## Case 1:22-cr-00673-LAK Document 53 Filed 01/30/23 Page 1 of 6



## **U.S. Department of Justice**

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

January 30, 2023

## **BY ECF**

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: United States v. Samuel Bankman-Fried, 22 Cr. 673 (LAK)

Dear Judge Kaplan:

The Government writes in reply to the defendant's opposition to the Government's motion to impose two new conditions of pretrial release, and its request to remove the existing condition prohibiting the defendant from accessing or transferring any FTX or Alameda assets or cryptocurrency. For the reasons below, and those in the Government's motion filed on January 27, 2023 (see Dkt. 50), the Court should impose the Government's proposed conditions of pretrial release and maintain the already-imposed conditions.

## 1. The Proposed No-Contact Condition Is Warranted

The first proposed condition—that the defendant shall not contact or communicate with current or former employees of FTX or Alameda (other than immediate family members), except in the presence of counsel or as approved by the Government—is necessary to prevent witness tampering and obstruction of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c)(1)(B)(iv) & (v) (providing that a court may impose conditions of bail that restrict personal association and avoid contact with victims and potential witnesses).

The defendant's messages to Witness-1, attached as Exhibit 1, illustrate why such a condition is appropriate. The defense argues that these messages were merely an "innocuous attempt to offer assistance in FTX's bankruptcy process," similar to the defendant's earlier outreach to John Ray, the CEO of the FTX Debtor entities. Dkt. 51 ("Def. Letter") at 5; see also Ex. 2 (January 2, 2023 email from the defendant to John Ray). Putting aside that the defendant is trying to participate in FTX asset recovery despite being charged with crimes related to the misuse of FTX customer assets that precipitated FTX's bankruptcy, the defendant's messages to Witness-1 cannot bear his interpretation.