

**PORTALE RANDAZZO**

Richard A. Portale  
[rportale@portalerandazzo.com](mailto:rportale@portalerandazzo.com)  
245 Main Street  
White Plains, NY 10601

**SAMINI BLOCK APC**

Bobby Samini (*pro hac vice pending*)  
[bobby.samini@saminilaw.com](mailto:bobby.samini@saminilaw.com)  
Benjamin M. Cutchshaw (*pro hac vice pending*)  
[benjamin.cutchshaw@saminilaw.com](mailto:benjamin.cutchshaw@saminilaw.com)  
650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1500  
Costa Mesa, California 92626  
Telephone: (949) 724-0900

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
FLOYD MAYWEATHER, JR.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

FLOYD MAYWEATHER, JR., an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL GEIGER, an individual;  
INSIDER, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1–100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**INTRODUCTION**

1  
2 1. Floyd Mayweather, Jr., a celebrated athlete and entrepreneur, has  
3 consistently demonstrated integrity and transparency in his business dealings,  
4 particularly in his ventures into real estate, which aim to empower communities  
5 through his substantial investments. Despite his commendable efforts, Daniel  
6 Geiger, a reporter for Business Insider, has embarked on a campaign of harassment  
7 and defamation, characterized by aggressive and misleading journalism that not  
8 only distorts the truth but seems driven by a deep-seated bias against Mr.  
9 Mayweather’s success. Mr. Geiger's articles, filled with inaccuracies and devoid of  
10 any substantive evidence, have been published despite clear refutations and  
11 corrections provided by Mr. Mayweather's team. This pattern of behavior reveals a  
12 reckless disregard for the truth, aimed at tarnishing Mr. Mayweather's reputation  
13 and undermining his business efforts, which have been nothing short of  
14 transformative for many underserved communities. The actions of Mr. Geiger,  
15 repeated despite multiple notices and corrections, compel us to seek redress  
16 through this court to uphold Mr. Mayweather's rights and rectify the injustices he  
17 has endured.

18 2. Specifically, beginning in March 2025, Daniel Geiger, a reporter  
19 employed by Business Insider, Inc. (“BI”), undertook a calculated campaign to  
20 undermine Mr. Mayweather’s ventures and humiliate Mr. Mayweather publicly.  
21 Mr. Geiger bombarded Mr. Mayweather’s partners, employees, friends, and family  
22 with *hundreds* of hostile telephone calls, misrepresented himself, ignored  
23 documentary proof of ownership, and then published demonstrably false  
24 statements portraying Mr. Mayweather as a fraud who “exaggerates” his holdings.

25 3. Mr. Geiger acted with actual malice. He refused to review closing  
26 documents offered to him, never visited the buildings owned by Mr. Mayweather’s  
27 portfolio, distorted off-the-record remarks, and relied on unnamed “sources” (some  
28 of who had personal vendettas against Mr. Mayweather) whose assertions were

1 facially inconsistent with documents Mr. Geiger was provided, but refused to  
2 review. Mr. Geiger also displayed clear racial bias. Anecdotally, among the 281  
3 Instagram accounts he follows, fewer than one percent are Black, and multiple  
4 witnesses have heard Mr. Geiger deride Mr. Mayweather as an “uneducated  
5 dropout” who “shouldn’t own New York real estate.”

6 4. Mr. Geiger’s March 31, 2025 BI article—“Floyd Mayweather Jr.  
7 bragged about a \$400 million property deal. There’s just one problem.”—has  
8 already caused (a) lost lease opportunities, (b) emergency rent-freeze concessions,  
9 (c) reputational injury exceeding tens of millions of dollars, and (d) severe  
10 emotional distress.

11 5. Mr. Mayweather now sues Defendants in the state whose laws they  
12 invoked, under New York common and statutory law.

13 **PARTIES**

14 6. Plaintiff Floyd Mayweather, Jr. is an individual with a domicile in Las  
15 Vegas, Nevada.

16 7. Defendant Daniel Geiger is an individual with a domicile in New  
17 York, New York.

18 8. Defendant Insider, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal  
19 place of business at 1 Liberty Street, New York, NY, 10006, and is therefore  
20 considered a citizen of New York for jurisdictional purposes.

21 9. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names of Does 1–20 and will amend  
22 when their identities are learned.

23 **JURISDICTION**

24 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims presented in  
25 this complaint pursuant to the laws of the State of New York. The claims arise  
26 under state law and involve legal principles and statutes applicable within the State  
27 of New York, thereby vesting jurisdiction in this Court.

28

1 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because the  
2 Defendants reside in, conduct business in, or have committed actionable offenses  
3 within the State of New York. The actions that give rise to this complaint occurred  
4 within New York, and as such, Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts with  
5 New York to permit this Court to exercise personal jurisdiction in accordance with  
6 the due process principles established by the United States Constitution.

7 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 12. Plaintiff has invested hundreds of millions of dollars in real estate  
9 holdings in New York, including affordable-housing properties in Upper  
10 Manhattan.

11 13. Transaction documents confirm Mr. Mayweather’s ownership and  
12 control interests in the real-estate portfolios involved.

13 14. From March 3 to March 15, 2025, Mr. Mayweather and his advisors  
14 repeatedly invited Mr. Geiger to examine the executed transaction documents  
15 validating the legitimacy of Mr. Mayweather’s holdings.

16 15. Mr. Geiger *refused* every invitation to review the materials.

17 16. Throughout March 2025, Mr. Geiger placed *hundreds* of calls to Mr.  
18 Mayweather’s advisors, CFO, property managers, leasing agents, and even  
19 personal friends and relatives. Many calls occurred past 10 p.m. Eastern. When  
20 recipients asked Mr. Geiger to stop, he persisted.

21 17. On March 19, 2025, Mr. Geiger twice spoke with advisor James  
22 McNair. After McNair terminated the call, Mr. Geiger rang back and accused  
23 McNair of being an “imposter” and threatened to publish that McNair’s voice  
24 “doesn’t match.” Mr. Geiger later printed that insinuation in the article.

25 18. Several witnesses (Frist, McNair, and others) attest that Mr. Geiger  
26 remarked:

27 a. “I don’t see how a school-dropout boxer winds up buying these  
28 properties,”

- 1 b. Mr. Mayweather “should stick to sneakers and watches,” and
- 2 c. “Real estate is run by educated people who earned their way.”

3 19. Mr. Geiger further asked Frist, “How did you end up working for a  
4 Black guy in real estate?”

5 20. A March 25 2025 screen capture of Mr. Geiger’s public Instagram  
6 shows that, out of 281 accounts he follows, only five belong to Black individuals—  
7 three of which are Mr. Mayweather’s official pages.

8 21. Further fueling Mr. Geiger’s animus, Mr. Mayweather has repeatedly  
9 and publicly voiced support for both (i) President Donald J. Trump and (ii) the  
10 State of Israel—positions he restated during nationally televised interviews.  
11 Multiple witnesses attest that Mr. Geiger declared he was “vehemently anti-  
12 Trump,” stated he “can’t stand anyone waving the MAGA flag,” and mocked Mr.  
13 Mayweather for “parroting right-wing talking points about Israel.” Mr. Geiger’s  
14 admitted antipathy toward Trump supporters, coupled with Mr. Mayweather’s  
15 outspoken endorsements, supplied an additional, improper motive for Mr. Geiger’s  
16 defamatory narrative and underscores his actual malice.

17 22. Despite the undisputed documentation offered, Business Insider  
18 published Mr. Geiger’s piece titled “Floyd Mayweather Jr. bragged about a \$400  
19 million property deal. There’s just one problem.” The article falsely states, *inter*  
20 *alia*, that:

- 21 a. “There is no evidence there has been a sale.”
- 22 b. Mr. Mayweather “purchased only a small minority ownership  
23 interest.”
- 24 c. Mr. Mayweather’s real-estate claims are “exaggerated.”
- 25 d. NYCHP “has not been advised of any sale.”

26 23. Every statement above is materially false. Mr. Geiger possessed—or  
27 maliciously ignored—documents disproving each assertion.

28

1           24. Several institutional capital partners contacted Mr. Mayweather’s  
2 team to “confirm whether Floyd really owns anything,” delaying separate equity  
3 raises costing Plaintiff millions of dollars in potential investments.

4           25. Between 2020 and 2024, Mr. Geiger authored opinion pieces  
5 lamenting the near-absence of Blacks in NYC commercial real estate, repeatedly  
6 inferring that major transactions by Black investors are publicity stunts.

7           26. Mr. Geiger never disclosed that bias nor sought comment from any of  
8 the Portfolio’s minority tenants—despite Mr. Mayweather’s team providing contact  
9 information for them.

10           27. Industry analysts estimate that rumors of ownership exaggeration can  
11 suppress property valuations by 5–10%. Applying even a 5% discount to Mr.  
12 Mayweather’s holdings questioned by Mr. Geiger yields an additional \$21.3  
13 million in damage.

14           28. Mr. Geiger’s refusal to review offered documents, reliance on  
15 unnamed sources contradicting public filings, racial bias, and admitted knowledge  
16 of the \$85 million wire satisfy the “actual malice” standard.

17           29. Moreover, the volume, tone, and timing of Mr. Geiger’s calls—after  
18 multiple “do-not-call” requests—meet the “repeated conduct” threshold for  
19 intentional infliction and prima facie tort under New York law.

20           30. Mr. Geiger’s remarks about Mr. Mayweather’s race, education, and  
21 political views demonstrate improper motive, supporting punitive damages.

22           31. Responsible reporters routinely (a) review transaction documents,  
23 (b) search UCC and option filings, and (c) seek on-site tenant verification before  
24 calling a public figure a liar. *Mr. Geiger did none of these.*

25  
26  
27  
28

1 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **Defamation (Libel & Libel per se)**  
3 *(Against All Defendants)*

4 32. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing  
5 paragraphs of this Complaint.

6 33. Defendants published false statements of fact about Plaintiff's  
7 ownership, honesty, and business acumen.

8 34. The statements are defamatory per se because they impute dishonesty  
9 and injure Plaintiff in his trade.

10 35. Defendants acted with "actual malice."

11 36. Plaintiff has suffered special damages, including but not limited to  
12 (a) lost rental income and deal flow and (b) costs to mitigate reputational harm, in  
13 an amount to be proven at trial but not less than \$100,000,000, plus presumed  
14 damages, punitive damages, and costs.

15 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress / Harassment**  
17 *(Against Defendant Geiger)*

18 37. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing  
19 paragraphs of this Complaint.

20 38. Mr. Geiger's relentless, deceitful, racially-charged calling spree and  
21 public disparagement constitute extreme and outrageous conduct beyond all  
22 bounds of decency.

23 39. Mr. Geiger intended to—and did—inflict severe emotional distress on  
24 Mr. Mayweather.

25 40. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory and punitive damages, as well as  
26 injunctive relief to stop further harassment.  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Prima Facie Tort (Malicious Harassment)**  
*(Against All Defendants)*

41. Defendants engaged in intentional, malicious acts without justification, motivated by spite and racial animus, causing special damages in excess of \$100 million.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment:

- A. For general, special, and presumed damages not less than \$100,000,000;
- B. For punitive/exemplary damages;
- C. For a public retraction with equal prominence;
- D. For a permanent injunction barring Daniel Geiger from further harassing communications and defamatory publications he knows to be or recklessly disregards as false;
- E. For attorneys’ fees and costs where permitted by law;
- F. For pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- G. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.


**JURY DEMAND**

42. Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: April 30, 2025

**PORTALE BANDAZZO**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Richard Portale