

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
SAMIR GORQAJ

Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT**

-against-

Jury Demand

THE CITY OF NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,  
P.O. RAQUEL PALMER,  
SGT. JOSE ROSA,  
JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-5

Defendants.  
-----X

Plaintiff SAMIR GORQAJ ("Plaintiff") by and through their attorneys

Robert Blossner, Esq. and Vik Pawar, Esq., respectfully allege as follows:

1. Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney's fees for violations of his civil rights, as secured by statutes and the Constitution of the United States and the State of New York and Under 42 USC 1983 and 42 USC 1988. Plaintiff also assert this court's supplemental jurisdiction under 28 USC 1367

2. Plaintiff has complied with conditions precedent to filing state law claims by filing timely notices of claim, attending 50h hearings and giving the City adequate time to settle their claim and this lawsuit is commenced within one year and ninety days of the incident.

**PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff at all relevant times a resident of the County of Bronx, and CITY and State of New York.

4. Defendant CITY of New York (hereinafter “CITY”) was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York. Defendant NYPD run the 41<sup>st</sup> precinct in Bronx, NY and is an entity that operates under the auspices of defendant CITY.

5. The individual defendants and Defendants John and Jane Does 1-5 (“DOE defendants”) are or were employed by the CITY at the 41<sup>st</sup>precinct and acted under the color of state law and are sued in their individual capacity.

**FACTS**

6. On November 6, 2020, plaintiff’s wife dropped him off at a construction site where he worked.

7. Plaintiff’s work involved digging ditches throughout the night for his employer.

8. Plaintiff was congregating with other union workers waiting to be driven to their job site in Astoria.

9. Plaintiff volunteered to go to a nearby Dunkin Donuts to obtain coffee for himself and his co-workers.

10. As plaintiff was walking back to meet his co-workers, an unmarked police vehicle pulled up, two uniformed officers jumped out and placed handcuffs on him.

11. Plaintiff was not informed why he was being arrested.

12. Plaintiff was taken to the 41<sup>st</sup> precinct and released approximately 12 hours later.

13. It appears that plaintiff was charged with “patronizing a person for prostitution.”

14. Plaintiff had to engage the services of a criminal defense attorney.

15. Plaintiff’s case was adjudicated in contemplation of dismissal.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
(Assault and Battery)

16. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

17. The individual Defendants committed acts that caused harm to Plaintiff.

18. The individual Defendants intended to inflict injury or pain on Plaintiff.

19. As a result of the assault and unlawful battery by the individual Defendants Plaintiff was apprehensive and suffered physical and emotional injuries.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
(Harassment)

20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

21. The Defendants intended to harass, annoy or alarm Plaintiff.

22. These defendants acted in a way that caused Plaintiff to be harassed, annoyed and alarmed.

23. As a result of their conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer mental and physical injuries.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Intentional and Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress under the laws of New York)

24. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

25. The aforementioned conduct was extreme and outrageous, and exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency.

26. The aforementioned conduct was committed by Defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by Defendant City.

27. The aforementioned conduct was committed by Defendants while acting in furtherance of their employment by Defendant City.

28. The aforementioned conduct was intentional and for the sole purpose of causing severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.

29. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, physical and mental injury, together with embarrassment, humiliation, shock, fright, and loss of freedom.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Violation of NYS and NYC Human and Civil Rights Law)

30. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

31. Defendants conduct herein denied Plaintiff the equal protection of the law, discriminated against them and caused their injuries.

32. As a result, Plaintiff's rights protected by the New York State and New York City Human Rights Laws were violated.

**AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Negligent Screening, Training, Hiring, and Retention under the laws of the State of NY)

33. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

34. Defendant City owed a duty to individuals like Plaintiff to properly screen, train, hire and then appropriately discipline its employees.

35. Defendant City breached its duty and failed to use reasonable care in the screening, hiring, retraining and retention of the aforesaid individual Defendants who conducted and participated in the violation of Plaintiff's rights.

36. As a result, Plaintiff suffered injuries.

**AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Negligence under the laws of the State of New York)

37. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

38. Defendants owed a duty to individuals like Plaintiff.

39. Defendants breached its duty when individual DOE Defendants engaged in and participated in the violation of Plaintiff's rights.

40. As a result, Plaintiff suffered injuries.

41. Plaintiff's physical and emotional injuries herein were caused by the carelessness, recklessness and negligence of the Defendant and their employees and agents, who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment engaging in the wrongful conduct described herein.

**AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Respondeat Superior liability under the laws of the State of New York)*

42. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

43. Defendant City is vicariously liable for the acts of its employees and agents who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein that caused Plaintiff irreparable physical and emotional injuries.

**AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments- unlawful seizure/imprisonment/excessive force/malicious prosecution/denial of right to fair trial)*

44. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

45. Defendants used force and brute to falsely arrest Plaintiff and physically assaulted him.

46. These defendants also trumped-up false charges against Plaintiff and fabricated evidence.

47. These defendants drafted criminal court paperwork, complaint report and forwarded them to the DA's office knowing that Plaintiff would be prosecuted.

48. These defendants failed to retract their falsified paperwork and deprived Plaintiff of his liberty.

49. Plaintiff was actually innocent of the charges against him.

50. Defendants' conduct was "repugnant to the conscience of mankind" and caused serious physical and emotional injuries to Plaintiff.

**AS AND FOR A NINETH CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Monell)*

51. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

52. The 41<sup>st</sup> precinct and the Bronx command has a custom and practice of generating arrests of innocent individuals on the charge of “patronizing a prostitute.”

53. The NYPD and the Bronx command are aware that innocent individuals are snared into this custom and practice and unlawfully arrested without any basis in law and fact.

54. Almost all of these “patronizing a prostitute” result in dismissal of the charges or the DA’s refusal to prosecute them.

55. The sole goal of the Bronx Command and the 41<sup>st</sup> precinct is to generate numbers and fulfill a quota which is then presented at CompStat to show the NYPD brass that something is being done for quality-of-life crimes.

56. However, in their misguided attempt to address real crimes, the Bronx Command knowingly and intentionally arrests innocent individuals like the plaintiff.

57. As a result of this widespread custom and practice, innocent individuals suffer a violation of their constitutional rights.

58. Even if the NYPD does not have a custom and practice of using the method to arrest individual innocent individuals, their failure to train or supervise the individual officers engaged in these types of operations amounts to deliberate indifference to the rights of the arrestees.

59. The NYPD is aware that an individual officer would encounter a situation

where an individual such as the plaintiff has not engaged in “patronizing a prostitute,” however; instead of training and supervising the individual defendants on what constitutes an arrest for such a crime, the NYPD simply turns a blind eye and encourages individual defendants to engage in conduct that violates the Constitution.

**AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
(Supervisory Liability)

60. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

61. Defendant Rosa was the supervisor on the scene when plaintiff was arrested.

62. Rosa knew that plaintiff was innocent and did not patronize a prostitute.

63. Despite being aware of plaintiff’s innocence, Rosa participated and directed the arrest of plaintiff.

64. Rosa was instrumental and took an active role in arrest of plaintiff on false charges.

65. Rosa was aware of the serious nature of subordinate defendants’ actions upon Plaintiff but failed to take any corrective actions.

66. As a result of the foregoing, Rosa liable under the theory of supervisory liability because their actions or lack thereof caused and continue to cause Plaintiff constitutional injuries.



**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff seeks judgment against the defendant individually, jointly, severally in an amount to be determined by a jury for compensatory and punitive damages; costs and fees associated with this litigation; injunctive relief and any such relief that the court may find just and reasonable.

**Dated:** March 22, 2021  
New York, New York 10007

Respectfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Vik Pawar', with a large loop and a horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Vik Pawar (VP9101)  
Robert Blossner 9RB0526)  
20 Vesey Street Suite 1410  
NY NY 10007  
212 571 0805