Case 1:20-cv-10832-AT-SN Document 451 Filed 03/21/22 Page 1 of 2

Kellogg, Hansen, Todd, Figel & Frederick, P.L.L.C.

SUMNER SQUARE
1615 M STREET, N.W.
SUITE 400
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-3215

(202) 326-7900 FACSIMILE: (202) 326-7999

March 21, 2022

VIA ECF

Hon. Sarah Netburn United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: SEC v. Ripple Labs Inc. et al., No. 20-cv-10832 (AT)(SN) (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Netburn:

We write on behalf of Defendants Ripple Labs Inc. ("Ripple"), Bradley Garlinghouse, and Christian A. Larsen (collectively, "Defendants") to oppose the SEC's request for an extension of the March 23 deadline to file a letter informing the Court of any additional discovery necessary in light of Judge Torres's March 2022 orders, and the schedule by which the parties will complete any such discovery.

The SEC has delayed resolution of this case long enough. The Individual Defendants have affirmed their willingness to forego additional discovery after filing their answers in the interests of moving the case forward, subject to both sides' reservation of the right to seek narrow remedies-related discovery if it becomes necessary. Nor will the Individual Defendants' answers raise any new affirmative defenses necessitating discovery by the SEC.

The parties have also discussed a proposed schedule for the filing of summary judgment briefs and *Daubert* motions with opening briefs due as early as May 22. The SEC has refused to commit to any schedule until after it reviews the Individual Defendants' answers. But even if the SEC were permitted to take discovery of the Individual Defendants, and the Individual Defendants were to reciprocate, discovery has closed with respect to Ripple. Nothing should further delay Ripple from moving for summary judgment and demonstrating to the Court that XRP is not a security.

The Court should deny the SEC's motion for yet another extension of time. The parties will then identify by March 23 any additional discovery that is needed. Once those letters are filed, the parties can then propose to the district court appropriate schedules for summary judgment and *Daubert* briefs.

¹ Even an expedited summary judgment schedule will not foreclose Ripple from considering any documents produced in response to the discovery motions currently pending before this Court.

Hon. Sarah Netburn March 21, 2022 Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael K. Kellogg

Michael K. Kellogg

(mkellogg@kellogghansen.com)

Reid M. Figel

Bradley E. Oppenheimer

KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL,

& FREDERICK PLLC

Sumner Square

1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400

Washington, DC 20036

+1 (202) 326-7900

/s/ Andrew J. Ceresney

Andrew J. Ceresney

(aceresney@debevoise.com)

Lisa Zornberg

Christopher S. Ford

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

+1 (212) 909-6000

Attorneys for Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.

/s/ Matthew C. Solomon

Matthew C. Solomon (msolomon@cgsh.com)

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &

HAMILTON

2112 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20037

+1 (202) 974-1680

/s/ Martin Flumenbaum

Martin Flumenbaum

(mflumenbaum@paulweiss.com)

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &

GARRISON LLP

1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

+1 (212) 373-3000

Counsel for Defendant Bradley

Garlinghouse

Counsel for Defendant Christian A.

Larsen