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you go to their apartment or where did you see them?
A. Their apartment.
Q. Did the defendant join you or did he stay behind?
A. No. I didn't go that day. I went the next day because Diana told me not to go on that day.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, I want to talk to you a little bit about what was going on that night before. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm talking about the night before you found out the news about Angelo. Had you stayed at your apartment there in Humble that night?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you remember anything that you were doing that night out of the ordinary?
A. Not out of the ordinary. I was watching television earlier and then $I$ went to bed.
Q. And when you went to bed, was the defendant there at the apartment with you?
A. He was, yes.
Q. And do you have any idea of what time it was that you went to bed?
A. I went to my room around 9:00 p.m.
Q. Now, during this time were you and the
defendant sleeping in the same bedroom?
A. No. We were upset, him and I.
Q. Were you guys arguing or fighting?
A. Two months before we had had a fight.
Q. And so, there was still some tension from that?
A. Yes.
Q. So, after you went down to sleep that night, do you know if the defendant stayed at the apartment or he left?
A. No, I was not aware when he left.
Q. Do you know if -- did you know if he came home sometime during that night?
A. No, I don't remember.
Q. And when you woke up that next morning, was there anyone else at your apartment?
A. Oh, yes, he was there.
Q. The defendant was -- Obel was there?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But no one else, just you and him?
A. Yes.
Q. That next day after you had found out about Angelo, what else did the defendant do that day?
A. That's the day that he left.
Q. And when you say "he left," what do you mean?
A. He went to Puerto Rico.
Q. Did you take him to the airport or do you know how he got to the airport?
A. I don't remember well.
Q. But do you remember -- let me ask. You didn't take him to the airport, did you?
A. No.
Q. When he left for Puerto Rico, did you think he was coming back?
A. He told me that we should leave, but I did not want to leave with him.
Q. But did you think that he was going to be returning to Houston sometime soon?
A. I didn't think about anything at that point. I don't remember.
Q. Well, did he return to Houston?
A. No.
Q. In fact, were you aware -- did he have an upcoming court date to appear in court that you knew about?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know if he missed that court date?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Had you ever known him to miss a court date before?
A. No, sir.
Q. So, when he left, did he leave you with any money or anything like that?
A. He left me money to pay the rent.
Q. And do you recall how much money he left you?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Well, what was the lease; 700, \$800?
A. I don't remember well.
Q. Okay. So, did you end up staying in that Humble apartment from that day on?
A. No, not later.
Q. Where did you go after that?
A. I rented - because $I$ did not have money to pay for a lease and $I$ ended up going to a hotel.
Q. And who did you go -- did you stay at a hotel by yourself or was anyone with you?
A. Rudy was there. He was there.
Q. And do you remember at all where that hotel was or what -- anything about that hotel?
A. I don't remember the name of the hotel.
Q. Was it down in Pasadena?
A. Yes. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you remember how long you stayed there at that motel or hotel down in Pasadena?
A. I don't remember whether it was two or three weeks. I don't remember.
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, eventually you left -- well, let me ask. Eventually you saw the defendant again, did you not?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where was it the next time that you saw him?
A. In Santo Domingo.
Q. So, somewhere around October 1 st or October 2nd of 1992 he left Houston, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you thought he was going to Puerto Rico, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How was it -- actually, how long was it before you saw him in Santo Domingo?
A. I don't remember. About two months. I don't remember.
Q. And how was it that you came into contact with him in Santo Domingo?
A. I knew where the family was and we got in contact.
Q. And why were you wanting to get in contact with him?
A. Because I wanted a divorce.
Q. So, was it family members that put you in
contact with him? How did that work?
A. No. I -- I don't remember.
Q. Did you see him in person or talk to him on the telephone?
A. In person.
Q. And how was that conversation?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Let me ask you this way. Did you tell him that you wanted a divorce?
A. Yes, that's right, sir.
Q. And how did he respond to that?
A. He said no to me, that he was not going to give me a divorce.
Q. And what did you say?
A. That I did want a divorce.
Q. And how did he respond to that?
A. He told me that he was not going to give me a divorce.
Q. Did he say anything else?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. He insulted me and he told me that he was going to harm my family.
Q. Were you worried that he was serious?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he say how he was going to harm your family or what did he mean by that?
A. We were arguing. And I also asked him about the problem with the boy, with the little boy.
Q. What little boy?
A. About what was happening with Angelo.
Q. Why did you ask him that?
A. Because we knew what had happened and we were friends with Diana.
Q. So, at that point Angelo's body had been found?
A. No. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. And so, when you brought up Angelo to him, what did he say?
A. He stayed calm. And I asked him -- I asked him if he had something to do with that.
Q. Did he answer you? What did he say?
A. Yes, that he did have to do with that, that he had killed him.
Q. What did you do after he said that?
A. I asked him why he had done that.
Q. Did he say anything?
A. I don't remember what else he said.

THE COURT: Let's take a break.

Deputy, can you take the jury out? THE BAILIFF: All rise.
(Open court, defendant present, no jury)

THE COURT: I realize the testimony in this case is emotional, but if you cannot hold your emotions in and be quiet in the courtroom, then you will have to leave the courtroom. And that includes the family. I'm sorry to say that, but we cannot have this jury swayed by emotion and emotional outbursts. Okay? So, if you feel that you can't keep from having that happen, you will need to step out. All right? Thank you.

Let's take a 10-minute break.
(Recess)
(Open court, defendant and jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

We're ready to proceed on the state of

Texas vs. Obel Cruz-Garcia. And still on the witness stand is Ms. Angelita Rodriguez.

You may proceed, Mr. Wood.
Q. (By Mr. Wood) Ms. Rodriguez, thank you for your testimony.

MR. WOOD: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius.

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You may proceed.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CORNELIUS:
Q. Ms. Rodriguez, my name is Skip Cornelius. We've never met, correct?
A. No. No, sir.
Q. But our investigators did talk to you, correct?
A. No.
Q. Did Cindy Klein or Edna Valdez ever talk to you?
A. Yes, they came to see me.
Q. Okay. And did you forget to tell them about your ex-husband confessing to this?
A. I didn't talk to her because I told her that I had my lawyer and that $I$ needed to talk to him.
Q. So, you didn't talk to them?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Didn't talk to them on May 3rd at your beauty salon?
A. She came -- two persons came to the salon. And when they came over, I told them -- they came to ask questions and $I$ told them that $I$ didn't have to talk to their questions.
Q. So, you didn't talk to them?
A. But she sat down and she introduced herself to me and everything. And she talked and -- she sat down and talked to me and told me about the name of the case, everything that -- we were talking.
Q. So, did you talk to her?
A. Yes, I did talk to her about those things.
Q. And did she record it?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. So, let me just make sure I'm understanding. You're saying you did talk to them or you did not talk to them?
A. I did talk to them because $I$ sat down with them. Yes, sir, I did.
Q. Did you tell them anything about Obel confessing to murdering this little boy?
A. No. I didn't talk to her about -- I mean, she was asking me questions, but $I$ told her to talk to my attorney, that $I$ had my attorney.
Q. Okay. When you talked to the police back in 2008 do you recall that Sergeant Mehl -- let me see if I can help refresh your memory because $I$ know it's been a long time ago.

It was a conversation between you, sergeant Mehl, Officer Chappell, and an assistant district attorney named Denise Bradley, you and your lawyer, J.C. Castillo. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you knew why they were talking to you, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You knew they were investigating the death of this little boy, didn't you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And they were talking to you about obel

Cruz-Garcia, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And did they appear that they knew what they were doing, they knew how to ask questions and try to find out about Obel Cruz-Garcia's connection to this, if any?
A. I don't understand.
Q. Okay. Did it appear that these policemen and this assistant D.A. knew what they were doing?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you knew what they were asking you about, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you told them all the same stuff you've told this jury, about how you and Obel were from the Dominican, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And about the move to the United States, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And about how you learned about the alleged kidnapping of Angelo?
A. Through the television.
Q. And about how -- and you told them that, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Just like you've told this jury?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You told them about asking Obel about it?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you told them about Obel leaving?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you told them about going back to Puerto Rico -- I mean to the Dominican Republic yourself?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And asking Obel for a divorce?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. But you must have just totally forgotten to tell them that obel confessed to you that he is the one who killed this boy?
A. Yes, because $I$ was scared.
Q. You weren't so scared that you could tell them this entire story except for that, right?
A. I was scared.
Q. Okay. Well, you didn't tell them what you just told this jury, did you?

MR. WOOD: Objection. That's been asked and answered.

THE COURT: That's sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Cornelius) So, let me see if I'm understanding this correctly. You said that Diana actually rented an apartment for y'all?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you knew that Diana and Arturo were selling drugs with Obel?
A. Obel would bring them to Arturo.
Q. Okay. So, you knew they were involved in selling drugs, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you were selling drugs, correct?
A. Because he was in it.
Q. Okay. Well, I'm asking. Were you in it? Were you selling drugs?
A. We were -- because we lived together, yes, I knew that the drugs were there.
Q. Were you spending money that was made off the sell of drugs?
A. Oh, my God. He received the money. He paid the rent and everything.
Q. Okay. And so, when this news report came on the TV, you woke Obel up and told him about it, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And did it cross your mind, when you did that, that the police were going to investigate this, correct? Wasn't it on the news that the police were investigating this?
A. No. On the news I saw the little boy. I don't understand you.
Q. Well, when you saw the news that this little boy was missing, didn't you think that the police were going to investigate that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did it cross your mind that you, Obel, Arturo, and Diana, may all get in a lot of trouble for dealing drugs?
A. At that time, $I$ was peacefully at home. I did not know what was going on because $I$ had previously had my case and I did not want to get involved with anything else.
Q. Okay.

MR. CORNELIUS: Could I have a moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes. (Pause)

MR. CORNELIUS: No further questions at this time, Judge.

THE COURT: Any further questions,

Mr. Wood?

MR. WOOD: No further questions.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
MR. CORNELIUS: I need the witness to be on-call.

THE COURT: You are excused subject to recall, Ms. Rodriguez. At this time, you can step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Please call your next.

MS. TISE: State calls Carmelo Martinez Santana.

THE COURT: Ms. Tise, is he a Spanish-speaking witness?

MS. TISE: He is, Judge. He does speak quite a bit of English, but $I$ think he's more comfortable in Spanish, so we'll use the interpreter. THE COURT: Okay. This witness has not been sworn?

THE BAILIFF: No, he's not been sworn. (Witness sworn)

THE COURT: Mr. Santana, please keep your voice up and speak into the microphone, sir. And please wait till the lawyers have asked their full question before you respond.

You may proceed, Ms. Tise.
CARMELO MARTINEZ SANTANA,
having been first duly sworn, testified through the interpreter as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. TISE:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Would you introduce yourself to the jury, please?
A. I'm Carmelo Martinez and come to testify and to tell only the truth.
Q. Okay. And do you have a nickname that people call you?
A. Yes.
Q. What's that?
A. Rudy.
Q. Okay. And do you mind if $I$ call you that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Rudy, can you tell us how old you are?
A. Forty-four years old. I'm sorry.
Q. Okay. And because -- $I$ know you speak a lot of English. Do you not?
A. I can talk a little, but that's why -sometimes $I$ can't. So, that's why I prefer Spanish.
Q. Okay. And so, some words you have trouble with, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And because we have the interpreter here, we're going to use the interpreter on all of the questions and answers. Okay?
A. That's right.
Q. So, if you will just wait for him to interpret my question. Even if you believe you understand it, wait for the interpretation before you answer.
A. Right.
Q. And answer in Spanish.
A. Right.
Q. Deal?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Tell me where you're originally from.
A. I'm from the Dominican Republic.
Q. Okay. And did you spend all your growing-up years there in the Dominican Republic?
A. That's right.
Q. And do you know somebody name Angelita Rodriguez?
A. That's right.
Q. Who is she?
A. She is my cousin and Obel Cruz-Garcia's wife.
Q. Okay. And did you and Angelita grow up there in the Dominican Republic both of you?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And at some point you came here to the United States, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Do you remember about how old you were when you came here?
A. I was about 18 years old, or something like that. I can't remember exactly.
Q. Okay. Would it have been in the late 1980s?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And why did you come here?
A. I came to sell drugs.
Q. Okay. And you did do that when you got here, did you not?
A. That's right.
Q. And did you think that that was going to turn out to be a better life for you than what you had back in Santo Domingo?
A. That's right.
Q. Did it turn out that way?
A. No.
Q. And, in fact, you're currently serving time in
a federal penitentiary for trafficking drugs, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. How long was your federal sentence?
A. Seventeen years and seven months.
Q. Okay. And you are pretty much on the tail end of that, aren't you?
A. That's right.
Q. In fact, you are about done with your federal sentence, correct?
A. Yes, in this year.
Q. I want to go back in time to 1992. You came here to the United States and you were selling drugs here, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. When was the first time you met Obel Cruz-Garcia?
A. It was in Puerto Rico, when I arrived to Puerto Rico.
Q. Okay. So, you went to Puerto Rico from the Dominican Republic before you came to the United States?
A. That's right.
Q. And did you meet him in Puerto Rico through Angelita?
A. That's right.
Q. And $I$ know you said that you met him in Puerto Rico, but do you know where Obel Cruz-Garcia is from?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is he from?
A. From the Dominican Republic.
Q. But you didn't know him growing up, did you?
A. No.
Q. You met him as an adult through Angelita?
A. That's right.
Q. When you came to the United States, did you
find that Obel Cruz-Garcia also came here?
A. Repeat the question, please.
Q. Did Obel Cruz-Garcia also come here to the United States?
A. That's right.
Q. And why did he come here?
A. He came with me, to sell drugs with me.
Q. Okay. And when y'all got here, did y'all work together in the drug business?
A. That's right.
Q. Did y'all settle here in Houston first?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And did he bring Angelita with him when he came to Houston at first?
A. Yes. He came first and then Angelita.
Q. Okay. So, he came before her?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long later did Angelita come?
A. I don't remember the exact time.
Q. Was it --
A. But $I$ think it was shortly after him.
Q. Okay. And when you and Obel Cruz-Garcia came here, were y'all living together?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Where were y'all living?
A. We lived here by Broadway in a small apartment, back here by Broadway and Easton.
Q. Okay. Did anybody else live there with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Who?
A. There was another Dominican kid. His name was Wilson.
Q. Okay. And did he live with y'all the whole time?
A. He did for a short time, but not after, not later.
Q. Okay. And during the time that you knew Obel Cruz-Garcia, what name did you call him? Did he have a nickname?
A. No, not at first.
Q. All right. At some point did you have a nickname that you called him?
A. Yes. People called him Chico.
Q. Okay. Did you call him that?
A. No, not exactly. I don't remember very well, but $I$ don't think $I$ called him many times by that name.
Q. What did you call him by usually?
A. Obel.
Q. Okay. While y'all were living together here in Houston, were $y^{\prime}$ all also using cocaine, you and Obel?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And did you have a problem with cocaine?
A. That's right.
Q. Can you tell us about that?
A. Right. Well, I started one night. A Dominican lady came out of prison -- and I didn't use drugs. I sold them, but $I$ didn't use them.
Q. Okay.
A. And on that night, she convinced me that it was very good and she made me try it. She convinced me.
Q. Okay. And did you try it?
A. That's right.
Q. And was that here in the United States or in the Dominican?
A. Here in the United States.
Q. And did you find yourself addicted to cocaine?
A. That's right.
Q. Did that create some problems in your life?
A. Yes, big ones.
Q. Okay. As you and Chico worked together selling drugs here in Houston, who was the boss of that operation?
A. At first he came here where $I$ was and $I$ told him that we will be partners, half and half; but after that, shortly after that he began to take over. He took control of the whole business because I continued snorting, snorting, snorting more every day. And $I$ was going down and he was taking control. He was taking better control of everything due to his personality.
Q. Okay. And what about his personality are you talking about?
A. Well, it could be said that he is a violent person. He does not let anybody mess around with him and he controls everything.
Q. Were you afraid of him?
A. That's right.
Q. And were there specific things that happened between the two of you that reinforced that fear?
A. That's right.
Q. Do you remember something specific that he did to you to show you he was the boss and he was in control?
A. Well, once -- we were practically not partners anymore, but once -- $I$ kept the pager because him and Cesar were going to smoke and I did not want to smoke and I left with my friends. And about two or three hours he called me very, very angry, asking me where $I$ was and asking me to come over, that he wanted to talk to me.

When $I$ arrived there to the hotel, him and Cesar took me into the room and right there he grabbed me by the neck and he tied me up, my hands behind me, and he took me into the bathtub and told me that he was going to kill me because he thought that $I$ was taking his customers away from him.
Q. All right. In the time that you spent with Chico, did you find that he was very jealous about his customers and the people who sold for him?

MR. CORNELIUS: Objection to the leading nature of the question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That will be sustained.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) What kind of attitude did Chico have about his customers?
A. I don't understand the question very well.

What do you mean by "attitude"?
Q. Did he get angry if people were interfering with his customers?
A. That's right.
Q. Very angry?
A. That's right.
Q. What about when people who worked for him didn't want to work for him anymore and wanted to work for someone else?

MR. CORNELIUS: Can we approach the bench,
Judge?
THE COURT: Yes.
(At the Bench, on the record)
MR. CORNELIUS: These are extraneous
offenses and $I$ object to them. I mean, she didn't finish her question, but $I$ know exactly where -- I can see that she's going into instances where he's committed other offenses that were extraneous and $I$ object to them.

THE COURT: Where are you going?
MS. TISE: Going to motive. This is why he
went to that apartment that night and retaliated against Diana and Arturo. I'm not going into -- one of the extraneouses is murder and I'm not going to go into that, but -- and there are similar circumstances on that, but I'm asking generally what his emotional reaction was when he felt like his -- he was losing his dealers to other people.

THE COURT: Yeah, $I$ will let you go into that he observed the defendant's reactions, but I'll not let you go into beating up people. I don't know what you have to -- I think you've got the motive. I don't know why --

MS. TISE: I'm going to try to -- I'll
attempt to lead him because I'm trying to keep him specific to --

MR. CORNELIUS: That's okay.

THE COURT: Just what he observed about taking customers or his business. Okay? Very good. Let's proceed.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)
Q. (By Ms. Tise) So, we were talking about how Chico reacted when people who sold drugs for him wanted out and wanted to go to work with somebody else.

MR. CORNELIUS: I object to any answer because that wasn't a question.

THE COURT: I don't think she started her question yet. That does not require an answer.

Proceed with your question.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) And my specific question is: Did that make him extremely angry?
A. That's right. He is a very violent person. He gets angry very quick.
Q. And was he very protective of his drug business?
A. That's right.
Q. Chico didn't think you were a very strong person, did he?

MR. CORNELIUS: Objection to the
conclusion. He can't read Chico's mind.

THE COURT: That's sustained.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Did Chico ever pick on you because you wouldn't do the things he would do?
A. That's right, the whole time.
Q. Did he call you little names and stuff?
A. That's right. I can't remember some of them right now, but that's right, he always put me down.
Q. During the time that you knew Chico, where was he living?
A. In Puerto Rico.
Q. Okay. But back when y'all came to the United States after Puerto Rico, where was he living while he was living here in Houston?
A. Well, at first when he came, first we lived on Broadway and Easton, as I mentioned.
Q. Okay. And at some point after Angelita came, did they move to another location?
A. That's right.
Q. Do you remember where they moved to?
A. Yes. We moved here to Broadway.
Q. Okay. Later do you remember them living in an apartment at another location in Humble?
A. That's right.
Q. And did you stay there yourself sometimes?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Did Angelita live there with him?
A. That's right.
Q. What kind of cars did the defendant have?
A. Well, he had a four-door Chevrolet, blue.
Q. Okay. And in addition to that, did Angelita have a blue car?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what kind of car was that?
A. It was a Thunderbird.
Q. Okay. And did the defendant sometimes loan his cars to people who worked for him?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Do you remember a gold-colored Oldsmobile that the defendant owned?
A. That's right.
Q. And is there somebody in particular that he used to lend that car to a lot?

There it is on the screen, state's Exhibit
37.
A. Yes. He lent it for some time to Charlie. We called him Charlie.
Q. Okay. Did you ever know the defendant to have any guns?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. What kind of guns did he have?
A. At the time or the whole time? Well, he had guns the whole time.
Q. Okay. What guns did he have in 1992 that you remember?
A. He had a . 45 .
Q. Okay. What else did he have?
A. He had a -- I don't know what you call it. It was a small one like this and it has a grip like this size and it had a little briefcase that you carry it in, a briefcase (indicating).
Q. Okay. Did he have any other guns that you remember?
A. In '92?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Yeah. He had a . 22 rifle and -- a . 22 rifle.
Q. Okay. You worked with him a lot, didn't you?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And you spent a lot of time with him, didn't
you?
A. That's right.
Q. And you got to know, I'm sure, some of the other people who worked for him?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. I'm going to show you State's Exhibit 89 and ask you if you know that person (indicating)?
A. Yes. He's called Charlie.
Q. Okay. And I'm going to show you State's Exhibit 84 and ask you if you know that person (indicating) ?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And who is that person in State's Exhibit 84?
A. That person, his name is Roger and he's called Bory.
Q. Okay. What does Bory mean?
A. It's a person who's originally from Puerto

Rico.
Q. Okay. Do you know where he is originally from?
A. Yes, from Puerto Rico.
Q. Okay. And so, you call him Bory?
A. Yes. Bory is an abbreviation for Borikae (phonetic), but we call him Bory.
Q. Okay. Did you also call him Roger sometimes?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. I'm showing you State's Exhibit 90. Do you know who that person is (indicating)?
A. (Witness responds in Spanish).

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, may the interpreter ask a question for clarification?

THE COURT: Who ask a question?

THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter. May I ask a question for clarification?

THE COURT: Yes.
A. I had seen that person at a store. It was there by the Fiesta on Wayside, a store with Chinese people.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Okay. Was that person that we're looking at there someone that worked for Chico?
A. Not that I remember.
Q. Okay. Was that person somebody that had a relationship or close relationship at all with you and Chico?
A. No.
Q. And if you worked for Chico, you would know him, wouldn't you?
A. That's right.
Q. I will show you a picture marked State's Exhibit 87, and ask you if you know that person (indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. Who's that?
A. That's Diana.
Q. And over time you got to know her pretty well through her working with Chico, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And State's Exhibit 88, who's that (indicating)?
A. That's Arturo.
Q. Fair to say you knew him pretty well, too?
A. That's right. That's the husband or the one who lived together with her, with Diana.
Q. Okay. Did you and Chico go to Arturo's apartment fairly often over time?
A. That's right.
Q. And what was the purpose of those visits?
A. With the purpose of drugs and all.
Q. Okay. How would that work? What would y'all do?
A. Well, Ms. Diana sold drugs for Chico, Diana and Arturo as well.
Q. Okay. And $y^{\prime}$ all would take drugs there?
A. That's right.
Q. And they would pay you for them?
A. That's right.
Q. And then they would sell them?
A. That's right.
Q. When you would go to their apartment with

Chico, would you see little Angelo?
A. That's right.
Q. And would y'all talk to him sometimes?
A. That's right.
Q. And he would recognize Chico if he saw him, wouldn't he?
A. That's right.
Q. And he would recognize you?
A. He sure did, yes.
Q. What kind of relationship did Arturo and Diana have with Chico and Angelita?
A. Well, they were -- they got along well.
Q. They were friends, weren't they?
A. Yes. Yes, that's right, they were close. They were friends.
Q. Was there any romantic relationship between Chico and Diana?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And you know that for certain?
A. Well, to my knowledge. What $I$ know is that there wasn't.
Q. Okay. And Chico talked to you about that kind
of thing, didn't he?
A. That's right.
Q. Fair to say he was pretty open with you about his women in his life?
A. That's right.
Q. And fair to say he was not faithful to Angelita by any stretch of the imagination?
A. Never.
Q. One of the people that $I$ showed you, Roger or Bory, in State's Exhibit 84, can you tell me how you got to know him?
A. Well, I met him because he came with a friend who was with a friend of mine.
Q. Okay. Did he start working for Chico?
A. Later, later, yes, we began to -- he came to Chico.
Q. Okay. He came to Chico for what purpose?
A. Well, he had problems with my friend so he approached Chico and I.
Q. Okay. And what did he want to do?

MR. CORNELIUS: Objection. Calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: What was the question again?

MS. TISE: What did he want to do? He said he approached Chico and I.

THE COURT: That calls for hearsay. I will sustain it.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Did he begin -- after he approached you and Chico, did he begin to sell drugs for Chico?
A. Yes, we could say that we were together.
Q. Okay. When did he come into the picture? Had he been working with you and Chico for a long time or was that something kind of new in 1992?
A. It was a very short time before that happened. It was for a very short time.
Q. When you say "before that happened," are you talking about the abduction and murder of Angelo?
A. That's right.
Q. I want to talk to you about the night that Angelo was abducted. Tell me what was going on that night at first.
A. He said: Let's go to Diana and Arturo's to seek for the drugs -- to seek for my drugs and my money.
Q. Okay. And you're saying "he." You're talking about the defendant, Obel Cruz-Garcia?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. So, was it just you and Chico when he said: I want to go to Diana's to get my drugs and money?
A. And Roger.
Q. Okay. When did y'all first come together that evening, the three of you?
A. Well, I don't remember the exact time, but we were always -- we were together most of the time.
Q. The three of you?
A. Yes, but very few times somebody will be staying in another place and then us at the other one.
Q. So, Roger was kind of new to you and Chico's group, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. But after he joined up with y'all, he was with you all the time?
A. Yes, much time of -- for that short time, we were almost always together.
Q. Okay. And are we talking just a few weeks?
A. Not exactly.
Q. Okay. So, you don't remember the exact period, but it was a very short time?
A. Yes. We're talking about a couple of months or -- months, not weeks.
Q. Okay. And so, the three of y'all were together. And what vehicle were y'all in?
A. We were in the blue Chevrolet, the four-door.
Q. Okay. And who was driving?
A. Obel Cruz-Garcia.
Q. Did he ever let you drive?
A. No.
Q. Where were you sitting?
A. As the passenger in the front.
Q. Okay. When he said he wanted to go to Diana's, what was the purpose of that?
A. To pick up the money. His money and his drugs, he said.
Q. Okay. And so, did you think anything of that?
A. Well, $I$ thought that, well, since we were in that business and we had done stuff like that before, that it was part of the business.
Q. Okay. So, what did y'all do?
A. Well, we arrived. We parked on the street behind the apartments. He said to me: Sit down at the -- I sat behind the wheel while both of them were going over there to the lady's apartment.
Q. When you say "the lady's apartment," you're talking about Diana and Arturo's apartment?
A. That's right.
Q. And you said you parked on a street behind the apartment?
A. That's right.
Q. Can you tell me a little bit more about that
street, where it went to?
A. Yes. It's a street that is behind the apartments and it leads to the 610 freeway.
Q. Okay.

MS. TISE: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 79. Are you familiar with this map picture (indicating) ?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see a red mark on the map indicating where Diana and Arturo's apartment was there on Fairway?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And do you see the street where your vehicle was parked?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Now, do you think it would be helpful for the jury to be able to see that so you can tell them where you were actually at when the offense occurred?
A. That's right.

MS. TISE: Your Honor, at this time, I'd offer State's Exhibit 79 .
(State's Exhibit No. 79 Offered)
MR. CORNELIUS: No objection, Judge. THE COURT: State's Exhibit No. 79 is
admitted without objection.
You may proceed.
(State's Exhibit No. 79 Admitted)
Q. (By Ms. Tise) And if you look there to the side, can you see the map we were just looking at?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And do you see the little red dot there where Diana and Arturo's apartment is?
A. That's right.
Q. And this would be Fairway, right (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. Show us where you were parked. And you can touch the screen and it will leave a little mark where you touch.
A. Exactly here (indicating).
Q. Okay. And sitting there, you're kind of a little down the block and around the corner, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you see the door to Diana's apartment from there?
A. No.
Q. Could you see the entrance to her parking lot from there?
A. Yes, it could be seen. Well, you could see some of it. Well, right now $I$ don't remember exactly,
but -- but $I$ know that it could because when he was coming with the little boy $I$ saw him.
Q. Okay. And you saw him coming?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And we're going to talk about that a little bit more in a minute. Okay?
A. That's right.
Q. Did you have any way of communicating with him while he was in that apartment?
A. No.
Q. Nobody had cell phones back then, I guess, did they?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, I guess you're right, a few people did, but did you have any?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.
Q. Okay. And did Chico have a cell phone?
A. No, he didn't have at that time.
Q. Okay. In fact, during y'all's drug business y'all used pagers for the most part, correct?
A. Yes, at first we did.
Q. Do you remember when Chico left the car -well, y'all went there and y'all parked, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Did he say anything to you before he left?
A. No. Well, I don't remember exactly what he told me, but $I$ do remember that he said for me to wait here behind the steering wheel.
Q. Okay. So, he made you move over to the driver's side?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Do you remember if he took anything with him when he went to that apartment?
A. What do you mean? I mean, he always took his weapon.
Q. Okay. Did he take his weapon to that apartment that night?
A. That's right.
Q. Which weapon did he take?
A. A. 45 pistol.
Q. Did anybody go with him?
A. That's right.
Q. Who went with him?
A. Roger, Bory.
Q. Okay. What does Bory look like, or Roger?
A. What do you mean how does he look like?
Q. We have his picture, but what is his size?
A. He is tall and he is strong build.
Q. A lot bigger than you?
A. That's right.
Q. Bigger than Chico?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And he is a dark-complected person, is he not?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Roger have any weapons that you saw?
A. That's right.
Q. What did you see?
A. A small pocketknife from his wallet -- from here on the pants (indicating).
Q. How big was that knife?
A. Like that (indicating).
Q. Okay. Is it possible that he had other weapons on him that you did not see?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. What were they wearing?
A. Well, they had masks.
Q. Okay. What kind of masks?
A. Like those black ones that you put on, like those women's stockings.
Q. Okay. And could you see part of their face?
A. Not exactly that $I$ remember, but before -before we did the same jobs like that.
Q. Let's talk about this night -- okay -- and what you remember. Do you remember the masks on this particular night?
A. Well, to tell the truth, I don't exactly remember the masks on that night.
Q. Okay. So, you know they had masks, but you don't remember what kind of masks they had?
A. That's right. That's right.
Q. After they left, how long were they gone?
A. Well, about half an hour, more or less.
Q. Okay. And when they came back, what did you notice about them? First of all, did they come back at the same time?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Who came back first?
A. Chico.
Q. How long later did it take for Roger to come back?
A. I don't know exactly. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. When Chico came back, did you notice anything about the mask?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Was he alone?
A. No. He had the little boy in his arms.
Q. Okay. Did you see any weapons at that point?
A. Yes. The . 45 .
Q. Okay. What went through your mind when you saw that he had the little boy?
A. I saw that he was coming, walking with the little boy in his arms. I got up from the seat and I went in front of him and $I$ said to him: What's going on? Why do you have the little boy?
Q. Okay. And when we say "the little boy," are we taking about Angelo Garcia, Jr.?
A. That's right.
Q. When you asked him: What are you doing with the little boy, what did he say?
A. He saw --

THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter's correction.
A. He said: He saw me.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Who said that?
A. Obel Cruz-Garcia.
Q. He said that the little boy saw him?
A. That's right.
Q. And he said that in response to you asking him: Why do you have the little boy?
A. That's right.
Q. What did you do?
A. I said to him: Well, go tell the baby's mother, go bring her so -- for them to be together so nothing will happen.
Q. Were you worried?
A. Yes. And very scared at that point.
Q. What did you tell him next?
A. I convinced him to go over for the mother.
Q. Okay. Did he tell you what had happened upstairs in the apartment?
A. That's right.
Q. What did he tell you happened in the apartment?
A. He said that he had raped Ms. Diana and that he had beaten up Mr. Arturo.
Q. And what did you say about that? What was your reaction?
A. When he said that to me, I was already very scared. I was not even -- I couldn't do anything.
Q. And here he is standing there with the little boy. And so, what did you keep trying to convince him to do?
A. I wanted to convince him to go and get the little boy's mother so nothing will happen to the little boy.
Q. So, what happened next after you tried to convince him of that?
A. He said: Okay, I will be right back. And he went right back.
Q. And did you see how far he got? Did you see where he went?
A. No, not exactly.
Q. How long passed before you saw him again?
A. Not much time. About -- not more than five minutes, not even five minutes.
Q. Okay. So, when you saw him again, was he alone?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did he have the little boy with him?
A. I don't exactly remember if he left the little boy with me or if he took him back.
Q. Okay. So, when you were trying to convince him to go get Diana, you don't remember if he took the boy with him while he went back or if he went back alone?
A. No, I don't remember exactly, but $I$ believe he left the little boy with me in my arms.
Q. Okay. And do you know if he went back into the apartment or not?
A. I couldn't tell you, but -- I couldn't see.
Q. But he left for a couple of minutes, right?
A. That's right.
Q. And then what happened when he came back?
A. Well, when he came back alone -- he came back with Bory. It was - when they came back, it was one in the front and one was behind.
Q. Okay. So, Bory was with him?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did he tell you to do?
A. He said: Move to the back. And I moved to the back, to the back seat.
Q. Okay. Did you -- what were you thinking? The little boy was still there. What did you say about that?
A. No. When he came back, I could not say anything else anymore.
Q. Okay. Did it seem clear to you that he wasn't going to take the little boy back?
A. Yes. I was already -- inside of me, I was already convinced about that.
Q. Okay. And Diana didn't come back with him, right, or Arturo?
A. Yes. When Diana did not come back with him, I was already very scared. I knew that she was not going to come back.
Q. So, what did you do?
A. I moved to the back where Angelo was here. Angelo was here, $I$ was there, and Bory here (indicating).
Q. So, Angelo was between the two of you in the back seat?
A. That's right.
Q. And did Obel Cruz-Garcia still have his gun?
A. Yes, always in his hand. He was driving with one hand and the weapon was in the other one.
Q. And what happened at that point?
A. Well, he drove in reverse in the car and then he went to 610 .
Q. Okay. So, he drove up to 610 on that street you were parked on?
A. That's right.
Q. What happened next?
A. Then he took the freeway, 225 .
Q. Okay. And where did he go from there?
A. He headed to Baytown.
Q. Okay. Did y'all stop on the way?
A. No, never.
Q. Okay. What happened next?
A. Then next he drove to Baytown and he arrived to Baytown and he came to a place where the street ended --
Q. Okay.
A. -- outside the neighborhood.
Q. Okay. And was this in Baytown?
A. That's right.
Q. About how far off of 225 was this neighborhood where you stopped?
A. I couldn't tell you exactly, but it was not further deep inside of Baytown. It was on the outside.
Q. Before you got to Baytown from Pasadena?
A. No, no. Inside Baytown.
Q. So, it was in Baytown where you stopped?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And what happened when you stopped?
A. We arrived to where the street ended and there was a round space like this (indicating).
Q. A cul-de-sac? Do you know what a cul-de-sac is?
A. Yes, like a cul-de-sac. Yes. And it had like gravel, you know, like little stones.
Q. Okay. When y'all got to that location, what were you thinking?
A. At that point, $I$ was very -- I was very, very scared. I knew that by then -- I knew that he was going -- that he was going to kill the little boy.
Q. What happened? What happened next?
A. Well, he parked. We arrived. All three of us opened the doors, we got out. And he said -- on this side, he told Bory: You already know what you have to do.
Q. Okay. So, where were you when you heard that being said?
A. From the time we exited -- when we were exiting the car, he told that to Bory. And I began walking. I
had taken like one or two steps when he said -- told that to Bory.
Q. And tell me exactly what he said.
A. He said: You already know what you have to do.
Q. What did you do that point?
A. I continued walking because the car was parked here and $I$ continued walking towards this place because everything got loose in me, I wanted to vomit, I had diarrhea, everything.
Q. And did you actually have to go to the bathroom?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to remove your clothes in time?
A. Yes. I pulled my pants down and I was able to go to the bathroom.
Q. And you defecated?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you hear anything else?
A. I saw when he was walking with the gun in his hand. He approached me and he said: Hey, what are you doing? And I said: I'm using the restroom. And he said okay and he just stared. And I don't know, I think he walked toward the car.
Q. After you heard him tell Bory: You know what you have to do, did you hear anything?
A. That's right.
Q. What did you hear?
A. The scream from the little boy, the complaint.
Q. What did you do next?
A. Well, that's when $I$ was walking for the restroom.
Q. So, you are on the way and you are about to go to the bathroom on yourself?
A. That's right.
Q. And that's when you heard that?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And then after you defecated, Chico walked over to you with a gun?
A. That's right.
Q. And that's when he said: What are you doing?
A. That's right.
Q. Did he say anything else to you at that point?
A. No. I just said to him: I'm using the bathroom. I just answered the question.
Q. What happened next?
A. Then I finished and I walked to the car. And when I'm getting to the car, there I found both of them and the little boy, the body of the little boy dead.
Q. And did you see any blood?
A. That's right. Yes. The little boy had blood
all over here (indicating).
Q. Could you tell where he had been stabbed?
A. No.
Q. What did you do next?
A. He ordered -- he ordered Bory and I to put the body in the back seat.
Q. And did you do that?
A. That's right.
Q. Then what happened?
A. Then he drove again to the neighborhood. And then about to leave the neighborhood and exit 146 -that's the freeway -- that's the freeway over in Baytown, right?
Q. Well, you tell me. Do you remember?
A. Right, yes.
Q. Okay. So - -
A. I don't exactly remember if it was 176 or 146.
Q. Okay. But you exited from 225 to another roadway in Baytown?
A. Yes, but that was to go back already.
Q. Okay. And what did y'all do with the little boy?
A. Well, we arrived -- he arrived to somewhere next to a river.
Q. Okay. And was that river that you are talking
about in Baytown, the Baytown area?
A. Yes.
Q. And when y'all got there, what did you do with the little boy?
A. He ordered -- we got out of the car and he ordered us to take the body -- I'm sorry. He ordered us to take the body and to dump it into the river.
Q. And did you do that?
A. That's right.
Q. Did Bory help you?
A. That's right.
Q. When you put the body in the water, what happened?
A. He ordered us to go and get something to put in the body so it would sink under the water so it wouldn't be seen.
Q. Did you do that?
A. That's right.
Q. What did you put on the body?
A. It was Bory and $I$-- me and $I$ tried to find rocks.
Q. Okay. And --
A. And $I$ remember putting one or two. I don't remember exactly how many.
Q. Okay. Then what did y'all do?
A. Next $I$ remember that the body would not sink very much. We left it for a little while, but it didn't sink much. And after that, we came out of the water and we came here to -- again to 45 to where we lived by 225 .
Q. Did anyone talk about anything that you remember?
A. No, I don't remember. Me, I couldn't talk. He would just order and $I$ would just do.
Q. And did he have the gun still on him and in his hand when y'all were in Baytown putting that body in the water?
A. All the time. We're there in the water and he is standing right there and the whole time he is standing with the gun like this (indicating).
Q. After y'all left, did $y^{\prime}$ all head back toward Pasadena?
A. That's right.
Q. And did something happen on the way back?
A. That's right.
Q. What happened?
A. The tires of the car began blowing up.
Q. Okay. When you say "blowing up," what do you mean?
A. Well, one blue up and then the others did. All four of them blew up that $I$ remember.
Q. And what did $y^{\prime}$ all do?
A. Well, right now $I$ can't remember exactly how we were able to take the car to the parking lot of the hotel.
Q. Okay. What hotel are you talking about?
A. A hotel that is right there on 225. He would always rent a room there and we did with Angelita.
Q. So, this was a hotel y'all stayed at pretty often?
A. Yes, before.
Q. Do you remember it being called the Pasadena Motor Inn?
A. I'm not sure, but $I$ believe so.
Q. And do you remember anything about the sign at that motel or anything distinctive about it?
A. No, but what $I$ do remember is that here next to it there was like big machinery, like trucks parking next to the hotel.
Q. Okay. And did y'all sell drugs at that motel sometimes?
A. That's right.
Q. After the tires went flat, y'all somehow managed to get the car to that hotel. Is that your memory?
A. Yes.
Q. Then what did you do?
A. At the hotel or what?
Q. Did y'all leave the hotel? Did y'all stay?
A. No. We were there for a short time. I don't remember exactly, but -- and there he made us swear -Bory and $I$ swear to him that we'll never say anything about this to anybody.
Q. Okay. Did y'all try to make arrangements to get another car?
A. Yes. Charlie was the option.
Q. Okay. And so, what did y'all do to try to get a car from Charlie?
A. I don't remember -- exactly remember, but it was a -- his own car that he had lent Charlie.
Q. Okay. Whose own car?
A. Obel Cruz-Garcia's.
Q. Okay. Are you talking about the gold Oldsmobile that we looked in the picture earlier?
A. That's right.
Q. So, were y'all trying to get that car somehow or another?
A. That's right.
Q. So, what did y'all do to do that?
A. I don't remember exactly, but I believe that we arrived to the place where Charlie lives in a taxi.
Q. So, you went to Charlie's house -- Charlie's apartment in a taxi?
A. It's very possible that's the way it was, but I don't remember exactly.
Q. Okay. Were y'all able to get the car from Charlie?
A. That's right.
Q. Do you remember going in Charlie's apartment and seeing him there with Linda, his girlfriend?
A. That's right.
Q. And would you say at that point in time you were still pretty upset, pretty nervous?
A. Yes, I was. Anybody who knew me would see that something big was happening to me.
Q. After y'all got the car, do you remember where you went?
A. I only remember that we went back to the house, but I was very scared.
Q. And when you say "the house," are you talking about Chico's house in Humble?
A. That's right.
Q. Did Bory go back to Chico's house with you?
A. No.
Q. Do you know where he went? When did y'all separate, in other words?
A. Well, $I$ couldn't exactly tell you if Bory stayed at the hotel where the car was parked or at another cheaper hotel, one less expensive.
Q. It's been a long time, $I$ know, but --
A. Yes. I don't remember.
Q. Do you remember the next day at Chico's house?
A. That's right.
Q. What was Chico doing the next day?
A. We went and picked up Rendon and tires to change them from the car.
Q. Okay. Did Chico tell you anything about leaving Houston?
A. That's right.
Q. And tell us about that conversation.
A. He said: I'm leaving. Aren't you leaving? And I said: No, no, I'm not going anywhere.
Q. Okay. And did he tell you where he was going to go?
A. Well, $I$ don't exactly remember, but to me in my mind $I$ believe he went to the Dominican Republic.
Q. Okay. He told you he was leaving Houston?
A. That's right.
Q. And was this a planned trip that he had or something that he was doing because of what happened to Angelo?
A. No. It was because he knew what he did.
Q. So, that wasn't something that he had been planning to do for a while, he was going to go back home, or anything like that, right?
A. No, never. He knows -- he knows that was because of what he did, what we did.
Q. Did he have some things he had to do before he left?

MR. CORNELIUS: Judge, could we take a break?

THE COURT: Yes. Take the jury out.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.
(Recess)
(Open court, defendant and jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

We are ready to proceed with the direct examination of the witness Carmelo Santana, also known as Rudy.

However, before we resume, ladies and gentlemen, the interpreter has a correction as to one of the responses made by this witness. So, he is going to restate the question that was asked by Ms. Tise and the response that he originally gave and then his correction.

Please proceed.

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you, Your Honor.
Well, the question from the prosecutor was:

What did you hear?

The answer -- the response of the interpreter was: The screams from the little boy, the complaint.

And the correction is: Instead of "complaint," it's "moan."

THE COURT: Okay. So, the correction is as to only the one word. That you said "complaint" and it should have been "moan"?

THE INTERPRETER: Instead of complaint.
THE COURT: Very well.

Ms. Tise, you may proceed.

MS. TISE: Thank you, Judge.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) That next morning or that next day after the little boy was killed, were there some things that Chico needed to do before he left Houston?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he take you with him to do those things?
A. That's right.
Q. Where did y'all go?
A. Well, we changed the -- we went to change the tires of the car.
Q. Okay. And that's that blue Chevy you were talking about?
A. That's right.
Q. And then what did you do? Is there a specific garage y'all went to to get some assistance in doing that?
A. No. It's a man that has a garage behind his apartment, from the place where he lived.
Q. Okay. And do you know who that man was?
A. That's -- people call him Rendon.
Q. Okay. And Rendon had a little garage across from his apartments?
A. Yes. There's a house in the front and then in the back he lives upstairs and he works downstairs.
Q. And was Rendon a friend and associate of yours and Chico's?
A. Yes, he was. Yes.
Q. What did y'all do after y'all fixed the tires on the blue Chevy?
A. We went to the car wash to wash the car.
Q. Okay. And why did y'all need to wash the car?
A. Well, it was all dirty with the blood of the little boy and -- the blood of the little boy and I think also with vomit or spit or something.
Q. Was that also from Angelo?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. After $y^{\prime}$ all washed the car, what did y'all do with that car?
A. We sold it.
Q. Okay. And what did Chico do with the money he got from selling the car?
A. He bought the flight ticket.
Q. A plane ticket for Puerto Rico or -- was it Puerto Rico or the Dominican?
A. I don't know. I don't remember exactly.
Q. And that was all the same day, the day after the little boy, Angelo, was killed?
A. That's right.
Q. When was his flight scheduled to leave?
A. It was -- the flight was in the morning, in the early morning.
Q. Okay. And how did Chico get to the airport for that flight?
A. I took him. I took him myself.
Q. That would have been Friday, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. After you took Chico to the airport, did you go back over to the apartment in Humble?
A. That's right.
Q. And was Angelita still there at that time on

Friday?
A. That's right.
Q. Did y'all decide to stay in the apartment or do something else?
A. No. We decided to move.
Q. Okay. And did you help Angelita move that weekend?
A. Yes. And Charlie and also his wife or his girlfriend. I don't know.
Q. Okay. And where did y'all stay at that point in time?
A. We went to rent a room at the hotel.
Q. And is that the same Pasadena Motor Inn?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And how long did you and Angelita stay there in the motel?
A. It was only about -- I can't remember exactly how many days because Angelita had to go to court and then she had to do time for that.
Q. Okay. After you weren't staying at the motor inn anymore, where did you stay?
A. I don't remember exactly. Right now, I can't remember exactly, but $I$ was always with my friend when -- $I$ would always go with my friend when $I$ didn't have anywhere to go.
Q. After you took Chico to the airport that morning, did you ever see him again until you were here in Houston for this case?
A. That's right, $I$ never saw him again.
Q. And you are currently being housed in the Harris County Jail?
A. Yes.
Q. And so is the defendant?
A. That's right.
Q. And you have seen him a couple of times in passing in the jail, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. But other than that, before y'all were both here in the jail together, you didn't see him ever again after you dropped him off at the airport?
A. No.
Q. When was the last time you saw Angelita?
A. Well, she came to visit me when $I$ was in prison.
Q. Okay. How long ago?
A. In 1998.
Q. Is that the last time you have seen her?
A. That's right.
Q. Over the years, police officers have come to talk to you about this case, haven't they?
A. That's right.
Q. And you didn't tell them all the stuff that you've told us today, did you?
A. No, not in the beginning.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, I was very scared. I didn't want anybody -- anybody to know what happened on that night. I didn't want to die --

THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Interpreter
correction: I wanted to die.
A. I wanted to die taking that inside of me without anybody knowing it.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) At some point a couple of years ago while you were in prison in Pennsylvania, do you remember an agent with the FBI named Bill Ebersole coming to see you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Is he the first person that you told the whole story to?
A. That's right.
Q. Why?
A. Because I couldn't take it anymore. I couldn't live with that inside of myself.
Q. When Special Agent Ebersole came to talk to you, did he make any promises about your case?
A. No.
Q. Did he offer any special deals or say you were going to get any benefit from this?
A. No, no way.
Q. Was there -- was this something, you know, that you did because anybody offered you something to do it?
A. No, never.
Q. And you know as you sit there that you could be charged with a crime, don't you?
A. That's right.
Q. And you could get in a lot of trouble?
A. That's right.
Q. What happened to the knife?
A. When we were on 59 coming towards -- on 59 north, he gave me the knife and he told me to throw it away, throw it away.
Q. Who told you to throw it away?
A. Obel Cruz-Garcia.
Q. Do you think if you had the opportunity to see the person that you called Bory or Roger again you would recognize him?
A. Yes, of course.

MS. TISE: And, Judge, at this time, I'd like to have the person we know as Rogelio Aviles Barroso brought out. And I'd request permission for the
witness to step down. We can do it when he comes in.
THE COURT: For what purpose?

MS. TISE: I want them to stand next to each other, the defendant and Roger and -- Mr. --

THE COURT: Approach the bench.

MS. TISE: Okay.
(At the Bench, on the record)

THE COURT: What is the purpose of that?

MS. TISE: I told you. Remember? I told
you I wanted to have them stand next to each other because of the height difference in the individuals. THE COURT: He is in jail?

MS. TISE: He doesn't have to move. Just
stand there at counsel table.

MR. MADRID: Wouldn't have to stand
side-by-side --

THE COURT: Ten feet apart?

MS. TISE: That's fine.

THE COURT: Let's do it one at a time. Is
that good?

MS. TISE: Uh-huh.
(Open court, defendant and jury present) THE COURT: All right. You may proceed, Ms. Tise. The witness may step down.
(Witness complies)

THE COURT: Did you have a question,

Ms. Tise?

MS. TISE: No. That's all.
And can the defendant stand up?

THE COURT: Please stand, Mr. Obel

Cruz-Garcia.
(Defendant complies)

THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

Did you want to ask him anything about -the witness on the stand anything about the person that's --

MS. TISE: I will, but I'll have him return to the witness stand.

THE COURT: Very good. Okay. You may take your seat again, Mr. Santana.
(Witness complies)
THE COURT: Are we ready to take the prisoner back?

MS. TISE: Yes.

THE COURT: You may return.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Did you recognize that individual that they brought out?
A. Well, not exactly.
Q. If I show you some pictures, do you think it
will help you?
A. Let's see.

MS. TISE: May I approach?
THE COURT: You may approach.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Let me show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 34 and have you take a look at those (indicating).
A. Yes, this is Roger.
Q. These photos are Roger, correct?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. He looks a little different now that he's in the Harris County Jail, doesn't he?
A. I don't know him. He looks so different.
Q. He looks different. And he's lost some weight, has he not?
A. Yes, a lot.
Q. I'm going to show you this photo. Do you recognize the person in this first photo as the person you know as Roger (indicating)?
A. Yes. Yes, that's right.
Q. And you recognize this person as the person you know as Roger, correct?
A. Okay.
Q. I want to show you some other photos. Do you recognize this person as the person you know as Chico
(indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. And do you recognize this photo as how Chico
looks now (indicating)?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And do you recognize this as you (indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. And this photo is you now (indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. And do you recognize this person as Angelita Rodriguez (indicating) ?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recognize Diana Garcia (indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. Arturo Rodriguez (indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. And Bienviendo Melo (indicating)?
A. That's right.
Q. And Leonardo German (indicating)?
A. That's right.

MS. TISE: At this time, I'm going to offer State's Exhibit 34 . It's a series of photos of the individual involved in this case.
(State's Exhibit No. 34 Offered)
(At the Bench, on the record)

MR. CORNELIUS: Other than the picture of my client, this picture, the one that is supposed to be contemporaneous to when this occurred, I object. I've already objected to all of these pictures, unless they have not been offered yet. If they were offered, I have not -- I did object to them not being relevant. And with respect to Roger, he didn't identify Roger.

MS. TISE: He identified Roger's current picture in here, which is --

MR. CORNELIUS: His current picture?

MS. TISE: Well, you know --

MR. CORNELIUS: He's identifying a picture of him the way he looked then.

MS. TISE: This is a picture of the way he looks, his current driver's license photo. This is what we arrested him based on.

MR. CORNELIUS: I understand, but he could not identify him --

MS. TISE: I'm not offering that. I'm offering this picture that he did identify him in.

MR. CORNELIUS: That's not relevant. It doesn't matter what he looks like now for purposes of this trial.

MS. TISE: It is relevant. That's how we linked it all up with the investigator.

MR. CORNELIUS: That will be the basis of my objection.

THE COURT: Let me see. So, these are both now back then?

MS. TISE: Yes.

THE COURT: Same on each page? He did -because $I$ couldn't see, but he did identified that.

MS. TISE: Yes, he did state -- he's identified this one.

THE COURT: This is him. And all the others he identified. Okay. I'm going to let it in over objection.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)

THE COURT: State's No. 34 is admitted over objection.

You may proceed.
(State's Exhibit No. 34 Admitted)

MS. TISE: May I publish Page 2 of State's Exhibit 34?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Ms. Tise) Can you see the photos we're talking about?
A. That's right.
Q. You can see them there on the screen next to you.
A. That's right.
Q. And do you recognize the individual in both of those photos?
A. That's right.
Q. And who is the person in this photo?
A. That's Roger, Bory.
Q. And do you recognize this photo is also Roger?
A. That's right, Bory.
Q. An aged Roger, but Roger?
A. Yes, ma'am, that's right.
Q. We talked about, Rudy, the fact that when the special agent went up to interview you, he didn't make you any promises, correct?
A. No.
Q. And you told this whole story to him, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And when you told that story to him, you had never met me or Mr. Wood?
A. That's right.
Q. Basically, that all happened up in Pennsylvania when you were in custody and Agent Ebersole came to see you?
A. That's right.
Q. Have Justin or $I$ or any member of the D.A.'s office ever made you any promises about this case?
A. No, never.
Q. Have we ever told you that you are going to get any kind of special deal based on your testimony?
A. No, never.

MS. TISE: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Tise.

Mr. Cornelius, you can proceed.

MR. CORNELIUS: I'm not ready to proceed right now. And $I$ can reserve until $I$ put my case on, but I'm not ready to proceed right now with cross. I'll reserve until $I$ put my case on. I don't mean to slow it down...

THE COURT: Are you passing this witness at
this time?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you saying you're ready to finish for the day?

MR. CORNELIUS: No. I'm ready to go with something else, I'm just not ready to cross him today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TISE: I do have Agent Ebersole here.

He's fairly. If we could do him today, that would probably be good so he can get back to Pennsylvania.

THE COURT: Then let's have this witness step down. And because I'd like to go to 5:30 if we
can, have this witness step down and we'll reserve him for later as well if you need to call him back, Mr. Cornelius.

Please call your next.

MS. TISE: I will call Agent Ebersole.

THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, this agent has not been sworn.

THE COURT: Thank you, Deputy.
(Witness sworn)

THE COURT: Please keep your voice up and speak into that microphone.

You may proceed, Ms. Tise.

WILLIAM EBERSOLE,
having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MS. TISE:

Q. Would you introduce yourself, please, sir?
A. Ma'am, my name is Special Agent William Ebersole. My last name is spelled E-b-e-r-s-o-l-e. I'm employed with the Federal Bureau of Investigations and have been so employed since October 15th, 1995 .
Q. Okay. Can you tell us a little bit about your training and background?
A. My undergraduate degree is in accounting. I have in excess of 20 credits in spanish. I have a law
degree. And $I$ worked briefly as a county prosecutor in Pennsylvania. I was a state narcotics agent for about a year in Pennsylvania. And $I$ joined the FBI in 1995. I completed a 16-week training course in Quantico, Virginia, and was assign to Newark, New Jersey, where I worked drug trafficking, Colombian, Katerina, and South American drug traffickers. And in June of 1999, I was transferred to Central Pennsylvania where I've worked a variety of drug, white-collar crime, terrorism, a variety of investigations.
Q. Okay. Were you asked to assist a federal agent named Eric Johnson from here in Houston on a questioning of a defendant who was housed in a facility in Pennsylvania?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And when did that happen?
A. Approximately two years. A little bit more.
Q. Okay. And was the individual that you were asked to interview a person named Carmelo Martinez?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. Where did you locate him?
A. The Mashan Valley (phonetic) Correctional Institute.
Q. And prior to going and talking to him, did you review some information?
A. Yes. Special Agent Johnson sent some background reports, investigative reports up to me in my office so $I$ could be familiar with the investigation and be able to question Mr. Martinez.
Q. Okay. And did you spend some time reviewing those things?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. In addition, did you review some photos?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. After reviewing those things, how did you approach Carmelo Martinez when you went to interview him?
A. Well, myself and an agent from the Pittsburgh field office, we went to the correctional facility. Obviously, we had to have their permission. And we sat down with him and advised him of why we were there, advised him of his right to have an attorney if he so desired, and a general background introduction. And we let him know that we were interested in discussing this particular case.
Q. Okay. Then what happened?
A. We had a discussion and interview of him. We showed him various pictures that were provided to us through Special Agent Johnson. And it took a while, but gradually he gave a statement that he had firsthand
knowledge of some of the events that happened on the night in question.
Q. And when you went to talk to him, you really didn't know that, did you?
A. No. I went under the belief that, perhaps, because he was a friend or he was close to the defendant, maybe some statements would have been made to him. And $I$ was to try to elicit those statements.
Q. But you had no idea going in that he was going to ultimately describe to you actually being a witness to the murder of Angelo Garcia, Jr.?
A. I had no idea he would give me the information he gave me, no.
Q. When you talked to him -- are you a Spanish speaker?
A. I'm proficient in Spanish. I wouldn't say I'm fluent, but I'm proficient and I've used it successfully in a number of investigations.
Q. And when you went in to interview him, you knew that he had been uncooperative with law enforcement in the past?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And had pretty much denied knowledge?
A. Correct.
Q. After you talked to him for a while, was there
sort of a touchstone that seemed to change his willingness to give you information?
A. I believe talking to him about his son or sons and showing him the photographs of the victim, I think was helpful.
Q. And, ultimately, after initially refusing to give you a statement or not giving you a statement, he did give you one, didn't he?
A. That is correct.
Q. And at the end of the statement, he basically made himself -- he was a witness actually to the event and how the little boy was killed?
A. Yes.
Q. When approaching someone to do an interview like this, I'm assuming that you've had extensive training in that regard?
A. We have training at Quantico as new agents. And we get specialized training depending on how our career path takes us. So, I've had training and experience.
Q. Is it fair to say that when doing this kind of interview, you are going to want to withhold a lot of the details that you know from your review of the reports?
A. Correct.
Q. And why is that?
A. Well, you want to get their assessment of the facts, what knowledge they have. You don't want them to parrot back information.
Q. And did you implement that technique in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. For instance, when you talked to him, did you withhold things like the body dump site of the child?
A. I don't recall knowing the body dump site. I didn't know a whole lot about the case, but if I did have that specific knowledge, I would not have given it to him, no.
Q. So, that came from him, correct, the fact that the body was --
A. Yes. The description of the body being dumped into the water somewhere. He gave me some various locations. I don't know the area, but that came from him.
Q. Okay. And the roadways that he took in order to get to that location, you not being from Houston, those wouldn't have been something you would have known?
A. No. I just took the notes and worked the report.
Q. The details of what happened up in the
apartment as described by the witnesses up there were not things that you disclosed to him prior to him giving you a statement?
A. No.
Q. The details of the evidence and the witnesses that were available to HPD were not things that you gave to him during your interview?
A. No. During our introduction, we did tell him that there is a case being made and we gave a very general statement to him; but specifics, we did not release that.
Q. And that's because you want to see if he is going to come up with those details?
A. That is correct.
Q. Does the fact that he comes up with those details on his own lend credibility to what he is saying?
A. Yes.
Q. Especially when those details are corroborated by physical evidence at the scene?
A. Yes, if it is corroborated. I'm not aware of what was recovered from the scene.
Q. At this point in time, you are not one of the investigators on this case?
A. No. I just did the one interview and then $I$
was out of it.
Q. And your knowledge was then and is now pretty limited?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. When you talked to Obel Cruz-Garcia -- I mean, when you talk to Carmelo Martinez Santana, did you explain to him that you could not promise him anything about what would happen to him based on any involvement that he might have had?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did you explain to him that you couldn't offer him any kind of special deal, that you didn't have the authority to do that?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did you ever threaten him or coerce him in any way?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever ask for an attorney while you were talking to him?
A. No. We did give him an advice of rights form in Spanish, that he requested in Spanish. And overall, the majority of the interview was in English. He had been here a number of years. And at no point did he request an attorney.
Q. So, when you say an advice of rights form, what
are you talking about?
A. The Miranda rights. That you have a right to remain silent; if you want an attorney, one will be provided even if you can't afford one.
Q. Did he actually sign that form and indicate that he understood it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, again, did he ever ask for an attorney prior to revealing his statement to you?
A. No.

MS. TISE: I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Tise.

You may proceed.

MR. CORNELIUS: Judge, I can't go forward today. I got new information about all of this just now.

THE COURT: Okay. So, you need to break and bring this witness back in the morning? You'll be prepared to go forward in the morning; is that what you're saying?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, ma'am. Well, can we approach the bench?

THE COURT: Sure.
(At the Bench, on the record)
THE COURT: I can give you a few minutes.

MR. CORNELIUS: I can't do it today. It's sort of the cart before the horse. Anyway, $I$ have to cross-examine Rudy before to lay any predicate. If there is anything inconsistent with what he told this FBI agent, $I$ mean -- you see what $I^{\prime} m$ saying?

THE COURT: I can't recollect, but you passed the opportunity to cross-examine Rudy.

MR. CORNELIUS: Well -- I'm sorry?

THE COURT: We'll have to bring him back tomorrow then.

MS. TISE: You can review his report now.

MR. CORNELIUS: I can and I will go as far as $I$ can go now. I'll be happy to do that. I can't guarantee --

THE COURT: I'll look for you to try. Otherwise, he'll be here overnight.

MR. CORNELIUS: Okay. I will try.
THE COURT: Considering the expense and sick wife, do you think you can get through everything? Let's try. If you just can't, then we'll have to bring him back.

MR. CORNELIUS: What I'm concerned about --

I don't know what Rudy is going to say when $I$ cross-examine him about this conversation.

MS. TISE: You're not cross-examining him
tomorrow. You are not going to know that tomorrow
either.
THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. TISE: How long will we be leaving him
here?
MR. CORNELIUS: As long as this case takes.
I don't know. I don't know. I have a right to
cross-examine him.
THE COURT: Let's continue the cross this
afternoon and see how far you can get. And at a later
date, if you have to bring him back --
MR. CORNELIUS: Okay. Can I have a recess
to read this?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Open court, defendant and jury present)
THE COURT: Let's recess for about five
minutes. Can you take the jury out?
THE BAILIFF: All rise.
(Recess)
(Open court, defendant present, no jury)
MR. CORNELIUS: I want to go on the record
about something.
THE COURT: How long is the report?
MR. CORNELIUS: Ten or fifteen pages.
THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius, did you want to
put something on the record?

MR. CORNELIUS: Yeah. I want to make sure we understand each other. I don't have a responsibility to go through your file and figure out what's in your file. I don't have to do that. If I wasn't given this and you knew you were going to call the witness, then how do I know --

MS. TISE: I have e-mail after e-mail to you, Skip, that $I$ can print out saying: Please come by and see my file. It's opened to you. In fact, when you stopped by my office last week with Lester Blizzard, I - -

THE COURT: I don't think we need to put this all on the record.

MR. CORNELIUS: Well --

THE COURT: If you want to argue, we'll go off the record.

MR. CORNELIUS: I'm not going to go try to figure out what's in your file.

MS. TISE: I told you. I said --

MR. CORNELIUS: I'm not going to go through

20 boxes of DNA records.

MS. TISE: I told you, Skip, you need to come by and see my file.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go off the
record, Mary Ann.
(Discussion off the record)
(Open court, defendant present, no jury)

THE COURT: After discussions with all
parties, we're going to break for today and give Mr. Cornelius an opportunity to review the $15-\mathrm{page}$ report that he has not seen on this witness. And we'll start back up on cross-examination of the witness, Special Agent Bill Ebersole, in the morning at 10:00. Okay?

Bring in the jury.
(Open court, defendant and jury present) THE COURT: Please be seated.

After further consideration and speaking with the lawyers and the witness, we're going to break for the day and bring this witness back in the morning for continuation and cross-examination of this witness.

As we break, I want to remind you that you should not talk amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to form or express any opinion thereon until the end of this trial.

I also want to remind you, as you might
have noticed, there are cameras that are recording parts of this. They're all instructed not to record anything about the jury and you are not going to see yourself on

TV. So, don't go looking there, but things might be reported on $T V$ that haven't -- that you have not heard. Because anything that's said in this courtroom, even when you're taken out, could possibly end up on TV. So, I'm going to instruct you not to watch any of the news channels tonight or any of the nights of the trial. I hope that you haven't already. And I think that was part of my earlier admonishments, but $I$ wanted to remind you of that.

So, we'll break for today. I think we're
all tired. We'll be back and resume the trial at 10:00 in the morning.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.
(Open court, defendant present, no jury)
THE COURT: Okay. We're in recess till
10:00 in the morning.
(Proceedings recessed)
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