

Exhibit 275

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
---000---

BLAKE LIVELY,

Plaintiff,

vs. CASE NO. 24-CV-10049-LJL (LEAD CASE)
25-CV-449 (LJL) (MEMBER CASE)

WAYFARER STUDIOS LLC, ET AL.

Defendants.

JENNIFER ABEL,

Third-party Plaintiff,

vs.

JONESWORKS, LLC,

Third-party Defendant.

WAYFARER STUDIOS LLC, et al.

Consolidated Plaintiffs,

vs.

BLAKE LIVELY, et al.

Consolidated Defendants.

****CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY****

VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF FAMILY HIVE, LLC

BY AND THROUGH LAURA TEDESCO

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING FROM

New York, New York

Tuesday, October 21, 2025

Stenographically Reported by: Ashley Soevyn,

CALIFORNIA CSR No. 12019

Job No. CA7674336

Pages 209 - 288

1 granted and services to be performed by
2 lender and talent under this agreement,
3 company shall pay lender as follows."

4 Do you see that language?

5 A I do.

6 Q And if we look up at the top of the
7 agreement, talent is defined as "Blake Lively."

8 Do you see that?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And lender is defined as "LOL HATA." And
11 that's a member of Family Hive, correct?

12 A LOL HATA is an LLC owned by Blake.

13 Q But the LLC is a member of Family Hive.
14 It has membership interest in the company?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. And your understanding is that is
17 owned by Blake Lively?

18 A Yes.

19 MS. ROESER: Objection.

20 BY MR. KALTGRAD:

21 Q And how do you know it's owned by
22 Blake Lively?

23 A I believe it -- give me one sec. The
24 first sentence says:

25 (As read):

1 "LOL HATA, a Delaware limited liability
2 company entirely owned/controlled by
3 Blake Lively."

4 Q Okay. So your understanding comes from
5 this agreement itself, correct?

6 A Correct.

7 Q Okay. Now, if you look back at Section
8 4.1 -- and sorry. I'm going to be kind of going
9 back and forth on this document a bit, so...

10 The sentence I just read, the term
11 "services" is a capitalized defined term. Do you
12 see the word "services" in there?

13 A In?

14 Q In Section 4.1, the first sentence.

15 A Yeah.

16 Q Okay. And if we go to page 9, Section 3
17 describes talent services.

18 Do you see that?

19 A I do see that.

20 Q And that first sentence:

21 (As read):

22 "During the services term as defined
23 below, subject to lender's approval
24 pursuant to Section 2.4 above, lender
25 shall cause talent to provide to

1 you look at Section 4.1.2, that's titled "Additional
2 Royalties."

3 Do you see that?

4 A I do.

5 Q Okay. Now, those additional royalties
6 only become a factor after five years after launch;
7 is that right?

8 A That is correct.

9 Q Okay. So would it be correct that LOL
10 HATA has not been paid any additional royalties
11 pursuant to this section since launch?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q Does this promotional services license
14 agreement accurately describe LOL HATA's
15 entitlement to royalties from Family Hive?

16 A Yes.

17 MS. ROESER: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 BY MR. KALTGRAD:

20 Q To your understanding, does Blake Lively
21 have any direct entitlement to royalties that
22 doesn't go through LOL HATA?

23 A Not to my understanding. My
24 understanding is everything goes through LOL HATA.

25 Q Have the royalty provisions of this