

# EXHIBIT A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Filed: \_\_\_\_\_

-----X  
Vivian Lee,

Index No.:

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiff designates  
New York County as the  
place of trial

- against-

The basis of venue is:  
The situs of occurrence

The City Of New York, Edward Lam, Shield No. 21414,  
and John Does #1-4,

Defendants.

**SUMMONS**

-----X  
TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

**YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED** to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, to, if the complaint is not served with the summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the plaintiff's attorneys within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded herein.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 27, 2021

The nature of this action is for violation of Plaintiff's Civil and Constitutional Rights.  
The relief sought is monetary damages.

**LIAKAS LAW, P.C.**



BY: Nicholas Mindicino, ESQ.  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*  
65 Broadway, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, N.Y. 10006  
(212) 937-7765

**If you fail to respond, judgment will be entered against you by default, with interest from date of incident.**

Defendants:

**City of New York**  
Office of the Corporation Counsel  
100 Church Street  
New York, New York 10007

**Officer Edward Lam, Shield No. 21414**  
NYPD 24<sup>th</sup> Precinct  
151 W 100th St  
New York, NY 10025

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
Vivian Lee,

Plaintiffs,

- against-

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

The City Of New York, Edward Lam, Shield No. 21414 and John  
Does #1-4,

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, LIAKAS LAW, P.C., as and for this Complaint, alleges as follows:

**Preliminary Statement**

1. This is a civil rights action in which plaintiff seeks relief through the laws of the United States and the State of New York for the violation of her right to be Free of Unreasonable Search and Seizure under the United States and the New York State Constitutions.
2. The claim arises from a September 19, 2020 incident in which Officers of the New York City Police Department ("NYPD"), acting under color of state law, intentionally and willfully subjected plaintiff to false arrest, assault, battery, and uses of excessive force.
3. Plaintiff seek monetary damages (special, compensatory, and punitive) against defendants, as well as an award of costs and attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper

**JURISDICTION & VENUE**

4. Within 90 days of the incidents alleged in this complaint, Plaintiff served upon Defendant, City of New York, a Notice of Claim setting forth the name and post office address of Plaintiff, the nature of the claim, the time when, the place where and the manner in which the claim arose, and the items of damages or injuries claimed.

5. More than 30 days have elapsed since Plaintiff's Notice of Claim was served on Defendant.
6. Venue is proper in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, because the acts in question occurred in the State of New York, New York County, and the City of New York is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County.

#### PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Vivian Lee is a resident of the State of New York, New York County.
8. The City of New York (or "the City") is a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant City, acting through the New York Police Department (or "NYPD"), was responsible for the policy, practice, supervision, implementation, and conduct of all NYPD matters and was responsible for the appointment, training, supervision, discipline and retention and conduct of all NYPD personnel. In addition, at all relevant times, Defendant City was responsible for enforcing the rules of the NYPD, and for ensuring that the NYPD personnel obey the laws of the United States and the State of New York.
9. NYPD Officer Edward Lam, Shield No. 21414 and John Does #1-4 were at all relevant times police officers of the NYPD, and as such were acting in the capacity of agent, servant and employee of the City of New York. On information and belief, defendants Lam and John Does #1-4 were involved in the illegal arrest and prosecution of plaintiff, the assault/battery committed against Plaintiff and/or failed to intervene in the actions of their fellow officers. Defendants Lam and John Does #1-4 are sued in their individual capacities.

10. John Does #1-4 are individual police officers involved in the arrest and prosecution of Plaintiff whose names are not presently in Plaintiff's possession.

#### FACTUAL HISTORY

11. On September 19, 2020 at approximately 4:30 pm Plaintiff was outside the NYPD headquarters at 1 Police Plaza in New York NY.
12. Plaintiff went to 1 Police Plaza to provide support for people she had learned were arrested at a protest in the area earlier that day.
13. Plaintiff was outside 1 Police Plaza with several others for over an hour without incident.
14. Defendants erected barricades closing Plaintiff in to her location outside 1 Police Plaza.
15. After barricades were erected, officers announced directions for the group to leave the area.
16. Plaintiff attempted to leave the area, but was not immediately able to depart as the barricades and police officers prevented her departure.
17. As Plaintiff was trying to leave the area, defendants forcibly took Plaintiff to the ground.
18. Plaintiff was not committing any crime, nor was there probable cause or reasonable suspicion to believe she had committed any crime.
19. Defendant officers physically seized Plaintiff and arrested her.
20. Defendants used excessive and unnecessary force against Plaintiff in the course of seizing her.
21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Lam was among the officers who seized Plaintiff, and/or failed to intervene in the actions of his fellow officers.
22. Plaintiff did not resist the officers, nor did she strike or attempt to strike the officers, and posed no risk to officer safety.
23. Plaintiff was arrested by the officers.

24. Plaintiff was released at approximately 9:00 pm with a Summons Ticket to appear in court.
25. All charges against Plaintiff were later dismissed.
26. At all times herein, the defendants lacked probable cause to believe Plaintiff had committed any crime.
27. Defendant Lam participated in the prosecution of plaintiff by drafting arrest paperwork, recommending charges be brought against Plaintiff, communicating with members of the District Attorney's office, and/or forwarding the police and arrest paperwork related to plaintiff's arrest to the assigned prosecutor.
28. Defendant Lam presented false information to the prosecutor by alleging plaintiff committed various acts which defendant was aware she had not committed.
29. Defendant Lam personally participated in the arrest of plaintiff, and the use of force against plaintiff, and/or failed to intervene in the illegal actions of their fellow officers.
30. Defendants acted under color of law at all times during this incident.
31. Plaintiff was injured as a result of the forced used against her.

#### DAMAGES

32. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of defendants, Plaintiff suffered the following injuries and damages:
  - a. Violation of her Federal and New York State Constitutional right to Due Process of Law;
  - b. Violation of her Federal and New York State Constitutional right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures;
  - c. Loss of Liberty;
  - d. Physical pain and suffering;

- e. Physical injuries;
- f. Emotional distress, including fear, anger, embarrassment, depression, and anxiety.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
(False Arrest Under New York State Law)

- 33. The above paragraphs are here incorporated by reference.
- 34. Defendants subjected plaintiff to false arrest, false imprisonment, and deprivation of liberty without probable cause.
- 35. Defendants intended to confine plaintiff and in fact confined plaintiff.
- 36. Plaintiff was conscious of the confinement and did not consent to it.
- 37. The confinement of Plaintiff was not privileged.
- 38. Plaintiff was damaged by their wrongful imprisonment.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
(Assault Under New York State Law)

- 39. The above paragraphs are here incorporated by reference.
- 40. Defendants made plaintiff fear for her physical well-being and safety and placed her in apprehension of immediate harmful and/or offensive touching.
- 41. Defendants have deprived plaintiff of her civil, constitutional and statutory rights and have conspired to deprive her of such rights and are liable to plaintiff under common law, and the laws and Constitution of New York State.
- 42. Plaintiff was damaged by defendants' assault.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
(Battery Under New York State Law)

- 43. The above paragraphs are here incorporated by reference.

44. Defendants engaged in and subjected plaintiff to immediate harmful and/or offensive touching and battered her.
45. Defendants used excessive and unnecessary force against plaintiff.
46. Plaintiff was damaged by defendants' battery.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Respondeat Superior)

47. The above paragraphs are here incorporated by reference.
48. The defendants' tortious acts were undertaken within the scope of their employment by Defendant City of New York and in furtherance of the Defendant City of New York's interest.
49. As a result of defendants' tortious conduct in the course of their employment and in furtherance of the business of Defendant City of New York, Plaintiffs were damaged.
50. The City of New York is liable for the tortious acts of its employees by reason of respondeat superior.

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(42 U.S.C. §1983)

51. The above paragraphs are here incorporated by reference.
52. Defendants have deprived Plaintiff of her civil, constitutional and statutory rights under color of law and have conspired to deprive her of such rights and are liable to Plaintiff under 42 USC § 1983.
53. Defendants' conduct deprived Plaintiff of her right to be free of unreasonable searches and seizures, pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.



54. Defendants' conduct deprived Plaintiffs of her right to due process of law, pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
55. Defendants' conduct deprived Plaintiff of her right to freedom of speech and assembly pursuant to First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
56. Defendants falsely arrested plaintiff without probable cause to believe either plaintiff had committed a crime, in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
57. Defendants used excessive and unnecessary force against Plaintiff, in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
58. Defendants deprived Plaintiff of the ability and opportunity to speak and peacefully assemble by forcibly arresting her in retaliation for the exercise of those rights.
59. Defendants failed to intervene in each other's obviously illegal actions.
60. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of defendants' wrongful acts.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (A) compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial for each of Plaintiff's Causes of Action;
- (B) punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (C) an order awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- (D) such other further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 27, 2021

Yours, etc.  
LIAKAS LAW, P.C.

By:



Nicholas Mindicino, ESQ.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/27/2021 04:54 PM**

INDEX NO. 159785/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/27/2021

65 Broadway, 13th Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 937-7765

STATE OF NEW YORK    )  
  ) ss  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

I, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, state under penalty of perjury that I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff in the within action; I have read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe to be true. The reason this verification is made by me and not by my client(s), is that my client(s) are not presently in the County where I maintain my offices. The grounds of my belief as to all matters not stated upon my own knowledge are the materials in my file and the investigations conducted by my office.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 27, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NICHOLAS MINDICINO, ESQ.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Vivian Lee,  
Plaintiffs,  
- against-

The City Of New York, Officer Edward Lam, Shield No. 21414, and John Does #1-4,

---

**LIAKAS LAW, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
65 Broadway - 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
212-937-7765

---

**SUMMONS and VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

---

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NEW YORK, SS:

Nicholas Mindicino, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, affirms the following:

I further certify that my signature below acts as a "certification" for the documents attached hereto, in compliance with section 130-1.1-a of the Rules of the Chief Administrator (22 NYCRR).

Dated: New York, New York  
October 27, 2021



Nicholas Mindicino, ESQ.

---

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE

- ( ) that the within is a (certified) true copy of a Notice of \_\_\_\_\_ entered in the Office of the clerk of the within Entry named Court on \_\_\_\_\_
  
  - ( ) that an Order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for Notice of settlement to the Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ one of the Judges of the Settlement within named Court, on \_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_
-