

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF  
THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA; FORT WORTH  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE;  
LONGVIEW CHAMBER OF  
COMMERCE; AMERICAN  
BANKERS ASSOCIATION;  
CONSUMER BANKERS  
ASSOCIATION; and TEXAS  
ASSOCIATION OF BUSINESS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION  
BUREAU; and ROHIT CHOPRA, in his  
official capacity as Director of the Consumer  
Financial Protection Bureau,

Defendants.

Case No.: 4:24-cv-213-P

**NOTICE**

Yesterday, the Supreme Court issued *Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Community Financial Services Association of America, Ltd.*, No. 22-448, 2024 WL 2193873 (U.S. May 16, 2024), reversing the Fifth Circuit's decision (*Community Financial Services Association of America, Ltd. v. CFPB*, 51 F.4th 616, 643 (5th Cir. 2022)) regarding the constitutionality of the Bureau's funding. This decision has obvious implications for the continuing validity of the preliminary injunction issued by this Court on May 10, 2024, based on the Fifth Circuit's decision. ECF No. 82 at 5-6. Plaintiffs' early appeal to the Fifth Circuit complicates matters, however. It is not clear that this Court has jurisdiction to revisit the

preliminary injunction given the limited remand granted to the District Court by the Fifth Circuit. *See Chamber of Commerce v. CFPB*, 24-10248, ECF No. 105-1 (5th Cir.). Defendants foresaw the continuing jurisdictional complications that the appeal would present and filed a motion on May 15, 2024, asking the Fifth Circuit to dismiss the appeal as moot, to allow this Court to manage this case without the cloud of the appeal hanging over it. *See Chamber of Commerce*, 24-10248, ECF No. 116. And in light of yesterday's Supreme Court decision, Defendants have filed a Rule 28(j) letter reiterating their request that the Fifth Circuit dismiss the appeal. *Chamber of Commerce*, 24-10248, ECF No. 119. Plaintiffs have opposed the request to fully return jurisdiction to this Court and have advocated that the Fifth Circuit make clear that the Court has just enough jurisdiction to revisit the preliminary injunction, *see Chamber of Commerce*, 24-10248, ECF No. 117—but, and this is unstated, not enough to transfer the case as it has expressed an intent to do, *see Order*, ECF No. 82, at 11 n.7. Defendants will apprise the Court of any relevant developments in the Fifth Circuit.

DATED: May 17, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

SETH FROTMAN  
*General Counsel*

STEVEN Y. BRESSLER  
*Deputy General Counsel*

KRISTIN BATEMAN  
*Assistant General Counsel*

/s/ Justin M. Sandberg  
JUSTIN M. SANDBERG\*  
*Senior Counsel*  
Ill. Bar No. 6278377  
JOSEPH FRISONE\*  
*Senior Counsel*

Va. Bar No. 90728  
STEPHANIE B. GARLOCK\*  
*Counsel*  
D.C. Bar No. 1779629  
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau  
1700 G St. NW  
Washington, D.C. 20552  
Stephanie.Garlock@cfpb.gov  
Justin.Sandberg@cfpb.gov  
Joseph.Frisone@cfpb.gov  
(202) 435-7201 (Garlock)  
(202) 450-8786 (Sandberg)  
(202) 435-9287 (Frisone)  
(202) 435-7024 (fax)

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

*Counsel for Defendants the Consumer  
Financial Protection Bureau and Rohit  
Chopra*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify on May 17, 2024, a true and correct copy of this document was served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system to all counsel of record.

/s/ Justin M. Sandberg  
Justin M. Sandberg