IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Mahmoud KHALIL,

Petitioner,

v.

Donald J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; William P. JOYCE, in his official capacity as Acting Field Office Director of New York, Immigration and Customs Enforcement; Caleb VITELLO, Acting Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; Kristi NOEM, in her official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of Homeland Security; Marco RUBIO, in his official capacity as Secretary of State; and Pamela BONDI, in her official capacity as Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice,

Respondents.

Case No. 25-cv-1935 (JMF)

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELEASE UNDER MAPP V.RENO

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTR	ODU	CTION	1
FACT	'S		2
I.	MR.	KHALIL'S LIFE AND COMMUNITY TIES IN THE UNITED STATES	2
II.	MR.	KHALIL'S ARREST, BOOKING, AND TRANSFER	5
III.	THE	ONGOING HARMS OF MR. KHALIL'S DETENTION	10
ARGU	JME	NT	11
I.	REL	S COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO GRANT MR. KHALIL'S IMMEDIATE LEASE PENDING THE ADJUDICATION OF THIS HABEAS PETITION DER MAPP V. RENO.	11
II.		KHALIL MEETS THE STANDARD FOR MAPP RELEASE	
	A.	MR. KHALIL RAISES SUBSTANTIAL CLAIMS.	12
	В.	MR. KHALIL'S CASE PRESENTS EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES.	15
	C.	THESE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES MAKE THE GRANT OF BAIL NECESSARY TO MAKE THE HABEAS REMEDY EFFECTIVE	
CONC	CLUS	ION	19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CAS	SES
-----	-----

Accardi v. Shaughnessy, 347 U.S. 260 (1954)	15
Avendano Hernandez v. Decker, 450 F. Supp. 3d 443 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)	12, 15
Avendano Hernandez v. Decker, 450 F. Supp. 3d 443 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)	3, 5
Barbecho v. Decker, No. 20-CV-2821 (AJN), 2020 WL 2513468 (S.D.N.Y. May 15,	, 2020)
Bello-Reyes v. Gaynor, 985 F.3d 696 (9th Cir. 2021)	13
Black v. Decker, 103 F.4th 133, 143 (2d Cir. 2024)	13
Bridges v. California, 314 U.S. 252 (1941)	
Bridges v. Wixon, 326 U.S. 135, 148 (1945)/	
Castillo-Maradiaga v. Decker, No. 21-CV-842 (KPF) (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 4, 2021)	12
City of Houston v. Hill, 482 U.S. 451 (1987)	13
Coronel v. Decker, 449 F. Supp. 3d 274 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)	12, 15
D'Alessandro v. Mukasey, No. 08 Civ. 914, 2009 WL 799957 (W.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2	009) 12, 17
Elkimya v. Dep't of Homeland Sec., 484 F.3d 151 (2d Cir. 2007)	12
Gutierrez-Soto v. Sessions, 317 F. Supp. 3d 917 (W.D. Tex. 2018)	13
Kiadii v. Decker, 423 F. Supp. 3d 18 (S.D.N.Y. 2018)	17
Mapp v. Reno, 241 F.3d 221 (2d Cir. 2001)	2, 11
Ragbir v. Homan, 923 F.3d 53 (2d Cir. 2019), cert. granted, remanded, and vacated other grounds, Pham v. Ragbir, 141 S. Ct. 227 (2020)	
S.N.C. v. Sessions, No. 18 Civ. 7680 (LGS), 2018 WL 6175902 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 26, 2	2018) 12, 15,
United States v. Nkanga, 452 F. Supp. 3d 91, 96 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)	2, 15, 17, 18
Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678, 693 (2001)	13
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
DHS, Memorandum of Kevin McAleenan (May 17, 2019)	15

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Mahmoud Khalil is a beloved husband, soon-to-be father, graduate student, and Palestinian rights activist whom federal officials have imprisoned and targeted for deportation in retaliation for his protected political speech. After arresting him at his home on the campus of Columbia University, shackling and jailing him in the dead of night, and holding him incommunicado from his wife and lawyers, federal officials then transported Mr. Khalil across multiple state lines and subsequently transferred him to a remote private prison in Louisiana hours after the filing of his original habeas petition. As a result of the federal government's actions, Mr. Khalil faces the loss of his freedom, a profound silencing of his deeply held beliefs, lack of meaningful access to counsel and this Court, disruption in his medical care, loss of future employment, separation from his U.S. citizen wife, and most deeply personal of all, the prospect of missing the birth of his first child.

President Donald J. Trump and Secretary of State Marco Rubio have made abundantly clear the basis of their ire against Mr. Khalil: his speech and expression related to the devastating human toll from Israel's military campaign in Gaza over the past year and a half as a member of the student body of Columbia University. Both President Trump and Secretary Rubio also have made plain their intention to make an example of Mr. Khalil, who has been the subject of aggressive doxing and misattribution of views that appear to have only whipped up a deeper frenzy of retribution. By targeting Mr. Khalil in this manner, the federal government's actions have had an immediate and palpable chilling effect on political debate, with many noncitizens across the country—including lawful permanent residents like Mr. Khalil—living in fear that they too will be next if their actual or imputed speech and expression brings them into the crosshairs of this administration.

This case raises serious and important constitutional and statutory issues that deserve a full and fair adjudication. Yet such a full and fair adjudication is not possible if Mr. Khalil is forced to endure the very retaliatory and punitive harms he is challenging during the pendency of this

litigation. To release Mr. Khalil at the end of what may prove to be a long and protracted litigation will be a hollow victory—many of the harms this litigation seeks to prevent will have already happened.

This Court has the power, pursuant to its inherent authority, to release Mr. Khalil pending the adjudication of his habeas petition. *See Mapp v. Reno*, 241 F.3d 221 (2d Cir. 2001). The authority to release a habeas petitioner *pendente lite* ensures that the writ of habeas corpus remains an effective remedy under extraordinary circumstances. *Id.* at 230. It is hard to imagine a more extraordinary set of circumstances than what Mr. Khalil, his pregnant wife, and his community of supporters have endured. Moreover, Mr. Khalil is not a flight risk or danger to the community—to the contrary, Mr. Khalil has deep family and community ties and numerous people who attest to his character and commitment to human rights. This Court should grant Mr. Khalil immediate release.

FACTS

I. MR. KHALIL'S LIFE AND COMMUNITY TIES IN THE UNITED STATES.

Mr. Khalil is Palestinian, but was born and raised in a Syrian refugee camp because militias associated with the nascent Israeli army forcibly removed his grandparents from their ancestral home in Tiberias, Palestine. ECF No. 39, Amended Petition ("Am. P.") at ¶ 19.¹ He has Algerian citizenship through his mother. When war broke out in Syria, his family was again displaced and are now dispersed throughout Europe and West Asia. Mr. Khalil entered the United States on a student visa in or around December 2022 to pursue a Master's degree in Public Administration from Columbia University's School of International and Public Affairs ("SIPA"). Ex. A, Declaration of Noor Ramez Abdalla ("Abdalla Decl.") at ¶ 4. Mr. Khalil completed his program in December 2024, and has an anticipated graduation date of May 2025. Ex. B, M.P.A.

¹ See also ECF X, Declaration of Veronica Salama (verifying factual statements as they pertain to Mr. Khalil).

2

Confirmation for Mahmoud Khalil. Mr. Khalil has a significant and diverse community of support in the United States including his U.S. wife citizen, classmates and professors, professional colleagues, and friends who attest to his character, commitment to peace, and leadership. *See* Ex. G, Letters of Support for Mahmoud Khalil ("Letters of Support"); Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 4, 28-30.

Mr. Khalil met his wife, Noor Abdulla, a United States citizen, in 2016 while they both were volunteering for a nongovernmental organization in Lebanon, supporting education for Syrian refugees. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 3. After Mr. Khalil arrived in the United States in 2022, Mr. Khalil and Ms. Abdulla got married and began to build their lives together in New York City. *Id.* at ¶¶ 4-5. In 2024, Mr. Khalil became a Lawful Permanent Resident through his marriage to Ms. Abdulla and they are expecting their first child next month, in April 2025. *Id.* Together, they live in an apartment building owned and operated by Columbia University. *Id.* at ¶ 11.

As a Palestinian, Mr. Khalil has felt compelled to be an outspoken advocate for Palestinian rights and, since October 2023, has remained committed to denouncing what he sees as Israel's systematic violence against Palestinians in Gaza. *Id.* at ¶ 6. Mr. Khalil has also criticized Columbia University for, in his view, financing and in other ways facilitating ongoing atrocities. Mr. Khalil is committed to peaceful protest and being a voice for his people. *See* Letters of Support.

Additionally, during the student protest movement across university campuses in April 2024, Mr. Khalil was chosen by his fellow students to be a lead mediator and negotiator on campus, facilitating dialogue between Columbia University's administration and its students. Am. P. ¶ 24. In this role, Mr. Khalil advocated for his peers to be treated humanely and fairly by the university, while helping to ensure student safety and the smooth functioning of the university. *Id.* For example, in the spring of 2024, Mr. Khalil facilitated negotiations between

members of the Gaza Solidarity Encampment and the university administration. *Id.* Indeed, Mr.

overseas, an internship with the United Nations in New York, as well his established

Khalil was approached to take on this role because of his prior work at a British Embassy

relationships with the university administration. *Id*.

Case 1:25-cv-01935-JMF

Mr. Khalil stated in a CNN interview in the spring of 2024 that "as a Palestinian student, I believe that the liberation of the Palestinian people and the Jewish people are intertwined and go hand-by-hand and you cannot achieve one without the other." Mr. Khalil's prominence as an outspoken student activist, along with Columbia University's position as a national focal point of student protests against Israel's military campaign in Gaza over the past year and a half. have propelled Mr. Khalil into the public eye. His visibility has been further amplified through his continued participation in interviews with national and international media outlets, including the BBC, as well as local press conferences.

Mr. Khalil's speech regarding international law, the obligations that the U.S. and Columbia University have under that law, the human rights of the Palestinian people, and related matters addresses matters of public concern and is clearly protected by the First Amendment. Like many student activists advocating for Palestinian rights, Mr. Khalil has endured immense scrutiny and personal attacks by private actors, including doxing and harassment. Am. P. ¶ 28. But until recently, he understood that because of the First Amendment, the government would not be able to retaliate against or punish him for his speech, or silence him by locking him up or banishing him from the country, even if powerful government officials disagreed with his speech or viewpoints. *Id*.

² Chelsea Bailey, Who is Mahmoud Khalil? Palestinian activist detained by ICE over Columbia University protests, CNN (March 11, 2025), available at: https://www.cnn.com/2025/03/11/us/mahmoud-khalil-columbia-ice-green-card-hnk/index.html.

II. MR. KHALIL'S ARREST, BOOKING, AND TRANSFER

On the evening of Saturday, March 8, 2025, at approximately 8:30 p.m., Mr. Khalil and Ms. Abdalla were returning to their Columbia University apartment from an Iftar dinner at a friend's home. Am. P. ¶ 45; Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 11. When they arrived at their apartment building, two individuals followed Mr. Khalil and Ms. Abdalla into the lobby of the apartment building, which is owned and operated by Columbia University, while two other individuals entered the building through a separate entrance waiting for Mr. Khalil's arrival. *See* ECF No. 11-1, Declaration of Amy Greer ("Greer Decl.") at ¶ 4; Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 11.

When the individuals approached Mr. Khalil and Ms. Abdalla, they asked: "Are you Mahmoud Khalil?" *Id.* When Mr. Khalil answered in the affirmative, the men identified themselves as being with the Department of Homeland Security and stated that they had to take Mr. Khalil into custody. *Id.*; Greer Decl. at ¶ 4. The agents told Ms. Abdalla to go up to her apartment, and threatened that if she refused, they would arrest her, too. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 11.

Ms. Abdalla retrieved his immigration documents to show the DHS agents that Mr. Khalil is a lawful permanent resident. *Id.* at ¶ 12. She handed the documents to an agent, who was talking to someone on the phone. The agent looked confused when he saw the documents and said: "he has a green card." *Id.* The agent repeated that they were ordered to bring Mr. Khalil in anyway. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 13.

Mr. Khalil placed a call to his attorney, Amy Greer. Attorney Greer spoke with an agent who identified himself as Special Agent Elvin Hernandez. Greer Decl. at ¶ 4. Agent Hernandez stated they had an administrative warrant and that Mr. Khalil's student visa had been revoked by the U.S. Department of State and therefore they were detaining him. Despite several requests by Mr. Khalil to see the warrant, the agents never showed him the administrative warrant. Greer Decl. at ¶ 5.

Attorney Greer advised Agent Hernandez that Mr. Khalil is a lawful permanent resident and has the right to due process. Agent Hernandez responded that the Department of State had revoked Mr. Khalil's green card, too, and that he would be brought in front of an immigration judge. Agent Hernandez stated that he would be taking Mr. Khalil to 26 Federal Plaza, the location of the ICE Field Office in Manhattan, New York. See Greer Decl. at ¶ 7; Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 14.

When Attorney Greer began to ask more questions, Agent Hernandez hung up on her. Greer Decl. at ¶ 5. When Mr. Khalil requested to call her back, Agent Hernandez refused to allow Mr. Khalil to speak to his attorney again. Ms. Abdulla began recording her husband's arrest and then called Attorney Greer.³

The agents then handcuffed Mr. Khalil and brought him outside where there were multiple vehicles waiting. Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 13, 14. Ms. Abdalla asked for the names of the agents, their contact information, and how to reach them to follow up on her husband's detention, but they only advised her that Mr. Khalil would be taken to 26 Federal Plaza (in Manhattan), and otherwise refused to speak with her. *Id.* at 14; Greer Decl. at ¶ 6. They left her no business card or any information at all as to how to find out where her husband was to be taken, on what grounds, or who she can contact. Greer Decl. at ¶ 7; Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 14.

That same night, Attorney Greer checked the ICE Detainee Locator ("ICE Locator") several times to confirm her client's location. She first checked the locator at 10:00 p.m. on Saturday, March 8, and found that Mr. Khalil was not yet listed in the system. She checked again at 1:35 a.m. on Sunday, March 9, and saw that Mr. Khalil was listed as being in custody in New

³ Armando Garcia & Laura Romero, Video shows moment Columbia activist Mahmoud Khalil is detained, ABC NEWS, https://abcnews.go.com/US/video-shows-moment-columbia-activistmahmoud-khalil-detained/story?id=119815662 (March 14, 2025, 8:09PM).

York. Greer Decl. at ¶ 10. The entry also instructed her to contact the New York field office. At 4:29 a.m. on Sunday, March 9, Attorney Greer checked the locator once more, and the information remained the same. Id. Shortly thereafter, at around 4:40 a.m. on Sunday, March 9, Attorney Greer filed the original habeas corpus petition in this case (ECF # 2) in the Southern District of New York, based on information and belief that ICE was still holding Mr. Khalil at 26 Federal Plaza. Id. at ¶ 9. During this time, Mr. Khalil made continuous requests to contact his attorney, including when agents asked him to sign several documents—including a Notice to Appear ("NTA") for removal proceedings and a Custody Determination document but he was repeatedly denied. Am. P. ¶ 59. Officers told him he could speak to an attorney only after signing the documents they presented to him. He refused to sign without an attorney present. *Id.* At some point in the night, Mr. Khalil was transported in handcuffs and shackles to Elizabeth Detention Center in New Jersey ("Elizabeth") but was not allowed to take his belongings—his shoes, jacket, and belt—with him. *Id.* at ¶ 61. When Mr. Khalil asked about his belongings, he was told that he would be coming back to 26 Federal Plaza tomorrow, but that he could not spend the night there. Id.

The next morning, around 8:30 a.m. on Sunday, March 9, the ICE locator indicated that Mr. Khalil was still in New York. Greer Decl. at ¶ 10. Sometime after 9 a.m. on Sunday, the ICE locator changed to say that Mr. Khalil was detained in Elizabeth, New Jersey. *Id.* at ¶ 11. Around 11:20 a.m., Ms. Abdalla went to the Elizabeth Detention Center to see him, but she was told that Mr. Khalil was not showing up in the system. *Id.*; Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 16.

Around 2 p.m. on Sunday, March 9, after multiple emails to ICE to attempt to reach Mr. Khalil, counsel was informed that Mr. Khalil was in the process of being transferred to a detention

facility within the New Orleans ERO Field Office, over 1,000 miles away. Greer Decl. at ¶ 12. Counsel reached out to the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, which confirmed that Mr. Khalil was en route to Louisiana. Counsel requested Mr. Khalil's immediate return, but was told that ICE would not consent to his return absent a court order. Around 12 p.m. on Sunday, March 9, ICE officers—one of whom Mr. Khalil believes he recognized from the night before at 26 Federal Plaza—handcuffed and shackled Mr. Khalil and placed him in a van and told him he was then going back into New York City to JFK Airport. Am. P. ¶ 63. He was booked into the Central Louisiana ICE Processing Center in Jena, LA, early in the morning of Monday, March 10. When Mr. Khalil's counsel attempted to schedule a telephone call with Mr. Khalil—a process that typically occurs the same day or the next day in New York—authorities in the Louisiana ICE detention facility, run by a private prison operator, offered a date ten days away. Ms. Abdalla, who is 8-months pregnant, is unable to travel to Louisiana to see Mr. Khalil because she is in her third trimester. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 28.

On March 9, President Trump issued a statement on Truth Social applauding ICE for arresting Mr. Khalil, whom he described as a "Radical Foreign Pro-Hamas Student on the campus of Columbia University." The President emphasized that Mr. Khalil's arrest was "the first of many to come," declaring that his administration would not tolerate "students at Columbia and other universities across the country who have engaged in pro-terrorist, anti-Semitic, anti-American activity," while promising to "find, apprehend, and deport these terrorist sympathizers from our country."

_

⁴ According to the Notice to Appear (NTA) for removal proceedings which is dated March 9, 2025 and timestamped at 12:40 a.m., , ICE was already prepared to transfer Mr. Khalil to the Central Louisiana ICE Processing Center while he was still detained at 26 Federal Plaza .

⁵ https://truthsocial.com/@realDonaldTrump/posts/114139222625284782

The White House reposted President Trump's statement on the social media platform X, accompanied by a mug-style graphic featuring an image of Mr. Khalil. Surrounding the photo were the words "ARRESTED BY ICE ON MARCH 9, 2025" and "LED ACTIVITIES ALIGNED TO HAMAS." The White House caption included the phrase "SHALOM, MAHMOUD" before quoting the President's statement.

Secretary of State Marco Rubio stated, "We will be revoking the visas and/or green cards of Hamas supporters in America so they can be deported." The following day, the Department of Homeland Security issued a statement through its social media account, X, confirming Mr. Khalil's arrest by ICE was carried out "in support of President Trump's executive orders prohibiting anti-Semitism, and in coordination with the Department of State." The statement also accused Mr. Khalil of having "led activities aligned to Hamas, a designated terrorist organization" and asserted that both "ICE and the Department of State are committed to enforcing President Trump's executive orders and to protecting U.S. national security."

On March 11, in response to an inquiry from the NY Times regarding Mr. Khalil's arrest, a spokesperson for the administration reportedly stated that "United States' foreign policy includes combating antisemitism across the globe and that Mr. Khalil's residency in the nation undermines that policy objective."

At a White House Press Briefing on March 11, Press Secretary Karoline Leavitt responded to questions about the arrest, asserting that the Secretary of state has "the right to revoke a green card or a visa for individuals who serve or are adversarial to the foreign policy and national security

9

⁶ https://x.com/WhiteHouse/status/1899151926777749618

⁷ https://x.com/DHSgov/status/1898908955675357314;

https://www.columbiaspectator.com/news/2025/03/10/department-of-homeland-security-confirms-arrest-of-palestinian-activist-mahmoud-khalil-sipa-24/

⁸ https://archive.ph/rD4sk#selection-1147.0-1159.428

interest" and accusing Mr. Khalil of "siding with terrorists." In a press conference regarding this case on March 13, 2025, Secretary of State Rubio stated, "if you tell us that you are in favor of a group like this [Hamas], and if you tell us . . . I intend to come to your country as a student, and rile up all kinds of anti-Jewish . . . antisemitic activities," and "if you end up having a green card . . . we're gonna kick you out." 10

III. THE ONGOING HARMS OF MR. KHALIL'S DETENTION

Mr. Khalil's wife, Ms. Abdalla, is presently in her eighth month of pregnancy, and is due to give birth at the end of April 2025. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 20; Ex. F, Medical Letters for Noor Abdalla. Mr. Khalil has constituted the entirety of her support system since the start of her pregnancy, regularly attending doctor's appointments with her, undertaking all household chores for the both of them, and ensuring her compliance with prescribed medication regimens. Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 20-24. Ms. Abdalla has experienced physical and mental health complications associated with her pregnancy, including an episode of hospitalization, that Mr. Khalil has dutifully supported her through. *Id.* at ¶¶ 22-24. Presently, Ms. Abdalla is without this essential support from her husband, all while enduring the insurmountable emotional toll of his continued detention and the prospect of giving birth without him. *Id.* at ¶¶ 25, 29-30.

Mr. Khalil and Ms. Abdalla also shaped their plans for the forthcoming months and the birth of their child naturally assuming that Mr. Khalil—a lawful permanent resident with no criminal record—would remain free, at Ms. Abdalla's side. *Id.* at ¶ 26-27. As such, Ms. Abdalla planned to begin her maternity leave, just as Mr. Khalil was due to begin employment at a human rights organization in New York City at the start of April. *Id.* Now, Ms. Abdalla commences her maternity leave without the projected income from Mr. Khalil's new, full-time employment, and is left with only a diminished percentage of her ordinary salary. *Id.* at ¶ 27. The couple also planned

⁹ https://www.c-span.org/program/white-house-event/white-house-daily-briefing/657022 (timestamp 10:16)

10

¹⁰ http://state.gov/secretary-of-state-marco-rubio-remarks-to-press/

for Ms. Abdalla to give birth in New York City, instead of Michigan—where her family resides—anticipating the needs of Mr. Khalil's employer. *Id.* at ¶ 26. Should Mr. Khalil's detention prolong, his wife will give birth far from her family's residence and without her husband. *Id.* at ¶ 27.

Mr. Khalil experiences detention untethered from his habitual familial, religious, and collegiate community. He faces the prospect of missing the birth of his first child, and is already losing precious time supporting his wife through her pregnancy. And rather than experiencing the holy month of Ramadan with his wife, in the company of their community and practice of the prayer and rituals that usually mark the month for the couple, Mr. Khalil languishes in detention, far from his anchoring religious community, unable to stomach food and continuing to navigate the side effects of a pervasive stomach ulcer. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 28. All the while, Mr. Khalil's wife, bearing the final weeks of her pregnancy, is also unable to sleep or eat, or otherwise care for herself, experiencing the immense hardship of his absence. *Id.* at ¶¶ 24, 29-30.

If released, Mr. Khalil will return to his home with his U.S. citizen wife and help her prepare for the birth of their child. *Id.* at ¶ 30. He will begin his job in New York City and provide for his family. *Id.* He will have the support of the many current and former classmates, professors, colleagues, and friends who are calling for his release. *See* Letters of Support. He has never been accused of being a flight risk or a danger to the community, and any social-media-fueled suggestion otherwise is belied by the detailed statements of support by those who actually know him in personal, academic, and professional settings. *Id.*

ARGUMENT

I. THIS COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO GRANT MR. KHALIL'S IMMEDIATE RELEASE PENDING THE ADJUDICATION OF THIS HABEAS PETITION UNDER *MAPP V. RENO*.

This Court has the authority to grant Mr. Khalil's release pending adjudication of his habeas under *Mapp v. Reno*, 241 F.3d 221, 230 (2d Cir. 2001). Under *Mapp*, this Court has the "inherent authority" to set bail pending the adjudication of a habeas petition when the petition has raised (1) substantial claims and (2) extraordinary circumstances that (3) "make the grant of bail

necessary to make the habeas remedy effective." *Id.*; *see also Elkimya* v. *Dep't of Homeland Sec.*, 484 F.3d 151, 154 (2d Cir. 2007) (discussing standard and holding that the REAL ID Act of 2005 "did not qualify our inherent authority to admit bail to petitioners in immigration cases").

This Court has recognized its authority to grant Mapp release. See United States v. Nkanga, 452 F. Supp. 3d 91, 96 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) (granting Mapp release pending adjudication of habeas petition seeking to vacate conviction and sentence). Numerous courts within the Second Circuit have ordered release pursuant to Mapp in contexts involving challenges to removal, challenges to detention, or a combination both. See, e.g., Castillo-Maradiaga v. Decker, No. 21-CV-842 (KPF) (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 4, 2021), Tr. at *39-40 (ECF 8-1) (attached as Ex. H) (granting Mapp release pending adjudication of habeas petition seeking to enjoin both removal and detention); Avendano Hernandez v. Decker, 450 F. Supp. 3d 443 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) (same); Coronel v. Decker, 449 F. Supp. 3d 274, 290 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) (granting *Mapp* release pending adjudication of habeas petition seeking release from detention); Barbecho v. Decker, No. 20-CV-2821 (AJN), 2020 WL 2513468, at *7 (S.D.N.Y. May 15, 2020) (same); S.N.C. v. Sessions, No. 18 Civ. 7680 (LGS), 2018 WL 6175902, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 26, 2018) (granting Mapp release pending adjudication of habeas petition seeking to enjoin removal); Kiadii v. Decker, 423 F. Supp. 3d 18 (S.D.N.Y. 2018) (granting Mapp release pending adjudication of habeas petition seeking to enjoin both removal and detention); D'Alessandro v. Mukasey, No. 08 Civ. 914, 2009 WL 799957, at *3 (W.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2009) (same).

II. MR. KHALIL MEETS THE STANDARD FOR MAPP RELEASE.

A. MR. KHALIL RAISES SUBSTANTIAL CLAIMS.

Mr. Khalil raises substantial claims. Through his amended habeas corpus petition, Mr. Khalil seeks to protect his rights under the First Amendment, the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, the Administrative Procedure Act and the *Accardi* doctrine. Each of these claims are substantial.

The First Amendment claims are substantial. "[S]peech on public issues occupies the 'highest rung of the hierarchy of First Amendment values,' and is entitled to special protection." *Connick v. Myers*, 461 U.S. 138, 145 (1983). In particular, "speech critical of the exercise of the State's power lies at the very center of the First Amendment." *Gentile v. State Bar of Nev.*, 501 U.S. 1030, 1034 (1991). "When the government targets not subject matter, but particular views taken by speakers on a subject, the violation of the First Amendment is all the more blatant. Viewpoint discrimination is thus an egregious form of content discrimination." *Rosenberger v. Rector & Visitors of Univ. of Virginia*, 515 U.S. 819, 829 (1995).

Mr. Khalil's claims—that he is being punished as a result of his political speech and the views he expresses—therefore implicate the heart of the First Amendment. Few governmental actions could be more chilling on speech than a federal agency choosing to jail an individual based on their political speech critical of government policies. *See City of Houston v. Hill*, 482 U.S. 451, 46263 (1987) ("The freedom of individuals verbally to oppose or challenge police action without thereby risking arrest is one of the principal characteristics by which we distinguish a free nation from a police state."). It is beyond contest that "[f]reedom of speech and press is accorded aliens residing in this country." *Bridges v. Wixon*, 326 U.S. 135, 148 (1945) (citing *Bridges v. California*, 314 U.S. 252 (1941)). Several courts, including the Second Circuit, have held that the First Amendment protects noncitizens who are detained and threatened with deportation as a result of their protected speech. *See, e.g., Bello-Reyes v. Gaynor*, 985 F.3d 696, 698 (9th Cir. 2021); *Ragbir v. Homan*, 923 F.3d 53 (2d Cir. 2019), *cert. granted, remanded, and vacated sub nom. on other grounds, Pham v. Ragbir*, 141 S. Ct. 227 (2020); *Gutierrez-Soto v. Sessions*, 317 F. Supp. 3d 917, 921 (W.D. Tex. 2018).

The Due Process claims are similarly substantial. The Constitution establishes due process rights for "all 'persons' within the United States, including [noncitizens], whether their presence here is lawful, unlawful, temporary, or permanent." *Black v. Decker*, 103 F.4th 133, 143 (2d Cir. 2024) (quoting *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678, 693 (2001)). The government's detention of Mr.

Khalil is wholly unjustified. See *Black*, F.4th at 157 (collecting cases stating that "[w]here an individual's liberty is at stake, the Supreme Court has consistently required the government to justify continued detention by clear and convincing evidence"). The government has not demonstrated that Mr. Khalil—a husband to a U.S. citizen, soon-to-be father to a U.S. citizen, and lawful permanent resident with no criminal history—needs to be detained. *See Zadvydas*, 533 U.S. at 690 (finding immigration detention must further twin goals of (1) ensuring noncitizen's appearance during removal proceedings and (2) preventing danger to community). And there is no credible argument that Mr. Khalil cannot be safely released back to his family. Rather, Mr. Khalil's detention is punitive as it bears no "reasonable relation" to any legitimate government purpose. *Zadvydas*, 533 U.S. at 690 (finding immigration detention is civil and thus ostensibly "nonpunitive in purpose and effect"). The asserted bases of his detention—the Foreign Policy Ground and the Rubio Determination—are unlawful for the reasons discussed *supra*. Here, there is every indication that his "detention is not to facilitate deportation, or to protect against risk of flight or dangerousness, but to incarcerate for other reasons." *Demore v. Kim*, 538 U.S. 510, 532-33 (2003) (Kennedy, J., concurring).

Finally, the APA and *Accardi* doctrine claims are substantial. The government has adopted a policy of targeting noncitizens for removal based on First Amendment protected speech advocating for Palestinian rights. This policy is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, contrary to constitutional right, contrary to law, and in excess of statutory jurisdiction. 5 U.S.C.A. § 706 (2)(A), (B), (C). In addition, to the extent the Secretary of State has determined that Mr. Khalil's "presence or activities would potentially have serious adverse foreign policy consequences for the United States," or "would compromise a compelling United States foreign policy interest," such determinations are arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, contrary to constitutional right, contrary to law, and in excess of statutory jurisdiction. 5 U.S.C.A. § 706 (2)(A), (B), (C). Moreover, the government's actions present a classic violation of the *Accardi* doctrine, as the government is violating its regular processes and rules, including those pertaining

to First Amendment activity, in order to detain and deport Mr. Khalil because he has been prejudged as undesirable. *See Accardi v. Shaughnessy*, 347 U.S. 260 (1954) (agency may not violate its own rules and processes simply because Attorney General singled him out for deportation); *see also, e.g.*, DHS, Memorandum of Kevin McAleenan (May 17, 2019) (stating DHS "does not profile, target, or discriminate against any individual for exercising his or her First Amendment Rights")¹¹.

Courts in this District have routinely found challenges of this nature—particularly those involving constitutional concerns—to be "substantial claims" for purposes of *Mapp* release. *See Nkanga*, 452 F. Supp. 3d at 95 (finding petitioner's ineffective assistance of counsel claim to be substantial claim); *S.N.C.*, 2018 WL 6175902, at *6 (finding substantial claims that petitioner's "due process rights are infringed if she is removed before her visa applications are adjudicated"); *Coronel*, 449 F. Supp. 3d at 289 (finding substantial claims of substantive and procedural due process challenges to detention); *Avendano Hernandez*, 450 F. Supp. 3d at 448 (finding substantial claim of substantive due process challenge to detention); *Barbecho*, 2020 WL 2513468, at *7 (same); *Kiaddii*, 423 F. Supp. 3d at 18 (finding substantial claims of unjustified detention and placement in removal proceedings based on asserted U.S. citizenship). Mr. Khalil's claims more than meet this prong of the *Mapp* standard.

B. MR. KHALIL'S CASE PRESENTS EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES.

The circumstances of Mr. Khalil's detention and placement in removal proceedings have been extraordinary from the start. Mr. Khalil was taken in the middle of the night from his home by plain clothed agents, appearing confused to learn of his status as permanent resident. Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 12, 14; Greer Decl. at ¶¶ 5-6. Thereafter, he was moved from his Columbia University housing to 26 Federal Plaza, then Elizabeth Detention Center—all by the early hours of the morning. Greer Decl. at ¶¶ 9-11; Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 15-16. Then, within hours, and while Mr.

-

¹¹ Available at

 $https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/info_regarding_first_amendment_protected_activities_as1_signed_05.17.2019.pdf.$

Khalil's wife attempted to visit him at Elizabeth Detention Center, the government commenced his transfer to another state. Greer Decl. at ¶¶ 11-13; Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 16-17. Throughout, neither his counsel nor his wife's attempts to locate his whereabouts and establish contact proved fruitful, until the day following, when he was finally permitted to place non-privileged calls to his wife and counsel. Greer Decl. at ¶¶ 15; Abdalla Decl. at ¶¶ 17-18.

Today, Mr. Khalil's detention poses a myriad of insurmountable harms. Chiefly, Mr. Khalil and his eight-month pregnant wife, a citizen of the United States, face the daunting, painful prospect of Ms. Abdalla giving birth to their first child in New York City without Mr. Khalil. Ms. Abdalla is also faced with the task of carrying her pregnancy to term while enduring the overwhelming stress of her husband's initial disappearance, his covert, overnight transfer across multiple state lines to a detention facility more than 1200 miles away (where she cannot reach him), and the ensuing strain of his indefinite absence. What's more, Mr. Khalil suffers in detention in Louisiana, far from his community, counsel, wife, and forthcoming son. Abdalla Decl. at ¶ 28.

Moreover, there are no allegations that Mr. Khalil is a flight risk or a danger to the community. Mr. Khalil has never been arrested or convicted of a crime. He is the loving husband of a U.S. citizen, is about to become the father of a U.S. citizen infant, and is a visible presence on the campus of Columbia University, where he is set to graduate later this year. Ex. B, M.P.A. Confirmation for Mahmoud Khalil. He has an offer of employment set to begin next month, when he will be the main financial provider for his family as his wife takes maternity leave. Abdalla Decl. at ¶. Numerous people attest to his character, community ties, and lack of flight risk or dangerousness. *See* Letters of Support.

Despite this, federal officials have orchestrated his abrupt and highly-publicized arrest and detention as punishment for his speech, unjustified by any indicia of flight risk or danger. The President and Secretary of State have posted images of Mr. Khalil online, celebrating his detention. Now, Mr. Khalil sits in a remote private prison, notorious for poor health care, unable to

communicate effectively with counsel without a court order, unable to see his pregnant wife or attend medical appointments with her, and unable to speak freely to the outside world.

Numerous courts have found extraordinary circumstances in light of similar factors, including factors related to individualized circumstances, family, and health care. See Nkanga, 452 F. Supp. 3d at 96 (describing extraordinary circumstances in criminal case to "include the defendant's age; his multiple health issues; the nature of the defendant's offense; the precise timing of the sentencing proceeding (which occurred on March 12, 2020) in relation to the emerging COVID-19 pandemic; and the conclusions already reached by the Court in previous aspects of this litigation regarding the defendant's health issues, and apparent lack of dangerousness or risk of flight"); S.N.C., 2018 WL 6175902, at *6 (finding extraordinary circumstances in light of petitioner's health care needs, impact of detention, and need to care for her children); Kiaddii, 423 F. Supp. 3d at 18 (finding extraordinary circumstances based on claims that detention was unjustified and based on impact of detention on health); D'Alessandro, 2009 WL 799957, at *3 (finding extraordinary circumstances with respect to petitioner's family ties, prospects for employment, and health issues). The silencing and chilling effect on speech, the impact on Mr. Khalil's ability to support his wife through her pregnancy and be present for the birth of their child, the impact of detention on his own health and wellbeing, and the lack of any factors justifying physical confinement, all demonstrate extraordinary circumstances.

C. THESE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES MAKE THE GRANT OF BAIL NECESSARY TO MAKE THE HABEAS REMEDY EFFECTIVE.

The extraordinary circumstances described render the habeas remedy ineffective if Mr. Khalil is forced to remain in detention pending the litigation of his claims. First, with respect to Mr. Khalil's First Amendment claims, a core part of the remedy sought is designed to prevent the federal government from retaliating against Mr. Khalil for his protected political speech. His detention unquestionably chills his speech, as the federal government monitors and controls his ability to communicate with the outside world and has complete power over all of the decisions that impact his daily life inside a remote private prison. If Mr. Khalil ultimately wins his First

Amendment claim, the effectiveness of an order of release to vindicate his rights will be vastly diminished if the federal government was able to detain him for the pendency of the litigation. *Cf. Nkanga*, 452 F. Supp. 3d at 96 (agreeing that bail pending resolution of defendant's claim "may be necessary to effectuate the relief sought" where "but for counsel's allegedly ineffective assistance, the judgment would have included a surrender date permitting the defendant to be released on bail pending the COVID-19 crisis"). His challenge to the constitutionality of the government's decision to detain him in the first place is a significant part of the First Amendment injury at the center of this litigation.

Second, the habeas remedy is designed to ensure that Mr. Khalil maintains meaningful access to the judicial process. He cannot meaningfully assist in the litigation of this case when he is far away from his legal team and the government restricts his ability to regularly speak to counsel and places his health at risk. Release would allow Mr. Khalil to have regular access to counsel and address the rapidly unfolding issues in his case.

Third, the habeas remedy is necessary to avoid what, on a personal level, is the most punitive consequence of his detention—being unable to support his U.S. citizen wife during the last month of her pregnancy and missing the birth of their first child. Mr. Khalil spends most of his time in detention deeply stressed about the health of his wife and unborn baby, which is detrimental to his own health. Numerous courts in this district have ordered release under *Mapp* to petitioners like Mr. Khalil, where justice delayed means justice denied. *See, e.g., Nkanga*, 452 F. Supp. 3d at 96; *S.N.C.*, 2018 WL 6175902, at *6; *Kiaddii*, 423 F. Supp. 3d at 18; *Avendano-Hernandez*, 450 F. Supp. 3d at 447-449; *D'Alessandro*, 2009 WL 799957, at *3; *Coronol*, 449 F. Supp. 3d at 289; *Barbecho*, 2020 WL 2513468, at *7. Mr. Khalil's separation from his loved ones and community also detracts from his ability to enjoy the spiritual succor that he normally experiences during the holy month of Ramadan. If Mr. Khalil is forced to remain isolated in a remote private prison, he will be suffering exactly the retaliatory and punitive harms he seeks to prevent through this litigation.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this motion should be granted, and this Court should order Respondents to release Mr. Khalil pending the adjudication of this case. Doing so restores Mr. Khalil to the status quo prior to this controversy and puts an end to the extraordinary harms he is experiencing in detention so that the habeas remedies he seeks in this case will be effective. This serves the interests of justice by allowing the parties and this Court a full and fair opportunity to consider the weighty and pressing issues in this case.

Dated: March 14, 2025

New York, NY

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alina Das

Alina Das, Esq.

WASHINGTON SQUARE LEGAL SERVICES, INC.

IMMIGRANT RIGHTS CLINIC 245 Sullivan Street, 5th Floor

New York, New York 10012 Tel: (212) 998-6430

alina.das@nyu.edu

CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

Samah Sisay Baher Azmy Diala Shamas 666 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, NY 10012 (212) 614-6436 bazmy@ccrjustice.org ssisay@ccrjustice.org dshamas@ccrjustice.org

CLEAR PROJECT

MAIN STREET LEGAL SERVICES, INC.

Ramzi Kassem

Naz Ahmad

Mudassar Hayat Toppa

Shezza Abboushi Dallal

CUNY School of Law

2 Court Square

Long Island City, NY 11101

(718) 340-4558

ramzi.kassem@law.cuny.edu

naz.ahmad@law.cuny.edu

mudassar.toppa@law.cuny.edu

shezza.dallal@law.cuny.edu

NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

FOUNDATION

Amy Belsher

Robert Hodgson

Veronica Salama

Molly Biklen

125 Broad Street, 19th Floor

New York, N.Y. 10004

Tel: (212) 607-3300

abelsher@nyclu.org

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

FOUNDATION

Omar Jadwat

Noor Zafar

Sidra Mahfooz*

Brian Hauss

Brett Max Kaufman

Esha Bhandari

Vera Eidelman

Tyler Takemoto*

American Civil Liberties Union Foundation

125 Broad Street, Floor 18

New York, NY 10004

ojadwat@aclu.org

^{*}Application for admission pro hac vice forthcoming

DRATEL & LEWIS

Amy E. Greer 29 Broadway, Suite 1412 New York, NY 10006 Phone:(212)732-8805

Fax: (212) 571-3792

Email: agreer@dratellewis.com

Counsel for Petitioner