

750 Lexington Avenue, 9th Flr New York NY 10022 (631) 506-8464 ai@cerebel.law

January 3, 2023

The Honorable Jesse M. Furman United States District Judge Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007 Furman_NYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov

RE: United States v. Michael Cohen, Case No. 1:18-CR-602 (JHF)

Dear Judge Furman,

As the founder of a New York based Legal AI services and technology company which could be impacted by recent developments in the above referenced case (as to whether sanctions should be imposed), I submit this Letter Motion¹ to provide information and perspective to the Court on the possible broader consequences of sanctions perceived as directly or indirectly attributed to the use of Generative AI software ("GenAI").

Mata v. Avianca: In a lengthy Amicus Brief proposed this past June in a comparable sanctions matter (Mata v. Avianca, Inc., 22-cv-1461 (PKC), D.E. <u>50</u> & <u>51</u>) assessing monetary fines for citing non-existent cases and filing inauthentic case summaries, I: i) described my interests in such consequences, ii) outlined my relevant expertise, iii) reviewed the technology underlying products like Google Bard (including the enabling science, training, iterative development, capabilities, shortcoming, legal use cases, safety measures, and prompt documentation), iv) argued the attorney's faith in generated legal research was colorably reasonable under the circumstances that existed at that time, and v) cautioned that public interest would be best served if sanctions considered potential effects on a) the bar and access to justice for litigants, b) making of deterrent court rules, c) hasty preemption of legislation governing court procedure, and d) further development of technologies implicated in the conduct.

Distinguishing Errors: In both matters, "fake citations" made their way into an affirmed pleading after being originated by naive use of a GenAI application for legal research—in Mata by a licensed attorney preparing a motion, in the instant case unknowingly by a former attorney assisting their own defense counsel's motion practice. There are significant differences in the course of error made by the attorney here, most of which have the effect of reducing the severity

-

¹ It is well established that "district courts possess the inherent authority to appoint 'friends of the court' to assist in their proceedings" (Bayshore Ford Truck Sales, Inc., 471 F.3d 1233, 1249 n.34 (11th Cir. 2006) and that the role is to assist"in cases of general public interest by making suggestions to the court and by insuring a complete and plenary presentation of difficult issues so that the court may reach a proper decision." Newark Branch, N.A.A.C.P. v. Town of Harrison, N.J., 940 F.2d 792, 808 (3d Cir. 1991). Even when parties are represented by competent counsel, courts permit briefing from an amicus when, as in the instant matter, the amicus has an interest that may be affected by the decision but does not entitle the amicus to intervene or has unique information or a new perspective that can help the court beyond what the parties can provide. Ryan v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n, 125 F.3d 1062, 1064 (7th Cir. 1997), Best Payphones, Inc. v. Dobrin, 410 F. Supp. 3d 457, 465 n.3 (E.D.N.Y. 2019).

of affect—specifically, the subject attorney did not also submit fake summaries, fail to comply with a court request for text of cited decisions, nor conduct the specious legal research; co-counsel proactively identified errors, not the counterparty or the court; and the particular language models implicated differ, Google's vs OpenAI's. However, many of the same cautions articulated in the Mata Amicus Brief apply to the sanctions consideration now before the Court.

Concern: Given the notoriety of this case, there are valid concerns about how a sanctions ruling could impede the public benefits of innovation. This is especially so since Rule 11(c)(4) enables the Court to issue sanctions that may serve to "deter... comparable conduct by others similarly situated." As in RE: Pennie & Edmonds LLP, No. 02-7177, 2003 WL 1191197 (2d Cir. Mar. 14, 2003) wherein the majority opined that severe Rule 11 sanction regimes can have the effect of deterring legitimate actions by counsel in the interest of clients "out of apprehension that their conduct will erroneously be deemed improper." and too lenient regimes can "embolden them to [take] improper [actions] on behalf of clients" (in that case, to "make improper submission"), here a harsh regime would dissuade attorneys and litigants from adopting technologies that improve the quality and efficiency of counsel and broaden the means for parties to better educate themselves on the law.

Proliferation of Chilling Standing Orders: Indeed, in the wake of the Mata sanctions, over a dozen standing orders have been issued by federal district court judges relating to use of GenAI, representing a hodgepodge of inconsistent disclosure, certification, and prohibitive rules which have collectively provoked fear, not clarity². I therefore respectfully suggest that when considering or framing sanctions that could form the basis for refinement or expansion of standing order or local rules across districts, the Court focus on conduct in a technology neutral manner and note the following:

Rapid Improvement Due to Iterative Development: Mr. Cohen and his attorney Mr. Schwartz declare that the false citations brought to the Court's attention by Cohen attorney Ms. Perry originated from use of Google Bard³ prior to November 25, 2023 (Cohen Declaration, D. 104, P. 15 and Cohen Emails, D. 103, Exhibit E). Of potential relevance to the Court's consideration of any directives that name or are crafted to suit use of any particular technology, is the fact Bard, in the month since, has already been updated and improved significantly.⁴ Similarly in the Avianca matter, the faulty research was performed with GPT-3.5 which had been replaced by GPT-4 by the time sanctions were being considered. This rapid evolution speaks to

² Exhibit A: Table comparing federal court judicial standings orders on AI illustrates the mosaic of rules by summarizing fundamental requirements (as maintained at <u>cerebel.law</u>, Jan 2, 2024).

³ Unlike Google's 25 year old search engine which in May incorporated Search Generative Experience ("SGE"), an experimental retrieval-augmented generation ("RAG") application that uses Large Language Model ("LLM") inference to enhance traditional web search results delivered at www.google.com, Bard is accessed at bard.google.com and purely infers prompt-elicited content from its LLM's training data, often, when used wisely as a search tool, yielding highly relevant reproductions or close approximations.

⁴ Google Bard was first made available to the general public in March 2023 employing LaMDA language model, was upgraded in May with PaLM model, was integrated in September with popular apps Gmail, Youtube, and Maps, and *since December 6, 2023 has been powered by a fine tuned version of Gemeni Pro*, a version of Google's newest state-of-the-art of model which it claims exhibits more advanced reasoning, planning, and understanding and is positioning as competitive with GPT-4's performance.

the iterative and ever evolving nature of technology. And highlights challenges for any rulemaking that opts to focus on specific technology, rather than on conduct or resulting work product. What applies today, may very easily not apply tomorrow.

Seamless Ubiquity: There are an increasing number of ways GenAI is being incorporated in the everyday workflows of lawyers and nonlawyers, such as in general purpose search engines, grammar checkers, and cloud office suites⁵ and in domain specific research and document preparation applications like Lexis+ AI, Thomson Reuters' Casetext's CoCounsel, Harvey AI, and CereBel's GPTs. Such tools greatly increase the productivity, efficiency, relevance, and accuracy of research and many other legal tasks. Improved safety measures continue to reduce even further the risk of error. One can easily imagine the ready availability of features that will scan and validate the accuracy of citations contained in generated content. Collectively, these developments promise to democratize access to effective legal research and procedure and facilitate the federal judiciary and bar's mandate to seek "just, speedy, and inexpensive" resolution of cases⁶.

Sufficiency of Existing Conduct Rules: Given the rapidly emerging ubiquity and seamlessness of GenAI, compelling attorneys to certify use of specific technologies may become impossible or moot. Progress should be allowed to continue with reliance on sound professional judgment and education. While valid concerns have been raised about citation errors, privacy, privileged communication, and bias, there have been very few reported errors and no judicial decisions wrongly made on the basis of hallucinated citations or misused GenAI. Here, blunder was caught by diligent lawyering before the Court was significantly impacted, demonstrating that existing rules governing conduct and compelling accuracy are sufficient to protect successful integration of technology in the proper administration of justice.

Please let me know if I can be of any assistance to the Court in providing additional information or expertise.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory C. Belmont

Pro se

On behalf of myself and CereBel Legal Intelligence

m Belint

CC: All counsel via email

⁵ Google and Microsoft recently launched integration of their generative AI technologies in their popular online office application suites.

⁶ "Legal research may soon be unimaginable without it. AI obviously has great potential to dramatically increase access to key information for lawyers and non-lawyers alike," and, in regard to increasing access to justice, "These tools have the welcome potential to smooth out any mismatch between available resources and urgent needs in our court system," writes Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. in the "2023 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary" (December 31, 2023).

Exhibit A: Select Federal Court Judicial Standing Orders on Artificial Intelligence

	Judge / Court	Restrictiveness Primary Focus	Primary Focus	Cert Accuracy	Maintain Record Disclose Al Use		Tool & Use	Other	URL
et al. Moderate Accuracy, Decideure / / Certification for Unvertiled Sources, Excludes Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / Certification of Authority Analysis Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / / Certification of Authority Analysis V High Prohibition /	eli Martínez-Olguín alifornia	Moderate	Accuracy	1	/				Link
et al. Moderate Accuracy / Decembration for Authority Analysis Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / Certification of Authority Analysis Less Compliance / / / Certification of Authority Analysis Value Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Certification of Authority Analysis Value Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Certification of Authority Analysis Value Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Portation of Authority Analysis Value Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Portation of Authority Analysis Value Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Portation of Authority Accuracy / / Portation of Authority Accuracy Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	S Kobayashi of Hawaii	Moderate	Accuracy, Disclosure	1		/	/		Link
Moderate Oisobare Accuracy / / / Certification of Authority Analysis Less Less Compliance / / / y High Prohibition / / / y Moderate Oisobare, Accuracy / / / Prohibition of Authority Analysis y Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / Prohibition of Authority Analysis y Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / Prohibition of Authority Ancuracy, Personal Analysis son Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy /	rick Watson, et al. of Hawaii	Moderate	Accuracy	1				Declaration for Unverified Sources, Excludes Basic Research Tools	Link
c Less Disciosure / / / c Less Compliance / / / / y Julian Prohibition Compliance with Federal and Ethical Rules / Prohibition of A-Drafted Filings for Pro Sea y Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / Prohibition of A-Drafted Filings for Pro Sea son Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / yd Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / yd Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / yd Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / fish Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / fish Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / fish Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / / fish Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / <	frey Cole inois	Moderate	Disclosure, Accuracy	1		,	/	Certification of Authority Analysis	Link
Less Compliance Compliance Compliance Py Moderate Prohibition /* Prohibition of ALD-rafted Fillings for Pro Sean Parties 1 Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy /* /* Prohibition of ALD-rafted Fillings for Pro Sean Parties son Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy /* /* /* Prohibition of ALD-rafted Fillings for Pro Sean Parties son Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy /*	briel Fuentes inois	Moderate	Disclosure			,	,		Link
C High Prohibition of Al-Drafted Filings for Pro Sea Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy " " Parties N Moderate " " " Prohibition of Al-Drafted Filings for Pro Sea N Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy " " Prohibition of Al-Use in Court Filings, Possible Search Responsibility for Accuracy, Personal Confidentially N Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy " " " N Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy " " " Ison Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy " " " Ison Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy " " " Ison Moderate " " " " Ison Moderate Accuracy " " " " Ison Moderate Accuracy " " " " " " Ison Moderate Accuracy " " " "	J. Johnston linois	ress	Compliance					Compliance with Federal and Ethical Rules	Link
by Moderate Moderate Accuracy / Personal on Moderate Accuracy / Confidentiality for Accuracy, Personal Confirmation son Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / Prohibition of Al Use in Court Filings, Possible Sanctions dd Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / Ison Moderate / / / Moderate Accuracy / / / Ison Moderate Accuracy / / Moderate Accuracy / / / Moderate <	phen R. Clark issouri	High	Prohibition					Prohibition of AI-Drafted Filings for Pro Se Parties	Air
n Moderate labeled Accuracy Personal Accuracy Personal Moderate labeled Counsel Responsibility for Accuracy Personal Sanctions son Moderate labeled Disclosure, Accuracy labeled Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text Moderate labeled Disclosure, Accuracy labeled Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text Moderate labeled Disclosure, Accuracy labeled Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text Moderate labeled Disclosure, Accuracy labeled Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Content Moderate labeled Accuracy labeled Confidentiality or Accuracy labeled Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Content Moderate labeled Accuracy labeled Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Content Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Content	yn Padin t of New Jersey	Moderate	Disclosure, Accuracy	1		,			Link
High Prohibition Prohibition of Al Use in Court Filings, Possible Sanctions Son Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Moderate Accuracy / / / / Moderate Accuracy / / / / Moderate Accuracy / / / / / Moderate Accuracy / / / / / / Moderate Accuracy / / / / / / / / / / / /	Subramanian ew York	Moderate	Accuracy	/				Counsel Responsibility for Accuracy, Personal Confirmation	Link
Son Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy Moderate Moderate Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy Moderate Mod	ael Newman Ihio	High	Prohibition					Prohibition of AI Use in Court Filings, Possible Sanctions	Link
ydd Moderate Disclosure, Confidentiality Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy * * Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy * * Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy * * Moderate Accuracy * * gan Moderate Accuracy * Moderate Accuracy * * Moderate Confidentiality * <td>son A. Robertson klahoma</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>Disclosure, Accuracy</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>/</td> <td></td> <td>Link</td>	son A. Robertson klahoma	Moderate	Disclosure, Accuracy	1		,	/		Link
Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy * * * Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy * * * * Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy * * * * Moderate Accuracy * * * * gan Moderate Accuracy * * * gan Moderate Accuracy * * * * moderate Accuracy *	. Hall, J J. Loyd Jklahoma, Bankr	Moderate	Disclosure, Confidentiality			/		Confidentiality & Disclosure for AI-Drafted Text	Link
Ison Moderate Disclosure, Accuracy / / / / Ison Moderate Accuracy / Pornisel Responsibility for Accuracy Igan Moderate Accuracy / Portification of Accuracy for Al-Drafted Content Ison Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Text Moderate Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text	t L. Palk Oklahoma	Moderate	Disclosure, Accuracy	1		/	/		Link
Anderate Disclosure, Accuracy Image: Confidentiality or Accuracy and Accuracy a	E.K. Pratter ennsylvania	Moderate	Disclosure, Accuracy	1		,			Link
In Moderate Accuracy / Counsel Responsibility for Accuracy yk Moderate Accuracy / Nerification of Accuracy for Al-Drafted Content yk Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Moderate Confidentiality Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text	ichael M. Baylson ennsylvania	Moderate	Disclosure, Accuracy	1		,			Link
In Moderate Accuracy / Verification of Accuracy for Al-Drafted Content yk Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Moderate Confidentiality Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text	dney Gilstrap exas	Moderate	Accuracy	1				Counsel Responsibility for Accuracy	Link
yk Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Content Moderate Accuracy / Certification of Human Review for Al-Drafted Content Moderate Confidentiality / Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text	ey G. C. Jernigan exas, Bankruptcy	Moderate	Accuracy	1				Verification of Accuracy for Al-Drafted Content	Link
Moderate Accuracy Image: Confidentiality Confid	лем J. Kacsmaryk эхаs	Moderate	Accuracy	1				Certification of Human Review for AI-Drafted Content	Link
Moderate Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text	tley Starr exas	Moderate	Accuracy	1				Certification of Human Review for AI-Drafted Content	Z Tink
	hen Vaden of Intrntnl Trade	Moderate	Confidentiality			,		Confidentiality & Disclosure for Al-Drafted Text	Link

confirming updated rules. Maintained at www.cerebel.law/links/ai_standing_orders_1223. (* Judicial titles abbreviated for brevity.) Compiled with data from Bloomberg Law and assistance of GPT-4. Illustrative only; should not be relied upon in practice without