UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

JENNIFER VANDERSTOK, et al.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING	§	
GROUP INC. d/b/a 80 Percent Arms,	§	
	§	
Intervenor-Plaintiff,	§	
,	§	
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED, and	§	
THE SECOND AMENDMENT	§	Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00691-O
FOUNDATION, INC.	§	
	§	
Intervenors-Plaintiffs,	§	
,	§	
V.	\$ §	
••	\$ §	
MERRICK GARLAND, in his Official	8 §	
Capacity as Attorney General of the United	§	
States, et al.,	8	
States, et al.,	§ §	
Defendants	8	

Defendants.

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED AND THE SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.'S MOTION TO INTERVENE

Defense Distributed and the Second Amendment Foundation, Inc. (SAF) move to intervene in this action for the purpose of challenging the regulation already at issue in this case, *Definition* of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. 24,652 (Apr. 26, 2022) (hereinafter the new Final Rule), and obtaining relief from the enforcement thereof.

Primarily, Defense Distributed and SAF move to intervene of right under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2). This is warranted because Defense Distributed and SAF claim interests relating to the action's property and transactions and are so situated that the action's disposition may as a practical matter impair or impede Defense Distributed and SAF's ability to protect Defense Distributed and SAF's interests.

Alternatively, if the Court does not grant intervention of right, Defense Distributed and SAF move to intervene permissively under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b). This is warranted because Defense Distributed and SAF's request for permissive intervention is timely and because Defense Distributed and SAF's claims share common questions of law and fact with those of the main action.

Defense Distributed and SAF support this motion with an accompanying brief, *see* Local Civil Rule 7.1, and a draft pleading setting out the claim for which intervention is sought, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c).

The motion is opposed in part. All Defendants oppose this motion. Plaintiffs Jennifer VanDerStock, Michael Andren, Tactical Machining LLC, and Firearms Policy Coalition, Inc. say that they take no position on this motion. The position of Intervenor Plaintiff Blackhawk Manufacturing Group Inc. is unknown at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

BECK REDDEN LLP

By /s/ Chad Flores

Chad Flores cflores@beckredden.com Texas Bar No. 24059759 Nicholas M. Bruno nbruno@beckredden.com Texas Bar No. 24097432 Zachary T. Nelson znelson@beckredden.com Texas Bar No. 24127240

1221 McKinney St., Suite 4500 Houston, Texas 77010-2010 Telephone: (713) 951-3700 Facsimile: (713) 951-3720

Counsel for Defense Distributed

Certificate of Conference

This motion *is* opposed by Defendants Merrick Garland, United States Department of Justice, Steven Dettelbach, and Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives. Defense Distributed and SAF's counsel (Chad Flores) determined this by conferring with these parties' counsel via emails exchanged on October 19, 2022, and October 24, 2022.

The following parties expressly take no position on the motion's request for relief: Plaintiffs Jennifer VanDerStok, Michael G Andren, Tactical Machining LLC, and Firearms Policy Coalition, Inc. Defense Distributed and SAF's counsel (Chad Flores) determined this by conferring with these parties' counsel via telephone and email on October 20, 2022.

The following party's position is unknown: Blackhawk Manufacturing Group Inc. Defense Distributed and SAF's counsel (Chad Flores) sought to confer with this party by emailing all four counsel of record on October 19, 2022, and again on October 31, 2022, receiving no response.

Respectfully submitted,

BECK REDDEN LLP

By /s/ Chad Flores

Chad Flores

cflores@beckredden.com

Texas Bar No. 24059759

Nicholas M. Bruno

nbruno@beckredden.com

Texas Bar No. 24097432

Zachary T. Nelson

znelson@beckredden.com

Texas Bar No. 24127240

1221 McKinney St., Suite 4500

Houston, Texas 77010-2010

Telephone: (713) 951-3700

Facsimile: (713) 951-3720

Counsel for Defense Distributed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	I certify	that a true	and corn	ect	t copy of thi	s subi	niss	ion was	served	on a	all cou	ınsel o	of reco	rd
by the	e Court's	CM/ECF	system	in	accordance	with	the	Federal	Rules	of	Civil	Proce	dure	on
Nove	mber 2, 20	022.												

/s/ Chad Flores	
Chad Flores	