IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	<u>:</u>
Plaintiff, v.	: Civil Action No
SAFEHOUSE, a Pennsylvania nonprofit corporation;	: : :
JEANETTE BOWLES, as Executive Director of Safehouse;	: : :
Defendants.	; ;

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

While our country is in the midst of an opioid epidemic, this is not the first time we have faced a drug crisis. From crack cocaine, to methamphetamine, to heroin and fentanyl, our country has faced the challenge and tragedy of drug addiction for many years. Congress and the President have sought to address the challenges of drug addiction, abuse, and diversion with the Controlled Substances Act ("CSA"), enacted in 1970.

The CSA established a comprehensive and carefully balanced regulatory scheme that has been updated and revised over time, but remains in full force and effect. Among other things, the CSA created a tiered structure of controlled substances based on their risk of abuse and medical purpose; controlled the flow of these substances from their manufacture through the distribution chain; established important record-keeping requirements; determined which substances were illegal without an administrative application and waiver; and established a comprehensive scheme for the treatment of those afflicted with substance use disorder through narcotic treatment programs.

The legislation's calculated scheme includes the prohibition of certain conduct involving controlled substances. Most relevant to the suit at hand, the CSA provides that it is wholly unlawful to manage or control any place, regardless of compensation, for the purpose of unlawfully using a controlled substance. Defendant Safehouse seeks to disregard the law and override Congress' carefully balanced regulatory scheme by establishing, managing, and controlling sites in Philadelphia that will allow individuals to engage in the illicit use of controlled substances, namely, heroin and fentanyl.

For purposes of this action, it does not matter that Safehouse claims good intentions in fighting the opioid epidemic. What matters is that Congress has already determined that Safehouse's conduct is prohibited by federal law, without any relevant exception. To prevent Safehouse from violating federal law, the United States asks the Court to declare illegal the Defendants' proposed establishment and operation of a place for the unlawful use of controlled substances.

Plaintiff, the United States of America, by and through its attorneys, alleges as follows:

1. This is a civil action seeking declaratory judgment under the Declaratory Judgment Act, as amended, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, and under the Controlled Substances Act, as amended, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations, 21 C.F.R. §§ 1301 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 856(e), 843(f), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345.
- 3. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 843(f)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is the United States of America.
- 5. Defendant Safehouse is a privately held Pennsylvania nonprofit corporation located at 1211 Chestnut Street, Suite 600, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Safehouse was formed in or around August of 2018.
- 6. Safehouse seeks to establish and operate one or more sites in Philadelphia where, among other things, intravenous drug users will be permitted to use illegal controlled substances (primarily, heroin and fentanyl) in "consumption rooms" under medical supervision (hereinafter, "Consumption Room(s)").
 - 7. Defendant Jeanette Bowles is the Executive Director of Safehouse.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Existing nonprofit community organizations, such as Prevention Point Philadelphia, provide a wide range of medical and non-medical services intended to reduce the harms of the opioid crisis in Philadelphia. These services include, but are not limited to, access to addiction treatment, wound care, clean needle exchange, social services, testing, free distribution of the opioid overdose reversal medication Naloxone (Narcan), and training on how to administer Naloxone.
- 9. Safehouse states on its website that its mission is "sav[ing] lives by providing a range of overdose prevention services" in Philadelphia, including "[m]edically supervised safe consumption and post-consumption observation." (*See* Safehouse FAQ, attached hereto as Exhibit A).
- 10. Safehouse further states on its website that, upon arrival at "Safehouse facilities," drug users called "participants" who seek supervised consumption will be directed to a

Consumption Room where they will be provided with syringes and related paraphernalia by Safehouse staff, who will observe them while they prepare and inject illegal narcotics within the Safehouse Consumption Room. (*Id.*).

- "(Id.). "[P]articipants" will then be sent to an "observation room," where they will be "offered on-site initiation of Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT), wound care, and referrals to primary care, social services, and housing opportunities." (Id.). Safehouse states that it will "provide overdose reversal and other emergency care" and "advise on sterile injection technique," but its staff will not "administer any narcotic or opioid," nor will they make any such drug available "other than those that are FDA-approved for the treatment of opioid addiction[.]" (Id.).
- 12. Heroin and fentanyl are controlled substances. 21 U.S.C. § 812; 21 C.F.R. §§ 1308.11, 1308.12. Heroin is a Schedule I substance, and fentanyl is a Schedule II substance. 21 U.S.C. § 812(c) ("Schedule I" at (b)(10); "Schedule II" at (b)(6)).
- 13. Knowing or intentional possession of Schedule I or II substances such as heroin or fentanyl, without satisfying certain exceptions that do not apply to Safehouse participants, violates federal law. 21 U.S.C. § 844(a).
- 14. The Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-971, provides, in pertinent part, that:

it shall be unlawful to . . . manage or control any place, whether permanently or temporarily, either as an owner, lessee, agent, employee, occupant, or mortgagee, and knowingly and intentionally rent, lease, profit from, or make available for use, with or without compensation, the place for the purpose of unlawfully manufacturing, storing, distributing, or using a controlled substance.

21 U.S.C. § 856(a), (a)(2).

- 15. Section 856(a)(2) applies to any person who "manage[s] or control[s] any place" that they "knowingly and intentionally . . . make available for use, with or without compensation . . . for the purpose of unlawfully . . . using a controlled substance." Defendants' operation of Consumption Rooms would do exactly that.
- 16. Therefore, Defendants will violate section 856(a)(2) of Title 21 if they open a Consumption Room.
- 17. Defendants have publically stated their position that the operation of such a Consumption Room would not violate federal law and that they intend to open one or more Consumption Rooms notwithstanding section 856 of Title 21 of the United States Code. (*See* Exhibit A).
- 18. By a letter to Safehouse's President and Vice President dated November 9, 2018, the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, William M. McSwain, advised Safehouse that its planned operation of one or more Consumption Rooms would clearly violate federal law. (*See* Nov. 9, 2018, letter, attached hereto as Exhibit B). The government requested assurance that Safehouse would comply with federal law, and advised that the government would pursue appropriate legal remedies should Safehouse fail to ensure its compliance. *Id*.
- 19. By letter dated November 26, 2018, Safehouse's President and Vice President advised the government that Safehouse would not comply, asserting, "[w]e respectfully disagree with the conclusion that Safehouse's proposed consumption room would violate federal law." (*See* Nov. 26, 2018, letter, attached hereto as Exhibit C, at 1).

- 20. On or about December 24, 2018, Safehouse announced that it had retained DLA Piper to represent it in potential litigation against the United States regarding Safehouse's legality.
- 21. In or around January 2019, Safehouse hired Defendant Jeanette Bowles as its Executive Director.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants will imminently open one or more Consumption Rooms in Philadelphia. Defendants' initial plan was to be operational by January 2019, although they may have recently pushed back the opening to March 2019.

COUNT I

Violation of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. § 856(a)(2) – Declaratory Judgment

- 23. The United States repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 856(a) and (a)(2), "it shall be unlawful to . . . manage or control any place . . . and knowingly and intentionally . . . make available for use, with or without compensation, the place for the purpose of unlawfully . . . using a controlled substance."
- 25. Defendants intend to manage and control one or more Consumption Rooms in Philadelphia and they will knowingly and intentionally provide a place for drug users to use controlled substances unlawfully, such as heroin and fentanyl.
 - 26. Accordingly, Defendants imminently will violate 21 U.S.C. § 856(a)(2).

¹ Colleen Slevin, *Denver is latest city pushing for 1st US drug injection site* (Nov. 28, 2018), https://www.apnews.com/86a3aca99f72489082fcfa7ff0ab3a83 ("A private nonprofit is raising money for a supervised injection site in Philadelphia but has pushed back its potential opening date from January to mid-March, the group Safehouse said.").

- 27. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 856(e), "[a]ny person who violates subsection (a) of this section shall be subject to declaratory and injunctive remedies as set forth in section 843(f) of this title."
- 28. Section 843(f), provides, in turn, that "the Attorney General is authorized to commence a civil action for appropriate declaratory or injunctive relief relating to . . . [section] 856 of this title." 21 U.S.C. § 843(f)(1).
- 29. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a), "[i]n a case of actual controversy within its jurisdiction . . . any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought."
 - 30. Declaratory relief is especially appropriate where illegal conduct is imminent.
- 31. The United States is accordingly entitled to appropriate declaratory relief through this civil action pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 843(f) and 28 U.S.C. § 2201, stating that Defendants' establishment and operation of any Consumption Rooms will violate section 856 of Title 21 of the United States Code.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that judgment be entered in its favor and against Defendants declaring that Defendants' establishment and operation of any Consumption Room, or similar sites made available for the unlawful use of controlled substances, will violate 21 U.S.C. § 856(a)(2).

Dated: February 5, 2019

JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General Civil Division

JAMES M. BURNHAM
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division

GUSTAV W. EYLER Acting Director Consumer Protection Branch

JAMES J. GILLIGAN Acting Director Federal Programs Branch

ANDREW E. CLARK Assistant Director Consumer Protection Branch

JACQUELINE COLEMAN SNEAD Assistant Director Federal Programs Branch

DANIEL K. CRANE-HIRSCH Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch

TAMRA T. MOORE Senior Counsel Federal Programs Branch

Co-Counsel for the United States

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM M. McSWAIN United States Attorney

m nul.

GREGORY B. DAVID
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Civil Division

JOHN T. CRUTCHLOW ERIC D. GILL BRYAN C. HUGHES ERIN E. LINDGREN

Assistant United States Attorneys Eastern District of Pennsylvania 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250 Philadelphia, PA 19106-4476 TEL: (215) 861-8200

Counsel for the United States

Case 2:19-cv-00519-6-WL Decement 3-1-Eiled 02/05/19 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS United States of America				DEFENDANT		a P	enncylvania	Cornorat	ion:	
Cinted States of America				SAFEHOUSE, a Pennsylvania Corporation; Jeanette Bowles, Exec. Director of Safehouse						
									use	
(b) County of Residence of	_	Philadelphia		County of Residen						
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)		NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEN	MNATIO	CAINTIFF CASES (ON CASES, USE T		OF	
	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Know		AND IN	VOLVED.			
	Attorney's Office			Audineys (1) Know	(n)					
615 Chestnut 8										
Philadelphia, I										
215-861-8200			III CI	TIZENCHID OF	DDIN	CIDA	I DADTIEC			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		CIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box f		
★ U.S. Government	3 Federal Question			(1 or Dirersity Cases Only		DEF		und One Doxy	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	01	0 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	X 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	D 2	П 2	Incorporated and I	Principal Place	D 5	D 5
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	on or randiner State	J 2	J 2	of Business In			
			Citize	en or Subject of a	3	3	Foreign Nation		1 6	1 6
III MARINE OF COLUM			Fo	reign Country		011.1		00 1 0 1 5		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	/		here for: Nature (STATUT	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure			al 28 USC 158	375 False Cl		
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 88		3 With	lrawal	☐ 376 Qui Tan	(31 USC	
□ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	0 Other		28 U	SC 157	3729(a)		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	- 1		P	ROPER	TY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Re		nent
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury				0 Copy		430 Banks a		g
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability				0 Paten		☐ 450 Comme		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 240 Marins	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal			□ 83		t - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deporta ☐ 470 Rackete		ad and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			□ 84	0 Trade	Drug Application mark		Organizati	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY	LABOR			SECURITY	☐ 480 Consum		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	O 71	0 Fair Labor Standards			1395ff)	490 Cable/S		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	I 72	Act 0 Labor/Management			Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitien Exchan		dities/
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	10 //2	Relations			Title XVI	890 Other S	atutory Ac	ctions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	□ 86	5 RSI (405(g))	890 Other S		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	D 75	1 Family and Medical Leave Act	- 1			893 Environi 895 Freedon		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 0 79	0 Other Labor Litigation	F	EDERA	L TAX SUITS	Act	i or intorn	lation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement			(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrat	ion	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act			fendant)	☐ 899 Adminis		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	.		□ 87		-Third Party		iew or App	peal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General				26 U	SC 7609	Agency 950 Constitu	Decision tionality o	f
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION				State Sta	-	
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applicat	ion					
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er 🔲 46	5 Other Immigration Actions						
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions						
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of Confinement								
V ODICIN at	0 0 0 1	Commencia								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in Priginal 2 Re		Damandad from	1 4 Dain	atatadar 🗇 5 m			T 6 Multidiate	7 0	N de de die	
	moved from 13 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reor		sterred ther Dist		6 Multidistr Litigation		Multidis Litigatio	
			. Cl	(spec	ify)		Transfer		Direct Fi	
		atute under which you as 3(f) and 28 U.S			statutes ui	ness an	ersity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		.0.92	201						
	Declaratory	relief								
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	D	EMAND S			HECK YES only			nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	S, F.R.CV.P.				JI	JRY DEMAND:	☐ Yes	No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):									
IF ANY	(See distructions).	JUDGE			D	OCKE	Γ NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD						
February 5, 2019		Juster .	200							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG JUE	CE		

Case 2:19-cv-00519-GAIMED STATICS CONTRICT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PAGE 2 9 - 5 / 9

DESIGNATION FORM
used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the

Address of Plaintiff: U.S. Atto	rney's Office, 615 Che	stnut Street, Suite	1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106			
Address of Defendant:	1211 Chestnut Stree	t, Suite 600, Philad	elphia, Pennsylvania			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transa	ction:					
THE CLOSE IE AND.						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number.	n/a Judge		Date Terminated			
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> :		g questions	Date reminated			
Is this case related to property include previously terminated action in this case.	led in an earlier numbered suit per		Yes No 🗸			
Does this case involve the validity or numbered case pending or within one			Yes No 🗸			
4 Is this case a second or successive had case filed by the same individual?	abeas corpus, social security appear	al, or pro se civil rights	Yes No V			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within this court except as noted above. DATE February 5, 2019	1 case is is not related	to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in Attorney 1 D # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:		B. Diversity Jurisdiction	Cases:			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine	es es,), 843(f), 28 USC 1331, 1345	1 Insurance Control 2 Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4 Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify) ity - Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine	es), 843 <u>(f), 28 USC 1331, 1345</u> ARBITRAT	I Insurance Control 2 Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4 Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle in the control 6 Other Personal 7 Products Liability 8 Products Liability 9 All other Divers (Please specify)	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify) ity - Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases:	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to , counsel of record or pro se 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of m	1	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify) ity - Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases:	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to , counsel of record or pro se 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my exclusive of interest and costs.	1	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify) ity ty - Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases:	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to , counsel of record or pro se 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my exclusive of interest and costs.	1	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify) ity - Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases:	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to , counsel of record or pro se 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my exclusive of interest and costs. ages is sought.	1	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify) ity ty - Asbestos sity Cases			

NOTE A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with FRCP 38

Case 2:19-cv-00519-GAM Document 1-1 Filed 02/05/19 Page 3 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number		E-Mail Address		
215-861-8521	(215) 861-8618		gregory.david@usdoj.gov		
Date	Attorney-at-law		Attorney for		
February 5, 2019	Gregory B. David, A	AUSA	United States of America	III I	
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall	into any one o	of the other tracks.	(X)
	Cases that do not fall in as complex and that need side of this form for a d	d special or in	tense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involence exposure to asbestos.				()
(c) Arbitration – Cases req	uired to be designated for	or arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING CASE N	MANAGEME	NT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	vil Justice Expense and Case Management Track re a copy on all defendant event that a defendant t shall, with its first appearties, a Case Managem	Delay Reduct Designation Ints. (See § 1:0 does not agree earance, subment Track Des	tion Plan of this court, couns Form in all civil cases at the ti 3 of the plan set forth on the re- be with the plaintiff regarding it to the clerk of court and ser- signation Form specifying the	me ever g sa ve (of se id on
AFEHOUSE, a Pennsylv		:			
v.		:	NO.		
nited States of Amer	ica	:	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

"Studies from other countries have shown that
[overdose prevention services] reduce the number of
overdose deaths, reduce transmission rates of
infectious disease, and increase the number of
individuals initiating treatment for substance use
disorders without increasing drug trafficking or crime
in the areas where the facilities are located."[1]

-American Medical Association

"We cannot just watch as our children, our parents, our brothers, and our sisters die of drug overdose ... We have to use every proven tool we can to save their lives until they recover from the grip of addiction." [2]

—Dr. Thomas Farley, Philadelphia Health Commissioner

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS:

- · What are overdose prevention services?
- · Why do we need overdose prevention services?
- · What are the benefits of overdose prevention services?
- · What is Safehouse?
- · What can participants expect when they come to Safehouse?
- What will be the rules of conduct for Safehouse participants?
- Who will work at Safehouse?
- Do other jurisdictions offer supervised consumption rooms as part of overdose prevention services?
- · Does supervised consumption save lives?
- Do people who use supervised consumption sites seek treatment?
- · Do supervised consumption sites encourage drug use?
- Do supervised consumption sites increase neighborhood crime?

- · What are the benefits to the community?
- Does the law allow overdose prevention services like those provided by Safehouse?
- · Will Safehouse seek a partnership with law enforcement?
- · Will data be collected at Safehouse?
- How can I financially support the efforts of Safehouse?

WHAT ARE OVERDOSE PREVENTION SERVICES?

Overdose prevention services are part of a broader harm-reduction strategy. Harm reduction in substance use treatment is aimed at decreasing the negative consequences of substance use, and it includes elements of safer use, managed use, and medication-supported treatment plans. Harm reduction is designed to address the circumstances of the addiction in addition to the addiction itself, striving to minimize the harmful effects of addiction while recognizing that drug addiction cannot be completely eliminated. Current leading scholarship establishes that a demonstrably effective approach to combating substance use disorder is to encourage treatment while providing harm reduction.^[3]

Overdose prevention services are designed to reduce harms associated with drug use by carrying out the following activities:

- Assessment of participant's physical and behavioral health status.
- · Provision of sterile consumption equipment.
- Provision of drug testing, such as fentanyl test strips.

- Medically supervised safe consumption and post-consumption observation.
- · Overdose reversal.
- Wound care, other basic medical services, and referral for more complex medical care.
- On-site education and counseling about substance use treatment.
- On-site initiation of medication assisted treatment (MAT) and recovery counseling.
- Access to a resource specialist for referrals to supporting services including housing opportunities, public benefits, and legal services.
- · Safe disposal of consumption equipment.
- Distribution of naloxone and other opioid overdose antidotes approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

WHY DO WE NEED OVERDOSE PREVENTION SERVICES?

Philadelphia is experiencing an overdose crisis of unprecedented proportion. In 2015, the city's rate of 46.8 drug overdose deaths per 100,000 residents dramatically outpaced those of Chicago (11.8) and New York (13.7).^{[4], [5]} In 2017, the 1,217 overdose deaths in Philadelphia ^[6] represented a 34 percent increase from 907 in 2016.^[7] Since 2009, overdose deaths in the city have risen by nearly 200 percent.^[8] Philadelphia has not had a public health crisis of this magnitude in more than 100 years.^[9] Across all racial and ethnic groups, more people have died from drug overdose than from homicide.^{[10], [11]}

This crisis led the Mayor's Task Force to Combat the Opioid Epidemic in Philadelphia to recommend that the city further explore implementing overdose prevention services and expand treatment access and capacity. Overdose prevention services have a long record of success in reducing harms of injecting heroin and other opioids.^[12]

WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF OVERDOSE PREVENTION SERVICES?

Overdose prevention services are part of a multifaceted public health approach to combating the opioid crisis. Extensive research has demonstrated the benefits of overdose prevention services for people who use drugs and the communities where drug use occurs.^{[13],[14],[15],[16]}

Overdose prevention services:

- Save lives by reducing the number of fatal drug overdoses through education on safer use practices, overdose prevention, and intervention.
- Reduce the spread of infectious diseases such as HIV and hepatitis C among people who use drugs by providing sterile consumption supplies.
- Connect people who use drugs with other health, treatment, and social services.
- Create a safer community by reducing drug use in public spaces and publicly discarded paraphernalia.

WHAT IS SAFEHOUSE?

Safehouse is a privately funded Pennsylvania nonprofit corporation whose mission is to save lives by providing a range of overdose prevention services.

The leaders and organizers of Safehouse are motivated by the Judeo-Christian beliefs ingrained in us from our religious schooling, our devout families and our practices of worship. At the core of our faith is the principle that preservation of human life overrides any other considerations.

Safehouse is working with community partners to find a suitable location(s) to deliver those services.

Safehouse's Board of Directors:

President and Treasurer

Jose A. Benitez, MSW

Executive Director, Prevention Point Philadelphia (http://ppponline.org/)

Vice President and Secretary

Ronda B. Goldfein, Esq.

Executive Director, AIDS Law Project of Pennsylvania (http://www.aidslawpa.org/)

Board member

Edward G. Rendell, Esq.

former Governor of Pennsylvania, former Mayor and District Attorney of Philadelphia (http://www.edwardrendell.com/)

Safehouse will receive guidance from an Advisory Committee of community leaders and health experts, including:

Ana V. Diez Roux, M.D., Ph.D., M.P.H.

Dean, Drexel University Dornsife School of Public Health (https://drexel.edu/dornsife/)

Thomas Farley, M.D., M.P.H.

Commissioner, Department of Public Health, City of Philadelphia (https://www.phila.gov/departments/department-of-public-health/)

Perry Halkitis, Ph.D.

Dean, School of Public Health, Rutgers University-New Brunswick (https://sph.rutgers.edu/)

David T. Jones, M.S.

Commissioner, Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual disAbility Services, City of Philadelphia (https://dbhids.org/)

Larry R. Kaiser, M.D., FACS

President and CEO Temple University Health System (https://www.templehealth.org/content/default.htm)

Sister Mary Scullion, R.S.M.

President and Executive Director, Project HOME (https://projecthome.org/)

WHAT CAN PARTICIPANTS EXPECT WHEN THEY COME TO SAFEHOUSE?

All participants must register and provide basic demographic information upon entry to the Safehouse facilities. A physical and mental health assessment will be conducted and a range of overdose prevention services offered.

Participants seeking supervised consumption will be directed to the medically supervised consumption room and provided sterile consumption equipment and fentanyl test strips. Participants will safely dispose of used consumption equipment before leaving the supervised consumption area. Under no circumstances will Safehouse make available any narcotic or opioid, other than those that are FDA-approved for treating opioid addiction.

From the consumption area, participants will be directed to the medically supervised observation room and offered on-site initiation of Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT), wound care, and referrals to primary care, social services, and housing opportunities. Participants may choose to go directly to the observation room to access MAT and other services.

Medically trained professionals will provide overdose reversal and other emergency care. Safehouse personnel will be available to advise on sterile injection technique in order to reduce the risks of skin infections, but will not place needles or administer any narcotic or opioid, nor encourage the use of any drug.

Certified peer specialists, recovery specialists, social workers, and case managers will encourage treatment readiness and facilitate access to medical and social services. As participants leave, additional data will be collected, medical and social services will be offered again, and naloxone will be distributed.

Registration

Assessment of physical and behavioral health Offer of services

Medically supervised consumption room

Sterile equipment

Fentanyl test strips

Overdose reversal and emergency care

Safe disposal of equipment

Medically supervised observation room

Overdose reversal and emergency care

Certified peer specialists

Offer of services

Medical services

Wound care

On-site initiation of MAT

Referrals to primary care

Wraparound social services

Referrals to social services, legal services, and housing opportunities

Check out

Additional data collection, offer of services, and naloxone distribution

WHAT WILL BE THE RULES OF CONDUCT FOR SAFEHOUSE PARTICIPANTS?

All participants will be expected to comply with rules to ensure the safety of participants, employees, volunteers, and the public. Safehouse will develop detailed policies and procedures, which it will post in a conspicuous place on location and on its website. Safehouse's rules of use include:

- No one under age 18 may use the services. Appropriate referrals will be provided to minors.
- · No drug dealing.
- No drug sharing.
- · No exchange of currency.
- · No sharing of consumption equipment.
- · No participant may help another consume drugs.
- · No staff person may help a participant consume drugs.
- Staff will not handle controlled substances.
- All participants must properly dispose of consumption equipment before leaving the premises.

WHO WILL WORK AT SAFEHOUSE?

Safehouse staff will include medically trained professionals, social workers, case managers, and certified peer specialists and/or recovery specialists. All staff will be trained in CPR and naloxone administration.

DO OTHER JURISDICTIONS OFFER SUPERVISED CONSUMPTION ROOMS AS PART OF OVERDOSE PREVENTION SERVICES?

The first government-authorized supervised consumption room opened more than 30 years ago in Switzerland. Today, more than 120 supervised consumption sites are operating in Europe, Australia, and Canada. The availability of supervised consumption services is increasing as research confirms the effectiveness and the advantages to the broader community.

DOES SUPERVISED CONSUMPTION SAVE LIVES?

Yes. No overdose deaths have been reported at any of the more than 120 supervised consumption sites worldwide. [17],[18] In Canada, the fatal overdose rate in the Downtown Eastside neighborhood of Vancouver, British Columbia, decreased by 35 percent after the city opened North America's first supervised consumption site. [19] Germany also experienced declines in overdose- and drug-related deaths in the years following the opening of a supervised consumption site. [20]

DO PEOPLE WHO USE SUPERVISED CONSUMPTION SITES SEEK TREATMENT?

Yes. Following the opening of Insite, a supervised consumption site in Vancouver, the use of detoxification services in the area increased by 30 percent.^[21] More than half (57 percent) of people in a cohort of more than 900 long-term injection drug users sought addiction treatment within 24 months of enrollment.^[22]

DO SUPERVISED CONSUMPTION SITES ENCOURAGE DRUG USE?

No. Research shows that when managed in cooperation with local authorities and police, supervised consumption sites do not increase public disorder problems by attracting additional users and dealers to a neighborhood. [23],[24] No credible evidence suggests that supervised consumption sites encourage increased drug use or initiate new users.

DO SUPERVISED CONSUMPTION SITES INCREASE NEIGHBORHOOD CRIME?

No. Considerable research on neighborhoods around safe consumption sites has shown no increase in crime.^[25] In fact, a decrease in drug-related crime has been reported.^{[26],[27]} Safehouse believes in a partnership with law enforcement and supports appropriate law enforcement measures to address public safety issues resulting from the opioid epidemic. Safehouse will actively discourage loitering.

WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS TO THE COMMUNITY?

Overdose prevention services will reduce fatal opioid overdoses. As Safehouse will provide immediate reversal in the event of overdoses, the strain on emergency medical services and health systems will be decreased. By reducing ambulance rides, emergency room trips, and hospital visits, overdose prevention services are expected to save Philadelphia at least \$2 million a year in health care costs. [28]

In addition, by providing a supervised place to consume drugs, fewer people will be using drugs on the streets. Less drug paraphernalia will be publicly discarded.

DOES THE LAW ALLOW OVERDOSE PREVENTION SERVICES LIKE THOSE PROVIDED BY SAFEHOUSE?

We believe it does. Safehouse's overdose prevention services are designed to save lives, which is consistent with the intent of federal drug laws.

We believe that 21 U.S. Code § 856 ("Section 856") was never intended to apply, and does not apply, to a nonprofit providing a good faith, public health approach to overdose prevention services, including a supervised consumption room. The purpose of a supervised consumption room is to carry out legitimate medical and public health initiatives that offer scientifically proven interventions effective for encouraging treatment and rehabilitation of individuals addicted to opioids.

Section 856 prohibits maintaining any place "for the purpose of . . . using any controlled substance." The purpose of a supervised consumption room is to save lives by preventing fatal overdoses and encouraging participants to enter into treatment. It is intended solely as a place to address the public health crisis of opioid addiction by providing harm reduction and emergency response in the event of an overdose or other medical emergency, in

addition to providing counseling about safer injection practices and referrals to other social and health services including referrals to addiction treatment, medical care, housing, and other related comprehensive social services.

The express statutory restrictions set forth under Section 856 are not clearly applicable to a supervised consumption room that will be utilized as part of Safehouse's holistic approach to saving lives and providing overdose prevention services.

Philadelphia has a history of creative public health initiatives and prosecutorial discretion. In 1992, then-Mayor Rendell and the Board of Health authorized by executive order Prevention Point Philadelphia's syringe exchange program to protect public health by preventing the transmission of HIV. Syringe exchange in Philadelphia has been

found to be an effective harm reduction method. Indeed, syringe exchange has reduced new HIV cases in injection drug users in Philadelphia by more than 95 percent, from 819 cases in 1992 when Prevention Point opened to just 27 cases in 2016.^[29]

Effective syringe exchange programs also increase the number of injection drug users referred to and retained in substance use treatment. In addition, they increase referral and entry opportunities for social services such as housing, case management, and medical care.^[30] Studies also have found that syringe exchange programs do not increase injection drug use.^[31]

WILL SAFEHOUSE SEEK A PARTNERSHIP WITH

LAW ENFORCEMENT?

Yes. Safehouse hopes to have a mutually beneficial, productive partnership with law enforcement, as we have a shared goal of making the community safer.

In Vancouver, police leaders strongly support overdose prevention services.

[33] Bill Spearn, a longtime inspector with the Vancouver Police Department, formerly a staunch opponent of the sites, now admits that he was wrong. In May 2018, he said: "If you want to keep these people alive long enough to get them into treatment, you have to give them a space to use."

In reflecting on the benefit of Vancouver's overdose prevention services, Spearn said "it made sense to me that the reason that the number of overdoses that I was attending, or my members were attending, had dropped significantly, was because of Insite."[34]

WILL DATA BE COLLECTED AT SAFEHOUSE?

Yes. Data will be collected on a range of information points, including: client demographics, needs assessments, utilization, and referrals for treatment. An evaluation of the impact of the services on overdose fatalities and use of drug treatment will be conducted. Data collection and analysis will be conducted in a manner that respects and preserves client privacy and confidentiality.

HOW CAN I FINANCIALLY SUPPORT THE EFFORTS OF SAFEHOUSE?

If you'd like to support Safehouse, please send donations to:

Safehouse

PO Box 36788

Philadelphia, PA 19107

Or click here to donate via PayPal (https://www.paypal.com/cgi-bin/webscr? cmd= s-xclick&hosted_button_id=2D9UWP35AHDMW).

At this time, contributions to Safehouse are not tax-deductible for federal income tax purposes.

REFERENCES:

- American Medical Association (2017, June 12) AMA Wants New
 Approaches to Combat Synthetic and Injectable Drugs [Press release].
 Retrieved Sept. 12, 2018, from https://www.ama-assn.org/ama-wants-new-approaches-combat-synthetic-and-injectable-drugs
 (https://www.ama-assn.org/ama-wants-new-approaches-combat-synthetic-and-injectable-drugs)
 - back to footnote 1 source
- City of Philadelphia, Department of Public Health, Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual disAbility Services, Office of the Mayor (2018, Jan. 23). City Announces Progress on Opioid Task Force

- Recommendations [Press release]. Retrieved Sept. 9, 2018, from https://www.phila.gov/2018-01-23-city-announces-progress-on-opioid-task-force-recommendations/ (https://www.phila.gov/2018-01-23-city-announces-progress-on-opioid-task-force-recommendations/). back to footnote 2 source
- Logan, D.E. & Marlatt, G.A., Harm Reduction Therapy: A Practice-Friendly Review of Research, NCBI (Feb. 2010), available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3928290/
 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3928290/) ("As described, harm reduction interventions are demonstrably effective for alcohol and substance abuse in many settings and with many populations. They are also effective in recruiting a larger proportion of afflicted clients and in reaching several populations (e.g., worksite, homeless) that conventional treatment programs rarely reach.").
- Division of Health Data and Policy, Illinois Department of Public Health,
 State of Illinois Comprehensive Opioid Data Report, Dec. 4 2017, from
 http://dph.illinois.gov/sites/default/files/publications/publicationsdoilopioid-data-report.pdf
 (http://dph.illinois.gov/sites/default/files/publications/publicationsdoilopioid-data-report.pdf); Paone, D. Tuazon, E., Nolan, M., & Mantha, S.,
 "Unintentional Drug Poisoning (Overdose) Deaths Involving Heroin
 and/or Fentanyl in New York City, 2000–2015," New York City
 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene: Epi Data Brief August 2016
 (74), from

- https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/doh/downloads/pdf/epi/databrief74.pdf (https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/doh/downloads/pdf/epi/databrief74.pdf). back to footnote 4 source
- The Mayor's Task Force to Combat the Opioid Epidemic in Philadelphia, Final Report and Recommendations. 2017: Philadelphia, PA. from https://dbhids.org/wpcontent/uploads/2017/04/OTF_Report.pdf. (https://dbhids.org/wpcontent/uploads/2017/04/OTF_Report.pdf.)
 back to footnote 5 source
- City of Philadelphia, Department of Public Health (2018, April). Fatal
 Drug Overdoses in Philadelphia, 2017. from
 https://www.phila.gov/health/pdfs/chart%20v3e1.pdf
 (https://www.phila.gov/health/pdfs/chart%20v3e1.pdf)
 back to footnote 6 source
- Ibid.
 back to footnote 7 source
- Office of the Medical Examiner, Philadelphia Department of Public
 Health. (2018). Unintentional Drug Related Deaths by Year 2003-2017.
 Retrieved on Oct 1, 2018 from
 https://public.tableau.com/profile/pdph#!/vizhome/UnintentionalDrugRelate
 (https://public.tableau.com/profile/pdph#!/vizhome/UnintentionalDrugRelate
 back to footnote 8 source
- Combating the opioid epidemic | Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual disAbility Services (n.d.). Retrieved Sept. 14, 2018, from https://www.phila.gov/programs/combating-the-opioid-epidemic/

(https://www.phila.gov/programs/combating-the-opioid-epidemic/) back to footnote 9 source

Ibid.

back to footnote 10 source

Mayor's Task Force to Combat the Opioid Epidemic (2017), supra note
 5.

back to footnote 11 source

Ibid.
 back to footnote 12 source

- Wood, E. (2004). Changes in public order after the opening of a medically supervised safer injecting facility for illicit injection drug users.
 Canadian Medical Association Journal, 171(7), 731-734.
 doi:10.1503/cmaj.1040774
 back to footnote 13 source
- Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Lai, C., Montaner, J. S., & Kerr, T. (2006). Impact of a medically supervised safer injecting facility on drug dealing and other drug-related crime [Abstract]. Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy,1(13), 1-4. doi:10.1186/1747-597X-1- 13; Supervised Injection Services. (2018, August 20). Retrieved Sept. 13, 2018, from https://www.toronto.ca/community-people/health-wellness-care/health-programs-advice/supervised-injection-services/ (https://www.toronto.ca/community-people/health-wellness-care/health-programs-advice/supervised-injection-services/) back to footnote 14 source

- Kerr, T., PhD, Tyndall, M. W., MD, Zhang, R., MSc, Lai, C., MMath, Montaner, J. S., MD, & Wood, E., PhD. (2007). Circumstances of First Injection Among Illicit Drug Users Accessing a Medically Supervised Safer Injecting Facility. American Journal of Public Health,97(7), 128-130. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.086256.
 back to footnote 15 source
- Wood, E., Tyndall, M. W., Zhang, R., Montaner, J. S., & Kerr, T. (2007).
 Rate of detoxification service use and its impact among a cohort of supervised injecting facility users. Addiction, 102(6), 916-919.
 doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.01818.x
 back to footnote 16 source
- Alternatives To Public Injection, Harm Reduction Coalition (2016) from https://harmreduction.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Alternatives-to-Pu... (https://harmreduction.org/wpcontent/uploads/2016/05/Alternatives-to-Public-Injection-report.pdf)
 back to footnote 17 source
- Kerr, T., Tyndall, M. W., Lai, C., Montaner, J. S., & Wood, E. (2006).
 Drug-related overdoses within a medically supervised safer injection facility. International Journal of Drug Policy,17(5), 436-441.
 doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2006.05.008
 back to footnote 18 source
- Marshall, B. D., Milloy, M., Wood, E., Montaner, J. S., & Kerr, T. (2011).
 Reduction in overdose mortality after the opening of North Americas
 first medically supervised safer injecting facility: A retrospective
 population-based study. *The Lancet*, 377(9775), 1429-1437.

doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(10)62353-7 back to footnote 19 source

Degkwitz, P., Haasen, C., Verthein, U., and Zurhold, H. (2003). Drug
Consumption Rooms in Hamburg, Germany: Evaluation of the Effects
on Harm Reduction and the Reduction of Public Nuisance. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 33, 663-688.

back to footnote 20 source

- Wood, et al. (2007), supra note 16.
 back to footnote 21 source
- DeBeck K., Kerr T., Bird L., et al. "Injection Drug Use Cessation and Use of North America's First Medically Supervised Safer Injecting Facility." Drug Alcohol Depend. 2011 Jan. 15; 113(2-3): 172–176. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.07.023.
 back to footnote 22 source
- Vancouver's INSITE Service and Other Supervised Injection Sites: What Has Been Learned from Research? - Final Report of the Expert Advisory Committee on Supervised Injection Site Research (2008, April 3). Health Canada. Retrieved Sept. 13, 2018, from https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/corporate/about-health-canada/reports-publications/vancouver-insite-service-other-supervised-injection-sites-what-been-learned-research.html#pub (https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/corporate/about-health-canada/reports-publications/vancouver-insite-service-other-supervised-injection-sites-what-been-learned-research.html#pub). back to footnote 23 source

- Kerr, T., et al. (2007), supra note 15.
 back to footnote 24 source
- Wood, E., et al. (2006), supra note 14.
 back to footnote 25 source
- Wood, E. (2004), supra note 13.
 back to footnote 26 source
- Supervised Injection Services. (2018, Aug. 20), supra note 14.
 back to footnote 27 source
- Larson, S., Padron, N., Mason, J. & Bogaczyk (2017) Supervised
 Consumption Facilities Review of the Evidence. Retreived from
 https://healthdocbox.com/Substance_Abuse/72880022-Supervised consumption-facilities-review-of-the-evidence-sharon-larson-phd norma-padron-phd-jennifer-mason-tyler-bogaczyk.html
 (https://healthdocbox.com/Substance_Abuse/72880022-Supervised consumption-facilities-review-of-the-evidence-sharon-larson-phd norma-padron-phd-jennifer-mason-tyler-bogaczyk.html)
 back to footnote 28 source
- Philadelphia Department of Public Health, AIDS Activities Coordinating
 Office Surveillance Report, 2016. Philadelphia, PA: City of Philadelphia;
 September 2017.
 - back to footnote 29 source
- Des Jarlain, D., & Braine, N., Assessing syringe exchange programs
 (2004). Addiction, 99(9), 1081-1082. doi:10.1111/j.1360 0443.2004.00800.x
 back to footnote 30 source

- Frakt, A., Ph.D. (2016, Sept. 2). Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of syringe exchange programs [Web log post]. AcademyHealth.org,
 Retrieved Sept. 24, 2018, from
 https://www.academyhealth.org/node/2211
 (https://www.academyhealth.org/node/2211)
 back to footnote 31 source
- Whelan, A., A Vancouver cop tells Philadelphia why he changed his
 mind on safe injection sites, Philly.com (https://Philly.com), Apr. 3 2018,
 http://www2.philly.com/philly/health/addiction/safe-injection-sitesopioids-philadelphia-vancouver-temple-university-20180403.html
 (http://www2.philly.com/philly/health/addiction/safe-injection-sitesopioids-philadelphia-vancouver-temple-university-20180403.html)
 back to footnote 32 source
- Mannarino, D., Inside supervised injection sites: How they work in the fight against opioid crisis, WPIX11 NY, May 8 2018, https://pix11.com/2018/05/08/inside-supervised-injection-sites-how-they-work-in-the-fight-against-opioid-crisis/ (https://pix11.com/2018/05/08/inside-supervised-injection-sites-how-they-work-in-the-fight-against-opioid-crisis/)
 back to footnote 33 source
- Gordon, Elana, Lessons from Vancouver: U.S. cities consider supervised injection facilities, WHYY, July 5, 2018, https://whyy.org/segments/lessons-from-vancouver-u-s-cities-consider-supervised-injection-facilities/ (https://whyy.org/segments/lessons-from-

vancouver-u-s-cities-consider-supervised-injection-facilities/)
back to footnote 34 source

ABOUT

Articles of Incorporation (/about/articles-incorporation)

Frequently Asked Questions (/about/faqs)

Log in (/user/login)



William M. McSwain United States Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

615 Chestnut Street Suite 1250 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106-4476 (215) 861-8200

November 9, 2018

Via Certified Mail (Return Receipt Requested) and First Class Mail

Jose A. Benitez, M.S.W.
President
Ronda B. Goldfein, Esquire
Vice President
Safehouse
c/o Prevention Point Philadelphia
2913-15 Kensington Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19134

Re: Safehouse/Proposed Injection Site

Dear Mr. Benitez and Ms. Goldfein:

Earlier this month, Safehouse announced its formation as a nonprofit and intention to open at least one facility in Philadelphia where, among other things, "participants" could inject controlled substances such as heroin and fentanyl in a "consumption room" under medical supervision. It also plans to offer onsite medical care and referral services such as wound care, onsite initiation of medication-assisted treatment for substance abuse, and referrals to primary care. In addition, it will offer a series of "wrap-around social services" such as referrals to social services, legal services, and housing opportunities.

While the U.S. Attorney's Office supports many of the services that Safehouse proposes to offer, including the medical and social referral services, Safehouse's proposed "consumption room" for injection of illicit drugs would violate federal law. Specifically, Title 21, United States Code, Section 856 provides in relevant part that "it shall be unlawful to":

- (a)(1) knowingly open or maintain any place for the purpose of manufacturing, distributing, or using any controlled substance;
- (a)(2) manage or control any place whether permanently or temporarily, either as an owner, lessee, agent, employee, occupant, or mortgagee, and knowingly and intentionally rent, lease, profit from, or make available for use, with or without

Safehouse c/o Prevention Point Philadelphia November 9, 2018 Page Two

compensation, the place for the purpose of unlawfully manufacturing, storing, distributing, or using a controlled substance.

Section 856(a)(2), in particular, encompasses a broad range of relationships and conduct. It reaches a person or entity who has management or control over a place made available for the unlawful use of controlled substances, whether "permanently" or "temporarily." It covers not only landlords, but also lessees, agents, employees, occupants, and even mortgagees (i.e., lending institutions). It applies whether the place is made available "with or without compensation," explicitly encompassing a situation such as this one where Safehouse does not plan to profit from the use of the property. Moreover, the statute makes no exception for entities, such as Safehouse, who claim a benevolent purpose or purpose other than the use of controlled substances. See, e.g., United States v. Tamez, 941 F.2d 770, 774 (9th Cir. 1991).

Please ensure that your organization, board members, and employees comply with federal law. The Department of Justice will pursue appropriate legal remedies should you fail to ensure your organization's compliance.

The Department of Justice is committed to ending the opioid epidemic through prevention, enforcement, and treatment efforts. We recognize that Safehouse and its proponents share our goal of combatting the scourge of opioid abuse. I appreciated the recent opportunity to tour Prevention Point with Mr. Benitez and I thank Ms. Goldfein for proactively contacting my office to keep us apprised of Safehouse's intentions. Many of the services Safehouse intends to provide appear worthwhile and commendable. While we do not and cannot approve of Safehouse's "consumption room," we invite a continuing dialogue with you to hear more about your proposal and to discuss how we can work together to fight this epidemic within existing federal law.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM M. McSWAIN United States Attorney

will me

Safehouse

A public health approach to overdose prevention in Philadelphia

November 26, 2018

2019 NOV 30 P 3: 07

William M. McSwain
U.S. Department of Justice
U.S. Attorney
Eastern District of Pennsylvania
615 Chestnut Street
Suite 1250
Philadelphia, PA 19106-4476

Dear U.S. Attorney McSwain:

Thank you for letter of November 9 and the invitation to continue the dialogue about our efforts to provide overdose prevention services. We are grateful for a Department of Justice that embraces the need to combat the scourge of opioid abuse.

To ensure candor in our ongoing dialogue, we would like to share our thoughts about this initiative.

We respectfully disagree with the conclusion that Safehouse's proposed consumption room would violate federal law. The legislative intent of Title 21, United States Code, Section 856 is to prohibit individuals from knowingly allowing their property to be used for the purpose of distributing or using drugs for profit. We believe that a proper and constitutional application of Section 856 does not prohibit our primary purpose of preventing fatal overdoses.

Overdose prevention is part of a multifaceted public health approach to combating the opioid crisis. Extensive research has demonstrated the benefits of overdose prevention services for people who use drugs and the communities where drug use occurs. For more on the services to be offered, please see safehousephilly.org.

Moreover, the leaders and organizers of Safehouse are motivated by the Judeo-Christian beliefs ingrained in us from our religious schooling, our devout families and our practices of worship. At the core of our faith is the principle that preservation of human life overrides any other considerations. As witnesses to great losses of life in our community, we are compelled by our religious beliefs to take action to save lives.

Finally, we hope that the U.S. Attorney's office will exercise prosecutorial discretion in assessing our proposed overdose prevention services. This is not a request that your office approve or ignore Safehouse's proposed consumption room, but rather that the

same discretion in prosecution, that is shown in a range of activities that may be considered unlawful, be exercised here.

We welcome the opportunity to meet and discuss our shared goals of fighting this epidemic.

Respectfully,

Jose A. Benitez, M.S.W.

Ronda B. Goldfein Esq.