

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

**WILLIAM T. MOCK, et al.,**

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

**MERRICK GARLAND, et al.,**

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:23-CV-00095-O**

**FINAL JUDGMENT**

This Judgment is issued pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(a).

This action came on for consideration by the Court, and the issues having been duly considered and a decision duly rendered,

It is **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that:

1. Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the grounds that the Final Rule violated the Administrative Procedure Act's procedural requirements because it was arbitrary and capricious and was not a logical outgrowth of the Proposed Rule is **GRANTED** and Defendants' cross-motion for summary judgment as to those claims is **DENIED**.
2. On these grounds, Factoring Criteria for Firearms with Attached Stabilizing Braces (the "Final Rule"), 88 Fed. Reg. 6,478 (Jan. 31, 2023), is hereby **VACATED**.
3. The Plaintiffs' remaining claims are **DENIED** as moot.
4. All other relief not expressly granted herein is denied.

**SO ORDERED** this **13th day of June, 2024**.

  
Reed O'Connor  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE