## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

)	CASE NO. 4:23CV0242
)	
)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
)	CASE MANAGEMENT
)	CONFERENCE ORDER
)	
)	CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN
)	<u>LR 16.1(b)(4)</u>
	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )

- 1. A Case Management Conference was conducted via Zoom.com on June 26, 2023.
- 2. The following parties were present:
  - A. Plaintiffs: Jon Luke Affeltranger, Harold R. Feezle, Susan E. Scheufele, Rosemary Mozuch, Charles Mozuch, Lance Beck, James Ross, Steven McKay, Dawn Baughman, David Anderson, Gregory Swan, and Clarissa Cohan
  - B. Defendants: Attorney Joe Carpenter as party representative
- 3. The following attorneys were present:
  - A. Plaintiffs' counsel: Seth A. Katz, M. Elizabeth Graham, Jayne Conroy, Michael Morgan, Adam Gomez, Jo Anna Pollock, David Buchanan, Max Kelly, Melanie S. Bailey, Kelly K. Iverson, Dena R. Young, Margaret M. Murray, and Ashlie Case Sletvold

- B. Defendants' counsel: Alan E. Schoenfeld, Monica McCarroll, Davina Pujari, J. Lawson Johnston, Scott D. Clements, Jonathan M. Redgrave, Aaron M. Ponzo, Albinas Prizgintas, Paul A. Roman, Jr., and Michelle Liszt Sandals
- 4. Attorney T. Michael Morgan Morgan & Morgan, P.A. represents individuals and entities who have elected to file cases outside the class action, but are not opposed to the class structure. *See* Order (ECF No. 28) at PageID #: 563 n. 3. Plaintiff Josh Turner (Case No. 4:23-cv-00870) filed a Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 94). He has no right to a "hybrid" form of representation by which he is represented by counsel, but also acts simultaneously as his own counsel. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1654 ("In all courts of the United States the parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally *or* by counsel. . . . ") (emphasis added). "[A plaintiff] is not entitled to hybrid representation, and the Sixth Circuit and other courts in this circuit routinely strike and/or refuse to consider *pro se* pleadings filed by represented parties." *Chasteen v. Jackson*, No. 1:09-cv-413, 2012 WL 1564493, at \*3 (S.D. Ohio May 3, 2012) (citing *United States v. Flowers*, 428 Fed.Appx. 526, 530 (6th Cir. 2011)).
  - 5. A Discovery Plan (ECF No. 62) was filed on May 23, 2023.

## REGISTRATION FOR RECEIPT OF ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS

In order to effectively use the electronic filing system and retrieve documents from the electronic filing system, users, including members of the public, must have a PACER (Public Access to Court Electronic Records) account. NextGen CM/ECF registration for e-filing and notification is required in the Northern District of Ohio unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Register online at <a href="https://www.pacer.gov/">https://www.pacer.gov/</a>; and the registration form can be completed at: <a href="https://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/pscof/registration.jsf">https://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/pscof/registration.jsf</a>

NextGen CM/ECF Registration for the Northern District of Ohio is located on the Court's web site at: https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/electronic-filing-registration

Once registration is completed and approved by the Court, the party will be notified via email that filing privileges have been granted. The user's login and password required to submit

documents to the electronic filing system serve as the user's signature on all electronic documents filed with the Court.

The Clerk's Office has established an Electronic Filing Help Desk at 1-800-355-8498 to answer questions and provide assistance should difficulties arise.

## RULINGS ON ADDITIONAL MATTERS PURSUANT TO LR 16.3(b)(2)

- 6. After consultation with the parties and counsel, the Court determined that this case will proceed on the Mass Torts Track.
- 7. This case is not suitable for Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), at this time. The parties believe that this case, or certain parts of it, may be suitable for ADR, and they are considering and discussing the appropriateness, timing, and form of any such ADR.
- 8. The parties do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), at this time.
- 9. There are no case-specific rulings as to the type and extent of discovery at this time. Parties are to follow the limits established by the Local and Federal civil rules. The parties' discussions concerning the appropriate number of interrogatories, depositions, and requests for admission are ongoing.
- 10. The parties have agreed as to form to a method for conducting discovery of electronically-stored information ("ESI") and hard-copy documents by Defendants. *See* Proposed Order for Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("E-Discovery") (ECF No. 95). On or before July 17, 2023, the parties shall submit to the Court a separately negotiated Stipulation and Order that will govern the production of discoverable ESI and hard-copy documents by Plaintiffs.

- 11. Fact Discovery shall be completed on or before January 5, 2024.
- (A) On or before April 1, 2024, the party that has the burden of proof on an issue(s) shall identify its retained expert witness(es) and provide opposing counsel with a written expert report(s).
- (B) The party that has no burden of proof on an issue(s) shall identify its retained expert(s), if any, and submit an opposing written report(s) to opposing counsel on or before May 3, 2024.
- (C) Rebuttal report(s), if any, that respond to any new information or issues raised by experts for the party that has no burden of proof on an issue(s) shall be submitted to opposing counsel on or before May 17, 2024.

A party may take a discovery deposition of its opponent's expert witness only after the exchange of reports has occurred. The discovery deposition of an opponent's expert witness shall be completed on or before July 1, 2024. If a party chooses not to use its own expert witness, it will be permitted to take the discovery deposition of its opponent's expert witness only after submitting a written statement advising the Court and opposing counsel to that effect.

A party may not call an expert witness to testify unless a written report prepared and signed by the witness has been procured and provided to opposing counsel. The report shall contain a complete statement of all opinions of the expert as to each issue on which she will testify and the basis and reasons therefor; the data or other information considered by the witness in forming the opinions; any exhibits to be used as a summary of or support for the opinions; the qualifications of the witness, including a list of all publications authored by the witness within the previous 10 years; the compensation to be paid for the study and testimony in the case; and a listing of any other cases in which the witness has testified as an expert at trial or by deposition within the preceding four years. An expert will not be permitted to testify or provide opinions on issues not raised in her report.

12. A party shall file a motion(s) to strike report and/or exclude testimony of an expert witness, if any, on or before August 2, 2024. A Response shall be filed by September 3, 2024

and Reply by September 16, 2024. On or before September 3, 2024, the parties shall file a Joint Notice regarding the necessity of a *Daubert* hearing.

- 13. Either a stipulation to class certification or Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification shall be served and filed on or before August 2, 2024. A Response shall be filed by September 3, 2024 and Reply by September 16, 2024.
- 14. Counsel shall comply with <u>LR 37.1</u>, which outlines a process for resolution of discovery disputes steps that must be taken in advance of a party's filing of a discovery motion. The first step requires the parties to make a sincere, good faith effort to resolve the dispute. Next, if the parties' sincere, good faith efforts have failed to resolve the dispute, the Court should be given informal notice, along with a certification of the effort made. This notice can be made by letter or a telephone call to chambers with both sides' counsel on the line. The Court may then choose to hold a telephonic conference or take other steps to resolve the dispute. If the Court is unable to resolve the dispute, the Court may authorize the filing of a discovery motion. <u>LR 37.1</u>. A discovery motion should only be filed *after* having been solicited by the Court.
- 15. **Regarding the handling of disclosed privileged material:** The parties have not reached an agreement regarding the handling of disclosed privileged material, but are continuing to discuss the contours of any such agreement. *See, e.g.*, Stipulation (ECF No. 28-2). On or before June 30, 2023, the parties shall submit a Stipulation or Joint Notice regarding the handling of disclosed privileged material. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(iv) and 26(b)(5)(B).
- 16. Without leave of Court, no discovery or disclosure materials may be filed, except as necessary to support dispositive motions. If a party intends to rely on deposition testimony in

Plaintiffs have proposed the appointment of a Special Master under Fed. R. Civ. P. 53 to consider any discovery disputes that may arise during the litigation. See ECF No. 62 at PageID #: 936, ¶ 7(b).

support of its position on a motion, the Court prefers the filing of the entire deposition rather than excerpts, unless the party truly believes that excerpts are sufficient, and with the proviso that any other party who believes the excerpts offered are not sufficient is free to file the entire deposition. In any event, discovery and disclosure materials submitted in support of any party's position shall be filed at the same time as that party's memorandum setting forth its position.

- 17. The cutoff to amend pleadings and add parties is August 18, 2023. Also see Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) (requiring a showing that an amendment is proper). The cutoff date, however, is merely a time limitation not a blanket leave. A party must still demonstrate that an amendment is proper under Rule 15(a). Absent written consent of the adverse party, the party seeking to amend at least must alert the Court and the adverse party to the substance of the proposed amendment by filing a Motion for Leave with an accompanying memorandum of law addressing the requirements of Rule 15(a). In all cases, the party seeking leave of Court to amend must certify that prior notice of the proposed amendment was given and the adverse party withheld consent.
- 18. Before a party may file a dispositive motion, it must submit a written request for judgment or to be dismissed to opposing counsel. Opposing counsel shall either agree to the judgment or request for dismissal or shall give explicit reasons in writing for refusing to do so. Upon such refusal, the party shall reassess its position and may file a dispositive motion if the party believes it is still entitled to summary judgment or dismissal. The dispositive motion must be accompanied by a statement certifying that this exchange has occurred.

The cutoff for filing dispositive motions is August 2, 2024. Responses shall be filed by September 3, 2024 and Replies by September 16, 2024.

Lead counsel of record shall confer with one another in person in order to prepare written stipulations as to all uncontested facts to be presented by the dispositive motion. The stipulations shall be filed with the Court on or before August 2, 2024. If there are no stipulations, a joint notice stating same shall be filed by the same date. These are mandatory requirements.

- 19. The next Status Conference will be held on September 27, 2023, at 12:00 p.m. Noon. The conference will be conducted *via* telephone unless circumstances require otherwise. Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs are to set up the conference call by joining the other participants and calling the Court at (330) 884-7435. Parties' attendance is welcome, but not mandatory. Counsel should notify the Court in advance of a Status Conference if the matter has settled.
- 20. **Protective orders:** The parties have not reached an agreement concerning the handling of disclosed privileged material, but are continuing to discuss the contours of any such agreement. On or before June 30, 2023, the parties shall submit a Stipulated Protective Order or a Joint Notice regarding confidentiality. Any proposed order will be rejected by the Court unless it provides that, when materials designated "confidential" are used in support of or in opposition to a motion, the party so using the material shall file the document under seal. *See* Electronic Filing Policies and Procedures Manual at §§ 19 and 24. Manually filed sealed documents shall not be presented to the Court unless electronic filing is not possible. The proposed order shall also provide that the parties agree to be bound by its terms, during the litigation, prior and subsequent to entry by the Court. An agreed protective order which complies with the above will be approved, but with the understanding that, in the event this case should go to trial, no materials used in open court shall be entitled to the continuing designation of "confidential."

If any party seeks to obtain judgment by either a motion to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment, only supporting confidential documentation, not the motions themselves, may be filed under seal.

- 21. Counsel shall comply with <u>LR 5.2</u>. See pages 9-12 of the Electronic Filing Policies and Procedures Manual for further instructions.
  - 22. Additional Rulings Regarding Motions <u>LR 7.1(b)-(j)</u> (12/16):

The Court will strictly enforce provisions regarding length of memoranda filed in support of motions. *See* LR 7.1(f). Motions for relief from the length restrictions must show good cause

Case: 4:23-cv-00242-BYP Doc #: 98 Filed: 06/28/23 8 of 8. PageID #: 1171

(4:23CV0242)

for such relief and must be made sufficiently in advance to permit the Court to rule and the Clerk's Office to issue the ruling. Motions for relief from length restrictions which are filed contemporaneously with the memorandum exceeding the page limits will be denied.

The Court will permit only the motion with its supporting memorandum, the memorandum in opposition, and a reply. No sur-replies will be permitted absent advance leave of Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 28, 2023 /s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Date

Benita Y. Pearson

United States District Judge