	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 1 of 239 IBR7H01	30
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	x	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	v. 17 Cr. 779 (LAP	
5	CHI PING PATRICK HO,	
6	Defendant.	
7 8	New York, N.Y. November 27, 2018	
9	10:00 a.m.	
10	Before:	
11	LORETTA A. PRESKA District Judge	
12		
13	APPEARANCES	
14	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the	
15	Southern District of New York BY: DANIEL RICHENTHAL	
16	DOUGLAS ZOLKIND CATHERINE GHOSH	
17	PAUL HAYDEN Assistant United States Attorneys	
18	BENJAMIN ROSENBERG	
19	EDWARD KIM KATHERINE WYMAN	
20	JONATHAN BODANSKY JONATHAN BOLZ	
21	Attorneys for Defendant	
22	ALSO PRESENT: Ryan Carey, F.B.I. Aashna Rao, Paralegal	
23	Peter Calabrese, Paralegal	
24 25		
2 J		

31

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

1

(Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Won't you be seated. Counsel, I think you have been informed that Juror 2 has informed us this morning that he just found out that his wife needs surgery a week from Friday. What would you like me to do, friends?

MR. RICHENTHAL: So, the parties conferred once we got that information. I think our joint view is the timing probably works, that is, I would anticipate we would be done in time, and obviously we can dismiss the juror if need be. The only concern we have is -- without probing any personal matter -- is whether the person might be distracted. And we thought it just could be appropriate to ask that question. I think the defense agrees that that's an appropriate question in an abundance of caution.

16

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, we do.

THE COURT: All right. And do I correctly understand that you think it ought to be done in the robing room on the record with one lawyer from each side?

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, your Honor.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Should we go ahead and do that now? We're 23 still missing a few jurors.

24MR. RICHENTHAL: That's fine with the government.25THE COURT: All right. Mr. Reporter, would you come

32

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 3 of 239 IBR7H01

in, and, Ms. Phillips, would you get the juror. 1 2 MR. RICHENTHAL: I also advised your Honor's deputy 3 that we have one small matter for the record. I can put that 4 on the record now. 5 THE COURT: Do that now, please. 6 MR. RICHENTHAL: I think it's fast. The parties have 7 conferred regarding the exhibits to be introduced through the witnesses for today, in particular the witness this morning. 8 9 There is one exhibit, Government Exhibit 2739, where 10 the parties have a disagreement I think about the extent of 11 redaction, if any, under the rule of completeness, etc. 12 Our paralegal is telling me that she is going to get 13 your Honor a copy. 14 THE COURT: Say again the number? 15 MR. RICHENTHAL: 2739. I think our paralegal just signaled it may not be in the set we gave you a few days ago, 16 17 and she is going to hand it up. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. RICHENTHAL: In any event, what I proposed to the 19 20 defense, to respect the jury's time, is that exhibit is going 21 to come pretty late in the witness's examination, and the part 22 that I intend to highlight is actually not a part we have a 23 dispute about. So, what I propose is assume we reach the 24 morning break, we can deal with it then. If we haven't reached

the morning break, we can either take a break, or I will offer

25

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 4 of 239 IBR7H01

33

the exhibit, not discuss the part that's disputed, and we can 1 deal with it later. 2 3 I think the defense is in agreement, I think there is a dispute, but I don't think it's one that's going to take a 4 5 massive amount of time. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Rosenberg, is that all right with you? 7 Mr. Kim? 8 MR. KIM: Your Honor, that proposal is acceptable to 9 us, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Was it the defense's position that additional material should be redacted? 11 12 MR. KIM: No, your Honor. I think -- is your Honor 13 looking at 2739R? Yeah. We're disputing that the portion --14 the blacked out portion -- should be redacted at all. We need 15 to talk about it, but I think primarily the redactions at the 16 end. 17 THE COURT: All right. Do we have an unredacted copy? 18 OK, thank you. 19 Should we go ahead with the juror now, assuming all 20 the other jurors are not present? 21 MR. RICHENTHAL: I think so. Let me also add on the 22 same subject, I think this morning will be the first time --23 it's indeed our first witness -- where we offer a redacted 24 exhibit. Does the Court typically give an instruction at that 25 time? I may ask the witness his understanding of what

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 5 of 239 IBR7H01

1 redaction is, but I don't know if the Court wants to say 2 anything. We defer to your Honor's judgment. I just wanted to 3 note we will both be offering some redacted exhibits this 4 morning.

MR. KIM: I think as long as it is clear to the jury that redactions have nothing to do with the subject matter.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we hear what the witness says, and if I think any comment is required, I will make it.

All right, Ms. Phillips, if the jurors are not allpresent, would you bring number 2 out, please.

(Continued on next page)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 6 of 239 35 IBR7H01 (In the robing room) 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt, I understood that your wife 3 has to have surgery next week. 4 JUROR: Sorry. This is number three; I wasn't 5 expecting it. THE COURT: Yes, sir, so sorry to hear that. The 6 7 lawyers think we're going to be finished by then. 8 JUROR: OK. 9 THE COURT: And so the only question to you, sir, is: 10 Is there any reason that you wouldn't be able to give your full attention to the evidence in the case during this time? 11 12 JUROR: No. 13 THE COURT: Any other questions you would like me to 14 ask Mr. Schmidt? 15 MR. ROSENBERG: No, your Honor. 16 MR. ZOLKIND: No. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 All right. Are we ready? Let's go. Thank, friends. 19 (Continue on next page) 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 7 of 239 IBR7H01

36

1 (In open court) MR. RICHENTHAL: While we're waiting, does the Court 2 3 have any objection when we offer a stipulation to our not 4 reading the whole thing? 5 THE COURT: No, as long as obviously you're going to read the guts of it, but I don't think you need to say by and 6 7 between this attorney or that one. Do you disagree, Mr. Rosenberg? 8 9 MR. ROSENBERG: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. There is another issue. It doesn't 11 MR. ROSENBERG: 12 relate I believe to this witness, but I don't know if your 13 Honor prefers to do it at side bar. I quess it doesn't matter 14 if it doesn't relate to the witness. It's about Exhibit 412, 15 which I understand the government is going to use with another witness. It's an e-mail that is --16 17 THE COURT: I think you probably ought to stand in front of a microphone. 18 MR. ROSENBERG: Forgive me, your Honor. 412, your 19 20 Honor, is an e-mail with several attachments. I believe it is 21 a single file. The government produced it to us, and then they 22 provided us with the marked copy of the exhibit, the file copy, 23 and they had taken over the last two pages. I had not noticed 24 a difference, your Honor. That happened the 24th. Ι 25 apologize.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 8 of 239 IBR7H01

THE COURT: For some reason, Mr. Rosenberg, I'm not hearing you. Go ahead, sir.

MR. ROSENBERG: Exhibit 412 was provided. It's an e-mail with attachments and it's a single file, as I understand it. They have removed the final two pages of the document which is a speech, the text of the speech by Dr. Ho that was I believe written by the witness, and it is part of the same document, relates to the same topic and indeed the same events, and because it's part of the same document we submit it should be part of the exhibit.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, just for context, we anticipate offering this exhibit through the next witness.

THE COURT: Not this witness.

MR. ZOLKIND: Correct. The next witness is a former employee of the CEFC NGO who reported to the defendant, and one of the things he did was he would work on press releases that the defendant would direct him to draft about various things that the NGO was doing.

And so this e-mail chain -- which includes the witness amongst the group of people who were in the chain, and it also includes the defendant and others -- this e-mail chain is about a press release that relates to a \$1 million donation from the CEFC NGO to the United Nations.

So, we will go through the chain, the witness will

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

24

25

1

2

38

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 9 of 239 IBR7H01

explain what press release they're talking about.

Then, Ms. Rao, if you could bring up the first page of the attachment.

You can see here, your Honor, the first page of the attachment is at least a draft version of that press release.

Ms. Rao, if you could just flip to the next page. It goes on. We go to the next page, it goes on. Keep going. This is all press release.

THE COURT: OK.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. ZOLKIND: So this is where we propose to cut the exhibit, at the end of the draft press release that's the subject of the e-mail.

Ms. Rao, if you could go to the next page.

Sorry. So the version, this is what we have marked as an exhibit, this is where we propose the end the exhibit.

The original file name, the original file that this was all included in, included as the next page or two pages afterwards a speech that the defendant gave at, as we understand it, a ceremony where this donation was provided.

In our view -- and consistent with the Court's ruling excluding the defendant's speeches -- but really because it's not the subject of what the exhibit is being offered for, we don't see any relevance or need to include a speech that the defendant gave just because by happenstance it was appended in the same file.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 10 of 239 IBR7H01

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MR. ROSENBERG: Judge, it does relate to the -- it is exactly the speech given at the event that the press release is about, and I think by all appearances it was appended to the press release presumably when the --

THE COURT: What is the relevance of it other than that it was appended to the press release?

MR. ROSENBERG: That it relates -- exactly the same relevance of the press release. It relates to the same matter, the same event, and it relates to a gift given by CEFC to the UN -- excuse me -- a grant given for an award. It's relevant to the government, and it completes the picture of exactly what was happening.

THE COURT: Look, the government is the judge of what is relevant for its purposes. Is your position that it should come in under the rule of completeness? Is that what you're arguing?

MR. ROSENBERG: The rule -- yes, your Honor, it comesin under the rule of completeness.

19 THE COURT: Can someone give me the speech, please. 20 MR. ROSENBERG: May I bring it up, your Honor? 21 MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, it might be helpful if I 22 explain -- because I don't think we did -- why we view the 23 press release at all as relevant.

24 MR. ROSENBERG: May I interrupt? I have some 25 handwritten marks on that.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 11 of 239 IBR7H01

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I promise I won't read them.

MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, the reason in our view that the press release at all is relevant and that the testimony about the press release is relevant, obviously our case does not involve a \$1 million donation to the United Nations. In our view it's relevant because it shows the practice when there was a real and legitimate donation was to issue a press release, and we expect to offer evidence in this case through other witnesses and other evidence that there was no press release with respect to any donation to Chad or any donation to Uganda.

So, the substance of the press release is irrelevant. The defendant's speech is even less relevant. The only fact that's relevant is that a press release was issued and it related to a \$1 million donation, and that's relevant because we think it's going to show by contrast the significance of the fact that there wasn't a press release issued with respect to the quote unquote donations that are the subject of this case.

THE COURT: OK. Mr. Rosenberg?

MR. ROSENBERG: I think if the only issue is that there is a press release, then they can have the witness testify that there was a press release; we don't have to put it in -- which discusses matters -- and put in what appears to be an incomplete document.

THE COURT: How does it appear incomplete?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 12 of 239 IBR7H01

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. ROSENBERG: Because it was part of the same file that was on the e-mail, and I think a fair inference from that is that it was part of the same press package that was prepared.

MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, as I understand the rule of completeness, the question is whether by not including the additional text that the defense wants to include a misrepresentation will be made or the evidence will not be in proper context for the jury to understand.

I don't think that is at all the case here. The jury will fully understand that there was a press release, that it related to this event, that there was a ceremony at the event.

They can cross the witness on whether the defendant made a public statement, and I expect that the witness would say yes because the witness was there. I don't know that for a hundred percent sure, but I expect he would say yes. So I don't think under the rule of completeness this at all needs to be admitted.

> THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Rosenberg? MR. ROSENBERG: Nothing, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The proffer under the rule of completeness is overruled. The speech is not necessary to complete the story, it is not necessary to correct any misunderstanding which might be conveyed by the other portion of the document, and it does not fit any other requirement

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 13 of 239 IBR7H01

1 under the rule of completeness. Accordingly, the speech will 2 not be received.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Off the record.

MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, as long as we have another minute of down time, one item of business we just wanted to raise with the Court. The parties have discussed this, and I believe we're on the same page.

As the Court knows, on Sunday the government filed a letter motion regarding certain cross-examination of one of our witnesses that we believe should be precluded. The defense responded last evening, if I'm not mistaken.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ZOLKIND: What we would like to do, your Honor, is put in a brief reply. They raised at least one new issue with respect to the agreement.

Т

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ZOLKIND: So, we propose to reply to that this evening and, if it's convenient for the Court, to convene tomorrow morning to address those issues.

20

THE COURT: OK, fine.

21 MR. ZOLKIND: With respect to the one exhibit that the 22 defense requested redactions to, what we're going to do, if the 23 Court agrees, is offer the exhibit -- to the extent it comes 24 up -- but I will not publish it for the jury, and I won't read 25 from it until the Court rules on the redactions.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 14 of 239 43 IBR7H01

1	THE COURT: All right, fine. Thank you.
2	Mr. Rosenberg, can you stand up and speak into the
3	podium mic so we can test it off the record.
4	(Continued on next page)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 15 of 239 44 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 44
1	(Jury present)
2	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I
3	hope you did not have to swim home last night.
4	Good morning, everyone. Nice of you to bring the sun
5	with you this morning. Come right in.
6	And we start with the evidence from the government.
7	Who does the government call?
8	MR. RICHENTHAL: Good morning, your Honor. The
9	government calls Vuk Jeremic.
10	VUK JEREMIC,
11	called as a witness by the government,
12	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
13	THE COURT: Mr. Richenthal.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Jeremic.
17	A. Good morning.
18	Q. In what country do you live, sir?
19	A. I live in Serbia.
20	Q. For anyone who might not know, where is Serbia?
21	A. Serbia is in southeast Europe.
22	Q. Mr. Jeremic, there is a microphone in front of you. Do you
23	mind just pulling it a little closer to you?
24	A. Better now?
25	Q. Now, I'm asking you questions in English. Do you have any

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 16 of 239 45 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 45
1	trouble understanding me?
2	A. No.
3	Q. You will let me know if you do?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is your native language English?
6	A. No.
7	Q. What is your native language, Mr. Jeremic?
8	A. Serbian language.
9	Q. What do you presently do for a living?
10	A. I do three things. Number one, I am one of the leaders of
11	the opposition in my own country Serbia. Number two, I am head
12	of international think tank called Center for International
13	Relations and Sustainable Development, headquartered in
14	Belgrade. And, number three, international consultant.
15	Q. Now, taking the first one for a moment. I think you used
16	the phrase opposition.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What did you mean by that, Mr. Jeremic?
19	A. I am leader of a party that is strongly opposed to the
20	current government that we have in Serbia.
21	Q. When you say a party, do you mean a political party?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. What is your educational background?
24	A. I graduated theoretical physics from Cambridge University,
25	United Kingdom, and I did my master's degree at Harvard

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 17 of 239 46 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 46
1	University in Cambridge, Massachusetts.
2	Q. Approximately when did you get your degree from Harvard?
3	A. I graduated in 2003. I was studying there from 2001 to
4	2003.
5	Q. In what subject or subjects was your degree?
6	A. That was a master's in public administration and
7	international development.
8	Q. Have you held any positions with the Serbian government?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. What positions?
11	A. I held many positions, the most prominent of which was the
12	position of the Minister of Foreign Affairs from 2007 to 2012.
13	Q. Now, in short, what was your role as the Minister of
14	Foreign Affairs?
15	A. Minister of Foreign Affairs is a company's chief diplomate,
16	he runs diplomatic service, he's boss to a country's
17	embassador, he speaks on behalf of the country abroad and so
18	on.
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, if I could ask you to just slow down just
20	slightly.
21	A. No problem.
22	Q. Now, in the United States, do we have an equivalent
23	position to the Minister of Foreign Affairs?
24	A. You do, you call it Secretary of State in this country.
25	THE COURT: You're exactly right, move into that

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 18 of 239 47 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct
1	microphone, sir. See, all those people way in the back, they
2	all have to be able to hear you.
3	THE WITNESS: Got it. Thank you, your Honor.
4	Q. Do you mind just giving your answer again. Do we have an
5	equivalent position in this country, and what is it called?
6	A. You do. In this country this is called Secretary of State.
7	Q. Now, changing subjects, are you familiar with an
8	organization called the United Nations or UN?
9	A. I am, sir.
10	Q. What is the UN?
11	A. UN is the abbreviation for the united nations. It is the
12	international multi-lateral organization that is comprised of
13	193 independent sovereign countries of the world.
14	Q. Are those countries that you just referred to sometimes
15	referred to by a different phrase than the United Nations?
16	A. Sometimes they're called member states because they're
17	members of the organization.
18	Q. Member states?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And that's equivalent to a country?
21	A. Yes, that's equivalent to a country in the context of the
22	UN. There are some countries that are not members of the UN in
23	the world.
24	Q. Sticking with the UN for a moment, where is the UN
25	headquartered?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 19 of 239 48 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 48
1	A. It is headquartered in New York.
2	Q. And when you say New York, do you mean Manhattan?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Approximately where?
5	A. It's on the East River, I believe between 42nd and 45th,
6	roundabout streets, but it's on the East Side of the Manhattan
7	island.
8	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, there is a screen on your right. I am
9	going to ask Ms. Rao to put a document on the screen. Without
10	describing the detail, can you just let me know if you
11	recognize it.
12	A. I do.
13	Q. What do you recognize it as, sir?
14	A. I recognize a number of buildings that are on the East Side
15	of Manhattan.
16	Q. Does that include the UN or United Nations headquarters?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. Does it fairly and accurately depict what the headquarters
19	looked like between at least 2014 and 2017?
20	A. Yes, sir. That's the building on the left-hand side of the
21	photo.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor, the government offers
23	Exhibit 1516.
24	MR. KIM: No objection, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Received.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 20 of 239 49 II IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 49

	IBR/HOI DETENIC - DITECT
1	(Government Exhibit 1516 received in evidence)
2	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, that exhibit is now on the jury's
3	screens.
4	Ms. Rao, could you put it on the big screen as well,
5	please.
6	While we're waiting for the big screen, let me
7	continue. Now that everyone can see it, can you just point out
8	in the photograph which one is the UN headquarters, meaning
9	which building?
10	A. The one on the left-hand side, the left most building on
11	the screen, is the UN building.
12	Q. What color?
13	A. Light blue.
14	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, you can take that down for now,
15	Ms. Rao.
16	Q. Did there come a time when you accepted a position at the
17	UN in Manhattan?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Approximately when was that?
20	A. I ran for president of the United Nations General Assembly
21	in 2012, and in June 2012 I was elected by the majority of
22	world nations that are member states of the United Nations for
23	this position, the position of the president of the UN General
24	Assembly.
25	Q. You said that was June 2012?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 21 of 239 50 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 50
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. And when did you take office?
3	A. I took office in September 2012.
4	Q. Now, you described the position as the president of the
5	General Assembly?
6	A. President of the General Assembly is nominally the top
7	position in the hierarchy of the United Nations. You're
8	elected by the member states. You are supposed to for one
9	year, because it's a one year term to chair the sessions of
10	the General Assembly, and the General Assembly is something of
11	a world parliament in which each country is represented by one
12	seat. Equivalent in this country would be House of
13	Representatives chaired by the Speaker of the House. So the
14	position of the president of the General Assembly is equivalent
15	to the Speaker of the House in the House of Representatives.
16	But the president of the General Assembly also speaks on behalf
17	of the UN throughout the world, attends conferences on behalf
18	of the UN across the world, engages with nongovernmental
19	organizations and private sector entities that are socially
20	responsible and want to engage with the UN in furthering the
21	agenda and goals of the United Nations.
22	Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about a couple things
23	you just said, but, first, is the president of the General
24	Assembly sometimes also known as the PGA?
25	A. Yes, that's the acronym of the president of the General

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 22 of 239 51 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 51
1	Assembly.
2	Q. And I believe you said this, but for how long does a PGA
3	serve in that position?
4	A. It's always one year. It's mandated by the General
5	Assembly rules and regulations. It always starts in September,
6	lasts until the September of the following year.
7	Q. In your experience, what's the typical background of a PGA?
8	A. Most people who occupy this position used to be diplomats
9	or ministers in their governments, in particular ministers of
10	foreign affairs. There are other examples, but the vast
11	majority have diplomatic background.
12	Q. And within the hierarchy of the United Nations, where does
13	the PGA fit?
14	A. Nominally this is the number one position in the United
15	Nations. In practice, the secretary general of the United
16	Nations has a more pronounced especially operational role in
17	the running of this organization.
18	THE COURT: Slow it down.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Thank you, your Honor.
20	Q. Now, if your term commenced in September of 2012, when did
21	it end?
22	A. September 2013.
23	Q. Was there a new PGA who took office at that time?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. What was his or her name?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 23 of 2395IBR7H01Jeremic - Direct
1	A. His name was John Ashe.
2	Q. Where was he from?
3	A. He was from the country called Antigua and Barbuda.
4	Q. And where is Antigua and Barbuda?
5	A. It's a country that is located in the Carribean.
6	Q. Did Mr. Ashe serve his term for a year?
7	A. Yes, he did.
8	Q. Did someone take office after him?
9	A. Yes, somebody else took over after one year.
10	Q. What was his or her name?
11	A. His name was Samuel Kutesa.
12	Q. Could you spell that, please.
13	A. S-a-m-u-e-l and K-u-t-e-s-a.
14	Q. Where was Mr. Kutesa from?
15	A. From Uganda.
16	Q. Where is Uganda?
17	A. Uganda is located in the African continent.
18	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you now show to Mr.
19	Jeremic what is marked for identification as Government's
20	Exhibits 1504 and 1504A.
21	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is that on your screen?
22	A. I see one person on the screen.
23	Q. Let's take 1504 first then. Do you recognize who is in
24	that photograph?
25	A. I do.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 24 of 239 53 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 53
1	Q. Who is that, Mr. Jeremic?
2	A. That is Samuel Kutesa, the aforementioned person.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1504.
4	MR. KIM: No objection.
5	THE COURT: Received.
6	(Government Exhibit 1504 received in evidence)
7	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize what is marked for
8	identification as 1504?
9	A. I do.
10	Q. What is that?
11	A. That is a photo.
12	Q. A photo of whom, sir?
13	A. That is a photo of Samuel Kutesa.
14	Q. The same individual as in 1504?
15	A. I think so.
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1504A.
17	MR. KIM: No objection.
18	THE COURT: Received.
19	(Government Exhibit 1504A received in evidence)
20	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, at this time we would like to
21	offer a stipulation. Actually, let me back up for one second.
22	I would like to offer 1504B, which is just a name.
23	It's the name that Mr. Jeremic provided that was associated
24	with the photographs. It goes with the item there, so they can
25	put it on the board.

THE COURT: I don't understand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

25

MR. RICHENTHAL: It's a name. There is Velcro on the back of that, and 1504B is a name that goes with the photo. THE COURT: Sure.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor, I would like to offer stipulation S9 at this time. It's with respect to certain records of the United Nations.

THE COURT: Fact or testimonial?

MR. RICHENTHAL: It's testimonial, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is one of those agreements between the parties to the case. This one is 12 testimonial. So that means that in it you will hear that if a 13 certain witness was called to the stand; the witness would give 14 certain testimony. You are required to accept the fact that 15 the witness would give certain testimony as stated in the exhibit. The weight you give to that testimony is of course up 16 17 to you.

Mr. Richenthal.

MR. RICHENTHAL: The stipulation is entitled Stipulation as to Certain United Nations Documents. Paragraph 3 reads as follows:

22 "Government Exhibit 2302 is an organizational chart of 23 the Office of the president of the UN General Assembly under 24 then press Sam Kutesa."

The government offers Exhibit 2302.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 26 of 239 55 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 55
1	MR. KIM: No objection.
2	THE COURT: Received.
3	(Government Exhibit 2302 received in evidence)
4	Q. No, Mr. Jeremic, is that exhibit on your screen?
5	A. Yes, it is.
6	MR. RICHENTHAL: And, Ms. Rao, can you put that on the
7	big screen as well. Ms. Rao, can you blow up the top of the
8	first page, please.
9	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize the individual at the top of
10	that document?
11	A. I do, sir.
12	Q. Who is that?
13	A. That is Sam Kutesa.
14	Q. The same individual you mentioned a minute ago?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, Ms. Rao, can you scroll down or
17	blow up the part below that, please. Stop there.
18	Q. Mr. Jeremic, are you able to read the words below the
19	photographs?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Do you recognize any of those positions?
22	A. I recognize the one that is currently being on top.
23	Q. Are you referring to the position under Ambassador Arthur
24	Kafeero?
25	A. Yes, sir.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 27 of 239 56 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 56
1	Q. What is that position?
2	A. It's a Chef de Cabinet, which is a diplomatic expression
3	that refers to chief of staff, so the chief of staff is
4	somebody who is operationally running the office of the
5	president, much like this country, for example, you would have
6	a White House Chief of Staff. Chef de Cabinet literally means
7	Chief of Staff.
8	Q. Changing subjects, you used a term a couple minutes ago
9	that I'm going to return to you. You used the term NGO. What
10	is an NGO?
11	A. NGO is an acronym that stands for nongovernmental
12	organization.
13	Q. What is an NGO or nongovernment mental organization?
14	A. It's an organization comprised of people who come together
15	to advance a certain goal or a certain issue that is of their
16	particular interest.
17	Q. Now, in your experience, what role, if any, do NGOs play at
18	the UN?
19	A. NGOs are engaged in the United Nations activity in order to
20	advocate certain goals, the goals that are at the heart of why
21	they were put together in the first place, those particular
22	NGOs; and the way they interact with the member states and
23	other organs of the United Nations is through their official
24	affiliation with the United Nations that is called a
25	consultative status that an NGO may acquire inside the

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 28 of 239 57 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 57
1	organization of the United Nations.
2	Q. You just used the phrase consultative status.
3	Approximately how many NGOs have such status?
4	A. Probably thousands.
5	Q. Did you interact with such NGOs at the time you were PGA?
6	A. I did. It's a very normal practice to talk and to engage
7	with NGOs that are there in order to further a certain goal or
8	advocate a certain goal.
9	Q. Do you have an example of the kind of meeting or event at
10	which you may have interacted with an NGO during your service
11	as PGA?
12	A. First of all, NGOs often ask for meetings with UN officials
13	in order to discuss things that are in their interest. It
14	could be from human rights, to climate change, to any other
15	topic.
16	The UN also invites them either through the General
17	Assembly or through other organs of the United Nations to
18	participate in debates that are sponsored by the United
19	Nations, and some of those are held at UN turf, in the UN
20	building, and sometimes they are organized elsewhere, but there
21	is a very rich interaction between those kinds of NGOs and the
22	organs and the officials of the United Nations.
23	Q. You just used the term organ. What do you mean by that in
24	the context of the United Nations?
25	A. Organs of the United Nations is, for example, General

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 29 of 239 58 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 58

1	Assembly. Organ of the United Nations is Security Council of
2	the United Nations. Organ of the United Nations is, for
3	example, ECOSOC, Economic and Social Council of the United
4	Nations amongst others.
5	THE COURT: Slowly.
6	Q. I'm going to switch subjects again. Did you there come a
7	time when you connected with an individual connected to an NGO
8	known as Chi Ping Patrick Ho, or for short Patrick Ho?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Do you recognize that person in the courtroom?
11	A. I do, sir.
12	Q. Could you describe what he is wearing, please.
13	A. I can't actually see from this seat. He is wearing a
14	purple shirt.
15	MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor, may the record reflect
16	that Mr. Jeremic has identified the defendant.
17	MR. KIM: So stipulated, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Yes, sir, thank you.
19	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, I'm going to ask Ms. Rao to put another
20	photograph on your screen, Government Exhibit 1501. Do you
21	recognize that?
22	A. I do, sir.
23	Q. What is that, Mr. Jeremic?
24	A. This is a photograph of the aforementioned Dr. Patrick Ho.
25	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1501.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 30 of 239 59 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct
1	MR. KIM: No objection.
2	THE COURT: Received.
3	(Government Exhibit 1501 received in evidence)
4	Q. Mr. Jeremic, I am bringing you 1501A, as in apple. Do you
5	recognize that?
6	A. I do, sir.
7	Q. What is that?
8	A. That is also a picture of Dr. Patrick Ho.
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1501A as well.
10	MR. KIM: No objection, and we don't object to the
11	Velcro B.
12	THE COURT: Wonderful. Thank you. Moving right along
13	here.
14	MR. RICHENTHAL: For the record that's 1501B.
15	THE COURT: Wonderful.
16	(Government Exhibits 1501A and 1501B received in
17	evidence)
18	Q. Mr. Jeremic, approximately when did you meet Mr. Ho the
19	defendant?
20	A. I met Dr. Ho for the first time in November of 2012.
21	Q. Was this during your term as PGA?
22	A. Yes, it was, sir.
23	Q. How did you come to meet him?
24	A. I received an invitation to the Office of the President of
25	the General Assembly for me to attend an event in Lincoln

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 31 of 239 60 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct Center here in New York, Manhattan, whose topic was building 1 bridges between various cultures and helping various cultures 2 3 better understand each other. And did attend the event? 4 Q. 5 Α. I did. Did you speak with the defendant at that time? 6 Ο. 7 Α. I did. 8 Q. In what language did you speak? 9 We spoke in English. Α. 10 Did you have any trouble understanding him? Q. 11 Α. No, not to my recollection. 12 Q. Did he appear to have any trouble understanding you? 13 Α. No. 14 What did you talk about in sum? Q. 15 Α. We talked about what was the main topic at that particular event, which is how to help various cultures and civilizations 16 get more closely together, to have a better understanding 17 between each other and, in particular, the western civilization 18 and that of China. 19 20 Do you recall whether he told you the name of the NGO? Ο. 21 I do, sir. Α. 22 What was its name? Q. 23 The acronym was CEFC NGO. Α. 24 And how, if at all, did he describe what that organization 0.

25 was.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 32 of 239 61 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 61

	IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. We talked about what they do in the context of the topic of
2	that particular conference and the event, and I was told by Dr.
3	Ho that their main goal is to further and to improve
4	understanding between various cultures in the world.
5	Q. How, if the all, did he describe his role at the
6	organization?
7	A. I don't remember exactly, but I assumed at that moment he
8	was the front person within the NGO, the guy who was leading
9	that particular NGO.
10	Q. What did you base that assumption on?
11	A. On the conversations that we had and the very prominent
12	in other words, the leading role that he had at that particular
13	event, at that particular function at Lincoln Center.
14	Q. Based on your conversation at that time at Lincoln Center,
15	what understanding, if any, did you have as to whether the NGO
16	was connected to a for-profit company?
17	A. I don't understand I don't remember us talking about
18	that at that particular event.
19	Q. Now, after this event, were there other times when you
20	interacted with defendant over the course of your year as the
21	PGA?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. What kinds of times in general?
24	A. A couple of months later I was invited in the official
25	capacity of President of the UN General Assembly to visit

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 33 of 239IBR7H01Jeremic - Direct

China, where I met with top Chinese officials in Beijing. 1 Those meetings were held in Beijing in the capital of China. 2 3 And Dr. Ho kindly extended an invitation to me to visit Hong Kong on my way back to New York in order to give a speech at 4 5 the banquet at a special function which would be organized by 6 the CEFC, the NGO, and where you would have a lot of prominent 7 Hong Kong residents present, like diplomats, business people, media people, academicians from both Hong Kong and mainland, 8 9 and I accepted his invitation that I make a stop-over in Hong 10 Kong as a part of my official visit to China. 11 Ο. I'm going to ask you a few questions about that visit in a 12 moment. But, first, was that the only other time you 13 interacted with the defendant over the course of your year as 14 PGA? A. No, sir. 15 Based on your conversations with him, did you come to learn 16 Ο. 17 whether his NGO had a space or office that he used in Manhattan? 18 19 Yes, I did, at some point during our interactions -- I Α. 20 cannot exactly remember when it was -- but, yes, I did learn 21 about it. 22 And where was that space or location? Q. 23 That space was located in the World Trump Tower, the Α. 24 building that was very, very near -- it is still very, very 25 near to the headquarters of the United Nations.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP	Document 204	Filed 12/13/18	Page 34 of 239	63
IBR7HO1	Jeremic	- Direct		

	IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct
1	THE COURT: Thank you. I was glad to hear they didn't
2	move it.
3	Q. Did you ever go to the space itself, or were you only told
4	about it?
5	A. I went to the place myself. I remember at least one
6	occasion when I was present in that space.
7	Q. Could you describe it.
8	A. It's a big apartment on a very, very high floor of the
9	World Trump Tower, with a very good view of the entire
10	Manhattan island.
11	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you put on Mr.
12	Jeremic's screen what has been marked for identification as
13	Government Exhibit 1515.
14	Q. Do you recognize that, Mr. Jeremic?
15	A. I do, sir.
16	Q. What is that?
17	A. That looks like the entry to that particular building that
18	we were talking about a minute ago.
19	Q. Does it fairly and accurately depict what that entry looked
20	like at the time you were there?
21	A. To my best recollection, yes.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: The governments offers 1515.
23	THE COURT: Received.
24	(Government Exhibit 1515 received in evidence)
25	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, Ms. Rao, could you put up 1516,

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 35 of 239 64 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 64
1	the other photograph of the buildings, please.
2	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is that office building or apartment building
3	in this photograph as well?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Could you point it out, please.
6	A. It is a dark blue building that is on the right, towards
7	the right side of that photo.
8	Q. And approximately how far, in your experience, is the walk
9	from that building to the United Nations headquarters?
10	A. It's like a few minutes maybe, a few minutes walk.
11	Q. Now I'm going to return to your trip to China in a moment,
12	but from your interactions with the defendant during your year
13	as PGA, did you come to learn whether he had an assistant?
14	A. I did, sir.
15	Q. Did he?
16	A. I'm sorry?
17	Q. Did he?
18	A. Yes, I did come to learn that he had somebody who was
19	helping him out.
20	Q. And do you recall that person's name?
21	A. I don't, unfortunately.
22	Q. Do you recall what he or she looks like?
23	A. I think I could if I saw her.
24	Q. Now, in preparing to testify today were you shown a
25	photograph of a person that's the person you're describing?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 36 of 239 65 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 65
1	A. OK.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you put up what has
3	been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 1506.
4	Q. Do you recognize that photograph, Mr. Jeremic?
5	A. I do, sir.
6	Q. Who is that?
7	A. It is the assistant that I was just referring to a minute
8	ago.
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1506.
10	MR. KIM: No objection.
11	THE COURT: Received.
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: And 1506A.
13	MR. KIM: No objection to A or B.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	(Government Exhibits 1506, 1506A and 1506B received in
16	evidence)
17	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, I said I was going to ask you about your
18	trip to China, so I'm going to do that now. So I think you
19	said where you met in China, but just to repeat where did you
20	meet?
21	A. That was happening in Hong Kong, inside a private club in
22	Hong Kong where this dinner or luncheon speech or meal
23	speech was given to diplomats, business people, media.
24	Q. Approximately when was that during your term as PGA?
25	A. That was towards the ends of the calendar year 2012. This

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 37 of 239 66 II IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 66

	IBR/HOI Jeremic - Direct
1	is when my first official trip to China took place.
2	Q. Did you have an opportunity during your meeting or luncheon
3	in Hong Kong to speak with the defendant?
4	A. Yes, it was during that particular meal that we talked.
5	Q. Now, during that conversation, did you learn how, if at
6	all, the defendant's NGO was funded?
7	A. I did, sir.
8	Q. What did you learn?
9	A. I learned that the CEFC NGO was primarily, if not fully,
10	funded by the company, energy company, with the same acronym
11	CEFC.
12	Q. And what if anything did you learn in that conversation
13	about what that company was?
14	A. That company I was told that the company was privately
15	owned and fast growing energy conglomerate from China that had
16	an interest in anything to do with energy business in the
17	widest sense of that word.
18	Q. Just to pause for a second, Mr. Jeremic. You just used the
19	term conglomerate. For anyone who might not know, what is a
20	conglomerate?
21	A. A large and diversified company.
22	Q. And I think you said it had certain interests. What from
23	your conversation with the defendant did you understand those
24	interests to be?
25	A. Energy. Oil, gas, alternative energies and so on and so

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 38 of 239 67 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct
1	forth, anything to do with the energy business.
2	Q. Now, was that the only trip you took to China during your
3	year as PGA?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q. How many more trips were there?
6	A. There were two more trips.
7	Q. So let's take those one at a time. Approximately when was
8	the second trip that you took to China during your year as PGA?
9	A. The second trip to China was when I received an invitation
10	to speak at the opening of the largest, most pronounced annual
11	forum in China that takes place at the Island of Hainan. It's
12	called the BOAO forum. It's known as the Davos of China, in
13	the sense that the highest government officials and the highest
14	company leaders, business leaders and academic leaders of China
15	attend together with those from the rest of the world.
16	THE COURT: It is known as the what of China?
17	THE WITNESS: Davos.
18	THE COURT: Oh, Davos, as in Switzerland. Sorry to
19	have interrupted you. And then you were saying business
20	leaders and academic leaders of China together with
21	THE WITNESS: business leaders and political
22	leaders from the rest of the world.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS: That particular year it was President Xi
25	Jinping that opened, and I was invited as President of the UN

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 39 of 239 68 IBR7H01 Jeremic - Direct 68

	IBR/HOI DETERIC DITECC
1	assembly to join him in speaking at the opening of that forum.
2	The way you fly to Hainan Island is usually through
3	Hong Kong, so I made a trip to Hainan via Hong Kong, and during
4	a brief stopover I played in Hong Kong I met again Dr. Ho.
5	(Continued on next page)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 BY MR. RICHENTHAL:

2

3

16

17

Q. Now at whose request did you make that stopover and have that meeting?

4 A. Dr. Ho and I spoke during the last trip about my personal 5 friendship with an individual who at the time was leading a 6 large energy company from Mexico, and that person, who was one 7 of my good friends from Harvard, from my graduate studies in America, he was just recently appointed at that time the head 8 9 of the Mexico energy state company. And Dr. Ho expressed 10 interest in getting to know him, getting to know my friend, and 11 I said that I would do my best for them to get introduced. Ιt 12 happened to be the case that that individual was also flying to 13 speak at that particular forum in Hainan, and he was also 14 flying through Hong Kong, and I helped them meet each other and 15 talk to each other.

Q. One specific question before I ask you about the meeting. You mentioned there was a Mexican energy company?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. What was the name of that company, Mr. Jeremic?

20 A. The name of that company was and is PEMEX.

- 21 Q. Could you spell that, sir.
- 22 A. It's P-E-M-E-X.

23 Q. Did the meeting in fact take place?

24 A. Yes, sir, it did.

25 Q. Who was present for it?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 41 of 239 70 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 70

	Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. Well, it was an introduction; I wouldn't call it a official
2	meeting. It wasn't an official meeting. And I remember that
3	gentleman, the chairman sorry, the president of the company,
4	Mexico, Mexican company, PEMEX, and I also remember Dr. Ho
5	being present, as well as president of CEFC energy company
6	being present as well.
7	Q. You just used the phrase "CEFC energy company." What are
8	you referring to when you say that?
9	A. I'm referring to the company that we touched upon earlier
10	that was major or exclusive sponsor or supporter of the CEFC,
11	the NGO that Dr. Ho was heading.
12	Q. Now approximately how long did this meeting or conversation
13	last?
14	A. It was a relatively short conversation.
15	Q. What was discussed?
16	A. Well, it was discussed that the energy policy of the world
17	in general, where the world energy markets are going, what is
18	the future of energy in the context of UN sustainable
19	development agenda that was aimed at cutting the use of carbon
20	and fossil fuels in the forthcoming decades, as well as
21	interest of the CEFC, the energy company, of establishing the
22	business relationship with the Mexican state-owned company.
23	Q. What do you mean by a business relationship?
24	A. It means like doing business together, doing work together.
25	Q. Now based on this meeting, what understanding, if any, did

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 42 of 239 71 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct

you have about whether the energy company had an interest in 1 expanding only to Mexico or other countries as well? 2 3 A. Now my understanding was that CEFC, the energy company, had an interest to do business all over the world, but Mexico was 4 5 particularly interesting because Mexico is one of the largest 6 oil producers in the whole world, and I happened to have a 7 personal connection to the guy who was at the time leading the company, not like the president of the UN General Assembly but 8 9 as a former Harvard classmate.

10 Q. And similar question. Based on your conversation, what 11 understanding, if any, did you have as to how quickly the 12 energy company wished to grow?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The vision of the company, to my best understanding, was to Α. move as fast as possible and to engage with as many as possible countries around the world, in order to do energy-related businesses.

And finally, based on the same conversation or meeting, Ο. what understanding, if any, did you have as to whether the defendant was going to play a role in helping to expand that business?

21 A. My understanding was that Dr. Ho was somebody who, with a 22 very sophisticated knowledge of how the world works, a good 23 command of the English language, and the web of networks around 24 the world, was somebody who in a way was helping the company 25 grow and expand and get into different markets around the

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 43 of 239 72 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 72
1	world.
2	Q. Now I think you said this was your second meeting in China.
3	Was there a third?
4	A. There was a third meeting.
5	Q. Approximately when was that?
6	A. It was approximately in summer of 2013.
7	Q. Was this then still during your term as PGA?
8	A. Yes. I was, again, invited into a farewell visit, because
9	my term was coming to an end, so China invited me to a farewell
10	visit. I had meetings with their top leadership again,
11	including minister of development, and during that official
12	trip I again met Dr. Ho.
13	Q. At whose request?
14	A. It was at Dr. Ho's request.
15	Q. And who, if anyone, was present for your meeting with him?
16	That is, other than yourself and him, was anyone else there?
17	A. Yes. This was the occasion where I had privilege of
18	meeting the chairman of the company, CEFC, the energy company,
19	and Dr. Ho's request, or proposal for me to visit at that time
20	was for me to get to know the chairman of the company.
21	Q. And let me just pause for one moment. I should have asked
22	this, but where in China did this meeting take place?
23	A. It took place in Shanghai.
24	Q. And where in Shanghai; an office, an apartment, something
25	else?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 44 of 239 73 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. Happened in a private club, in Shanghai.
2	Q. Now I think you just used the phrase or word "chairman."
3	Did you learn that individual's name?
4	A. I did, sir.
5	Q. What was his or her name?
6	A. His name was Ye, Y-E. Spell it as Y-E, you pronounce it as
7	"Yee."
8	Q. What was discussed during this meeting?
9	A. We talked about what was going on in the world. He was
10	very interested in my views on geopolitics and especially what
11	are going to be the implications of global political
12	developments to energy markets.
13	Q. Was the business of the energy company discussed?
14	A. We did touch upon it. It was not the main topic of the
15	conversation. He was interested in also what are the forces
16	within the EU that are pushing for the sustainable development
17	agenda adoption. Those were the years when this big document
18	was being negotiated by the world countries. That negotiation
19	culminated in 2015, but that particular year, 2013, was the
20	year when so many interesting developments in these
21	negotiations were going on regarding climate change and other
22	aspects of sustainable development, so I remember Chairman Ye
23	being very interested in how is this going how this was
24	going.
25	Q. I don't want to cut you off. Sorry.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 45 of 239 74 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 74
1	A. No problem.
2	Q. I asked you a question a couple minutes ago about your
3	understanding of the energy company's interest in expanding its
4	business. Do you recall that question?
5	A. Well
6	THE COURT: Slowly.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Based on this conversation you had during your third
9	meeting, did your understanding change whether the company had
10	an interest in expanding its business?
11	A. No, sir.
12	Q. At this point in your relationship with the defendant, how
13	would you describe that relationship?
14	A. It was relationship in which we could more or less openly
15	discuss the politics of the world and what was going on. This
16	was like at least third time that we met at that moment, and we
17	talked about what was going on in China, what was going on in
18	the UN, what was going on in other parts of the world, and
19	especially what was going on in the parts of the world that are
20	critical to the sustainable development agenda of the United
21	Nations in terms of oil, gas, and otherwise.
22	Q. Would you describe yourself as friends by that point?
23	A. I would describe ourselves as close acquaintance at that
24	moment.
25	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, I'd like you to put a couple

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 46 of 239 75 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	things on Mr. Jeremic's screen. Let's start with 1507, please.
2	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize that?
3	A. I do, sir.
4	Q. What is that?
5	A. That is a photo.
6	Q. A photograph of who?
7	A. A photograph of aforementioned Chairman Ye of the CEFC
8	energy company.
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1507 and 1507A.
10	MR. KIM: No objection to 1507 and 1507A, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Received.
12	(Government's Exhibits 1507 and 1507A received in
13	evidence)
14	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put that up briefly.
15	You can take that down.
16	And now can you put up 1509 just on Mr. Jeremic's
17	screen, please.
18	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize that?
20	A. I do recognize that gentleman.
21	Q. Who is he?
22	A. I cannot remember his name, sir.
23	Q. What do you recognize him from?
24	A. I recognize him in from one of the meetings that I had
25	with the CEFC, but I cannot remember if it was during those

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 47 of 239

Ibr1ho2

Jeremic - Direct

76

meetings that we just discussed or perhaps it was during the 1 time later on when, following the end of my term as president, 2 3 I became consultant of the CEFC. I recognize this gentleman as 4 being among the employees of the CEFC, the company, the energy 5 company, but I cannot remember his name. 6 MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1509 and 1509A. 7 MR. KIM: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Received. 8 9 (Government's Exhibits 1509 and 1509A received in 10 evidence) 11 MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that down, Ms. Rao. 12 BY MR. RICHENTHAL: 13 Q. Now you mentioned earlier your conversation involving your 14 friend at PEMEX. What specifically did you understand the 15 company's interest to be with respect to PEMEX? A. PEMEX is one of the largest petrol companies, oil companies 16 17 in the whole world; one of the largest producers. He is -- at 18 the time he was energy monopoly in Mexico, one of the big 19 players in the energy world, and my understanding was that the 20 CEFC, the energy company, a large and fast-rising Chinese 21 energy company, had an interest in getting a relationship with 22 PEMEX. 23 What kind of relationship? Ο. 24 A business relationship. Α. 25 Do you know whether individuals from the energy company and Q.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 48 of 239 77 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 77
1	individuals from PEMEX spoke after the meeting you described a
2	few minutes ago?
3	A. Well, I was asked to introduce them, and I introduced them,
4	because I knew the president of the Mexican company, so I
5	facilitated their direct contact, and to my best memory, they
6	did try to meet to discuss how to proceed in their common
7	business, but I was not part of those conversations.
8	Q. Even if you weren't part of the conversations themselves,
9	did you on occasion speak with the defendant about how the
10	conversations were going?
11	A. Yes, I did, at certain points.
12	Q. What did you understand his and the energy company's goal
13	or purpose to be in the conversations they were having with
14	PEMEX?
15	A. I understand that we are now talking about much later
16	on. It was after I finished with my term as president of the
17	UN General Assembly, and it was after I'd become an
18	international consultant to the CEFC. I remember that at some
19	point Dr. Ho briefed me that there were some difficulties, that
20	they ran into difficulties when it comes to mutual
21	understanding, and he asked me if I could kindly help improve
22	that relationship which at the time had stalled.
23	Q. And what did you understand the goal to be? That is, the
24	conversations that were ongoing, what did you understand the

25 purpose, the end goal?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 49 of 239

Ibr1ho2

25

Jeremic - Direct

Now again, if we're talking about the year 2013, I had no 1 Α. 2 idea as to what their relationship -- what kind of relationship 3 they wanted. I just introduced them to each other. But in 2014, later on, when I became a consultant and Dr. Ho shared 4 5 with me a little bit more information -- not much, but a little bit more information, indicating that they would love to engage 6 7 in a JV -- that stands for joint venture, like partnership between two companies, in which the two companies would have 8 9 shares, both sides would have shares in this common company. 10 And when you say shares, what do you mean, Mr. Jeremic? Ο. 11 Α. I mean equity, I mean ownership of a certain company that 12 would be created by the two sides for the purpose of doing 13 joint business. 14 Q. Now stepping back for a second, was this business 15 opportunity with respect to PEMEX the only potential opportunity that you and the defendant discussed towards the 16 17 end of your time as PGA?

A. So there was a second instance that I can recall, and that was towards the very end of my term as president of the UN General Assembly. I recall another personal friend of mine who was at the time working for a government of a third country, and who asked me if I have any connection to a country or to a company from somewhere in the world that would be interested in purchasing a large potash production, potash mine.

Q. And you just used the word "potash." Could you spell that.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

78

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 50 of 239Ibr1ho2Jeremic - Direct

79

Potash is spelled like P-O-T-A-S-H. It stands for anything 1 Α. that contains mineral called potassium, which is a crucial 2 3 mineral for producing fertilizer and many other derivatives that are key to industrial development and potash production. 4 5 Since it has a big role in fertilizer and industrial 6 development, facilitating potash is one of the goals --7 facilitating industries that as their result can have the killing of the world hunger, was one of the priorities of the 8 9 United Nations. Therefore, a friend approached me if I knew 10 anybody who might be interested and big enough, in terms of 11 financial capacity, to engage in a project of that size, and I 12 recommended to him that they get in touch with the CEFC, the 13 company. 14 And did you have an opportunity to speak with the defendant Q. about that potential mine, I think you said it was? 15

16 A. Yes, sir, I did.

17 Q. How, if at all --

18 A. I don't think it was -- just to clarify myself, I don't
19 think it was just a mine. I think it was the entire facility.
20 It was the entire production line for potash.

Q. Let me ask the question again then.

Did you have an opportunity to speak with the defendant about that potential opportunity, that business opportunity?

25 A. I did, sir.

21

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 51 of 239 80 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 9
1	Q. How, if at all, did he react?
2	A. To my best recollection, he said that they would be
3	interested "they" referring to the CEFC company.
4	MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to
5	offer stipulation S-10.
6	THE COURT: Fact or testimony?
7	MR. RICHENTHAL: It's testimony, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Same thing, ladies and
9	gentlemen. This is evidence for your consideration, and you
10	must accept the fact that the testimony would be given.
11	Sir?
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: The stipulation is entitled
13	Stipulation as to Materials Obtained from Google, Microsoft,
14	Yahoo, AOL, and GoDaddy.
15	And Ms. Rao, are you able to put page 3 on
16	Mr. Jeremic's screen and blow up the fourth email address,
17	please.
18	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize the email address there?
20	A. I do, sir.
21	Q. Whose is it?
22	A. It is my email.
23	Q. Did you use that email address to communicate with the
24	defendant?
25	A. I did.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 52 of 23981Ibr1ho2Jeremic - Direct
1	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that down, Ms. Rao.
2	Now, Ms. Rao, can you now put on Mr. Jeremic's screen
3	what's been marked for identification as Government
4	Exhibit 2730R.
5	Q. And Mr. Jeremic, there should be a set of the same
6	materials to your left that is in hard copy, but you're welcome
7	to use the screen.
8	Do you recognize this on your screen now?
9	A. I do, sir.
10	Q. What is that, Mr. Jeremic?
11	A. That is an email.
12	Q. An email between whom?
13	A. This is an email between Dr. Ho and myself.
14	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2730R.
15	MR. KIM: No objection, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Received.
17	(Government's Exhibit 2730R received in evidence)
18	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you now put that on the
19	public screen.
20	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
21	Q. So first, Mr. Jeremic, if you could look at the second line
22	that is the To line.
23	A. Mm-hmm.
24	Q. Do you see your name there?
25	A. Yes, sir.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 53 of 239 82 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. Now do you see after your name it's been redacted, that
2	it's a black box?
3	A. Mm-hmm.
4	Q. Prior to testifying today, did you see this email without
5	the black box?
6	A. I did.
7	Q. What's under it?
8	A. It's the email; it's the body of the email. You mean under
9	the black box?
10	Q. Yes. Yes, sir. What's under the black box?
11	A. The black box is my email address.
12	Q. Now did you always use the same email address in
13	communicating with the defendant?
14	A. I don't remember it, but like I I don't use any other
15	email address, so I guess I guess I did.
16	Q. Was it a personal address, a business address, or both?
17	A. I use this email for all my communications.
18	Q. Now if you could look at the top line, do you see the other
19	address, cpho@chinaenergyfund.org?
20	A. I do, sir.
21	Q. Do you recognize whose email address that is?
22	A. That was the email address that Dr. Ho used to communicate
23	with me.
24	Q. What did you understand China Energy Fund to be?
25	A. CEFC, but I'm personally not sure if it meant the CEFC NGO

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 54 of 23983Ibr1ho2Jeremic - Direct
1	or the CEFC energy company.
2	Q. Now this email address, the CP Ho, was this the only email
3	address that the defendant used to communicate with you?
4	A. I don't remember, sir. There could have been others.
5	Q. Do you recall what the others were, if they were?
6	A. I don't recall by heart.
7	Q. Please now look at the subject line. Do you see it says
8	Potash Project?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. What does that refer to?
11	A. The project that we just discussed recently, like a few
12	minutes ago.
13	Q. Now if you could look at the email itself. Do you see it
14	says, "We're in Beijing briefing the top leader on the progress
15	of the project"?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. What did you understand "Beijing" to mean?
18	A. Superiors to Dr. Patrick Ho.
19	Q. Please now look a few lines below. Do you see where it
20	says, "Memorandum or an agreement of intentions and interests"?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. What did you understand that to refer to?
23	A. That's that's a standard word for the initial documents
24	the two parties signed in order to proceed with mutual
25	discussions and how to do business together in the future.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 55 of 239 84 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. And finally, at the end of the same paragraph, do you see
2	the name of a company?
3	A. I do, sir.
4	Q. What is the name of that company?
5	A. China CEFC Energy Company.
6	Q. What did you understand that company to be?
7	A. The company that the energy company that we had
8	discussed until now.
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you now put another
10	document on Mr. Jeremic's screen, 2713, please.
11	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize this?
12	A. I do, sir.
13	Q. What is it?
14	A. It's a chain of emails.
15	Q. Between whom?
16	A. Between myself and most likely Dr. Ho.
17	Q. Well, based on reading the email, do you believe it's with
18	Mr. Ho?
19	A. Based on the content of the email, I do believe that this
20	is with Dr. Ho.
21	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2713R.
22	MR. KIM: No objection.
23	THE COURT: Received.
24	(Government's Exhibit 2713R received in evidence)
25	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put that on the

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 56 of 239 85 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	public screen, please.
2	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
3	Q. Mr. Jeremic, approximately how long after the email you
4	just saw was this email exchange?
5	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, you can put them both on the
6	screen, if that would help.
7	A. I think it was like maybe a few weeks after the previous
8	one.
9	Q. What's the date of this email, that is, 2713R?
10	A. It's September 5.
11	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, you can just keep 2713R on
12	the screen, please.
13	Q. Now if I could direct your attention to the middle email.
14	MR. RICHENTHAL: And Ms. Rao, maybe you could blow
15	that up.
16	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you see the first line, it says, "Very good
17	news indeed"?
18	A. Mm-hmm.
19	Q. And then the middle, it says, "Also very good progress on
20	the potash front"?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What does that refer to?
23	A. I understood this that he wanted to tell me that their
24	discussions on potash went well. My job my job my
25	goodwill was that I put them together with a friend who I knew

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 57 of 239 86 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct Jeremic - Direct 86

1	and they would proceed in discussing it. Since I was not part
2	of these discussions, then I believe that he was just wanted
3	to tell me that these discussions were proceeding in a good
4	direction.
5	Q. And I think you used the term "they" in describing who was
6	involved in the discussion. What was your understanding of who
7	"they" was?
8	A. "They" being the CEFC energy company side.
9	Q. Now if you could look at the middle of the email. You see
10	it says, "I shall report immediately to BJ"?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What did you understand "BJ" to refer to?
13	A. "BJ" is a common abbreviation for Beijing.
14	Q. And then finally, at the end of that paragraph, could you
15	read the sentence beginning, "The venue."
16	A. Yes, sir. "The venue shall be our premise at the Trump
17	Tower."
18	Q. What did you understand "premise at the Trump Tower" to
19	refer to?
20	A. I understand that to be the premise that we had discussed
21	earlier, a few minutes ago, and the Trump Tower building that
22	is near the United Nations headquarters.
23	Q. And in that sentence, the word "our," who is "our," to your
24	understanding?
25	A. I guess this was the CEFC, but whether this was energy

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 58 of 239 87 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	
1	company or NGO, I can't tell.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, Ms. Rao, can you back out of
3	that and go to the first email, please.
4	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you see the third sentence, please?
5	A. I do, sir.
6	Q. It says, "The team consists of myself, Chan, and some
7	experts in the trade of potash."
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. What did you understand the defendant to mean by "the
10	team"?
11	A. I understand this to be the delegation of the CEFC that
12	would talk to the other side in this in this project, potash
13	project, so "the team" refers to the CEFC team that is that
14	was my understanding that is my understanding of this.
15	Q. When you say "the CEFC team," you mean the energy company?
16	A. I do mean the energy company, yes.
17	Q. Now based on your conversations to date with the defendant,
18	were you surprised or unsurprised that he was a member of the
19	team?
20	A. I wasn't surprised.
21	Q. Not surprised?
22	A. I was not surprised.
23	Q. Why not?
24	A. Because he did come across as an integral part of teams
25	that talked to foreign entities or foreign companies when it

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 59 of 239 88 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 98
1	comes to potential deals for the firm.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that down, Ms. Rao.
3	Q. I'm going to pause for a second.
4	Approximately when did you leave the UN?
5	A. I left the UN in September 2013.
6	Q. Was that around the time of the email that you just
7	testified about?
8	A. That was days, days within that email.
9	Q. Did you return to your government, that is, the Serbian
10	government, at that time?
11	A. No, sir, I did not return to the Serbian government.
12	Q. Why not?
13	A. Because after my election as president of the UN General
14	Assembly, almost concurrently with that, there was a change of
15	government in Serbia, and parties other than mine entered
16	government, so I would have been no longer welcome to serve in
17	the government of my country as of middle of 2012.
18	Q. Did there come a time when you then sought other
19	opportunities?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Did you talk with the defendant about that?
22	A. I did, sir.
23	Q. Did you talk with him about potentially doing work for the
24	energy company?
25	A. Yes, sir, I did.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 60 of 239 89

Ibr1ho2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jeremic - Direct

Q. How did that come about?

Well, my term was coming to close and I was not planning on Α. going back to the government of Serbia, so I remember an occasion, I cannot remember when it was, but it was towards the end of my term, when I talked to the defendant, to Dr. Ho, about whether there is a space for our cooperation after I become a private citizen again. I told Dr. Ho at that time that before we go any further in terms of discussing it, I would have to make an official application to receive a permission to do this work, because according to Serbia's rules and regulations -- and I come from Serbia, I'm Serbian citizen -- if you served in the government of your country, for a period of two years after you finish with the term in government, for any kind of business, paid or unpaid, you need to apply to receive a special permission from a specialized agency that is called anticorruption agency.

So I first of all had to submit formal request that was processed by the agency. I did submit this request in October of 2013, after I completed my term as president of the UN. The agency processed this for about a month, and end of November of 2013, I received an official permission from the government agency that I am free to engage in a consultancy relationship, consultancy agreement with the CEFC. Q. What do you mean by consultancy relationship or consultancy agreement?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 61 of 239 90

Ibr1ho2

	Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. That means that you become paid consultant for the company.
2	I'm talking about the energy company. So we entered a
3	contractual agreement in which I would give the company
4	leadership my advice with regard to where the world was going,
5	about political developments in the world, also opening doors
6	to various countries and markets in the world, and any kind of
7	strategic advice that would come from my wealth of experience
8	and knowledge as having served as foreign minister for many
9	years and then as president of the United Nations.
10	Q. Was that agreement in writing?
11	A. Yes, that was a written agreement.
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put on Mr. Jeremic's
13	screen what's been marked for identification as Government
14	Exhibit 2703.
15	Q. Do you recognize that, Mr. Jeremic?
16	A. I do, sir.
17	Q. What is that?
18	A. That is the official consultancy agreement that I signed
19	with the CEFC, the company.
20	MR. RICHENTHAL: Government offers 2703.
21	MR. KIM: No objection.
22	THE COURT: Received.
23	(Government's Exhibit 2703 received in evidence)
24	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put that on the
25	public screen, please.

 Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP
 Document 204
 Filed 12/13/18
 Page 62 of 239
 91

 I
 Ibr1ho2
 Jeremic - Direct
 91

	Ibrlho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	And could you blow up the top.
2	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
3	Q. Mr. Jeremic, what is the date of this agreement, according
4	to the first paragraph?
5	A. November 15, 2013.
6	Q. Approximately how long after you left the UN was that?
7	A. It was two months after I left the UN.
8	Q. Now with whom was this agreement; with whom or what?
9	A. This agreement was with the CEFC, the energy company.
10	Q. In sum, what were your duties under the agreement?
11	A. To give the company leadership advice on geopolitical and
12	geostrategic affairs, to tell them my views on where the world
13	is going in which particular countries, if they had any
14	interest in a certain country, that from my wealth of
15	experience, I give them advice as to what are the politics,
16	what are the economics and so on, so forth of such countries.
17	Q. How much were you to be paid under the agreement?
18	A. I was to be paid \$333,000 annually.
19	Q. In what currency?
20	A. US dollars.
21	Q. For what period of time was the agreement; that is, what
22	period did it cover?
23	A. It covered two years, so it was two times the annual salary
24	that I just mentioned.
25	Q. So each year roughly \$333,000?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 63 of 239 92 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 92
1	A. Exactly \$333,000 for every year.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, Ms. Rao, can you go to the
3	second to last page of the exhibit, marked on the lower
4	right-hand corner with the number 805.
5	And maybe blow that up for Mr. Jeremic.
6	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize that page?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. What is that?
9	A. That is the extension of the agreement that was signed on
10	December 2015 that had the actual effect of extending the
11	agreement that we just talked about for another year.
12	Q. Do you see the name in the lower left-hand corner?
13	A. I do, sir.
14	Q. Who do you recognize that to be?
15	A. I recognize that to be president of the CEFC energy
16	company, Mr. Chan.
17	Q. Was that one of the individuals you mentioned a few minutes
18	ago
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q in connection with one of your meetings in China?
21	A. Yes.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: Could you turn to the next page,
23	please. That is the last page of the exhibit.
24	And maybe blow the top up.
25	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize this page?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 64 of 23993Ibr1ho2Jeremic - Direct
1	A. I do, sir.
2	Q. What is this?
3	A. It was the termination of the previous consultancy
4	agreement that we agreed to sign in order to enter another
5	contractual agreement that followed.
6	Q. And did you in fact enter another agreement?
7	A. Yes, sir, I did.
8	Q. Was it for substantially the same terms or different terms
9	from your first agreement?
10	A. It was for identical terms, in terms of the amount of money
11	and in terms of the obligations.
12	Q. And was that agreement also thereafter renewed or extended?
13	A. The following one wasn't because it was only for one year
14	and it was I think prior to the time when these charges in this
15	particular trial were being made.
16	Q. And the agreement after this one, was that also in writing?
17	A. All the agreements that I ever had with CEFC were always in
18	writing, signed, and processed adequately.
19	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you show Mr. Jeremic
20	what's been marked for identification as Government
21	Exhibit 2704 and 2705.
22	Q. So first, is 2704 now on your screen?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Do you recognize that?
25	A. I do, sir.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 65 of 239 94 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 94
1	Q. What's that?
2	A. It's a consulting agreement.
3	Q. Is that one of the extensions you referred to?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	MR. RICHENTHAL: And now, Ms. Rao, can you put on his
6	screen 2705.
7	Q. Do you recognize that?
8	A. I do, sir.
9	Q. What is that?
10	A. It's another extension of the agreement.
11	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2704 and 2705.
12	MR. KIM: No objection.
13	THE COURT: Received.
14	(Government's Exhibits 2704 and 2705 received in
15	evidence)
16	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, a couple of minutes ago I asked you with
17	what entity your first agreement was. Do you recall that
18	question?
19	A. I do, sir.
20	Q. When the agreement was extended, was it with the same
21	entity or entities?
22	A. No, sir, it was with a different entity.
23	Q. What was your understanding of why that was?
24	A. I didn't ask too many questions. I made the under my
25	understanding was that this was one of the companies

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 66 of 239Ibr1ho2Jeremic - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

95

affiliated, or related to the CEFC, so from my perspective, it was just the continuation of the very same agreement, for the very same terms, for the very same compensation, and it was up to them to decide which entity would be on their side. Q. Now I'm going to ask you some specific questions, but in general, from the period of the signing of the agreement until the ending of your relationship, what kinds of work did you do for the company in China?

9 I was giving advice, political and geopolitical advice to Α. 10 the leadership of the company, primarily chairman and the president of the company -- the aforementioned chairman and the 11 12 aforementioned president of the company. I also opened some 13 business opportunities with various countries by means of 14 introducing company executives to the business or political 15 leadership of various countries. This is what I was doing during my years of consultancy work for the CEFC, the company. 16 Q. Now in connection with that work, who was your principal 17 contact with respect to the work prior to at least late 2014? 18 19 My primary contact was Dr. Ho. Α.

Q. How would you communicate, by what method or methods?
A. We communicated mostly by email, but also we communicated
personally when we met in various occasions, so in the early
part of my contract, in the period that you were referring to
in the -- those contracts that are now government exhibits, I
was talking to Dr. Ho about various market opportunities, but

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 67 of 239 96

	Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	then later on, and I believe it was as of 2015, my
2	communication has become more or less exclusively with the
3	president and the chairman of the company, CEFC energy company.
4	Q. So prior to 2015, when you were communicating with the
5	defendant, whether by email or in person or another method,
6	roughly how frequently did you speak?
7	A. Regularly, I would say.
8	Q. I'm going to ask you some questions now about more
9	specific
10	A. Sure.
11	Q things that you did together.
12	So first, without naming the country, did he ask you
13	to assist with respect to any business in East Asia?
14	A. Yes, sir, he did.
15	Q. And again, without naming the country, did he ask you to
16	assist with respect to any business in Europe?
17	A. Yes, sir, he did.
18	THE COURT: In? I didn't hear you.
19	MR. RICHENTHAL: Europe.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Q. Can you give an example, without naming the country, of the
22	kind of business opportunities you discussed in either East
23	Asia or Europe.
24	A. For example, privatizing of or buying large stake in
25	a in companies in those countries, large stake in petrol

 Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP
 Document 204
 Filed 12/13/18
 Page 68 of 239
 97

 Ibr1ho2
 Jeremic - Direct
 97

	IDTINOZ OETEMIC - DITECC
1	companies, in energy companies in those countries, or
2	acquiring acquiring production facility that would that
3	would do, again, with the energy.
4	Q. I think you used the word "petrol." What is petrol?
5	A. I meant oil.
6	Q. Now I asked you about Europe and I asked about East Asia.
7	Again, without naming the country, did he ask you to do any
8	work with respect to business in the Middle East?
9	A. Yes, sir, he did.
10	Q. Were you always able to assist with what he requested you
11	to do?
12	A. Not always, but in many occasions, yes, 'cause I have
13	friendships and connections in all parts of the world.
14	Q. Now you said all parts of the world. I asked you several
15	minutes ago about whether you had an understanding of the
16	energy company's interest in expanding. Do you recall that
17	question?
18	A. Expanding, yes, sir.
19	Q. From your conversations with the defendant, did you
20	understand that interest to be expanding only to the parts of
21	the world that I've mentioned or all over the world?
22	A. I don't think that they had like no-go parts of the world.
23	They my understanding was that they wanted to be a truly
24	global company, and they were happy to do businesses in all
25	parts of the world.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 69 of 239 98 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. Did that include the United States?
2	A. It did.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put on Mr. Jeremic's
4	screen what's been marked for identification as Government
5	Exhibit 2716R. That's 2716R.
6	Q. Do you recognize that, Mr. Jeremic?
7	A. I do, sir.
8	Q. What is it?
9	A. It's an email.
10	Q. Between whom?
11	A. It's an email between Dr. Ho and myself.
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: Government offers 2716R.
13	MR. KIM: No objection.
14	THE COURT: Received.
15	(Government's Exhibit 2716R received in evidence)
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can we start with the bottom
17	email. Can you put it on the public screen, please.
18	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you see the second paragraph here?
20	A. I do, sir.
21	Q. Do you see the name of an individual, Noel Thompson?
22	A. I do, sir.
23	Q. Who was Mr. Thompson?
24	A. Mr. Thompson was a person who I met during my term in
25	office as President of the General Assembly. That person was

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 70 of 239Ibr1ho2Jeremic - Direct

involved in lobbying for sports activities in the context of 1 2 the United Nations. In particular, I think it was wrestling 3 that -- it was a sport that he was lobbying for, together with 4 the Olympic Committee, International Olympic Committee, with 5 whom the UN General Assembly did work under my leadership. We 6 passed a resolution in the United Nations about the -- naming 7 the International Day of Sports that is now celebrated everywhere around the world. And in the context of this 8 9 International Day of Sports, I got to meet Mr. Noel Thompson, 10 who is, if I remember well, one of the executives of the United 11 States Wrestling Federation.

Q. If I can just direct your attention, though, to the relationship between Mr. Thompson and the defendant.

A. Sure.

12

13

14

Q. What did you understand Mr. Thompson's interests to be with respect to the defendant or the energy company?

A. Mr. Thompson wasn't only a sports executive; he actually did business -- he had business interests in oil and gas and other energy businesses. So I introduced Dr. Ho to Noel Thompson because both parties were close to world of energy and they expressed interest in having a relationship between each other.

Q. Please now look at the third paragraph. Do you see where it says, "It's a very small deal according to your standards, less \$70m"?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

99

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 71 of 239 100 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 100
1	A. Yeah.
2	Q. What were you saying, Mr. Jeremic?
3	A. I was saying that the deal that Noel Thompson was referring
4	to, I have no recollection right now of what that deal was, but
5	here, what I say in my email, less than \$70 million, and
6	referring to the fact that for the standards of the CEFC, in
7	terms of how big deals they were making, a deal of 70 million
8	was not considered amongst the bigger projects that they would
9	look at.
10	Q. 70 million was small?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now could you go to the top, Ms. Rao.
13	
	Q. Do you see defendant's response, Mr. Jeremic?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. How did he respond to the proposal you passed on from
16	Mr. Thompson?
17	A. He said that, "Anything related to energy, we are
18	interested."
19	Q. And based on your conversations with him to date, what did
20	you understand "we" referred to?
21	A. I meant I understood that to mean the CEFC energy
22	company.
23	Q. Could you read the next two sentences, please.
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Would you read them aloud, please.
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 72 of 239 101

	Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. "But from the attached information, this appears to be a
2	venture/development call for capital. The potential outcome
3	(profits) has to be better defined."
4	Q. What did you understand him to be saying?
5	A. Well, I'm not particularly well versed when it comes to
6	business, but he was probably referring that venture call is
7	the call for raising money for certain projects, whereas the
8	CEFC was more interested in strategic investments and not just
9	project financing.
10	Q. What's the date of this email?
11	A. That's September 23, 2013.
12	Q. Do you know whether the defendant and Mr. Thompson spoke
13	directly, that is, without you?
14	A. I introduced them to each other, and I know that they
15	started to interact directly without myself.
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you now put on
17	Mr. Jeremic's screen what's been marked for identification as
18	Government Exhibit 2732R. 2732R.
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize that?
20	A. I do, sir.
21	Q. What is it?
22	A. It's an email.
23	Q. Between whom?
24	A. Between Mr. Thompson and myself.
25	Q. Does it contain a second email?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 73 of 239 102 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. It does.
2	Q. Between whom?
3	A. Between what appears to be Dr. Ho and Noel Thompson.
4	MR. RICHENTHAL: And the government offers 2732R.
5	MR. KIM: No objection.
6	THE COURT: Received.
7	(Government's Exhibit 2732R received in evidence)
8	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put that on the
9	public screen now. And could you blow up the bottom of it in
10	doing so, please. That is the first email.
11	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
12	Q. So Mr. Jeremic, looking at the From line, do you see an
13	email address there?
14	A. I do, sir.
15	Q. Is that the same email address that you talked about a few
16	minutes ago, that Mr. Ho was using?
17	A. No, it wasn't.
18	Q. Does it have the same ending?
19	A. It does have the same ending, the main ending.
20	Q. Do you know why, if he did, he chose to use a different
21	email address to Mr. Thompson than the one he had used with
22	you?
23	A. I have no idea.
24	Q. Now could you look at the first line, please.
25	A. Yes, sir.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 74 of 239 103 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. It says, "Noel: thank you for all the leads you are passing
2	this way."
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Had you talked with Mr. Thompson and the defendant about
5	leads?
6	A. I don't remember talking with from this email, it
7	appears that they were actually in direct communication in
8	talking to each other, without myself being involved or
9	knowing.
10	Q. And could you read the next sentence, please.
11	A. "We are interested, especially with crude oil/refined
12	product swaps, trades with crude and also petrol and
13	petrochemicals, not to mention the pipeline proposal in
14	Oklahoma, which I have passed to our research team to study in
15	Shanghai."
16	Q. What did you understand the reference to Shanghai to be?
17	A. Probably the CEFC company headquarters, because I told you
18	earlier that that was in Shanghai.
19	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now, Ms. Rao, I'm going to ask you to
20	show Mr. Jeremic a series of emails.
21	Q. Mr. Jeremic, I'm going to ask you the same question for
22	each: Do you recognize them?
23	A. Sure.
24	Q. They're also to your left in hard copy, all right?
25	So let's start with what's been marked for

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 75 of 239 104 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 104
1	identification as Government Exhibit 413R.
2	Do you recognize that, Mr. Jeremic?
3	A. Yes, sir, I do.
4	Q. What is it?
5	A. It's an email.
6	Q. Between whom?
7	A. Between Dr. Ho and myself.
8	Q. And next, 2735R. Same question, Mr. Jeremic: Do you
9	recognize that?
10	A. I do, sir.
11	Q. What is it?
12	A. Email.
13	Q. Between whom?
14	A. Between myself and Dr. Ho, the top one, and yes, this
15	is well, the bottom one, I suppose it is from Dr. Ho, but I
16	cannot say, because it's in the Chinese letters.
17	Q. Do you recognize the conversation as one that you had with
18	him?
19	A. I do recognize the conversation.
20	Q. Next in the list is 2736R. Same question: Do you
21	recognize it? And if it's an email, who is it with?
22	A. Same answer. From Dr. Ho; communication between Dr. Ho and
23	myself.
24	Q. And finally, 2738R. Same question: Do you recognize it?
25	And if it's an email, who is it with?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 76 of 239 105 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. Again, an exchange between Dr. Ho and myself.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers all those
3	exhibits: 413R, 2735R, 2736R, and 2738R.
4	MR. KIM: No objection.
5	THE COURT: Received.
6	(Government's Exhibits 413R, 2735R, 2736R, and 2738R
7	received in evidence)
8	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
9	Q. So I want to talk about them one at a time, Mr. Jeremic,
10	and again, they are to your left, but I'm going to ask Ms. Rao
11	to use your screen.
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put up the first of
13	those. It's 413R. And can you blow up the top part.
14	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you recognize the email address at the very
15	top, that is, CP Ho?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Whose email address is that?
18	A. I believe this is Dr. Ho's.
19	Q. And when was this email sent, according to you?
20	A. It was sent on January 2, 2014.
21	Q. All right. Now look at the next paragraph, please. Do you
22	see where it says, "Thanks for mediating between us and
23	Emilio"?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Who was Emilio?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 77 of 239 106

	Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. I believe that Dr. Ho was referring to the director, to the
2	CEO of the aforementioned Mexican oil company, PEMEX.
3	Q. Could you read the next line, please.
4	A. "There have been much cross-messages and wrong signals."
5	Q. What do you understand that to me?
6	A. I understand that to mean that since I introduced them, I
7	think like months ago at that time, that they went on to to
8	talk to each other directly to try and come to an agreement,
9	and they couldn't, that it slowed down at some point and it
10	didn't work out the way they expected.
11	Q. Did you understand them to still have an interest in coming
12	to an agreement?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. What kind of agreement?
15	A. An agreement about like how to work together in Mexico, as
16	a Chinese company in Mexico partnering up with the Mexican
17	state company.
18	Q. Could you now look at the next sentence. Do you see a
19	reference to Ye's call that is Y-E apostrophe S call?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Who do you understand Ye to refer to?
22	A. I understand that to be the chairman of the company that we
23	talked about.
24	Q. And now looking at the next paragraph. What did you
25	understand the defendant to be saying in this paragraph?
ļ	

A. "The impending visit and cooperation of the major Chinese companies --"
Q. I'm sorry, sir. You can read it aloud if you like, but just in sum, what did you understand the defendant to be

5 saying? 6 The defendant was trying to say, according to my best Α. 7 understanding, that they really want to do business in Mexico and that while there is interest of other companies from China 8 9 to do business in Mexico, that the CEFC company was perhaps 10 better placed to do business there because of the fact that 11 they were a private company and that as a private company, they 12 have much more business flexibility than the state-owned 13 Chinese enterprises, which are big and slow and full of 14 bureaucratic burdens.

Q. Now on the same paragraph, do you see an acronym and a series of letters, ICBC?

A. Yes, sir.

17

25

18 Q. What did you understand that to refer to?

19 A. I understand that to refer to a large Chinese bank.

20 Q. I'm sorry. A bank?

21 A. Large bank, Chinese bank, financial institution.

22 Q. And do you also see the phrase or acronym MOU?

23 A. I do, sir.

24 Q. What is that, Mr. Jeremic?

A. I believe that stands for memorandum of understanding.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 79 of 239 108 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. What's a memorandum of understanding, in this context?
2	A. Memorandum of understanding is an initial document
3	THE COURT: Slowly, slowly.
4	THE WITNESS: Sorry, your Honor.
5	A. It's the initial document that the two parties sign and
6	this being like a first step on the way to building a business
7	relationship.
8	Q. Continuing on in the paragraph, do you see where it says
9	"JV"?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. What did you understand "JV" to refer to?
12	A. Joint venture.
13	Q. In this context, what is a joint venture?
14	A. It's a joint company that the two parties make, in a
15	certain proportion of equity stake that they agree on.
16	Q. When there's a joint venture between two companies, who
17	gets the profits?
18	A. Both parties do.
19	Q. Now a few moments ago I think you referred to the energy
20	company as private. What did you mean by that?
21	A. That they are not owned by the state, that they are not a
22	state-owned enterprise.
23	Q. What is "state owned" in this context, Mr. Jeremic?
24	A. "State owned" is it means that the company hundred
25	percent owner of a company is government.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 80 of 239 109 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. Now I'd like you now to look at the next email, 2735R.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put that one up, and
3	if we could start with the bottom and maybe blow it up a
4	little.
5	Q. Can you read that, Mr. Jeremic?
6	A. I do, sir.
7	Q. When was this email sent?
8	A. That email was sent on June 12, 2014.
9	Q. Now do you see the To line, there are two names there?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Who is the person that isn't you?
12	A. This seems to be an associate of mine, somebody who works
13	with me in the think tank that I am running.
14	Q. Could you now read the email, please.
15	A. Do you want me to read it aloud?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. "Hi, Vuk. Meeting in Shanghai on June 26. Please liaise
18	with Chen's office for logistics and details."
19	No. 2. "Do you have any leads to the UAE?" That
20	stands for United Arab Emirates. "For energy and politics. We
21	are eager."
22	No. 3. "The last exchange with the sheik was
23	lukewarm. Can you heat it up."
24	No. 4. "Losing steam on Mexico."
25	"Attention is now on 2 and 3. Please see what you can
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 81 of 239 110 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	do."
2	Q. So I'm going to ask you a few questions about that.
3	So first, line 2, where it says, "We are eager," who
4	did you understand "we" to be?
5	A. I understand this to be CEFC energy company.
6	Q. And then turning to No. 4, it says, "Losing steam on
7	Mexico." What did you understand that to be saying?
8	A. I understand this to be like, again, the relationship, the
9	attempt, the joint efforts to come to an agreement didn't go as
10	well as they expected, and since I was never part of those
11	negotiations or discussions, he's just reporting to me that
12	things are slowing down and implicitly asking for my help.
13	That was my understanding.
14	Q. And finally, it says, "Attention is now on 2 and 3." What
15	did you understand him to be asking you to do, if anything?
16	A. Well, to he was just like saying my understanding is
17	that he was saying like what were the priorities from his
18	perspective at that moment.
19	Q. Priorities for whom or what?
20	A. Again, the CEFC energy company. That was my understanding,
21	but it could have been for him. I don't know.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: Could we look at the next email in
23	the chain. That is the response, Ms. Rao.
24	Q. So Mr. Jeremic, is this your response to that email?
25	A. Yes, this seems to be my response.

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 82 of 239 111 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. So taking them in order, I'd like to direct your attention
2	to No. 2.
3	A. Sure.
4	Q. Do you see that there?
5	A. I do, sir.
6	Q. Do you see where it says, "He's an old friend. I can ask
7	him for the opening for CEFC"?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What were you saying, Mr. Jeremic?
10	A. I was saying to Dr. Ho that I have relationships at the
11	highest level of government in the United Arab Emirates and
12	that I would be happy to talk to them in order to put them in
13	touch with the CEFC energy company, presumably interested to do
14	business in that particular country.
15	Q. And it appears you asked the question next. Could you read
16	the question, please.
17	A. "Could you please feed me with what exactly should I ask
18	him for."
19	Q. What were you asking?
20	A. Well, I had no clue what exactly would they want to do in
21	terms of business in the UAE, so I asked for clarification in
22	order to be able to approach my good contact in the UAE
23	government.
24	Q. Do you recall getting clarification?
25	A. Vaguely, but I don't remember the details.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 83 of 239 112 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct Did the energy company in fact gain business in that 1 Ο. country, that is, in UAE, United Arab Emirates? 2 3 A. Yes, they did. At the end of the day, I made an introduction to them and they made a very sizeable deal in the 4 5 United Arab Emirates. A deal for what, Mr. Jeremic? 6 0. 7 It was the acquiring of a stake in the national oil company Α. of Abu Dhabi. 8 9 Q. Now I'm going to direct your attention to the first line 10 there. 11 Α. Sure. 12 Q. Do you see where it says, "Great news. Thanks. Damjan is 13 in touch with Kelly"? 14 A. Yes, sir. What is that referring to, Mr. Jeremic? 15 Q. A. First sentence is referring to some good news, which I 16 17 don't exactly recall what about, and the second sentence refers 18 to our respective assistance being in touch with organizing 19 logistics of the next meeting, I think. 20 Q. You said "next meeting." Is that the reference to 21 Shanghai? 22 Α. It looks like that from the email. 23 And again, what was in Shanghai? Ο. 24 Α. Shanghai was company headquarters, the CEFC company 25 headquarters.

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 84 of 239 113 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 113
1	Q. Finally, if you could look at No. 4, please.
2	A. Sure.
3	Q. Again, there's a reference here to Emilio. What were you
4	talking about here, Mr. Jeremic?
5	A. Like before, I was referring, and I'm referring now, to the
6	CEO of the Mexican energy company, again, my friend from
7	Harvard.
8	Q. Do you see in that sentence there's a reference to
9	Blackstone and ICBC?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. I asked you a few minutes ago what ICBC was. What was
12	Blackstone?
13	A. Blackstone is a huge American private equity firm, a
14	financial firm, like an investment firm that does big dealings,
15	big businesses around the world.
16	Q. Would you look at the last sentence, please
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q in No. 4. Do you see where it says there's numbers?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What is that talking about? What are those numbers?
21	A. He's talking about numbers between 500 million and
22	\$800 million, US dollars, so I understand the sentence to mean
23	that the Mexican side is looking into an investment of that
24	particular size, 500 to \$800 million, and something to do with
25	infrastructure, because it also says in that particular line.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 85 of 239 114 Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct 114

1	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that down, Ms. Rao.
2	Q. Now in addition to the defendant asking for your help to do
3	business in certain countries, did you ever pass on potential
4	business opportunities to him?
5	A. I did.
6	Q. What kind of opportunities?
7	A. Anything that sounded of interest to me from anywhere in
8	the world that they might be that I thought that they might
9	be interested in acquiring a stake in a company or or
10	otherwise.
11	Q. And you just used the term "they" again. Who or what are
12	you referring to, Mr. Jeremic?
13	A. Referring to the CEFC company.
14	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you now put up the next
15	exhibit that was introduced in the series, 2736R, please.
16	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is that on your screen?
17	A. Yes, it is.
18	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you start with the
19	bottom email, please.
20	Q. Mr. Jeremic, when did you send this email?
21	A. It appears to be sent on the 26th of September 2014.
22	Q. And what did you advise the defendant in this email? What
23	did you tell him?
24	A. I asked him to advise me how to proceed, and I was
25	referring to the solutions related to the supplying of the

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 86 of 239 115
	Ibr1ho2 Jeremic - Direct
1	subsidiary of the CEFC, that is an affiliated company of CEFC,
2	with the required Russian products that are oil products, oil
3	derivatives.
4	(Continued on next page)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 87 of 239 116 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 116
1	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
2	Q. Just to pause for one second. For anyone who might not
3	know, what is a subsidiary in this context?
4	A. Subsidiary means an affiliated company.
5	Q. An affiliated company with what?
6	A. I don't have a very good recollection of that particular
7	discussion, because it never materialized, but I believe it
8	related to a company an affiliated company to the CEFC who
9	wanted to purchase some oil.
10	Q. You said some oil. Is that the reference to Russian
11	products?
12	A. Oil or oil derivatives.
13	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you go to the next
14	e-mail in the conversation. and would you blow up the middle.
15	Q. What did defendant says in response to your inquiry, Mr.
16	Jeremic?
17	A. This response relates to the technical details of what kind
18	of products and what were the specifications for products in
19	terms of price, in terms of volume, in terms of specifications,
20	in terms of quality and origin of goods.
21	Q. Do you see the reference to commissions in the final
22	sentence before "thanks"?
23	A. I do, sir.
24	Q. What did you understand that to refer to?
25	A. I understand this to refer to the fact that since this
ļ	

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 88 of 239 IBR7H03 Jeremic – Direct

would have been like a trade between two parties, that 1 2 commissions, meaning profits, are to be made by the two 3 parties, the one that sells and the one that buys. 4 MR. RICHENTHAL: Go up to the next e-mail in the conversation, Ms. Rao. 5 How did you respond, Mr. Jeremic? 6 0. 7 I responded that he would need to give me guidance with Α. regard to commission, with regard to how profit is made, 8 9 because I had very little experience to how trades are done. 10 My speciality is to give advice as to where the politics are 11 going to a certain country or a certain part of the world, but 12 I'm not that well versed or experienced in how the actual 13 business transactions or trades are being executed, so I asked 14 him to help me better understand that. 15 Q. Did he respond? I don't remember him responding, but just like I don't 16 Α. 17 remember -- I think we never did this business. Q. Let's look at the next e-mail. 18 19 Ms. Rao, could you go to the top of the conversation. 20 Is this the response? 21 I guess it is. Α. 22 Would you read the first sentence, please. Q. 23 "Since the deal does not involve directly CEFC company, we Α. 24 are acting as free agents going between a supplier and a 25 buyer."

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

117

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 89 of 239 118 II IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 118

	IBR7HO3 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. What did you understand him to be saying?
2	A. He was explaining to me that this particular transaction
3	this particular trade in certain products would not involve
4	CEFC company, so he was suggesting that the profits from the
5	particular transaction would not be made by the company, that
6	it would be made by the two parties that engaged in this kind
7	of trade.
8	Q. And the two parties here being whom?
9	A. Being the two of us.
10	Q. Personally?
11	A. Personally.
12	Q. Now, do you see the line beginning "A commission will be"?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. Could you read that sentence and the next sentence, please.
15	A. It says, "The commission will be 50/50 between J and H $$
16	gentlemen agreement. J portion will take care of all interests
17	on J side, and H likewise."
18	Q. So first who was J?
19	A. I assume the J is referring to myself, because the first
20	letter of my surname is Jeremic my surname Jeremic is J, and
21	I assume that refer H refers to Dr. Ho.
22	Q. What did you understand gentlemen agreement to mean?
23	A. I really don't know in this particular context. I think it
24	says like we split the profit 50/50, but then again I say I
25	never did any business of that sort, I have never done any

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 90 of 239 119 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct
1	business of that sort until this day, so I wouldn't be able to
2	give you a good explanation.
3	This certainly has never gone through, or anything of
4	that sort. I am referring to what I did with the defendant.
5	Q. Do you see where it says, "J portion will take care of all
6	interests on J's side"?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What interest, if any, did you understand him to be
9	referring to?
10	A. I had very little understanding of what he meant by that,
11	and that's probably one of the reasons why this never happened.
12	Q. Now, was this the only potential opportunity in which you
13	and the defendant discussed getting a commission or a cut of
14	profits personally?
15	A. According to my best understanding, there were a couple of
16	other occasions, a couple of other opportunities, trade
17	opportunities, when an entity A needed some kind of oil
18	products from an entity B, so that we helped facilitate this
19	trade deal. But just like this particular one that we're
20	currently looking at, none such proposals have ever come to
21	fruition, because I was simply not very well versed in those
22	kinds of dealings.
23	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you go to the next
24	e-mail in the series, that is 2738R, please, and again can we
25	go down to the bottom.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 91 of 239 120 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 120
1	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, this is an e-mail from you to whom?
2	A. It looks like it is an e-mail from me to Dr. Ho.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: And can you go to the next one in the
4	chain, Ms. Rao.
5	Q. Do you see the larger paragraph that is the second
6	paragraph, Mr. Jeremic?
7	A. I do, sir.
8	Q. So, let me just start with the beginning. It says LNG?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. What did you understand LNG to mean?
11	A. My understanding it stands for liquid natural gas.
12	Q. What is liquid natural gas?
13	A. It's natural gas that you make into liquid by a certain
14	physical and engineering intervention so that it can be more
15	easily transferred from one place to another place.
16	Q. So let me just pause for a moment. You will see there are
17	some black boxes, redactions, on this e-mail, Mr. Jeremic.
18	Prior to testifying today, did you review a version of this
19	e-mail without those boxes?
20	A. I did.
21	Q. Now, could you read the first sentence, please.
22	A. "I'm asking my staff to send you all the pertinent
23	information about nomination for the award. Thanks for seeing
24	that through."
25	Q. Now, was that on the same subject as the second paragraph

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 92 of 239 121 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct
1	or a different subject?
2	A. I believe it's on a different subject.
3	Q. Now, if you look at the second paragraph, please.
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. It says, "On LNG from" redacted "a listed company in
6	Hong Kong which is a subsidiary of CEFC Energy Company, wishes
7	to buy bulks of LNG from" redacted "more the merrier, and
8	the limit is what" redacted "will let us buy from them."
9	what did you understand the defendant to be saying,
10	Mr. Jeremic?
11	A. Like in the previous e-mail we were discussing, a trade
12	between two entities in certain oil products.
13	Q. And what was your understanding of what "the more the
14	merrier" meant?
15	A. The more quantity, the more that they're prepared to sell,
16	that there is basically no limit to how much they can buy or
17	they're willing to buy.
18	Q. Do you see the next sentence where it says, "Please think
19	for a moment of how you and I can secure and protect our
20	interests first in such transactions." What did you understand
21	the defendant to be saying?
22	A. Like in the previous e-mail, in the direct trade, when you
23	help facilitate somebody selling something to someone, you have
24	a commission. That's normal and customary in every trade that
25	you make not only in the oil and gas business but in other

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 93 of 239 122 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 1 businesses. But then again I was never aware of how one makes 2 such a marginal profit, so that was partly the reason why it 3 didn't happen. Q. Now, you said you were not aware of how this works in 4 5 short. 6 A. Yes. 7 Based on your conversations with the defendant, did he Q. appear aware? 8 9 I'm sorry? Α. 10 Did he appear aware? Ο. 11 Α. I don't remember, to be very honest, but the thing I do 12 remember very well is that none of those proposals -- and over 13 the course of our operation we discussed a few -- none of them actually happened. 14 15 Q. Do you see the last line, it says, "I can be the 16 preliminary point of contact and engagement." 17 What did you understand the defendant to be saying there? 18 19 I cannot tell with great precision, but this looks like he Α. 20 wants to be the first person with whom the trade is discussed 21 on the seller side. 22 And did you pass on what the defendant had told you? Q. 23 Α. I did. 24 Ms. Rao, can you go to the top, please. Ο. 25 Now, without naming names, what did you mean by "I'll

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 94 of 239 123 IBR7HO3 Jeremic - Direct brief you as soon as I meet my friend in Istanbul? 1 2 Probably about whether there is a willingness on the Α. 3 seller's side to sell those kinds of gas products to the 4 company. 5 That is the LNG?

That is the LNG. 6 Α. 7 MR. RICHENTHAL: And finally, can you just go back to 8 the middle e-mail again, Ms. Rao. 9 I should have asked you this earlier. But do you see the Ο. 10 reference to CEFC Energy Company? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. What did you understand that be? 13 I understand that to be the energy company that we have Α. been talking about this morning. 14 15 Q. I think I asked you about four or five e-mails. Were these the only e-mails in which you and the defendant talk about a 16 17 business or potential business opportunities? A. No, sir. 18 Changing subjects for a moment. Did he ever send you or 19 Q.

20 direct your attention to news articles or press releases about 21 the energy company?

22 Α. He did.

Ο.

23 What kinds of things? 0.

24 About the company itself, about what they achieved, in Α. 25 which parts of the world, who they managed to meet. I remember

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 95 of 239 124 IBR7HO3 Jeremic - Direct

one when the CEFC made it to the Fortune list, and Fortune list 1 2 is the list of the world's largest companies. So making it to the Fortune list is an important thing for a company. 3

MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor, this might be a good time for a break both generally and in light of our conversation this morning.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Good.

Ladies and gentlemen, we will be taking a break now. Would you follow the normal rules of not discussing the case among yourselves. Take your notebooks with you into the jury room, please, and we will see you in hopefully five minutes, maybe ten. 12

Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 96 of 239 125 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct (Jury not present) 1 THE COURT: Counsel, where do you want to discuss your 2 3 document? 4 MR. RICHENTHAL: I think that Mr. Jeremic should 5 probably be excused, your Honor, for that discussion. 6 THE COURT: Sir, you are excused out into the hall. 7 (Witness not present) THE COURT: Won't you be seated. 8 9 Counsel? 10 MR. RICHENTHAL: So, your Honor, the next exhibit that 11 I intended to introduce through Mr. Jeremic -- which I did not 12 do on the list -- is 2739. 13 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 14 MR. RICHENTHAL: The version I propose to introduce, 2739R, is redacted. My understanding is that the defendants 15 would like more information be included in two respects. 16 17 First, the top of the e-mail chain lists an individual that Mr. Jeremic was willing to bring to a dinner with the 18 chairman Mr. Ye. Our view is that the name of that name of 19 20 that individual is not relevant and could introduce a political 21 dimension to this case that we don't think is worth dealing 22 with. 23 I don't intend to ask him who the person is or even 24 what the person's role is. That's not the purpose of this 25 e-mail chain. That's the first dispute.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 97 of 239 126 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 126

The second -- as your Honor can see in the redacted version -- we are proposing to start the exchange where the defendant's request to Mr. Jeremic that he try to find a person for this dinner, saying that Mr. Jeremic will be in Washington D.C. and can he find someone. The purpose of this chain is entirely captured in the unredacted portion. There is a whole bunch of stuff that happens before that is not on the same subject that introduces, in our judgment, irrelevant or confusing things, and so we simply redacted it. Our understanding is that defense objects.

We inquired this morning as to whether there were specific portions that the defense thought admissible under the rule of completeness, and they responded they just think the entire thing should be in, and we respectfully disagree with that position.

THE COURT: All right. Who wants to talk about it? Yes, sir.

MR. KIM: Your Honor, stand at the lectern? THE COURT: Whatever you want, but you have a microphone in front of you. As long as you use it, it's fine.

21 MR. KIM: Sure. So, your Honor, I will start with the 22 second redaction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

24 MR. KIM: So I believe the government's proposal 25 currently cuts off the e-mail that starts at the Bates stamp

 Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP
 Document 204
 Filed 12/13/18
 Page 98 of 239
 127

 IBR7H03
 Jeremic - Direct
 127

page 1526, sent at 6:04 a.m.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I have an unredacted portion at the top of page 1527.

MR. KIM: Yes, your Honor, I agree with that. I am sorry I wasn't clear. So that e-mail I think would be the last e-mail in the proposal. That e-mail appears to be sent from Dr. Ho, and it references an event on December 9.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. KIM: It references a lunch on December 8 in D.C., and then it references prominent and powerful friends. We think in order to actually understand the context for any of those references you need to see the chain in which Mr. Jeremic appears to be inviting him to an event with a lot of powerful and prominent friends in Washington D.C.

Also, by admitting Mr. Jeremic's e-mail, it creates the misimpression I think that Dr. Ho is the one who is initiating the contact in Washington D.C., when I think the opposite is actually true.

THE COURT: With respect to the redacted information, is there anything that, in your view, is required other than to indicate that it was not the defendant who initiated this e-mail chain?

23 MR. KIM: Well, I think the phrase "prominent and 24 powerful friends," your Honor, I think that's the other flag 25 that went up for us, because I think in order to understand

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 99 of 239 128 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 128

where that came from you really do need to see that it was in response to Mr. Jeremic inviting Dr. Ho to this event with a lot of luminaries.

MR. RICHENTHAL: This is an extremely puzzling argument, because that's literally not what the conversation is about. They're talking about an entirely different event. The defendant then says out of apparently nowhere I -- the defendant -- am going to be in Washington D.C. with Ye. And then he asks Mr. Jeremic a question to which Mr. Jeremic responds. The prior discussion -- which involves a country the parties have agreed to redact from the e-mails -- involved the name of people who were not invited to that event, involves a discussion of Chairman Ye going to a different country, and so on and so forth, and it literally has nothing to do with the request that the defendant asked Mr. Jeremic.

MR. KIM: But, your Honor, I think it's like an e-mail chain like any other. I think the e-mail that Mr. Richenthal has just pointed to, I agree is not particularly illuminating on the topic of what is being discussed by Dr. Ho in the e-mail above. It's really the e-mail sent by Mr. Jeremic.

THE COURT: So, the problem is that I don't understand what you say is necessary from the redacted material to make the unredacted material understandable, complete, not misleading and the like.

MR. KIM: Sure, your Honor. So, in a nutshell, A, I

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 100 of 239 129 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 129

think without the redacted material it appears that out of nowhere Dr. Ho is saying, hey, I'm going to be in Washington D.C., let's meet, let's have this lunch with prominent people.

I think the redacted material actually gives the necessary context and understanding that this was triggered by Mr. Jeremic.

THE COURT: OK, that was my first question to you about three paragraphs ago. Other than the fact that the defendant did not initiate this chain, is there something else in the redacted material that you feel is necessary for completeness to dispel a misimpression or the like?

MR. KIM: And, your Honor, I did not do a good job of explaining the second part, which is the prominent and powerful people or powerful friends of yours. I think in order to understand the genesis of that reference you need to see that Mr. Jeremic was inviting Dr. Ho to attend an event that was going to be attended by other luminaries in Washington D.C. That's the necessary context.

THE COURT: And you see that in which part of the redacted material?

MR. KIM: I'm not sure your Honor actually has that page maybe.

THE COURT: I have 1525, 26, 27. MR. KIM: I'm 2528.

THE COURT: Well, does somebody have 1528?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 101 of 239 130 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct
1	MR. RICHENTHAL: I can give your Honor my copy.
2	THE COURT: Yes, sir, I promise not to read your
3	notes.
4	MR. RICHENTHAL: I don't have any, but my handwriting
5	is so horrible.
6	(Pause)
7	I'm prepared to respond to his argument when your
8	Honor is ready.
9	THE COURT: So, am I correct that the chain begins
10	with the defendant's e-mail at the bottom of 1528 that's dated
11	November 27?
12	MR. KIM: That's our understanding, your Honor.
13	MR. RICHENTHAL: We concur, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: OK. So it is the defendant who initiated
15	this chain.
16	MR. KIM: The chain, yes, your Honor, but not the
17	discussion about D.C. or the prominent figure.
18	THE COURT: OK. And what about the redacted material
19	is necessary again to dispel any misapprehensions, to complete
20	the thought? What is necessary here?
21	MR. KIM: Well, your Honor, I think that the first
22	sort of complete sentence on 528 from Mr. Jeremic begins with
23	"I don't know if you have any plans to visit D.C. next month."
24	THE COURT: Um-hum.
25	MR. KIM: So I think that addresses the first part of

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 102 of 239 131 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct 131

our concern about initiating specifically with respect to Washington D.C.

THE COURT: Who cares? I don't understand why we care.

MR. KIM: Your Honor, I think it's more an issue of just properly understanding what the e-mail said. It's to give context to the e-mails.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Again, this is deeply puzzling. Mr. Ho asks Mr. Jeremic a question, can you bring people to a lunch -- a lunch, by the way, which is an entirely different event from the event discussed earlier in the chain. And then they talk about who he can bring. We propose to introduce that entire conversation other than with respect to the other individual we haven't discussed yet. So, there is literally no context to be added. I don't see the relevance of the fact that Washington D.C. is mentioned for a different reason. I can certainly elicit from Mr. Jeremic they had discussed that city previously. It seems utterly irrelevant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. KIM: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I don't see that the material that's been redacted is necessary for completeness, for context, to dispel any misapprehensions or anything of the sort, so I will sustain the redactions.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Is that also with respect to the name

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 103 of 239 132 IBR7H03 Jeremic - Direct
1	of the individual invited?
2	THE COURT: We didn't discuss the name of the
3	individual.
4	MR. KIM: Your Honor, frankly it's less important. I
5	think we had agreed to certain redactions for reasons unrelated
6	to the trial. This redaction I don't think falls in that
7	category. The e-mail immediately above the redacted portion
8	talks about meeting a certain individual.
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10	MR. KIM: Your Honor, I think the default is we
11	don't intend to really refer to that, frankly. I just think
12	it's an unnecessary redaction.
13	THE COURT: OK, but it doesn't sound like we care.
14	MR. KIM: That's right.
15	THE COURT: If you don't care, then I guess it can
16	stay redacted. All right, run out and run back, friends, so
17	that we're ready when the jury is ready.
18	MR. RICHENTHAL: Sorry, one more thing.
19	I notice that I misspoke. The first stipulation I
20	mentioned in fact was a fact stipulation. I think the parties
21	had originally thought it would be a testimonial one. I called
22	it a fact one. I think it matters not, but I did want to
23	advise the Court.
24	THE COURT: All right. If anyone wants me to say
25	anything about it, you will just let me know.

1	(Recess)
2	(Jury present)
3	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. We
4	continue with the direct examination of the witness.
5	Mr. Richenthal.
6	MR. RICHENTHAL: Thank you, your Honor.
7	VUK JEREMIC, resumed.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
9	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
10	Q. Welcome back, Mr. Jeremic.
11	A. Thank you, sir.
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you now put on Mr.
13	Jeremic's screen what has been marked for identification as
14	Government Exhibit 2739R.
15	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is that on your screen?
16	A. Yes, it is.
17	Q. I'd like to ask the same questions I've been asking all
18	along. Do you recognize it? If it's an e-mail, who is it
19	with?
20	A. I do recognize these e-mails. They seem to be between
21	myself and Dr. Ho.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2739R.
23	MR. KIM: No objection.
24	THE COURT: Received.
25	(Government Exhibit 2739R received in evidence)

134

MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you now put on the 1 public screen and go to the beginning e-mail in the 2 3 conversations. 4 Can you blow that one up. Thank you. All right. Mr. Jeremic, do you see the first line here 5 Ο. 6 where it says, "Hi, Vuk. I will be in D.C. with Ye Dec 7." 7 Α. I do. 8 Q. Who did you understand to be Ye to be, Y-e? 9 I understand Ye to be chairman of the CEFC Energy Company. Α. 10 What if anything did the defendant ask you in this e-mail? Ο. He asked me if he would be available for a lunch with him 11 Α. 12 on December 9, and he's asking me if I would be able to bring 13 with me to this luncheon some other people. 14 And specifically what kind of people? Q. In the defendant's words prominent and powerful friends. 15 Α. How did you respond, if you did? 16 Ο. 17 Ms. Rao, if you could go to the next e-mail. 18 You don't need to read it aloud, sir, but in general, 19 what did you respond? What did you say? 20 I said I'd love to meet with the chairman, but that it was Α. 21 difficult for me to make it logistically to that particular 22 date. 23 MR. RICHENTHAL: And can we go to the next part of the 24 conversation, Ms. Rao. 25 Again, just in short, how did the defendant respond? Ο.

1	A. I think these are just the discussion of the logistics as
2	to where could this take place.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: Go to the next part of the
4	conversation, Ms. Rao. Blow up the e-mail that's November 30,
5	2015 at 10:43 p.m., the bottom of the page ending 1525.
6	Q. What is the defendant proposing here, Mr. Jeremic?
7	A. A dinner it looks like. By reading this e-mail, I suppose
8	it's a dinner.
9	Q. On a specific date?
10	A. It seems like the date in question is December 6.
11	Q. Did he ask you a question?
12	A. Yeah, he did.
13	Q. What did he ask you?
14	A. "How many in your party?"
15	Q. And how did you respond? It should be the bottom e-mail on
16	your screen?
17	A. I said it's short notice for high-level people, because you
18	are talking November 30 this exchange happened on November
19	30, and we were talking about December 6, so we're talking only
20	a week, and my suggestion here was that it's kind of hard to
21	get people to change their schedules on such a short notice.
22	Q. And did you ask the defendant anything?
23	A. I asked the defendant who did he have on his side?
24	Q. What were you asking?
25	A. Like who will be joining him for that particular dinner.

136

1	Q. And how did he respond?
2	A. Could you
3	Q. Look at the next e-mail.
4	A. Oh, yeah, he responded by saying that he was considering on
5	their side that's he my reading of it Ye that is
6	chairman of the energy company himself, and then mentioning
7	two people Zang and Liu. I can't really say who they were.
8	Q. How did he describe that group of people?
9	A. He described the group of people by the BJ oil team. BJ
10	referring to Beijing, referring to Beijing.
11	Q. What did you understand oil team to mean?
12	A. I have no explanation, but some people to do with oil,
13	people who deal with oil within the conglomerate. But that's
14	my understanding. I don't know if it means something else.
15	Q. I am going to ask you a general question, and then I'm
16	going to switch topics.
17	First a general question. With respect to the
18	business opportunities that you talked about with defendant,
19	were you always personally involved in resolving negotiations,
20	if they occurred?
21	A. I was very rarely personally involved, if at any point.
22	Q. Did he always tell you how they went?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did he sometimes tell you how they went?
25	A. If he told me, it would have been like a very, very

1	superficial information. My job as the consultant to the
2	company was to give strategic advice and to open doors, and I
3	would not be part of business negotiations.
4	Q. So let me now change topics. Are you familiar with what is
5	known as the annual UN General Assembly meeting or UNGA?
6	A. I am, sir.
7	Q. What is that?
8	A. That is the annual meeting inside the UN of all the member
9	states of the UN, but represented at a very high level, meaning
10	presidents, or prime ministers, or secretaries of state or
11	foreign ministers. It happens every September. It lasts for
12	about a week and a half, and it happens every year, and that's
13	commonly known as UNGA week, UNGA week.
14	Q. Where does it take place?
15	A. At the UN headquarters.
16	Q. In Manhattan?
17	A. Yes, sir. That is the week when the traffic is very, very
18	difficult in that part of town.
19	THE COURT: That's the first thing that came to my
20	mind.
21	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put up what is
22	marked for identification as Government Exhibit 2741R, please.
23	Q. Mr. Jeremic, same questions as always. Do you recognize
24	this, if it's an e-mail, who is it with?
25	A. I do, and this seems to be e-mails between Dr. Ho and

1	myself.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2741R.
3	MR. KIM: No objection.
4	THE COURT: Received.
5	(Government Exhibit 2741R received in evidence)
6	Q. Let's start with the bottom of the conversation, Ms. Rao,
7	if we could.
8	This is a little cut off on the page. Mr. Jeremic, if
9	you need to go back up, just tell us.
10	Now, do you see what the defendant wrote to you here?
11	A. I do.
12	Q. Before asking you some questions about it
13	Ms. Rao, could you go up just to show the date, please
14	What is the date of this e-mail, Mr. Jeremic?
15	A. September 22, 2014.
16	Q. Do you recall if that was approximately the same time as
17	UNGA, as the General Assembly annual meeting you testified
18	about a moment ago?
19	A. Yes, sir, that's approximately the time, it's always like
20	the third week of September, or like the last week of
21	September, it depends on the calendar, but that was definitely
22	around that date of UNGA.
23	Q. What was the subject line of this e-mail?
24	A. "Hello work" it says.
25	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, if you can now go to the

1	body of the e-mail please.
2	Q. So, first it says, "I am tasked with the following
3	missions." Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What did you understand the defendant to be saying?
6	A. That these were the things that he wants to pursue, that he
7	wants to do at the request of superiors. But I can't really
8	say which superiors for this relation.
9	Q. I would like to now ask you, sir, about the numbered items
10	one at a time.
11	Before I do, Ms. Rao, would you just go to the top
12	again.
13	How does defendant begin this e-mail? Does he talk
14	about where he is going to be, if anywhere?
15	A. Yes, he said that he was going to be that he was in New
16	York at that time and that he was going to be in New York until
17	October 1.
18	Q. Now let's go to the numbered items. So let's go through
19	them one at a time. First, "Following up with our Mongolian
20	and UAE friends in NY and briefing them on updates." What was
21	that a reference to, Mr. Jeremic?
22	A. I believe it was a reference to the high-level officials of
23	those two countries who were at the time attending like
24	high-level officials of all countries of the world during that
25	UNGA week.

1	Q. Now, this is September 2014; is that right?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Prior to this, had you discussed potential business with
4	the energy company in those two countries, Mongolia and UAE?
5	A. I did, sir.
6	Q. What kind of business in sum?
7	A. I don't know about Mongolia. Mongolia was just opening the
8	door, because I used to be a good friend of the president again
9	from my Harvard days; he was another classmate of mine. And
10	the UAE, they were interested, as I said earlier, in purchasing
11	a stake in the state oil company of Abu Dhabi. And when I say
12	they, I refer to the CEFC Energy Company.
13	Q. Look at number two. There is a black box there as well, a
14	redaction. Prior to testifying today, did you review this
15	e-mail in unredacted form?
16	A. I did.
17	Q. Without describe what is under the box, what "deal" was
18	this describing. In sum, what is this line talking about?
19	A. It's talking about a potential acquisition of a large stake
20	in an energy company in that particular country.
21	Q. Next is listed number 3 and next is number 4. I'm going to
22	come back to those in a moment. If I could direct your
23	attention to number 5, please. Do you see there it refers to
24	60,000 tons of LNG. Again, Mr. Jeremic, what is LNG?
25	A. Like I said before, it stands for liquid natural gas.

1	Q. Do you see a reference to a word mazut?
2	A. I do, sir.
3	Q. What's do you understand that to be?
4	A. That I believe is called fuel oil in this country, but this
5	is one of the derivatives of oil.
6	Q. And do you see the name of an individual on the next line,
7	Noel?
8	A. Yes, sir, I do.
9	Q. Who does that refer to, Mr. Jeremic?
10	A. I suppose it refers to the gentleman we discussed earlier
11	named Noel Thompson, but I can't be sure from this.
12	Q. And what if anything does the defendant ask you to do with
13	respect to Mr. Thompson, or here Noel?
14	A. I understand this to be one of those attempts at making a
15	direct trade of oil products, the types of which I told you
16	earlier never came to pass with my involvement.
17	Q. Now go up one line to number 5. Do you see where it says,
18	"Meet briefly with incoming PGA Kuntesa." Do you see that?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. Who did you refer that?
21	A. I understand this to be the President of the General
22	Assembly Sam Kutesa. My reading of this is that it's just a
23	typo.
24	Q. And if you keep reading the sentence, it says, "Inviting
25	him to Hong Kong to meet Ye."

1	A. I do.
2	Q. Who did you understand Ye to be?
3	A. I understand Ye to be chairman of the firm CEFC Energy
4	Corp.
5	Q. Finally now, number 3. Could you read that aloud, please.
6	A. "Find a channel to the President of Chad Republic (direct
7	or indirect)."
8	Q. First, where is the Chad Republic?
9	A. It is a country in Africa.
10	Q. Is it also sometimes just known as Chad?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Now, had the defendant previously mentioned an interest in
13	the president or leader of the Chad Republic to you?
14	A. I don't remember if this was the first time or not.
15	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, tell you what, put on Mr.
16	Jeremic's screen Government Exhibit 200 marked for
17	identification.
18	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is that on your screen?
19	A. Yes, it is.
20	Q. Do you recognize that?
21	A. I do.
22	Q. Now, approximately is this an e-mail?
23	A. This is an e-mail, yeah.
24	Q. Between whom?
25	A. This is an e-mail seemingly between myself and Dr. Ho.

1	Q. And approximately how long is this e-mail before the e-mail
2	that I just showed you?
3	Ms. Rao, you can put them both on the screen.
4	A. This one is on the 19th and the 20th of September. And I
5	don't know the previous one. If I can see it again.
6	Q. Ms. Rao is going to make your life easier and put it on the
7	screen.
8	A. These are about the same days no, no, the other one I
9	need the other one, the previous one.
10	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you put up 2741R next
11	to 200R, please.
12	A. So, the one on the left are the e-mails dated 19th and the
13	20th of September, and on the right is the one dated 24th of
14	September of the same year.
15	Q. Five days apart?
16	A. Five days apart, yes.
17	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 200R.
18	MR. KIM: No objection.
19	THE COURT: Received.
20	(Government Exhibit 200R received in evidence)
21	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put 200R on the
22	screen by itself and go to the bottom.
23	Q. So, Mr. Jeremic, is this the first of the two e-mails in
24	that five day period that you just talked about?
25	A. It seems to be that this was the first.

1	Q. What if anything did the defendant say to you in this
2	e-mail with respect to the Republic of Chad?
3	A. Dr. Ho asked me if I knew personally the President of Chad.
4	Q. Can you read the next line, please.
5	A. "Shanghai has intense interest over there and needs
6	inputs."
7	Q. Again, what did you understand Shanghai to refer to in your
8	conversations with defendant?
9	A. I understand Shanghai to be the company, the CEFC Energy
10	Company, because of the fact because they were headquartered in
11	China.
12	Q. How did the defendant title this e-mail? What was the
13	subject line?
14	A. Urgent request.
15	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you go to the next
16	e-mail in the chain, please.
17	Q. How did you respond, Mr. Jeremic?
18	A. I responded to Dr. Ho that I didn't know the President of
19	Chad, that I never met the President of Chad.
20	Q. And what if anything did you ask the defendant about his
21	interest?
22	A. I asked the defendant if he could explain to me a little
23	bit more detail as to what it was they were interested in doing
24	in that particular country.
25	Q. Before I ask you how the defendant responded

1	Can we go back to the first e-mail, Ms. Rao.
2	Mr. Jeremic, do you see the from line says "head"?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What did you understand that to be?
5	A. No idea.
6	Q. Let's go back up now, Ms. Rao, to the next e-mail.
7	Mr. Jeremic, did the defendant ever respond to your
8	inquiry?
9	A. Yes, he did.
10	Q. What did he say?
11	A. He said that the company was undertaking some very major
12	take-overs in that country, and which might need the
13	endorsement of the Chief. Please see if you can find a channel
14	to him."
15	Q. I'm going to ask you some specific questions about this
16	e-mail. First, what did you understand the company to be
17	referring to?
18	A. My reading of this e-mail is that the company referred
19	the company means CEFC Energy Company.
20	Q. And do you see where it says "very major takeovers"?
21	A. Major takeovers refer to big business opportunities, big
22	business deals that involve change of ownership. Takeover
23	means a change of ownership, ownership going from one party to
24	another.
25	Q. Finally, the end of this sentence, do you see where it says

"endorsement of the Chief," capital C? 1 2 Yeah. Α. 3 What did you understand the defendant to be referring to by Ο. "the Chief"? 4 5 If you read "the chief" and "please see if you can find a Α. channel to him" -- which is the rest of the e-mail -- I 6 7 understand this to be Dr. Ho reaching to me who at the time was a consultant, paid consultant to the company, to see if I can 8 9 find a channel to the President of the Chad Republic, the Chief 10 with a capital C. That was my reading of this e-mail. 11 MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you go down to the first 12 e-mail we started with in this chain. 13 Mr. Jeremic, when was this e-mail sent, what day? Ο. 14 September 19. Α. 15 MR. RICHENTHAL: And go to the top of the chain now, 16 Ms. Rao. 17 And when did the defendant provide that response? Ο. On the same date, 19th of September. 18 Α. What if anything did you do to try to find the channel to 19 Q. 20 the President of the Republic of Chad that the defendant had 21 asked you to find? 22 Α. I tried to ask some of the people that I knew from Africa, 23 my former colleagues, foreign ministers. I tried to reach out 24 to the Foreign Minister of Chad, who I knew but not terribly 25 well, and my initial round of asking wasn't very successful.

1	Then I asked another person who is not from that particular
2	country, not from Chad but from Senegal.
3	Q. What was that person's name?
4	A. The person's name was Tidiane Gadio.
5	Q. Why did you ask Mr. Gadio?
6	A. I asked Mr. Gadio because he was the former Minister of
7	Foreign Affairs of Senegal who I knew, and he served as the
8	Foreign Minister of Senegal for many years, so I thought that
9	he knew presidents of African countries, having served as an
10	African foreign minister for a number of years.
11	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, would you put back on Mr.
12	Jeremic's screen the prior e-mail that is 2741R, please.
13	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, just to orient you
14	Ms. Rao, can you go to the bottom of the first page.
15	I'm sorry, the bottom of the next. Thank you.
16	So, Mr. Jeremic, I asked you some questions about the
17	bottom e-mail. Do you recall that?
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. I'd like to now direct your attention to above. How did
20	you respond to the defendant's numbered list with respect to
21	Chad?
22	A. I said that I was probably going to manage to schedule a
23	meeting with Chad's Foreign Minister. Then I asked Dr. Ho to
24	provide me with more details as to what would he want me to
25	convey to Chad's Foreign Minister. According to my best

1	understanding, according to my best memory, this meeting never
2	took place.
3	Q. Now let me just pause there for a second. Do you see where
4	it says, "Mind you, we're not that close, a common friend is
5	putting us together."
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Who was the common friend?
8	A. Can't remember, but it was probably some of the Foreign
9	Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Africa.
10	Q. This is not a reference to Mr. Gadio; is that right?
11	A. I cannot recall. I cannot remember.
12	Q. Let's look at the next e-mail in the conversation.
13	Looking at the defendant's response for the moment.
14	Do you see where it says "the Chad connection"?
15	A. Yeah.
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put just that
17	paragraph alone up on the screen, please. I'm sorry, just the
18	paragraph that begins "the Chad connection". Great.
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, can you read this paragraph.
20	A. "The Chad connection is important."
21	Q. Can you start with "The Chad connection is important" and
22	end with "please see what you can help".
23	A. "China National Oil has a major project there about to be
24	sold to CEFC China Energy company. But China oil has gotten
25	into a bind with local government requiring them to pay a big

1	fine. We were given to understand that only the very powerful
2	president himself can mediate the deal. Shanghai is quite
3	desperate about finding a direct channel to the Big Man
4	himself. Please see what you can help."
5	Q. So, Mr. Jeremic, we're about to break for lunch. I want to
6	ask you some specific questions, and then we will take a break.
7	So, first, "CEFC China Energy Co," what was that a
8	reference to?
9	A. I believe this was a reference to the energy company.
10	Q. And, second, where it says, "Only the very powerful
11	president himself can mediate this deal." Who did you
12	understand the defendant to be talking about?
13	A. My understanding was that he was talking about the
14	President of the Chad Republic.
15	Q. And finally, it says "Shanghai is quite desperate about
16	finding a direct channel to the Big Man himself."
17	Again, what did you understand Shanghai to be?
18	A. I understand Shanghai to be the energy company."
19	MR. RICHENTHAL: It's just about one o'clock, your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we will take the
22	lunch break now. Would you be kind enough to observe the
23	normal rules about not discussing the case among yourselves or
24	with anyone else. Certainly don't do any research about the
25	case. Leave your notes in the jury room.

1	Would you return, please, to be able to start at 2:15.
2	Thank you for your attention today. At least it's not raining
3	out on you. Thank you.
4	Ladies and gentlemen, would you remain in the
5	courtroom until you get word that the jurors are down in the
6	elevators, please.
7	Sir, you may step down.
8	(Jury not present)
9	THE COURT: Counsel, is there anything else on the
10	record? Thank you, folks.
11	(Continued on next page)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Jury not present)
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: There was a redaction in the e-mail I
3	just showed that I think was sort of inadvertently not done the
4	way the parties agreed. I have just spoken to Mr. Rosenberg,
5	and I think we're just going to swap the exhibit in.
6	THE COURT: OK, whatever you like. Thank you,
7	counsel. And thank you for staying in until you get the word.
8	Ms. Belmont will tell you when the jurors are down. Thank you,
9	friends.
10	(Luncheon recess)
11	(Continued on next page)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 123 of 239 15 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 15	2
1	AFTERNOON SESSION	
2	2:20 p.m.	
3	(In open court; jury not present)	
4	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I	
5	think we're still waiting for a juror. You can be seated.	
6	We can have the witness come in, if you want.	
7	(Continued on next page)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 124 of 239 153 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 153
1	(Jury present)
2	THE COURT: We continue now with the direct
3	examination of the witness.
4	Mr. Richenthal.
5	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
6	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Jeremic.
7	A. Good afternoon.
8	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could we put back up
9	Government Exhibit 2741R, which I believe is where we left off.
10	Could you go to the middle, please. Actually, I'm
11	sorry. Could you go up a little.
12	Thanks.
13	Q. So Mr. Jeremic, before we broke for lunch, I had asked you
14	some questions about the middle email on this page. Do you
15	recall those?
16	A. I do, sir.
17	Q. And about halfway through it says, "Please see what you can
18	do to help." Do you see that?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. Did you in fact do something to try to help?
21	A. I did.
22	Q. What did you do?
23	A. I reached out to Mr. Gadio, who we mentioned before, former
24	Senegalese foreign minister with extensive web of connections
25	in Africa, and asked him if he knew president of Chad, who is

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 125 of 239 154 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 154
1	being referred to in this email.
2	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you go to the top email
3	in the conversation, please.
4	Let's stop there.
5	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you see your response to the defendant's
6	email, beginning, "When can you meet"?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Could you read it, please.
9	A. "When can you meet with my African connection for Chad?
10	He's a good friend of the president. He's here until Saturday
11	morning."
12	Q. To whom were you referring, Mr. Jeremic?
13	A. I believe that I was referring to Mr. Gadio, and the reason
14	why I say I believe was that I was those days I was like
15	trying to find a connection, and I believe that I referred in
16	this one email I actually referred to Dr. Gadio, who ended
17	up being that connection.
18	Q. Now do you know whether the defendant and Mr. Gadio in fact
19	met?
20	A. I know that they got together, but I have no exact memory
21	or recollection when exactly did they meet.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that off the screen,
23	Ms. Rao.
24	Q. Do you know, if they met, what they talked about?
25	A. The idea was to get a channel through to the president of
	COUTUEDN DICTDICT DEDODTEDC D C

 Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP
 Document 204
 Filed 12/13/18
 Page 126 of 239
 155

 II
 Ibr1ho4
 Jeremic - Direct
 155

	Jeremic - Direct
1	the Chad Republic, as mentioned in those emails, so that would
2	have been the topic of the conversation.
3	Q. I'm going to ask you some more questions about this period
4	of time in a moment, but did you learn one way or another prior
5	to this case being brought whether the defendant had ever
6	traveled to Chad?
7	A. I didn't find out whether they made whether the
8	defendant, Dr. Ho, made this trip or not.
9	Q. Did you ever attempt, either by contacting the defendant or
10	contacting Mr. Gadio, to learn how their relationship
11	progressed, if it did?
12	A. I did try to find out.
13	Q. What did you do?
14	A. I was reaching out by email to both, on one or more
15	occasions, I don't exactly remember, just to ask them how did
16	things go, whether they made any progress and so on and so
17	forth.
18	Q. Did either of them respond?
19	A. I never received any information that would bring to my
20	knowledge the fact that they actually ended up traveling there.
21	Q. Do you know why neither of them responded?
22	A. I have no explanation for that, but neither neither of
23	them responded to me what happened.
24	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you now put on
25	Mr. Jeremic's screen what's been marked for identification as

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 127 of 239 156 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	1502, please.
2	Q. Is that on your screen, Mr. Jeremic?
3	A. Not on this screen.
4	Now it is.
5	Q. Okay. Do you recognize what's on your screen?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. What is it?
8	A. It's a picture, a photo.
9	Q. A photograph of whom?
10	A. A photograph of Tidiane, Dr. Tidiane Gadio.
11	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 1502 and also
12	1502A and B.
13	MR. KIM: No objection.
14	THE COURT: Received.
15	(Government's Exhibits 1502, 1502A, 1502B received in
16	evidence)
17	MR. RICHENTHAL: Could you put that up briefly,
18	Ms. Rao, on the public screen.
19	You can take that down now. Thank you.
20	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
21	Q. Mr. Jeremic, I now want to move from Chad to another
22	subject.
23	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can we go back to Government
24	Exhibit 2741R and go down to the bottom email.
25	Q. Mr. Jeremic, I asked you before the lunch break about Item
ļ	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 128 of 239 157 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	No. 4. Do you recall that?
2	A. Yes, I do recall this email.
3	Q. And again, who did you understand PGA Kutesa to be?
4	A. I understood PGA "Kentusa," as written here, to be PGA
5	Kutesa, with a typo, the 67th president of the UN General
6	Assembly.
7	Q. Was this the first time that the defendant had expressed an
8	interest in meeting Mr. Kutesa?
9	A. I don't remember if this was the first time or not.
10	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put on Mr. Jeremic's
11	screen what's been marked for identification as Government
12	Exhibit 2714R, please.
13	Q. Is that on your screen, Mr. Jeremic?
14	A. Yes, it is.
15	Q. Same questions that I've typically asked you. Do you
16	recognize what it is, and if it's an email, who is it with?
17	A. It's an email from certain it's an email from certain
18	Anna Wong sent to me.
19	Q. And whom did you understand Ms. Wong to be?
20	A. I don't remember Anna Wong, but from the signature in this
21	email, I can read that this was supposedly secretary to Dr. Ho.
22	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2714R.
23	MR. KIM: No objection.
24	THE COURT: Received.
25	(Government's Exhibit 2714R received in evidence)

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 129 of 239 158 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	MR. RICHENTHAL: Put that on the public screen,
2	Ms. Rao.
3	And can we blow up the header and then the body of the
4	email, please.
5	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
6	Q. So first, Mr. Jeremic, I just asked about Ms. Wong. If you
7	could look at the cc line. Do you see where it says
8	head@chinaenergyfund?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And do you see the next one, Y Zhang?
11	A. I do.
12	Q. I believe I asked you before the lunch break about head.
13	Do you know who Y Zhang was?
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. Now looking at the body of the email itself, first, what
16	was the date of this email?
17	A. The date is 16th of September 2014.
18	Q. Is that in the same time period as the emails you've been
19	talking about with respect to that annual UNGA meeting?
20	A. Yes, it's a little before UNGA, maybe a week before UNGA
21	starts.
22	Q. Now if you could read the first two sentences, please.
23	A. "Dear Mr. Jeremic, Dr. Patrick Ho will be in New York from
24	September 21 to October 1. He would appreciate your kind

coordination in order to meet with several parties listed

25

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 130 of 239 159 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	below."
2	Q. Now the first numbered item, it says, "You and your friends
3	(especially the ones from Mongolia and the one from the UAE and
4	Kuwait)," do you see that?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. What do you understand that to be a reference to?
7	A. That relates, in my opinion, to the high-level
8	representatives and officials from those three countries that
9	are mentioned in the line.
10	Q. I'd asked before the break about whether the energy company
11	had expressed an interest in doing business in Mongolia and
12	UAE. Same question with respect to Kuwait. Had the energy
13	company, through the defendant, expressed an interest in doing
14	business in Kuwait?
15	A. They did.
16	Q. What kind of business?
17	A. Energy business.
18	Q. Now the second line, it says, "The new PGA Mr. Kutesa." Do
19	you see that?
20	A. I do.
21	Q. And then finally, below that, it says, "It would be
22	grateful if you could help to contact and make appointments."
23	Do you see that, Mr. Jeremic?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. Did you in fact attempt to make such appointments?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 131 of 239 160 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 160
1	A. I did attempt to make such appointments.
2	Q. What did you do?
3	A. Reaching out to the people in question, since those were
4	the people who I knew relatively well from different and in
5	different ways some of them were my Harvard classmates, some
6	of them were my former colleagues, and some of them were the
7	people I met otherwise reaching out and asking if they would
8	be prepared to meet with Dr. Ho.
9	Q. Now with respect to Mr. Kutesa in particular, did you
10	attempt to set up a meeting with him?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. Were you successful?
13	A. To my best recollection, I was successful in helping them
14	get together, but I was not present in that particular getting
15	together, the actual meeting between the two of them.
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Please, Ms. Rao, could you put up
17	what's been marked for identification as Government
18	Exhibit 254.
19	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is that now on your screen?
20	A. Yes, it is.
21	Q. Do you recognize this?
22	A. I do.
23	Q. What is it?
24	A. This is an email.
25	Q. With whom?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 132 of 239 161 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. It's the email with looks like it is an email with
2	Patrick Ho.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 254.
4	MR. KIM: No objection.
5	THE COURT: Received.
6	(Government's Exhibit 254 received in evidence)
7	Q. Now before I ask you about the email itself
8	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you blow up the
9	header, the To and the From, please.
10	Q. Mr. Jeremic, the To line, is this one that you previously
11	testified about today?
12	A. In the line To?
13	Q. The hocpatrick@gmail, do you recognize that, Mr. Jeremic?
14	A. I can read it now, but my recollection from this morning
15	was that we were discussing other email addresses other than
16	this.
17	Q. Do you know why the defendant chose to use this email
18	address, if he did, to communicate on this subject?
19	A. Unfortunately not.
20	Q. Let's now look at the email itself.
21	Now, Mr. Jeremic, who wrote this email?
22	A. So this email from HOC Patrick to Vuk Jeremic?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. Well, it this email seems to have been written by to
25	have been written by Mr. Ho to me and sent to me.

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 133 of 239 162 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	Q. Now do you see the first line, "Just got the LOI"?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. What do you understand LOI to be?
4	A. I understand LOI to be the letter of intent.
5	Q. What is a letter of intent?
6	A. It is when somebody needs some kind of cargo, some kind of
7	product, and writes a letter indicating that one needs
8	something.
9	Q. Now the same line has some more phrases in it: LNG; mazut,
10	M-A-Z-U-T; and D2 that is capital D and the number 2.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Taking them one at a time, what did you understand each of
13	these to be?
14	A. LNG stands for liquid natural gas; mazut stands for fuel
15	oil; and D2 stands for diesel, if I'm not mistaken diesel
16	fuel.
17	Q. What did you understand the defendant to be asking you to
18	do in this email?
19	A. That he's trying to help somebody purchase these particular
20	products.
21	MR. RICHENTHAL: Go up from this conversation,
22	Ms. Rao.
23	Q. Now is this your response, Mr. Jeremic?
24	A. This seems to be my response, yes.
25	Q. Now directing your attention to the first two sentences,

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 134 of 239 163 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 163

	Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	what were you saying to the defendant there?
2	A. "Dear Patrick, I passed on your email, and now I'm awaiting
3	the response. It should be coming quickly, my Russian friends
4	are usually very efficient."
5	Q. What were you informing him?
6	A. That I passed on the information, the request for these
7	particular oil products, and I don't have a good memory of that
8	particular offer or this particular request, but this email
9	suggests to me, refreshes my memory in a way that I think that
10	it was Russia as a producer of oil, Russia as a producer of oil
11	products that came to my mind as a potential source for this
12	transaction.
13	Q. Now looking at the second paragraph, begins, "Sam Kutesa."
14	A. Yeah.
15	Q. Could you read that paragraph, please.
16	A. "Sam Kutesa just called me in the view of your luncheon
17	today to get some additional information about CEFC."
18	Q. Could you keep going, Mr. Jeremic.
19	A. "Be aware that he has extensive personal contacts with
20	China, although he may not be open at this stage about who they
21	are. Feel free to say that I'll be able to confirm how
22	fruitful the win-win cooperation with CEFC can be. (I
23	insinuated this to him over the phone)."
24	Q. Do you recall in fact talking to Mr. Kutesa on the phone?
25	A. I don't remember I don't recall this conversation.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 135 of 239 164 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 164

1	Q. What did you mean by "win-win" in this email?
2	A. "Win-win" is a typical Chinese expression for mutually
3	beneficial cooperation. In the Chinese culture, "win-win" is
4	opposite from a zero sum "zero sum" meaning that somebody
5	has to win somebody has to lose in order for somebody else
6	to win, whereas "win-win," it means like there's a positive
7	outcome for everybody involved.
8	Q. Now you said everybody involved, but the email refers to
9	CEFC. What is that?
10	A. CEFC in that particular email I referred to as it could
11	be both energy company and the NGO. I don't exactly remember
12	this email, but it could mean both.
13	Q. Now with respect to the energy company, what would a
14	win-win be?
15	A. With respect to the energy company, win-win would be for a
16	company to go to certain country and make an investment in that
17	country from which both the company and the country would get
18	benefits.
19	Q. Do you know whether the defendant in fact met with
20	Mr. Kutesa that day, as the email says?
21	A. I know for a fact that Dr. Ho met Mr. Kutesa, but I don't
22	know when exactly that did take place.
23	Q. How did you learn they met?
24	A. If I remember well, Dr. Ho wrote an email to me saying how
25	this meal went between him and Mr. Kutesa.

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 136 of 239 165 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 165
1	Q. Do you know what they talked about?
2	A. I don't recall at this stage what they talked about.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: Now you can take that down, Ms. Rao.
4	Q. This is October 2014, is that right, Mr. Jeremic?
5	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can put it back up if it's
6	helpful. Ms. Rao I'm sorry could you put the email back
7	up quickly.
8	A. Yes. It's October 10. Sorry.
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that down. Thank you,
10	Ms. Rao.
11	Q. Did there come a time after this when you met with
12	Mr. Kutesa yourself?
13	A. If I remember well, I did meet with Mr. Kutesa to talk to
14	him about many issues, about many things, and one of those
15	issues might well have been the CEFC.
16	Q. And by the CEFC, you mean the energy company?
17	A. I mean both energy company and the NGO.
18	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put on Mr. Jeremic's
19	screen what's been marked for identification as Government
20	Exhibit 2710R. 2710R.
21	Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. Jeremic?
22	A. I do.
23	Q. What is it?
24	A. This is an email from me, and it seems like this is an
25	email to Dr. Ho.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 137 of 239 166 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 166
1	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2710R.
2	MR. KIM: No objection.
3	THE COURT: Received.
4	(Government's Exhibit 2710R received in evidence)
5	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put that on the
6	public screen.
7	And could you blow up the first two sentences, please.
8	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
9	Q. Mr. Jeremic, this says, "I had dinner with PGA Kutesa
10	tonight in New York. We went over a long range of issues,
11	including those that are of interest to CEFC." Do you see
12	that?
13	A. I do, sir.
14	Q. What, if anything, do you recall telling Mr. Kutesa about
15	the interest of the energy company?
16	A. Well, first of all, this CEFC could have been both energy
17	company and the NGO, and according to my best recollection, it
18	was it was probably both, so things that are of interest to
19	the CEFC, the energy company, were to make investments and to
20	enter foreign markets, including markets in Africa, and when it
21	comes to the interest of the CEFC, the NGO, it was to engage
22	with the UN and to to make the best use out of the
23	consultative status that the NGO had at that time with the UN.
24	Q. Now I'd like to direct your attention about halfway through
25	the paragraph. Do you see where it says, "I also asked him

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 138 of 239 167 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	about Chad"?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you recall why you asked Mr. Kutesa about Chad?
4	A. I don't have a total recollection, but I'm almost sure that
5	it was because of the rest of the sentence, where it says,
6	"Since we're stalling with Gadio, I assume that we were at that
7	time really stalling and they were stalling with Gadio, that
8	Gadio Mr. Gadio did not come back with a connection to Chad,
9	so I asked another foreign minister of Africa mind you,
10	Mr. Kutesa kept the position of the Minister of Foreign Affairs
11	of his own country, Uganda, at the time when he served as
12	President of the General Assembly, so if if things were
13	stalling, as I say in this email, with Dr. Gadio, I was just
14	like trying to open up another avenue for them how to get
15	through to Chad.
16	Q. Let me just expand on something you just said. You
17	referred to Mr. Kutesa having a second position during his time
18	as president?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Explain what you mean by that.
21	A. So if you remember, we talked about my term in office. I
22	was the 67th president of the UN General Assembly, and prior to
0.0	

before becoming president, before assuming the position of the
President of the General Assembly, I was no longer -- I stepped

that I was the Minister of Foreign Affairs of my country. But

23

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 139 of 239 168 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 168

down from the position of the Minister of Foreign Affairs, but 1 that doesn't have to be that way. You get to be elected 2 3 President of the General Assembly, but you can carry on with 4 your national position at the same time. 5 So Mr. Kutesa, at the time of his election of 6 President of the General Assembly, was foreign minister of 7 Uganda. He kept the title of the foreign minister. He kept the position of the foreign minister of Uganda throughout his 8 9 one year in office of PGA. 10 Q. Take that down, Ms. Rao. 11 Do you know whether the defendant ever traveled to 12 Uganda? 13 I have no knowledge of that. Α. 14 Do you know whether anyone from the energy company ever Q. traveled to Uganda? 15 I have no knowledge of that. 16 Α. 17 Changing subjects. Q. 18 Separate from your compensation as a consultant which we talked about before lunch, did you ever ask the defendant to 19 20 pay or arrange for payment to any other organization or 21 organizations? 22 Α. I remember one occasion when I did. 23 Ο. Actually, let me back up. 24 You said, sir, that you run a think tank, is that 25 right?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP	Document 204	Filed 12/13/18	Page 140 of 239	169
Ibr1ho4	Jeremic	- Direct		1

Oh, yeah. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

25

Did you ever ask him to pay your think tank? Q.

So basically, I'm -- I started a think tank after the end Α. of my term as President of the UN General Assembly. That ended in September 2013, and I started an international think tank based in Belgrade, headquartered in Belgrade, called Center for 7 International Relations and Sustainable Development, and it was launched in November 2013. It consisted of a number of prominent individuals from all around the world, and at some point during, if I'm not mistaken, the following year, 2014, after we already started out doing very interesting things all around the world, I did raise with the CEFC a possibility of they -- them supporting the think tank. When I say I raised 14 with them for them to support, I don't have exact recollection as to who was my interlocutory in the CEFC, whether it was Dr. Ho or perhaps some of his superiors from the CEFC energy 17 company, but it did end up in them supporting the work of the think tank that I just mentioned.

With what amount of money? Q.

20 If I am not mistaken, that amount of money was \$1 million, Α. and there was sometime in the middle of 2014 that this took 21 22 place, but I do not recall the exact date.

23 When you say \$1 million, in what currency, Mr. Jeremic? Ο. 24 Α. Dollars; that is, US dollars.

Was this payment or payments pursuant to a written Q.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 141 of 239 170 Jeremic - Direct Ibr1ho4 1 agreement or an oral agreement? 2 It was always written agreement. Nothing that I have ever Α. 3 done, not just with them but with anybody else, would have been It would have always been with a contract and properly 4 oral. 5 processed in my country. 6 MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, would you put on 7 Mr. Jeremic's screen what's been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 2706, please. 8 9 Do you recognize this, Mr. Jeremic? Ο. 10 I do, sir. Α. 11 Ο. What is it? 12 Α. This is donation agreement between the CEFC Limited and the 13 Center for International Relations and Sustainable Development. 14 Is this the agreement to which you just referred? Q. 15 Α. It is, sir. 16 MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2706. 17 MR. KIM: No objection. 18 THE COURT: Received. (Government's Exhibit 2706 received in evidence) 19 20 MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, could you put the top of the 21 first page up on the screen, please. 22 And just -- probably good enough. 23 Could you put it on the public screen as well. 24 BY MR. RICHENTHAL: 25 Mr. Jeremic, the center on that top line, what is that? 0.

1	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 142 of 239 171 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 171
1	A. Center relates to the name of the think tank.
2	Q. That was your think tank?
3	A. Yes, exactly.
4	Q. And the next line has an address and then your name. Do
5	you see that?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. Now with what entity is this agreement?
8	A. CEFC Limited.
9	Q. What did you understand that to be?
10	A. An entity within the realm of CEFC.
11	Q. CEFC meaning the energy company?
12	A. Or the think tank. I wasn't really making the distinction
13	between the two.
14	Q. And who signed this agreement on behalf of your think tank,
15	Mr. Jeremic?
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Go to the last page, Ms. Rao.
17	A. Going to the last page.
18	Certain Mr. C.O. Lo.
19	Q. Do you know who that is, or was?
20	A. I have a recollection that he was engaged with the think
21	tank, with the CEFC NGO, the think tank at the time.
22	Q. Now this agreement I think you said is from 2014. Were
23	there other donations, other payments to your think tank in
24	subsequent years that is, later years?
25	A. There were other donations in subsequent years.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 143 of 239 172 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct 172
1	Q. Was that also pursuant to a written agreement, or
2	agreement?
3	A. All of them were pursuant to a written agreement.
4	MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, one at a time, can you put
5	on Mr. Jeremic's screen 2707 through 2709.
6	Q. So first, 2707, do you recognize this, Mr. Jeremic?
7	A. I do, sir.
8	Q. What is it?
9	A. It's another donation agreement.
10	Q. Meaning one later than the one that you testified about a
11	few moments ago?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. And now 2708, do you recognize this, Mr. Jeremic?
14	A. I do, sir.
15	Q. What is this?
16	A. It's yet another donation agreement at a subsequent year.
17	Q. And finally, 2709.
18	A. I do recognize this, and this is yet another donation
19	agreement.
20	MR. RICHENTHAL: Government offers 2707 through 2709.
21	MR. KIM: No objection.
22	THE COURT: Received.
23	(Government's Exhibits 2707, 2708, 2709 received in
24	evidence)
25	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, 2706 was on the screen before and I asked

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 144 of 239 173 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	you what entity it was with. Was the entity for 2707, 2708,
2	and 2709 the same entity or different entity?
3	A. Those were different entities from the first one you're
4	referring to.
5	Q. What's your understanding as to why?
6	THE COURT: Slowly.
7	Q. What is your understanding as to why?
8	A. I did not have understanding as to why would they change
9	the entity, but in each and every occasion, it was a result of
10	my agreement with the superiors of Dr. Ho that is, chairman
11	and president of CEFC.
12	Q. When you say "chairman," are you referring to an individual
13	you mentioned before lunch?
14	A. I do, named Ye.
15	Q. In total, approximately how much money did CEFC give to
16	your think tank?
17	A. It would be the adding of these numbers.
18	Q. Roughly.
19	A. It was millions of dollars.
20	MR. RICHENTHAL: You can take that down, Ms. Rao.
21	Q. Now I asked you earlier I think whether you had talked with
22	the defendant about a different kind of payment or donation. I
23	want to come back to that now.
24	Did there come a time when you asked the defendant to
25	make a different kind of payment or donation?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 145 of 239 174 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. How did that come about?
3	A. It was a donation that I made that I recommended that he
4	makes to a relief disaster fund in my own country, as a matter
5	of fact. Dr. Ho was visiting Serbia in his capacity as the
6	head of a think tank to speak at a conference that the CIRSD
7	had organized, and I asked him that he considers that the CEFC,
8	be it NGO or energy company, makes a donation to the relief
9	disaster fund of Serbia, because at that time we had the worst
10	floods in the history of our country. So many companies, many
11	countries, many nongovernmental organizations and international

12 organizations were making donations to this disaster relief 13 fund.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Ms. Rao, can you put on Mr. Jeremic's screen what's been marked for identification as 2742R, please. Q. Do you recognize this, Mr. Jeremic?

17 A. I do, sir.

14

15

16

25

18 Q. What is this?

19 A. This is an email.

20 Q. Between whom?

A. If you refer to the middle, "Dear Patrick," the one that
starts, "Dear Patrick," or --

Q. Well, in general, which individuals are talking in this email?

A. It was the -- it was the -- we were -- I was -- I was

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 146 of 239 175 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Direct
1	discussing it with one of my assistants, but I was like
2	forwarding the correspondence between Dr. Ho and myself.
3	MR. RICHENTHAL: The government offers 2742R.
4	MR. KIM: No objection.
5	THE COURT: Received.
6	(Government's Exhibit 2742R received in evidence)
7	MR. RICHENTHAL: May I have one moment, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
9	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
10	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you see the middle email here?
11	A. Sorry?
12	Q. On your screen, do you see an email here in the middle?
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. Is this the request that you were talking about regarding
15	the donation for the disaster?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Now could you read the middle sentence that is the one
18	beginning, "If the CEFC"?
19	A. "If the CEFC is in the position to help, it would resonate
20	strongly in Serbia. Prime minister is thanking all help in
21	excess of \$100,000 personally in the media."
22	Q. Why did you tell the defendant that donations would be
23	announced in the media?
24	A. Because I wanted Dr. Ho to know that this was going to be
25	the case.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 147 of 239 176 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross Now at this point approximately how long had you known the 1 0. defendant? 2 3 This was like a year and a half. Α. What impression, if any, had you come to understand about 4 Q. 5 whether he cared about how the energy company looked in the media? 6 7 A. He -- he preferred the energy company looks better in the 8 media than not. 9 Q. Did that impression influence you deciding to inform him 10 that donations would be thanked in the media? I mentioned it because it could have influenced him, but it 11 Α. 12 was not necessarily the thing that would make up his mind. 13 You thought it would help. Ο. 14 Α. Sorry? 15 Q. You thought it would help? From the way that I phrased it, it seems like I thought it 16 Α. 17 would have helped. How did the defendant respond to your email? 18 Ο. "CEFC will donate hundred thousand dollars to Serbia for 19 Α. 20 disaster relief. I shall announce that at an appropriate time 21 you deem fit when in Belgrade." 22 Do you know whether the donation in fact was made? Ο. 23 Α. I don't know. 24 MR. RICHENTHAL: No further questions. 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 148 of 239 177 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 177
1	Cross-examination, counsel.
2	MR. KIM: Thank you, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. KIM:
6	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Jeremic. How are you?
7	A. Good afternoon.
8	Q. Now you were asked a lot of questions by the prosecutor
9	about activities you engaged in with Dr. Ho and the energy
10	company, right? Including consulting, correct?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. Including making introductions, right?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. Including doing work for commissions, is that right?
15	A. Not for commissions, sir.
16	Q. I'm talking about activities that you were asked to engage
17	in with Dr. Ho.
18	A. Yes. But we were never talking about commissions. We were
19	talking about consultancy agreements that were fixed, for a
20	fixed period of time and for a fixed amount of money.
21	Q. Okay. Well, is there anything about those activities that
22	concerned you?
23	A. No.
24	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection, vague.
25	THE COURT: I think the witness has answered.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 149 of 239 178 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	And sir, if you hear counsel shout out "Objection,"
2	just hold your answer, please.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Sir.
5	MR. KIM: Thank you, your Honor.
6	BY MR. KIM:
7	Q. Mr. Jeremic, is there anything about those activities that
8	you thought was wrong?
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, in the activities that we've been talking
12	about, did Dr. Ho ever ask you to do anything you thought was
13	inappropriate?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Now you testified that you first learned about CEFC in
16	about November of 2012, is that right?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. At the time you were the President of the General Assembly,
19	or the PGA?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. And you were invited to attend an event sponsored by CEFC
22	at Lincoln Square, right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Or Lincoln Center. I'm sorry. What was the name of that
25	event again?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 150 of 239 179 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 179

	Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	A. I don't exactly remember the name, but it was something to
2	do with cultures and interaction of world cultures and how the
3	world cultures better talk to each other and better interact.
4	Q. Fair to say at the time your understanding was that CEFC
5	was a prominent think tank?
6	A. Yes, it is fair to say that.
7	Q. And you attended that event and met Dr. Ho there, right?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Now you eventually learned about CEFC the think tank, CEFC
10	the think tank's relationship with CEFC the energy company,
11	correct?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Who told you about that relationship?
14	A. To my best recollection and memory, Dr. Ho did.
15	Q. And you also learned that CEFC the think tank was sponsored
16	and supported by CEFC the energy company, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Who told you that?
19	A. I believe to my best recollection that this was Dr. Ho.
20	Q. Now while you were still the PGA, you learned that Dr. Ho
21	was working to help CEFC the energy company find business
22	opportunities, correct?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And Dr. Ho was open with you about the fact that he did
25	work on behalf of the energy company as well?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 151 of 239 180 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	Q. Was it your understanding that Dr. Ho was working on behalf
4	of both CEFC the think tank and the energy company?
5	A. Yes, it was my understanding.
6	Q. Now during the time you worked with Dr. Ho did you have any
7	concerns about CEFC the energy company's relationship with CEFC
8	the think tank?
9	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection.
10	THE COURT: What are we doing? Is this a vagueness or
11	what is this?
12	MR. RICHENTHAL: Vague.
13	THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
14	Q. Did you have any concerns about the relationship between
15	CEFC China the energy company's relationship with CEFC the
16	think tank that caused you to hesitate in any way about working
17	with them?
18	MR. RICHENTHAL: Same objection, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
20	MR. KIM: Now let me ask that we put up Government
21	Exhibit 2713R, which I believe is in evidence.
22	And Mr. Calabrese, if you could, just focus on the
23	bottom of that document, please.
24	BY MR. KIM:
25	Q. And do you see that first paragraph, Mr. Jeremic?

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 152 of 239 181 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	A. I do, sir.
2	Q. And am I correct this is an email that you sent to Dr. Ho?
3	A. Yes, this looks like the email that I sent to Dr. Ho.
4	Q. Now you've mentioned there, "You will have a chance to
5	speak in the first roundtable on September 24." What was that
6	a reference to?
7	A. It was happening after my demise from the position of the
8	President of the General Assembly, the 24th of September, the
9	25th of September were the dates in the next session of the
10	General Assembly after I would have already been off duties,
11	but but I was discussing the possibility I was discussing
12	the schedule with my successor and people who came in my place
13	afterwards. So this particular this particular paragraph
14	refers to a roundtable of sustainable development discussions
15	that would take place in the UNGA high level week in which
16	there was a mix of speakers, some heads of states, some
17	representing nongovernmental entities in other words, civil
18	society. So I was telling Dr. Ho that CEFC at that moment was
19	scheduled to speak, the CEFC the NGO was given an opportunity
20	to speak in that particular session together with the president
21	of Turkey and the President Zuma of South Africa, so I thought
22	it would have been good news, which I was happy to break to
23	Dr. Ho.
24	Q. I see. And Mr. Jeremic, I think you mentioned that you
25	were extending this opportunity for CEFC the think tank, is

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 153 of 239 182 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	that right?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And I think in the next paragraph that begins, "On the
4	potash front"
5	A. Yes.
6	Q was that an opportunity that was addressed to CEFC the
7	energy company?
8	A. Yes. This was the energy company that I was referring to
9	in the second paragraph.
10	Q. Now during the time you were PGA
11	MR. KIM: And you can put that down.
12	Q. During the time you were PGA, you arranged for Dr. Ho to
13	speak at a number of events, is that right?
14	A. I don't remember a number of events, but I remember one.
15	Q. And which was that, the one you were talking about?
16	A. I remember one event being the interfaith dialogue,
17	dialogue of faith and religion.
18	Q. I see. And you invited Dr. Ho to speak at that while you
19	were PGA?
20	A. Actually, this was not my event. This was not the event of
21	the president, but the president was participating in it. But
22	I did work with the people who organized this event, and I made
23	recommendation for Dr. Ho to speak at that event.
24	Q. And fair to say that Dr. Ho was active around the UN?
25	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 154 of 239 183 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 To your knowledge, Mr. Jeremic, did Dr. Ho attend meetings Q. 3 at the UN? Yes, he did. 4 Α. 5 Did he speak on panels organized by the UN? Ο. Yes, he did. 6 Α. 7 Now while you were PGA, fair to say that it was common for Q. 8 NGOs or think tanks to reach out to you? 9 Yes, it was. Α. 10 There's nothing unusual about that? Ο. 11 Α. There's absolutely nothing unusual about that. And in your experience as PGA, NGOs and think tanks wanted 12 Q. 13 to work with PGA, is that right? 14 MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 Mr. Kim, I'm going to ask you either to keep your 17 voice up or adjust that microphone a little bit. You just kind of --18 19 Sorry, your Honor. Is that better? MR. KIM: 20 THE COURT: That's better. Thank you. Yes, sir. 21 BY MR. KIM: 22 Q. Now in your experience as PGA, it was common for you to 23 work with NGOs and think tanks, is that right? 24 Α. Yes, it was common. 25 And you have testified that Dr. Ho reached out to you on Q.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 155 of 239 184 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	behalf of the think tank he headed, right?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. Now while you were PGA, Dr. Ho invited you to visit Hong
4	Kong, is that right?
5	A. Sorry?
6	Q. While you were PGA, Dr. Ho invited you to visit Hong Kong,
7	correct?
8	A. Yes, he did.
9	Q. And you traveled to Hong Kong and visited him, is that
10	right?
11	A. That was, as I said in my earlier testimony, a part of my
12	official visit to China.
13	Q. Now I want to actually talk about the office space.
14	I think if you recall, you were shown a photograph.
15	MR. KIM: If we could have Government Exhibit 1515 put
16	up on the screen, please.
17	Q. You were asked questions about this building; do you recall
18	that
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q Mr. Jeremic? Now is that the building in which the CEFC
21	offices were located?
22	A. My best recollection is that this is how the entrance looks
23	like, leading up to the apartment that we referred to in in
24	my previous testimony, in the beginning of my testimony.
25	Q. I see. And Mr. Jeremic, you actually went to that

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 156 of 239 185 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	apartment, correct?
2	A. I have memory of going there at least once.
3	Q. And isn't it correct that in your final meeting of the
4	board of advisors when you were PGA, you had that meeting in
5	the apartment
6	A. Yes, indeed. That is the one occurrence that I that I
7	remember for sure.
8	MR. KIM: You can take that down, Mr. Calabrese.
9	Q. Now you were asked a number of questions about your work in
10	connecting CEFC to the head of PEMEX, P-E-M-E-X, is that right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And you were still PGA at that time, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And you understood that Dr. Ho was speaking on behalf of
15	both CEFC the think tank and the energy company when he talked
16	to you about meeting with the head of PEMEX?
17	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection. Misstates the testimony.
18	MR. KIM: I'm sorry. Your Honor, I'll rephrase that.
19	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
20	BY MR. KIM:
21	Q. Your understanding, Mr. Jeremic, was that when Dr. Ho
22	talked to you about meeting with the head of PEMEX, he was
23	doing so on behalf of CEFC the energy company, is that right?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. And you eventually arranged for that meeting to happen,

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 157 of 239 186 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	correct?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And in your
4	A. I initiated this contact because as I said, the head of the
5	energy company of Mexico was a close friend, and I put him in
6	touch with Dr. Ho, so when this meeting took place, I don't
7	know, but I did put them in touch. There was one time that I
8	was present in the meeting, as I said in my previous testimony,
9	in late March, but for all the subsequent meetings, I don't
10	know if and when they take took place.
11	Q. Now, Mr. Jeremic, I think you were asked a number of
12	questions about the energy company's interest in doing business
13	around the world. Do you remember that?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. And in your experience working with CEFC the energy
16	company, fair to say that you helped look for business
17	opportunities in many different countries?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And your understanding was that the executives of the
20	energy company were interested in finding business
21	opportunities, is that right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And that included building relationships with
24	businesspeople in other countries, is that right?
25	A. Yes, sir.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 158 of 239 187 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 1 Ο. And some of that work you did resulted in actual deals 2 getting done, right? 3 I remember some of them being successful. Α. Q. And others didn't result in any deals getting done, is that 4 5 right? A. Majority of those resulted in no deals happening because in 6 7 this -- in that world, there are few attempts that are 8 successful, far fewer attempts that are successful. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Kim, move the microphone closer to 10 you --11 MR. KIM: Sorry, Judge. 12 THE COURT: -- a little bit. You're just kind of 13 swallowing the words at the end of the sentence, and it's hard 14 for all of us to hear. 15 MR. KIM: I'm sorry, Judge. Is this better? 16 THE COURT: Yes, so much better. Thank you. 17 BY MR. KIM: 18 Q. Now you've talked a little earlier about how you started 19 working with the energy company as a consultant after your term 20 as PGA ended. Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. And you eventually entered into a contract to provide 23 consulting services, right? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 And you renewed that contract multiple times, correct? Q.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 159 of 239 188 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. And in your work as a consultant, your job for the energy
3	company was opening doors, is that right?
4	A. Amongst other things, yes, opening doors.
5	Q. And practically speaking, that meant making connections for
6	the energy company, is that right?
7	A. Yeah, the opening doors when I said opening doors, I
8	referred to making connections.
9	Q. You weren't paid any special bonuses for making these
10	introductions, were you?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Now I believe that you were asked by the government about
13	who your primary point of contact was while you were doing this
14	work?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. And your testimony was that prior to late 2014, your
17	primary contact was Dr. Ho, is that right?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Now did that change over time?
20	A. It did change over time.
21	Q. How so?
22	A. It started by my having a much more frequent interaction
23	with the president and chairman of the energy company.
24	Q. Now I believe you also testified that you were very rarely
25	involved after making introductions, is that right?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 160 of 239 189 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 189
1	A. Yes, that is right.
2	Q. And that the majority of times you were not told what
3	happened as a result of the introductions you had made, is that
4	right?
5	A. Yes, that is right.
6	Q. Do you know, sitting here today, whether or not Dr. Ho knew
7	what the result of those introductions was?
8	A. No idea.
9	MR. KIM: If we could please put up Government
10	Exhibit 2738R. This is in evidence.
11	Okay. Now, Mr. Calabrese, can you please zoom in on
12	the middle email, please.
13	Q. Mr. Jeremic, do you see that first line after, "Thanks,
14	Vuk"?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. "I'm asking my staff." I think you were asked about this
17	line before, but what is your understanding of what the "Award"
18	was?
19	A. My understanding about this "Award" is was that Dr. Ho
20	was talking about the award, that the energy company donated
21	the funds for this award were donated by the energy company to
22	the United Nations, and that was the award for sustainable
23	development. It was like an award for like the sustainable
24	development kind of project of the year that would have been
25	selected by the UN and given to someone and that the CEFC was

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 161 of 239 190 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	the major donor of that particular award.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	MR. KIM: Mr. Calabrese, you can take that down.
4	Q. Now I want to talk a little bit about the introductions you
5	made with respect to Chad and Uganda that you testified about.
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Now at the time you were trying to or you worked to
8	connect PGA Sam Kutesa and the energy company, you understood
9	that the energy company was capable of making large
10	investments, is that right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. It's fair to say that in your view, that while most Chinese
13	companies worked slowly, CEFC the energy company worked quickly
14	and their interest in projects didn't fizzle out.
15	A. Yes.
16	MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection.
17	THE COURT: Witness answered.
18	Q. Now I think you've testified that making introductions to
19	officials as you did in Chad and Uganda was consistent with
20	your responsibilities as a consultant for the CEFC energy
21	company, is that right?
22	A. I must correct you. I never made an introduction to
23	anybody in Chad.
24	Q. I'm sorry. In your testimony you said you made an
25	introduction between Dr. Ho and Dr. Gadio, correct?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 162 of 239 191 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 191

	Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	A. Exactly, who then in turn allegedly made a connection to
2	Chad, but my understanding, my knowledge about whether or not
3	this thing in Chad happened or not is is not I don't have
4	any knowledge, but I did make an introduction to Dr. Gadio.
5	Q. And making the introduction between Dr. Ho and Dr. Gadio is
6	something you viewed as consistent with your responsibilities
7	as a consultant for CEFC the energy company?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Now you were I think asked about a number of questions
10	about Sam Kutesa, and do you recall encouraging Kutesa, when he
11	was PGA, to accept an invitation from CEFC to visit Hong Kong?
12	A. I do recall that.
13	Q. And why is it that you encouraged him to accept that
14	invitation?
15	A. It was because I made a trip to Hong Kong when I was on an
16	official visit to China, and I did have an opportunity to
17	address truly respected crowd of individuals in Hong Kong and
18	talked about the UN agenda, and it was a very useful exchange
19	platform for talking to influential people in an important part
20	of China.
21	Q. An important part of China, you said?
22	A. Yes. Hong Kong is a part of China, a special
23	administrative region within the People's Republic of China.
24	Q. And Mr. Jeremic, when you went to Hong Kong when you were
25	PGA, fair to say that you saw that as consistent with your work

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 163 of 239 192 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross
1	as the PGA?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Now you said that you served as Minister of Foreign Affairs
4	for Serbia, is that right?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. In that capacity did you meet with foreign companies
7	interested in investing in Serbia?
8	A. I did. I was meeting with them.
9	Q. You testified earlier that Mr. Kutesa had not stepped down
10	as Ugandan foreign minister when he assumed his role as PGA,
11	right?
12	A. I yes, that's exactly what I said.
13	Q. And fair to say that because of that you felt it was
14	appropriate to inform Mr. Kutesa that the energy company could
15	make investments in his country?
16	A. Yes. This was amongst other reasons why I thought it
17	was it would have been appropriate.
18	MR. KIM: Your Honor, may I have one moment, please.
19	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
20	Q. And you were asked a number of questions about the think
21	tank that you ran and still run, right?
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. And that's called the CIRSD, right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Now what are the goals of that think tank?
	COUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS D C

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 164 of 239 193 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Cross 1 Α. The goals --2 MR. RICHENTHAL: Objection. 3 MR. KIM: I think you can answer that question. 4 THE WITNESS: Was there an objection or --MR. RICHENTHAL: Yes, your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: I'm so sorry. I didn't hear you. You 7 need to shout it out. Forgive me. 8 Relevancy objection? 9 MR. RICHENTHAL: It is, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 MR. KIM: Your Honor, may I refer to a document that's 12 in evidence on this topic? 13 THE COURT: Sure. Why don't you confer with 14 Mr. Richenthal off the record. 15 (Counsel conferring) MR. KIM: Mr. Calabrese, can you please put up 16 17 Government Exhibit 2706 in evidence. 18 And can you please zoom in on paragraph 1.2, please. BY MR. KIM: 19 20 Mr. Jeremic, could you please read that. Ο. 21 "The Donor accepts to provide support for the CIRSD Α. 22 activities aimed at fulfilling its goals of strengthening 23 peaceful cooperation, advocating a more open, inclusive, 24 prosperous, and safe international system and promoting 25 sustainable development as the foundation of the new global

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 165 of 239 194 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Redirect 194
1	strategy of the UN's post-2015 agenda.
2	MR. KIM: Thank you, Mr. Calabrese. You can put that
3	down.
4	Q. And your testimony was that CEFC contributed money to this
5	think tank, correct?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Now while running your think tank, you were also performing
8	consulting work for CEFC the energy company, correct?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. And that was outside of your function as head of CIRSD?
11	A. Yes, that was those were two separate things.
12	MR. KIM: No further questions, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Redirect, counsel.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. RICHENTHAL:
17	Q. Mr. Jeremic, Mr. Kim asked you several things. Starting
18	with one briefly, he asked you whether Mr. Ho ever asked you to
19	do anything inappropriate. Do you recall that question?
20	A. I do.
21	Q. Did the defendant ever tell you what, if anything, he did
22	in Chad?
23	A. No, sir, he never told me.
24	Q. Did he ever tell you what, if anything, he did in Uganda?
25	A. He never told me about anything he did in Uganda.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 166 of 239 195 Ibr1ho4 Jeremic - Redirect 195
1	Q. Now I think you testified also on cross-examination that
2	you learned from him that he was doing work for both the NGO
3	and the company, is that right?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Now did you learn that at a public speech he gave or in
6	something he told you?
7	A. I remember his public speech he gave.
8	Q. In those public speeches, did he talk about doing work for
9	a for-profit energy company?
10	A. I don't recall him talking about the company in those
11	speeches.
12	Q. That's something he talked about to you but not in
13	speeches, is that right?
14	A. I did not hear a speech in which he spoke about the
15	company. I'm not saying that he could not have said it in
16	another speech, but not in the ones that I heard.
17	Q. Now I think Mr. Kim also asked you at times whether the
18	defendant was working for the NGO or the energy company, and I
19	think you said something like you weren't sure.
20	A. Yes, I said that.
21	Q. Wasn't always clear, is that right?
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. Now regardless of whether it was clear whether he was
24	working for the NGO or the energy company or both, did you have
25	any doubt that he was interested in expanding the business of

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 167 of 239196Ibr1ho4Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
1	the energy company?
2	A. I never had any doubt that this was something that he would
3	love to see happen.
4	Q. Do you have any doubt that he worked hard to expand the
5	business of the energy company?
6	A. Depends on how you define the word "hard."
7	Q. Poor question.
8	Do you have any doubt that he spent time working to
9	expand the business of the energy company?
10	A. No doubt about that.
11	Q. Do you have any doubt that when he asked you to connect him
12	with the president of Chad, it was to expand the business of
13	the energy company?
14	A. I had no doubt about that.
15	MR. RICHENTHAL: No further questions.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Recross, gentlemen?
17	MR. KIM: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down, sir.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: How are you holding up, ladies and
21	gentlemen? Everyone all right? Thank you.
22	(Witness excused)
23	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
24	MS. GHOSH: The government calls David Riccardi Zhu,
25	R-I-C-C-A-R-D-I, Z-H-U.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 168 of 239197Ibr1ho4Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
1	THE COURT: Come right on up, sir.
2	(Witness sworn)
3	THE COURT: Be seated, sir.
4	Ma'am.
5	DAVID WEN RICCARDI-ZHU,
6	called as a witness by the Government,
7	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. GHOSH:
10	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Riccardi-Zhu.
11	A. Good afternoon.
12	Q. How old are you?
13	A. Thirty-one years old.
14	Q. Where are you from?
15	A. I was born in Italy, but I grew up in New York City.
16	Q. How far did you go in school?
17	A. I finished law school in the autumn of in the spring of
18	2014.
19	Q. Where did you go to law school?
20	A. St. John's University in Queens.
21	Q. What employment did you have before law school?
22	A. I worked as a house manager in college, and then I was in
23	the Marine Corps Reserves before and through law school.
24	(Continued on next page)
25	
Į	

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 169 of 239 198 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct BY MS. GHOSH: 1 What did you do after graduating law school in 2014? 2 Q. 3 I was looking for work for a while, and then I came across Α. a position at CEFC. 4 5 What city were you based in while working for CEFC? Ο. New York City. 6 Α. 7 Was the CEFC that you worked for a for-profit or a Q. 8 not-for-profit company? 9 Not-for-profit. Α. 10 And did you ever hear it referred to as an NGO? Ο. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. What's an NGO? 13 Α. Non-governmental organization. 14 Are you familiar with any other CEFC entity? Q. 15 Α. Yes, there is also a for-profit company. 16 What is that? Ο. 17 It was I think primarily in investments in fossil fuels, Α. 18 but they also did business in real estate. I think they had a 19 soccer club in eastern Europe, so it was a pretty wide range of 20 business. 21 Where was that company based? Q. 22 Α. In Shanghai. 23 To be clear, I will refer to the one you worked for as the 0. 24 CEFC NGO and the for-profit CEFC company. 25 Understood. Α.

 Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP
 Document 204
 Filed 12/13/18
 Page 170 of 239
 199

 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199
 199

	Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
1	Q. Based on your work at the CEFC NGO, what is your
2	understanding of the relationship, if any, between the NGO and
3	the CEFC for-profit company?
4	A. My understanding is that the company fully funded the NGO.
5	Q. Approximately when did you begin working for the NGO?
6	A. I started volunteering there in the fall of 2014, I
7	believe, and then I started working there as a paid employee in
8	the spring of 2015.
9	Q. And how long did you work for CEFC?
10	A. I started working I worked until the winter of
11	2016-2017. I started working part-time at that point, so from
12	the spring of 2015 until then I was working full-time, and then
13	I was working part-time from the spring of 2017 to the summer
14	of 2017.
15	Q. And so you ended your employment there in the summer of
16	2017?
17	A. Yes, around July.
18	Q. If I could ask you to bring the microphone a little closer
19	to you. Thanks.
20	What did you do after leaving CEFC?
21	A. I went to a software engineering boot camp and then started
22	working as a software engineer.
23	Q. Are you employed today?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Where do you work?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 171 of 239 200 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 1 Α. I work at Good Uncle, a start-up. 2 Are you working as a software engineer? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 How did you first hear about the CEFC NGO? Q. 5 Through my father, he told me the organization was looking Α. for someone and suggested that I apply. 6 7 Where did your father work at the time? Q. At the United Nations. 8 Α. 9 Q. In terms of getting hired, what happened after your father 10 told you about this opportunity? 11 Α. There was an e-mail exchange with Dr. Ho, and then after 12 that a meeting I believe at the residence in Manhattan. 13 Did you learn Dr. Ho's full name? Ο. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. What is it? 16 Patrick Chi Ping Ho. Α. 17 Did you meet with Patrick Ho? Ο. 18 Α. I did. 19 Please take a look around the courtroom and let me know if Q. 20 you see him. 21 Α. I do. 22 Please identify an article of his clothing. Q. He is wearing an a purple jacket. 23 Α. 24 MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, may the record reflect that 25 the witness has identified the defendant.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 172 of 239 201 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1	MR. ROSENBERG: So stipulated.
2	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you, gentlemen.
3	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, approximately when did you first meet
4	with the defendant?
5	A. It was in the autumn of 2014.
6	Q. Where did that meeting happen?
7	A. At the residence in I believe in Manhattan.
8	Q. Was anyone else present at this meeting with the defendant?
9	A. I believe Mr. Zhang Ya was there is.
10	Q. Who is Ms. Zhang Ya?
11	A. She was another employee of the NGO, I believe. She was to
12	my mind at the beginning working as Dr. Ho's assistant.
13	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, can you please bring up Exhibit
14	1506 which is already in evidence.
15	Q. Do you have that on your screen?
16	A. I do.
17	Q. Who is that?
18	A. That's Ms. Zhang.
19	MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, we now offer 1506B into
20	evidence.
21	THE COURT: Received.
22	(Government Exhibit 1506B received in evidence)
23	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, what was the purpose of this meeting,
24	from your perspective?
25	A. To see whether I was interested in the work that they were

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 173 of 239 202 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct offering and whether I was a good fit for the organization. 1 2 How long did the meeting last? Q. 3 I would say approximately about an hour. Α. 4 Who did most of the talking? Q. 5 Dr. Ho. Α. 6 During this initial meeting with him, did he speak at all Ο. 7 about the CEFC NGO's mission? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Generally speaking, how did he describe the NGO's mission? Q. 10 It was a very broad mission, it included China/U.S. Α. 11 relations, energy, sustainable transport. 12 Q. You mentioned China/U.S. relations. Can you explain what 13 that is? 14 A. It's international relations between the Chinese -- between China and the United States. 15 Q. Did the defendant talk at all about the CEFC for-profit 16 17 company during this first meeting? 18 Α. No. Did he say anything about how the CEFC NGO was funded? 19 Q. 20 I don't believe at that first meeting. Α. 21 What did he say his position was at the NGO? Q. 22 Α. Secretary general. 23 Did he mention anything about whether he had a role at the Ο. 24 CEFC company? 25 I don't believe that he did. Α.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 174 of 239 203 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct After this meeting, did you start doing work for the NGO? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. 3 Now, what did you say the defendant's role was at the NGO? Ο. Secretary general. 4 Α. 5 And was that his position for the entire time you worked Ο. 6 there? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. As you understood it, was there anyone more senior than him 9 at the NGO? I believe that the chairman of the for-profit company 10 Α. 11 officially had a higher role than Dr. Ho, but he never executed 12 that role, to my understanding. 13 When you say the chairman, do you know who that is? 0. 14 Α. Ye Jianming. 15 Q. Who ran the day-to-day operations of the NGO, as you understood it? 16 17 Dr. Ho. Α. Where was the CEFC NGO based? 18 Ο. 19 In Hong Kong. Α. 20 Did it have any offices in the United States? Ο. 21 Α. I believe that it did. 22 MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, I would now like to offer a 23 stipulation between the parties which has been marked as 24 Exhibit S4. 25 THE COURT: Fact or testimonial?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 175 of 239 204 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 1 MS. GHOSH: Fact, your Honor. THE COURT: 2 OK. This is evidence for your consideration, ladies and 3 4 gentlemen, and you must accept the agreed-upon facts to be 5 true. Yes, ma'am. 6 7 (Government Exhibit S4 received in evidence) MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, could you please pull up 8 9 Government Exhibit S4 for the jury. 10 I will now read a portion of this into the record. 11 The stipulation begins: "It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the 12 13 United States of America, by Geoffrey S. Berman, United States 14 Attorney, Daniel C. Richenthal, Douglas Zolkind, and Catherine 15 Ghosh, Assistant United States Attorneys, of counsel, Sandra Moser, Acting Chief, Fraud Section, Department of Justice, Paul 16 A. Hayden, trial attorney, of counsel, and Chi Ping Patrick Ho, 17 the defendant, by his counsel, that: 18 "1. Government Exhibit 2201 is a true and correct 19 20 copy of the Certificate of Incorporation of China Energy Fund 21 Committee (USA) Inc. ("CEFC USA"), filed with the Virginia 22 State Corporation Commission on or about May 1, 2012; and the 23 2014 through 2017 Annual Reports of CEFC USA, which were also 24 filed with the Virginia State Corporation Commission. 25 "Government Exhibit 2202 is a true and correct copy of

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 176 of 239 205 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

the Articles of Organization of China Energy Fund Committee (NYC) LLC, filed with the New York State Department of State on or around about December 14, 2011.

"3. Government Exhibit 2203 is a true and correct copy of the Articles of Organization of Huaxin Petroleum (USA) LLC, filed with the New York State Department of State on or about February 9, 2012.

"4. Government Exhibit 2204 is a true and correct copy of the Articles of Association, Certificate of Incorporation, and certain other corporate records, of CEFC Limited, incorporated in Hong Kong on or about August 12, 2010."

Pursuant to this stipulation, the government offers
Government Exhibits 2201, 2202, 2203 and 2204.

MR. ROSENBERG: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

19

20

17 (Government Exhibits 2201 through 2204 received in18 evidence)

MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, can you please bring up Government Exhibit 2204.

And again pursuant to the parties' stipulation, these are corporate records of CEFC Limited, incorporated in Hong Kong.

24 Ms. Rao, could you please go to page 3. 25 Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, do you recognize the address at the top

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 177 of 239 206 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 1 of this page? 2 I do. Α. 3 What is that address? Ο. That's the address of the NGO's offices in Hong Kong. 4 Α. 5 MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, could you please go to page 4. 6 Do you see the section on founder members? Ο. 7 Α. I do. Do you recognize these names? 8 Q. 9 I recognize two of the names. Α. 10 Which ones do you recognize? Q. 11 Α. The first one, Ho Chi Ping Patrick, is Dr. Ho. The second 12 one, Ye Jianming, is the chairman of the for-profit company. I 13 don't recognize the last name Chan Chau To. 14 MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, could you please bring up page 6. 15 Q. And at the top of this, under first directors, individual 16 director, whose name is there? 17 That's Dr. Ho's name, Ho, Chi Ping Patrick. Α. 18 And if you look at the bottom of the page, whose signature Ο. is there? 19 20 Also Dr. Ho. Α. 21 MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, could you please go to page 48. 22 This is the Memorandum and Articles of Association of CEFC 23 Limited. If you could go to the next page, please, Ms. Rao. 24 This is the Memorandum of Association of CEFC Limited. And 25 page 55, please.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 178 of 239 207 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

Q. Who are the signatories listed here?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. It's again Dr. Ho first signatory. The second one I don't recognize, Chan Chau To. And the last one, Ye Jianming, is the chairman of the for-profit CEFC.

MS. GHOSH: Can you take that down. Please put up Government Exhibit 2201.

Q. Again, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, these are stipulated to be corporate records of China Energy Fund Committee (USA) Inc. filed in Virginia. On page 1 the title is Articles of Incorporation of China Energy Fund Committee (USA) Inc.

Do you see the section that says "Third: Purpose"? A. I do.

Q. And it says here, "The purpose of the corporation is to provide a nonprofit, nongovernmental, think tank devoted to public diplomacy and research on strategic issues beneficial to the public interest, with emphasis on energy and culture."

Ms. Rao, if you just show the next sentence please as well.

"The corporation is organized and shall be operated exclusively for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 ..."

23 Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, are you familiar with this entity
24 described here, China Energy Fund Committee (USA) Inc.?
25 A. Yes, it's the NGO that I worked for.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 179 of 239 208 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

	Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
1	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, please go to page 2.
2	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, do you see the list of initial members?
3	A. I do.
4	Q. First one is Dr. Patrick Ho Chi-ping?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And is that the defendant?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And the next one is Mr. Martin Wu Zhang. Do you know who
9	that is?
10	A. I am not familiar with that person.
11	Q. And the third one, Andrew Lo, do you know who he is?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Who is that?
14	A. He was sort of second in command to Dr. Ho at the NGO.
15	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, can you please turn to page 5 of
16	this exhibit.
17	Q. This is the 2014 annual report, and do you see at the top
18	the corporation name, that this is China Energy Fund Committee
19	(USA) Inc.?
20	A. Yes, I see that.
21	Q. In the middle of the page do you recognize the principal
22	office address?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. That's 1100 Wilson Boulevard in Arlington, Virginia?
25	A. Yes.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 180 of 239 209 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 200
1	Q. What do you recognize that address as?
2	A. It was an office in Virginia we used a few times.
3	MS. GHOSH: Miss Rao, could you please bring up page
4	6. This is the 2014 annual report continued, and if you can
5	zoom in on this box. Thank you.
6	Q. Do you see here that Patrick Chi-Ping Ho is listed as both
7	an officer and director?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Was that consistent with your understanding of his role in
10	2014?
11	A. Yes.
12	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, please go to page 8.
13	Q. And this is 2015 annual report. Do you see it again has
14	him listed as officer and director?
15	A. Yes.
16	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, page 10, please.
17	Q. And in 2016, again officer and director?
18	A. Yes.
19	MS. GHOSH: And page 12, please, Ms. Rao.
20	Q. Same thing, officer, director?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was this consistent with your understanding of the
23	defendant's role at the NGO during those years?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Now, you said that you were based in New York while working

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 181 of 239 210 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct at CEFC; is that right? 1 2 Yes. Α. 3 Did you ever visit the Virginia office of CEFC USA? Ο. Α. I did. 4 5 Ο. And you said it was located at the address which is on 6 Arlington? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. About how far is that from Washington D.C.? 9 Not very far at all. I would guess it to be around a mile. Α. 10 Approximately how many times did you visit that office? Q. 11 Α. About three times, three or four times. 12 Q. Why did you go there? 13 Sometimes after events we would have extra material, and we Α. would drop it off there, and one time I believe that I worked 14 15 on a press release there. Was the defendant with you when you went to that office? 16 Ο. 17 One time he was. Α. Q. When you were at that office, could you see who else, if 18 19 anyone, was working there? 20 A. Yes, I believe the time that I went to work on the press 21 release there were about three or four other people in the 22 office. 23 Did you ever visit any other CEFC location in the US apart 0. 24 from the Virginia office? 25 Yes, there was kind of an office/apartment in New York Α.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 182 of 239 211 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1 City. What do you mean by office/apartment? 2 Q. 3 It was furnished as an apartment, but my understanding was Α. 4 that people worked out of it regularly. 5 And where was that space located? Ο. In Trump World Tower in Manhattan. 6 Α. 7 How many times did you visit that location? Q. I would say also about three or four times. 8 Α. 9 Do you recall what floor the office/apartment was on in Ο. 10 that building? 11 Α. Not precisely, but I would guess it to be around the 68th 12 floor. 13 When you visited that location, what was the reason? 0. 14 Again, it was a couple of times after events we were Α. 15 dropping off material that we hadn't used at the events, and then one time Dr. Ho hosted a foreign representative from the 16 17 United Kingdom there. 18 Could you see who, if anyone, was working there? Ο. 19 I believe one time that I went I remember seeing people Α. 20 leaving from the back rooms and sort of entering the main area 21 of the apartment. 22 About how many people did you see on that occasion? Q. 23 Α. Two or three. 24 Do you know whether they were affiliated with the CEFC 0. 25 company or the CEFC NGO?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 183 of 239 212 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct They weren't employees that were regularly working for the 1 Α. 2 NGO, so I assumed them to be company employees. 3 MR. ROSENBERG: Objection, your Honor. Move to 4 strike. 5 THE COURT: Counsel? 6 MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, the first portion of his 7 answer is his understanding that they weren't employees of the NGO based on his time working there. 8 9 THE COURT: Sir? Mr. Rosenberg? 10 MR. ROSENBERG: Lack of foundation on that point, your 11 Honor. 12 THE COURT: I don't think we have inquired what his 13 basis was. 14 MS. GHOSH: I will get back into it. 15 Q. I'm going to now ask you about your work. THE COURT: This is hanging out here. Do you want to 16 17 inquire what the witness's basis was for saying that because 18 they weren't employees who were regularly working for the NGO 19 he assumed them to be company employees? 20 MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, I can ask him some questions 21 to verify that. 22 THE COURT: OK. During your employment for the NGO, did you interact with 23 Ο. 24 other employees of the NGO? 25 I did. Α.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 184 of 239 213 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1 Ο. And approximately how many other employees of the NGO did 2 you interact with? 3 A. I believe I interacted with almost everybody. I spent about two weeks in Hong Kong where I worked out of the offices, 4 5 and met what I believed to be was the entire staff of the NGO at the time. 6 7 Q. And did you interact with these people outside of the time that you spent in Hong Kong. Or only when you were in Hong 8 9 Konq? 10 A. I also interacted with them during the events. It wasn't 11 always the same group of people that came by, but sort of on a 12 rotational basis I met the employees when they came over to the 13 U.S. Q. And were you included on e-mails sent to members of the 14 NGO? 15 16 I was. Α. 17 And through these interactions in Hong Kong and through Ο. your work in the United States, and e-mails you were copied on, 18 19 did you have an understanding of who was employed by the CEFC 20 NGO? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And when you referred to the people that you saw in the 23 apartment/office, were those people ones who you understood to 24 be employed by the NGO? 25 They were not employed by the NGO, to my understanding. Α.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 185 of 239 214 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1	Q. Now, to turn more to what your work for the NGO was.
2	Generally speaking, who assigned you work?
3	A. Dr. Ho.
4	Q. What sort of assignments did he give you?
5	A. It was research and writing primarily. That included
6	editorials, speeches, press releases. I helped organize some
7	of the events.
8	Q. How much of your work at the NGO related to events that the
9	NGO was hosting or participating in?
10	A. I would say probably the majority of my work revolved
11	around events.
12	Q. Who typically attended these events?
13	A. Usually people from international circles, so diplomats or
14	government officials, scholars.
15	Q. Now, where was the defendant based?
16	A. I'd say probably from Hong Kong. He traveled a lot.
17	Q. How often were you in contact with him?
18	A. On a weekly basis.
19	Q. How did you typically communicate?
20	A. By e-mail.
21	Q. About how often did the defendant visit the United States,
22	as far as you were aware?
23	A. I would put it maybe around six times a year.
24	Q. How would you know when he was coming to the U.S.?
25	A. He would e-mail me before he arrived.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 186 of 239 215 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1 Q. Did he tell you where he was staying? Α. 2 Yes. 3 Was there a typical place that he stayed while he was here? Ο. He usually stayed at the Residence Inn in Manhattan. 4 Α. 5 Was he typically accompanied by anyone when he traveled to Ο. the U.S., as far as you were aware? 6 7 Typically he was accompanied by Ms. Zhang. Α. How were you paid for your work at the CEFC NGO? 8 Q. 9 Occasionally when Dr. Ho came on his visits, Ms. Zhang Α. would give me an envelope with cash. 10 11 Ο. Did you have an understanding of who, if anyone, she was 12 paying you on behalf of? 13 The NGO. Α. 14 When the defendant visited the U.S., did you know his Q. 15 complete itinerary? 16 Α. No. 17 Did you typically see him on those visits? Q. Usually, yes. 18 Α. 19 Where would you see him? Q. 20 At the Residence Inn. Α. 21 Other than the typical times you saw the defendant, did you Q. 22 know who else he was meeting with? 23 Α. No. 24 Do you know what else he was doing during those trips? Ο. 25 Α. I do not.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 187 of 239 216 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct Did you attend NGO events or meetings with the defendant? 1 Ο. 2 Α. I did. 3 Did you ever attend any meetings with the defendant related Ο. not to the CEFC NGO but to the CEFC company? 4 I don't believe that I ever did. 5 Α. As far as you knew, did the defendant have a role at the 6 0. 7 CEFC company? I was told by other employees I think once or twice that 8 Α. 9 Dr. Ho was traveling for the company, but I had never been told 10 directly that Dr. Ho was working for a company. 11 MR. ROSENBERG: Objection, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Sir? 13 MR. ROSENBERG: Hearsay. Counsel? 14 THE COURT: 15 MS. GHOSH: I can ask him who told him. 16 Your Honor, it goes to the witness's state of mind, 17 however, I can ask him more directly if the defendant ever told him. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you try that. 20 Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, did the defendant ever tell you whether Ο. he did any work on behalf of the CEFC company? 21 22 Α. He did not. 23 Through your work at the NGO, did you ever learn of any Ο. 24 connection between the work of the NGO and the work of the 25 company that funded it?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 188 of 239 217 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

Outside of the funding and some events, there were company 1 Α. 2 employees that helped sometimes. I did not know of any 3 official connection. 4 I believe you testified that one of your responsibilities Q. 5 at CEFC was writing press releases; is that right? Α. Yes. 6 7 Can you please explain what a press release is. Q. A press release was a document that we prepared surrounding 8 Α. 9 our events. Usually it was sent to the press to explain what 10 the event was about, and in some cases I believe we sent it to 11 the press, particularly the Hong Kong/Chinese press, so they 12 could use the press release word for word. 13 And when you say use the press release word for word, what Ο. do you mean by that? 14 15 Α. They would publish it, often translated publication. 16 Let's talk about the process of drafting a press release. Ο. 17 Without going into the specifics of any subject matter, what 18 are some of the reasons you would write press releases? 19 Usually it was because we had just completed an event and Α. 20 we wanted to let the press know what the event was about and 21 what the NGO did. 22 Who would typically assign you to draft a press release? Ο. 23 Α. Dr. Ho. 24 When he assigned you to draft a press release, how would he Ο. 25 typically communicate that to you?

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 189 of 239 218 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct Usually by e-mail, sometimes in person. 1 Α. 2 Would you discuss the press release with him? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Based on his directions to you, did you get any sense of Q. 5 how important or unimportant press releases were to him? I would say generally they were very important. 6 Α. 7 What gave you that impression? Q. 8 For one thing, the attention to the language; another was Α. 9 usually the urgency of the press release. 10 Did he ever give you any directions on what to say Ο. 11 specifically in a press release? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So after you drafted a press release, what would you do 0. 14 with it? 15 Α. I would usually send it to Dr. Ho for revision. Did he ever have comments or revisions? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Whose job was it to input those comments and revisions? Q. 19 My job. Α. 20 Who had final authority to approve a press release? Ο. 21 Α. Dr. Ho. 22 Once the press release was approved, what would you do with Q. 23 it? 24 I would usually send it to Steven Cheng, an employee in Α. 25 Hong Kong, who would then translate it and then send it off to

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 190 of 239 219 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 1 the press. So you would draft it in English, and he would translate it 2 Q. 3 into Chinese? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. And once it was final in English or Chinese, what's your 6 understanding of what CEFC would do with it next? 7 It would get sent off to the press at that point. Α. What was the purpose of sending the press releases off to 8 Q. 9 the press? 10 I would say to inform the press of the events and to Α. 11 promote attention on the NGO and the NGO's work. 12 Q. Would CEFC itself post the press releases anywhere? 13 Yes, on its website. Α. 14 I'd like to show you what has been marked for Q. identification as Government Exhibit 412. Is that up on your 15 16 screen? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Do you recognize this? Ο. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Generally what is it? Ο. 21 It's an e-mail chain that discusses the press release for Α. 22 one of the events we did in 2015. 23 Is the defendant involved in this e-mail discussion? Ο. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Are you?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 191 of 239 220 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct Page 191 of 239 220
1	A. Yes.
2	MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, we offer Government Exhibit
3	412.
4	MR. ROSENBERG: No objection.
5	THE COURT: Received.
6	(Government Exhibit 412 received in evidence)
7	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, could you please publish this to
8	the jury.
9	Q. Let's start with the header on the top. Who are the
10	participants in this e-mail chain?
11	A. So the sender is Steven Cheng. That's who I would send my
12	press releases to when they were ready. The recipients are Mr.
13	Lo; Dr. Ho; Roger Fong; a number of employees in Hong Kong;
14	Vivian Wong, another employee in Hong Kong; myself; Ms. Zhang;
15	then Kosh Li and Dan Nasir, also employees in Hong Kong.
16	Q. And the subject is: Press Releases (Chi and Eng) on the
17	Energy Grant.
18	Do you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And the attachment is entitled: Press release, We Care
21	Solar awarded U.S. \$1 million UN-CEFC Energy Grant.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Briefly, what's the \$1 million UN-CEFC energy grant?
24	A. It was a grant that the NGO funded and organized in
25	collaboration with the United Nations, where the recipient of

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 192 of 239 221 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1	the award after a vetting process would receive the \$1 million
2	to further projects in sustainable energy.
3	Q. And just to be clear, who donated the \$1 million?
4	A. The NGO.
5	Q. And going back to the list of recipients of that e-mail,
6	you mentioned that one of them was the defendant. Can you
7	identify which e-mail is his?
8	A. Yes, it's head@chinaenergyfund.org.
9	Q. Let's go to the bottom of that e-mail. Do you see the
10	first e-mail here from Steven Cheng on September 15, 2015 at
11	2:43?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Could you please read that e-mail.
14	A. "Dear Dr. Ho. Attached please find the press releases
15	(Chinese and English) on the Energy Grant. The English press
16	release was done by David and is waiting for your approval
17	before being sent off. The Chinese press release was done by
18	me and has been edited and checked by" a Chinese name. "Best,
19	Steven."
20	Q. Who does David refer to?
21	A. That's me.
22	Q. If you could look at the next e-mail in the chain, which is
23	the same day at 3:16. This is from head@chinaenergyfund.org.
24	Who is that again?
25	A. Dr. Ho.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 193 of 239 222 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1 Q. Can you please read the e-mail. "Doctor Mr. Lo, can you please take a look at the English 2 Α. 3 press release and make revision and amendment when necessary as 4 soon as possible, as time is critical for its release. 5 Thanks." 6 As you understood it, why was time critical for its 0. 7 release? I believe that we had this understanding that the faster 8 Α. 9 the press release went out, the more impact it would have. 10 Did you have discussions with the defendant about efforts Ο. 11 to publicize this million dollar donation to the UN? 12 Α. I did. 13 What was the defendant's attitude towards that? Ο. 14 I think he valued the award and the press releases for the Α. award because they reflected well on the NGO. 15 16 And who was Mr. Lo again? Ο. 17 He was sort of second in command at the NGO. I forget his Α. official title. 18 Q. Above the defendant's e-mail, Mr. Lo responds the same day, 19 20 he says, "The English copy is in order." Then he responds 21 again, again the same day and says, "Further my last, the photo 22 captions focus only on Ben and the winner, no mentioning of 23 others in the very important cheque presenting photo." 24 Do you see that? 25 Α. Yes.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 194 of 239 223 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct

1	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, could you please bring us to page
2	3 of the attachment.
3	Q. What is this?
4	A. This is the press release I prepared for that event.
5	Q. OK. And please go to page 5.
6	Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, do you recognize this photo?
7	A. Yes, it's a photo taken at the event.
8	Q. Were you at that event?
9	A. I was.
10	Q. And so this was an event to publicize the million dollar
11	donation?
12	A. Yes, it was the official ceremony where the check was given
13	to the winner of the award.
14	Q. In this photo, could you please identify from left to right
15	anyone that you recognize.
16	A. Yes. I don't recognize the first gentleman on the left,
17	but to his right is the former Secretary General of the United
18	Nations, Ban Ki-moon. To his right is the former President of
19	the General Assembly, Sam Kutesam. To his right is the person
20	who ran We Care Solar, the recipient of the award. To her
21	right is Dr. Ho. And to Dr. Ho's right is Mr. Wu Hongbo, the
22	former Under Secretary General of the United Nations.
23	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, can we pull it up a little so we
24	can see the caption.
25	Q. The caption in the last sentence mentions the first person

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 195 of 239 224 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct on the left as a Mr. Zang, Executive Vice President of CEFC. 1 2 Are you familiar with him? 3 I'm not. Α. Based on your own personal experience, when the defendant 4 Q. 5 was involved in an activity such as a donation or an event like 6 this, how frequently did he direct that a press release be 7 drafted? 8 A. Very frequently. 9 Q. Were you the only CEFC NGO employee involved in writing 10 press releases, or did others do so as well? 11 Α. I was primarily the main person tasked with them from the 12 beginning of my years there, and then towards the end another 13 employee began writing them more often. 14 MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, at this point I would like to 15 enter another stipulation between the parties which is marked 16 as S11. 17 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Fact or testimony? 18 Fact, your Honor. MS. GHOSH: 19 As you know, ladies and gentlemen, this is THE COURT: 20 evidence for your consideration, and you must accept the facts 21 stated as true. (Government Exhibit S11 received in evidence) 22 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 23 24 MS. GHOSH: I will now read a portion of this into 25 evidence. It begins with the same introductory paragraph as

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 196 of 239 225 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct before, and then says the attorneys agree, and I'm going to go 1 2 to paragraph 3 on page 2. 3 "The following Government Exhibits contain accurate 4 copies of pages from the China Energy Fund Committee websites 5 (http://cefc.co or http://cefc.org.hk) as those pages existed 6 as of the approximate dates set forth below." 7 And on the next page listed Exhibit 807, which was as 8 of July 9, 2016. 9 Pursuant to that stipulation the government offers 10 Government Exhibit 807. 11 THE COURT: No objection, right? 12 MR. ROSENBERG: Forgive me, your Honor. I was just 13 looking at something. No objection. 14 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Received. 15 (Government Exhibit 807 received in evidence) MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, at this time I would like to 16 17 offer another stipulation between the parties, which is marked as Government Exhibit S8. The government offers Exhibit S8. 18 19 MR. ROSENBERG: No objection. 20 THE COURT: Received. (Government Exhibit S8 received in evidence) 21 22 MS. GHOSH: This one begins with the same introductory 23 language. 24 "In the chart set forth in Annex A, below, the 25 exhibits in the "Translation" column contain fair and accurate

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 197 of 239 226
	Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
1	English translations of the corresponding exhibits in the
2	"Original Document" column."
3	THE COURT: And of course that's evidence for your
4	consideration which you must accept as true.
5	MS. GHOSH: Pursuant to this stipulation, the
6	government offers Exhibit 807-TX which is the English
7	translation of Government Exhibit 807.
8	MR. ROSENBERG: No objection.
9	THE COURT: Received.
10	(Government Exhibit 907-TX received in evidence)
11	MS. GHOSH: Ms. Rao, can you please bring up Exhibit
12	807 first and publish it to the jury.
13	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, could you take a look at the web address
14	on the lower right:
15	A. I see a web address, yes.
16	Q. Do you recognize what cefc-ngo.co is?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What is that?
19	A. That's one of the websites that the NGO had.
20	Q. And if we could now go to Exhibit 807-TX, please. At the
21	top do you see this refers to CEFC Hong Kong Non-Government
22	Fund Committee?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What's that?
25	A. That's the NGO.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 198 of 239 227 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 2
1	Q. And do you see that this is a print-up from the News Center
2	web page?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Is that one of the places where press releases were posted
5	by CEFC?
6	A. Yes.
7	MS. GHOSH: And, Ms. Rao, if you can zoom out.
8	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, does this appear to be a CEFC press
9	release?
10	A. It does.
11	Q. Do you recall seeing this at the time it was posted?
12	A. I have just a very vague recollection of it.
13	Q. Let me ask you some questions about it. The headline
14	states, "A Delegation From CEFC Hong Kong Non-Governmental Fund
15	Committee Visited Uganda." Then there is a photo. Do you
16	recognize anyone in this photo?
17	A. Yes. That is Dr. Ho in the center shaking hands with a man
18	that I don't recognize. To the right of that man it looks like
19	the former President of the General Assembly Sam Kutesa.
20	Q. The caption of the photo says, "President Museveni (second
21	from right) and CEFC Hong Kong Non-Governmental Fund Committee
22	delegation."
23	Could you turn to page 2 where the text begins.
24	The first sentence says, "On May 11 through 13, 2016,
25	the delegation of CEFC Hong Kong Non-Governmental Fund

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 199 of 239 228 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct Committee conducted a two and a half day visit to the city of 1 2 Kampala, Uganda." 3 Were you familiar with the defendant going on a trip 4 to Uganda in May of 2016? 5 I don't -- I'm not -- I vaguely remember being told that Α. Dr. Ho had gone to Africa, but I'm not sure if that aligns to 6 7 that day. 8 Q. In your experience, were trips of this nature the sort of 9 thing that the defendant would issue press releases on? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. Take a minute to read over the rest of the press release, 12 and let us know when you are done with the first page, and we 13 will bring up the second. 14 I'm done with the first page. I'm finished reading. Α. 15 Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, do you see any references in this press release to a donation to a charitable foundation in Uganda? 16 17 I do not. Α. 18 Do you see a reference to any sort of donation or Ο. 19 contribution in connection with this trip? 20 Α. No. 21 Based on your experience preparing press releases for the Q. 22 defendant, if he had been involved in making such a donation, 23 what is your expectation as to whether or not that donation 24 would be mentioned in a press release? 25 MR. ROSENBERG: Objection, your Honor. Foundation.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 200 of 239 229

	Riccardi-Zhu - Direct
1	THE COURT: Foundation, ma'am?
2	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, how many years did you work for CEFC?
3	A. About three years.
4	Q. And you testified that one of your responsibilities was to
5	draft press releases?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Who typically assigned you to draft press releases?
8	A. Dr. Ho.
9	Q. And did you have discussions with him about press releases?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did you exchange e-mails with him about press releases?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did he send you comments on press releases that you
14	drafted?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Did he ever give you specific information to include in the
17	press releases?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Did he ever e-mail around press releases once they were
20	finalized?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Based on all of your discussions and interactions with the
23	defendant between 2014 and 2017, did you form an impression as
24	to his typical practices with respect to press releases?
25	A. Yes.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 201 of 239 230 Riccardi-Zhu - Direct 230

1	Q. So now let me ask you, if he had been involved in making
2	charitable donations in Uganda, what is your impression as to
3	whether or not he would have mentioned it in a press release?
4	MR. ROSENBERG: Same objection.
5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	You may answer, sir.
7	A. My impression is that it would have been mentioned in a
8	press release if it reflected well on the NGO.
9	Q. While you were working at CEFC, did you ever learn of any
10	donation that the defendant was involved in to a Ugandan
11	charity or foundation?
12	A. I did not.
13	Q. Did you ever learn of any donation the defendant was
14	involved in making to people of the Republic of Chad?
15	A. I did not.
16	Q. If the defendant had been involved in making a donation to
17	Chad, what is your impression as to whether or not he would
18	have mentioned that in a press release?
19	MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, same objection.
20	THE COURT: Overruled.
21	You may answer, sir.
22	A. Again, I believe that charitable donations would have
23	reflected well on the NGO.
24	THE COURT: Does that mean you would have released a
25	press release?

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAPDocument 204Filed 12/13/18Page 202 of 239231IBR7H05Riccardi-Zhu -Cross
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
2	MS. GHOSH: May I have a moment, your Honor?
3	
	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. GHOSH: No further questions, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination, counsel.
6	MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you, your Honor.
7	CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. ROSENBERG:
9	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Riccardi-Zhu.
10	A. Good afternoon, sir.
11	Q. You testified that you began working on a paid basis in the
12	spring of 2015; is that right?
13	A. That's my recollection, sir.
14	Q. OK. And you had started as an intern before that?
15	A. I would say maybe more as a volunteer.
16	Q. As a volunteer. Was that sometime in the fall of 2014?
17	A. That's what I remember, sir.
18	Q. OK. And you got that position because you were put in
19	touch with Dr. Ho by your father, correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And that's because your father knew Dr. Ho because your
22	father worked at the UN?
23	A. Yes.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Rosenberg, you need to slow it down.
25	It's ten after four, and the court reporter has been working

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 203 of 239 232 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 1 all day. 2 MR. ROSENBERG: I will do so, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you. 4 MR. ROSENBERG: But I can be heard. 5 THE COURT: Well, that was a little shaky also. MR. ROSENBERG: I was hoping for one out of two. 6 Ι 7 will do better. 8 THE COURT: But first things first. 9 Now, you were an independent contractor; is that correct? Q. 10 There were a couple of titles. That was one of the titles Α. 11 I had. I think I was also listed as a research officer at some 12 time. 13 Q. Were you an employee, or were you paid as a consultant? 14 Objection, your Honor. MS. GHOSH: 15 THE COURT: Basis? Relevance, and it calls for speculation. 16 MS. GHOSH: 17 THE COURT: What's the relevance of this? 18 MR. ROSENBERG: I just want to understand his position at CEFC NGO, if he knows. 19 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 Go ahead. 22 You worked principally from home, did you not? Q. 23 Α. I did. 24 And so you went you said to the D.C. office only on about 0. 25 three occasions; is that right?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 204 of 239 233 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 233
1	A. Something around that, yes.
2	Q. That's in the approximate two and a half years you were
3	associated with CEFC, the think tank?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Now, in your examination withdrawn.
6	You believed that CEFC the think tank for whom you
7	worked was understaffed in the United States; isn't that
8	right?
9	A. I'm not sure if I was ever I'm not sure I had that
10	conclusion ever, sir.
11	Q. OK. Were you the only full-time employee at CEFC's New
12	York offices?
13	A. For the NGO, sir?
14	MS. GHOSH: Objection, your Honor.
15	Q. Yes, for the NGO.
16	THE COURT: Ma'am?
17	MS. GHOSH: It calls for speculation.
18	THE COURT: I think the witness has answered it.
19	Sir, if there is an objection, would you hold your
20	answer, please.
21	If counsel says objection, don't answer.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, understood.
23	THE COURT: And later on I will tell you if you have
24	to or not. Thank you.
25	Mr. Rosenberg.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 205 of 239 234 II IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 234

1	MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, I'm not sure I heard the
2	answer. I believe the witness did not answer, unless I'm
3	misreading.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	Sir, are you able to answer the question of whether
6	you were the only full-time employee at CEFC's New York office?
7	Do you know the answer to that?
8	THE WITNESS: I don't, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	Q. During the time that you were with CEFC, were you the only
11	employee based full-time in New York?
12	THE COURT: Isn't that the same question?
13	MS. GHOSH: Objection.
14	MR. ROSENBERG: I just wanted to be precise about it,
15	your Honor. Then let me move on.
16	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, do you recall being interviewed by F.B.I.
17	agents in connection with this case?
18	A. I was.
19	Q. And do you recall the first time you were interviewed was
20	approximately December 14, 2017?
21	A. That sounds right. I don't remember the exact date.
22	Q. And do you recall being asked do you recall being asked
23	about the employment situation of CEFC and advising that during
24	your time at CEFC you were the only employee based full-time in
25	New York?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 206 of 239 235 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 235
1	A. My understanding is that I was as far as I was aware, I
2	was the only employee for the NGO in New York City.
3	Q. And is it a
4	Now, you mentioned, Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, that there
5	were that you issued press releases or wrote press releases
6	following events; is that right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Can you please describe the events after which you wrote
9	press releases?
10	MS. GHOSH: Objection, your Honor. Relevance.
11	THE COURT: Sir?
12	MR. ROSENBERG: It goes to the testimony that was
13	given on direct examination. He testified to events, and I'm
14	trying to understand what they were, and I believe the jury
15	should be able to hear that.
16	THE COURT: Were you just asking the witness to recite
17	random events, or are there some you want to focus on?
18	MR. ROSENBERG: On the topics of events and the nature
19	of them, where they were held and what the topics were.
20	THE COURT: Is there relevance to this case?
21	MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, your Honor. I can state here or
22	at the side bar if your Honor prefers.
23	THE COURT: Well, if you're not going to take too
24	long, let's go, but otherwise come up.
25	Q. Let me see if I can make this short. Among the events,

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 207 of 239 236 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 236
1	were they about efforts to have smart cities and sustainable
2	transportation?
3	MS. GHOSH: Objection. Relevance.
4	THE COURT: Sir, this sounds like it's getting into
5	the areas we had discussions about in the motions in limine.
6	MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, I am attempting not to do
7	that, and I think there is relevance to show
8	THE COURT: All right, come on up, come up to the side
9	bar, please.
10	Mr. Reporter may I impose on you, please.
11	(Continued on next page)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

1

(At the side bar)

MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, through the questioning of Mr. Jeremic, the earlier witness -- and I think through it's opening statement -- the government is pursuing the argument that Dr. Ho was somehow working principally or solely or deviously for the for-profit energy company. I think it's important to show that he did have -- that his role for the not-for-profit was a robust one, that he did work for the not-for-profit, the topics of the many events he hosted, took part in, etc., related solely to the not-for-profit and to the goals of the not-for-profit.

MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, we never argued that he did not work in some way for the not-for-profit. In their own questioning Mr. Jeremic brought out nonprofit-related things that the defendant was involved in. And the robustness of his involvement in the nonprofit has nothing to do with his simultaneous involvement with the energy company and the bribery at issue here.

MR. RICHENTHAL: And even if it did, the subject matter of offense is distinguishable from whether he in fact worked for the NGO. We would not object to that question. Of course this witness already said he did work for the NGO. The subject matter of the events is entirely different.

MR. ROSENBERG: The subject matter of the events is not going to explain what the NGO did and what Mr. Ho did in

connection with the NGO.

THE COURT: We are not spending time on this for 403 reasons. There is no dispute that the defendant worked for the NGO. There has already been fairly robust testimony about the topics on which he worked for the NGO about -- there is absolutely no reason at this point to stand here and make this witness list all sorts of events and what kinds of interests there were. It is of no relevance, because there is not a disputed issue of fact about whether he worked for the NGO or not.

MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, I think the extent of his work for the NGO is important, because, as I said, I think the government has painted a picture that the NGO is simply a cover for something, and that's why I would like to at least ask about where the events were held, how often they were held, the nature --

THE COURT: We don't care. Why is that relevant to anything?

You're able to ask him about his observations of division of time between NGO events and energy company events or things like that, but it is a complete waste of time -- and particularly under 403 reasons -- to have him list eight zillion events.

We have also discussed in the motion in limine that all of these prior good works are not coming in.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 210 of 239 239 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 239

1	MR. ROSENBERG: Judge, I understood that. I thought I
2	was abiding by I was intending to abide by that ruling. I
3	wasn't intending to go beyond the main of it. But I
4	understand.
5	THE COURT: But your issue is that he actually did
6	work for the NGO.
7	MR. ROSENBERG: Yes.
8	THE COURT: So ask him about that. It doesn't matter
9	what he did, and it certainly doesn't have to have a list of
10	events. So, let's get going.
11	MR. ROSENBERG: Very well.
12	THE COURT: Off the record.
13	(Discussion held off the record)
14	(Continued on next page)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP	Document 204	Filed 12/13/18	Page 211 of 239	240
IBR7H05	Riccard	i-Zhu -Cross		

	IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross
1	(In open court)
2	BY MR. ROSENBERG:
3	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, did Dr. Ho spend his time at the NGO
4	organizing the events that you described to that you referred
5	to earlier?
6	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat the question, sir.
7	Q. Did he spend his time with the NGO organizing the events
8	you referred to earlier?
9	MS. GHOSH: Objection, your Honor. Vague. Personal
10	knowledge?
11	THE COURT: Are you able to answer that question, sir?
12	Do you need it repeated?
13	THE WITNESS: I'm just not I'm not sure if I can
14	really answer it. I don't have an understanding of Dr. Ho's
15	entire schedule, your Honor.
16	Q. Did he take part in the events that you organized?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. And did he as well?
19	A. Yes, he did.
20	Q. Was he a speaker at the events?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And was he a panelist in other events?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did he also write articles?
25	A. Yes.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 212 of 239 241 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 241
1	Q. And did you help him with those articles?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did he also give speeches?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And did you help him with those speeches?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And these were all part of the work that he did on behalf
8	of the NGO, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Now, isn't it correct, sir, that well, withdrawn.
11	I want to talk briefly about Exhibit 412 Government
12	Exhibit 412, which you saw earlier and that's the press
13	release that you wrote about We Care Solar; is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. That was a program excuse me. This is pursuant to it
16	was about a grant that CEFC gave to the United Nations,
17	correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And it worked with the United Nations, and the United
20	Nations held a large competition for ideas in energy
21	sustainability, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	THE COURT: Slowly.
24	MR. ROSENBERG: Forgive me, your Honor.
25	Q. Did you work on the administration and planning of that

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 213 of 239 242 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu -Cross 1 grant and the program at the United Nations? 2 Could you clarify what you mean, sir, by programming and Α. 3 administration. 4 Did you work on the -- there was an award called The Ο. Powering of Future We Want Energy Grant. Was that the name of 5 it? 6 7 Yes, sir. Α. 8 Q. And did the NGO work to organize that? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Did you take part in that, sir, the NGO's efforts to do Ο. 11 that. 12 Α. I believe that when the award was first being organized, I 13 had a brief role in talking about what sort procedure there 14 would be to get the award issued. After that, my participation in the organization was very limited, sir. 15 But you participated enough that you did eventually attend 16 0. the awards ceremony, correct? 17 18 Yes, for preparing the press release. Α. And directing your attention, if you could, please, to the 19 Q. 20 second page of the press release, the first full paragraph on 21 the page, Exhibit 412, it stated that "CEFC's deputy chairman 22 and General Secretary Dr. Patrick Ho said that the UN-CEFC 23 energy grant is intended to encourage and commend excellent in 24 the field of global energy. Individuals and organizations 25 working to promote sustainable development should be

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 214 of 239 243 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu - Redirect 243
1	recognized, he said, because they contribute to a better life
2	for all man kind."
3	Was that a statement that you wrote, sir?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And it was based upon your having attended the ceremony,
6	correct?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Your Honor, just one moment.
9	MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, just one moment.
10	May I have one moment, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
12	MR. ROSENBERG: I have nothing further. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Redirect, counsel.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. GHOSH:
17	Q. Mr. Riccardi-Zhu, you just referred to a statement from the
18	defendant in the press release about the energy awards
19	ceremony. Do you recall that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. At the energy awards ceremony did the defendant mention any
22	work that he was doing for the CEFC company?
23	A. No.
24	MS. GHOSH: No further questions, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 215 of 239 244 IBR7H05 Riccardi-Zhu - Redirect 244
1	Any further cross?
2	MR. ROSENBERG: No, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: You may step down, sir. Thank you.
4	(Witness excused)
5	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go to
6	about five. Are you all OK?
7	JUROR: Could we take a quick bio break?
8	THE COURT: Only if you go fast. We're going to take
9	a quick break, ladies and gentlemen. Please follow the usual
10	rules, friends. Thank you.
11	(Jury not present)
12	THE COURT: Anything on the record friends?
13	Off the record.
14	(Off the record discussion held)
15	(Recess)
16	(Continued on next page)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 216 of 239 245 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct 1 (In open court; jury present) THE COURT: Mr. Zolkind. 2 MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, may we call our next 3 4 witness. THE COURT: Yes, sir. 5 6 MR. ZOLKIND: The government calls Cheikh Tidiane 7 Gadio. 8 (Witness sworn) 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Won't you be seated, sir. 10 Counsel. 11 MR. ZOLKIND: Thank you, your Honor. 12 CHEIKH TIDIANE GADIO, 13 called as a witness by the Government, 14 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZOLKIND: 16 17 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Gadio. And Dr. Gadio, if you could 18 just make sure to have that microphone pulled right up to you. 19 A. Okay. Good afternoon. 20 Ο. Thank you. Let me start with some background questions first. 21 22 How old are you, sir? 23 A. I'm 62. 24 Q. Where are you from? 25 I'm from Senegal, West Africa. Α.

		se 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 217 of 239 246 1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	Q.	And is your permanent home in Senegal?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Are you a citizen of Senegal?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Are you a citizen or a lawful permanent resident of any
6	cou	ntry besides Senegal?
7	Α.	Yeah, lawful resident of the United States.
8	Q.	And is that also known as being a green card holder?
9	Α.	Yes, sir.
10	Q.	How long have you been a lawful permanent resident or a
11	gre	en card holder of the United States?
12	Α.	Since 1999.
13	Q.	How far did you go in school?
14	Α.	Up to a PhD.
15	Q.	And where did you earn your PhD?
16	Α.	At Ohio State University, at
17	Q.	I'm sorry. The Ohio State University?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And that's here in US?
20	Α.	Columbus, Ohio.
21	Q.	Okay. Are you currently employed?
22	Α.	Yes, sir.
23	Q.	Where are you employed?
24	Α.	I'm a congressman in Senegal, member of the Parliament.
25	Q.	And is that an elected position?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 218 of 239 247 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct 247
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. When were you elected?
3	A. July 2017.
4	Q. Have you ever held any other positions with the government
5	of Senegal?
6	A. Yes. I was Foreign Minister of Senegal for almost ten
7	years.
8	Q. And what is the equivalent position in the United States to
9	Foreign Minister of Senegal?
10	A. Secretary of state, like Condoleezza Rice or Colin Powell,
11	or Mrs. Clinton.
12	Q. And can you just briefly describe the role and
13	responsibilities of the Foreign Minister of Senegal.
14	A. Our Constitution says that the president of the country
15	defines external policy, and then he asked me to organize his
16	diplomacy and to represent the country, to speak on behalf of
17	Senegal, and to work also for cooperation between Senegal and
18	the rest of the world.
19	Q. You said cooperation, is that right?
20	A. Cooperation; economic cooperation, cultural cooperation.
21	Q. How long did you serve as Foreign Minister of Senegal?
22	A. Nine years and a half exactly.
23	Q. When did you step down from that role?
24	A. October 1, 2009.
25	Q. And Dr. Gadio, what did you do for work after serving as

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 219 of 239 248 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct 248
1	Foreign Minister?
2	A. I went to the private sector and set up a consulting firm.
3	Q. What was the name of that firm?
4	A. Sarata.
5	Q. Could you just spell that for the record.
6	A. S as in Sam, A-R as in Rogers, A-T as in tongue, A.
7	Q. What was your title at Sarata?
8	A. I was the CEO of Sarata.
9	Q. What sort of business did Sarata do?
10	A. We were trying to connect Africa to the rest of the world
11	in terms of access to the market of the rest of the world and
12	getting our fair share of international trade and business.
13	Q. All right. And at the time that you were working as the
14	CEO of Sarata, were you still in the public sector with the
15	government or were you in the private sector?
16	A. Completely in the private sector.
17	Q. Dr. Gadio, where are you residing today?
18	A. I'm in Maryland, in the state of Maryland, in the US.
19	Q. Okay. And why are you residing in Maryland?
20	A. I was arrested on November 2017 and since then I'm I'm
21	living in Maryland.
22	Q. What law enforcement agency arrested you in November of
23	2017?
24	A. The FBI.
25	Q. Now after you were arrested did you agree to participate in

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 220 of 239 249 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	an interview with the FBI?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. And was that interview recorded, to your knowledge?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Have you ever seen that recording?
6	A. No.
7	Q. And have you ever reviewed a transcript of that recording?
8	A. No.
9	Q. What crimes were you charged with at that time?
10	A. Foreign bribery and under the FCPA, and also money
11	laundering and conspiracy.
12	Q. And with respect to the foreign bribery charges, what
13	country was the bribery alleged to have occurred in?
14	A. Central African state called Chad.
15	Q. Who else, if anyone, was charged along with you?
16	A. Dr. Patrick Ho.
17	Q. And do you know Patrick Ho?
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. Could you please take a look around the courtroom and let
20	me know if you see Patrick Ho.
21	A. I see him. He's wearing a purple yes, a purple dress.
22	MR. ZOLKIND: Okay. And your Honor, we'd ask that the
23	record reflect that the witness has identified the defendant.
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MR. KIM: So stipulated.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 221 of 239 250 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	THE COURT: Thank you, gentlemen.
2	MR. ZOLKIND: Thank you.
3	BY MR. ZOLKIND:
4	Q. Briefly, Dr. Gadio, how do you know the defendant?
5	A. We were introduced by a common friend in September 2014 at
6	the United Nations, here in New York.
7	Q. And did you meet with the defendant here in New York at
8	that time?
9	A. Yes, we did.
10	Q. And can you describe the nature of the discussion you had
11	with him at that time.
12	A. Yeah. We had a discussion and he introduced me he
13	presented me his company, CEFC, Chinese oil company, and then
14	he told me they were interested particularly in the country
15	called Chad in Africa and that he wanted to meet the president
16	of Chad.
17	Q. Okay. So let me just break that down.
18	Again, the entity that he told you he was acting on
19	behalf of, what was it called again?
20	A. C CEFC, Chinese Energy Fund Company.
21	Q. And did he tell you what type of company CEFC was?
22	A. Oil; oil, mainly mainly oil company, but they were doing
23	other other things.
24	Q. And did he tell you where the CEFC oil company was based?
25	A. Shanghai; Shanghai in China.

I	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 222 of 239 251 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	
1	Q. All right. And you said that he asked for a connection to
2	the president of Chad, is that right?
3	A. Yes, he wanted to be introduced to the president of Chad,
4	yeah.
5	Q. And did he explain if you could just tell us in general
6	terms what he told you the CEFC oil company's interest in Chad
7	was.
8	A. Yes. He told me that they were mainly, of course,
9	interested in Chad's oil, number one, but their company were
10	also doing infrastructure, banking services, and military
11	equipment.
12	Q. I'll ask you more about that in a little bit.
13	But let me just ask you: Did you in fact assist the
14	defendant and CEFC in getting access to the president of Chad?
15	A. Yes, I did.
16	Q. Dr. Gadio, what's the status of the criminal charges that
17	were brought against you?
18	A. They were dropped.
19	Q. Who were they dropped by?
20	A. The government.
21	Q. Were the charges against you dismissed pursuant to any sort
22	of agreement?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And who is that agreement between?
25	A. It's called NPA, nonprosecution agreement between the

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 223 of 239 252 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	government and myself.
2	Q. And are you testifying today pursuant to that
3	nonprosecution agreement?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. I'd like to show you now what's been marked for
6	identification as Government Exhibit 2701.
7	MR. ZOLKIND: Ms. Rao, if we could bring that up on
8	the witness' screen.
9	Q. Do you recognize the document that's in front of you there?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. What is it?
12	A. That's the NPA.
13	MR. ZOLKIND: Okay. And Ms. Rao, if we could go to
14	the last page.
15	Q. Did you sign this document?
16	A. Yes, I did.
17	MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, the government offers
18	Government Exhibit 2701.
19	MR. KIM: No objection.
20	THE COURT: Received.
21	(Government's Exhibit 2701 received in evidence)
22	MR. ZOLKIND: We can take that down.
23	BY MR. ZOLKIND:
24	Q. Dr. Gadio, what's your understanding of your main
25	obligation under that agreement?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 224 of 239 253 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	A. To tell the truth.
2	Q. And what's your understanding of what happens if you do not
3	tell the truth?
4	A. I can be prosecuted again for perjury and for the initial
5	charges.
6	Q. And when you say the initial charges, do you mean that you
7	could be prosecuted for the charges that were initially brought
8	against you?
9	A. Exactly.
10	Q. All right. Could you also be prosecuted for lying under
11	oath?
12	A. Exactly.
13	Q. Dr. Gadio, if you do tell the truth and fulfill the other
14	obligations in your agreement, what's your understanding of the
15	government's obligation?
16	A. The government can no longer go back to the initial charges
17	and prosecute me.
18	Q. As you understand it, Dr. Gadio, what specific actions has
19	the government agreed not to prosecute you for as long as you
20	fulfill your obligations?
21	A. In December 2014, I was in Chad with the delegation of CEFC
22	and the president of Chad, and gift gift box were given to
23	the president of Chad, were delivered to him. I learned
24	afterwards that they contained \$2 million in cash. And and
25	I did not walk away.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 225 of 239 254 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct 1 Q. And when you say you didn't walk away, what do you mean by 2 that? 3 A. I knew it was wrong and I continued to help CEFC to pursue its interests in Chad. 4 Q. And Dr. Gadio, where were you when those gift boxes were 5 presented to the president of Chad? 6 7 A. We were -- all of us were in the mansion of the president 8 of Chad, in his meeting room. 9 Q. And what was the topic of the meeting that you were all 10 there for? 11 Α. To discuss oil interests of CEFC and other interests in 12 Chad. 13 Who presented those gift boxes to the president? 0. Α. The CEFC delegation. 14 15 Q. And who were the members of that CEFC delegation? 16 A. Dr. Patrick Ho, Mr. Zhang, Dr. Lo, the translator, and 17 perhaps other -- some other people, but that was the main 18 members of the delegation. 19 Q. All right. And I'll ask you more about who those people 20 are in a little bit. 21 Let me first ask you: With respect to the defendant,

22 what was your understanding of the role that he was playing in 23 that CEFC delegation in Chad?

A. He and me, we were the main facilitators of therelationship between Chad and CEFC.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 226 of 239 255 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	Q. And who were the gift boxes presented to?
2	A. To the president of Chad.
3	Q. Did you know that there was cash in those boxes before they
4	were presented to the president?
5	A. Not at all.
6	Q. When you learned about \$2 million in those gift boxes, what
7	was your reaction?
8	A. I was surprised and I was shocked, too.
9	Q. Why were you shocked?
10	A. Because in any way I can think of, \$2 million in cash and
11	in gift box, I can only think of bribery attempts.
12	Q. Dr. Gadio, why, after learning about what you just
13	described, as you understood it, a bribery attempt, why did you
14	continue to assist the defendant and CEFC after that point?
15	A. We worked so hard, my company, to reach that point, where
16	we established the relationship between CEFC and the president
17	of Chad, that I really wanted to be compensated for all the
18	hard work that we have put in that process; and secondly, Chad
19	is a very symbolic country for us because it that country
20	fought really hard fight to defeat Al Qaeda, to defeat ISIS in
21	the Sahara region, and to kind of protect all our countries,
22	and to also defeat Boko Haram. And so the president of Chad
23	got himself in trouble. His economy was down, no resources.
24	And I felt it was important to continue the process with CEFC
25	and to conclude the contract that we were seeking.

 Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP
 Document 204
 Filed 12/13/18
 Page 227 of 239
 256

 Ibr1ho6
 Gadio - Direct
 256

1	Q. All right. So just make sure that that's clear. So with
2	respect to the reasons why you continued working on this deal,
3	one of them was because you thought that Chad was an important
4	country for the development of Africa, is that right?
5	A. Exactly.
6	Q. What was the other reason?
7	A. To get compensated for the work we put to get to that level
8	of relationship between CEFC and Chad.
9	Q. And at some point did the defendant pay you for the work
10	that you did?
11	A. Later, yes. \$400,000, yes.
12	Q. When you say you were paid \$400,000, what currency was
13	that?
14	A. In US dollars.
15	Q. All right. Let me move into some additional background
16	questions.
17	Dr. Gadio, where were you born?
18	A. I was born in Senegal, Saint-Louis.
19	Q. And how long did you live in Senegal?
20	A. Until age 20, and then I went to France and finished my
21	school, went back to Senegal, and taught in Dakar University
22	and then got a PhD in that program and came back to the US.
23	Q. That's when you attended Ohio State?
24	A. From 1988 through, yeah, 1994.
25	Q. Did you attend school in France as well?

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 228 of 239 257 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	A. Yes, at Sorbonne in France.
2	Q. What's your native language?
3	A. Fulani.
4	Q. And are you fluent in any other languages?
5	A. Of course. Fulani, my native language; and the dominant
6	language of Senegal is called Wolof, so I'm fluent in Wolof;
7	and then I studied France French for 55 years or more, so
8	very fluent I believe in French; and I'm trying English.
9	THE COURT: So far, so good.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	Q. Again, what year was it that you became Foreign Minister of
12	Senegal?
13	A. In 2000.
14	Q. And you said you were in that position for about nine and a
15	half years, is that right?
16	A. Exactly, from April 2000 to October 2009.
17	Q. And you mentioned starting Sarata, the firm, after that?
18	A. Exactly, yeah.
19	Q. Okay. Now Sarata, was that a for-profit or not-for-profit
20	organization?
21	A. It was a consulting firm for profit, yes.
22	Q. And who else, if anyone, worked with you at Sarata?
23	A. My son. Before my son came in, actually, a friend of mine
24	who was ambassador also, diplomat, joined me, and we formed
25	Sarata together.

	Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 229 of 239 258 Ibr1ho6 Gadio - Direct
1	Q. And what's your son's name?
2	A. My son is Boubker. His name is Boubker.
3	MR. ZOLKIND: If we could bring up for identification
4	what's been marked as Government Exhibit 821.
5	Q. Do you recognize Government Exhibit 821?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And what do you recognize it as?
8	A. That's the website of Sarata International. Sarata
9	Holding.
10	Q. Is that a fair and accurate reflection of what the website
11	looked like back in the fall of 2014?
12	A. Yes, exactly.
13	MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, the government offers
14	Government Exhibit 821.
15	MR. KIM: No objection.
16	THE COURT: Received.
17	(Government's Exhibit 821 received in evidence)
18	MR. ZOLKIND: And Ms. Rao, if we could publish that to
19	the jury.
20	BY MR. ZOLKIND:
21	Q. So it says here, "Sarata Holding - Connecting the world to
22	Africa." Could you just explain again what exactly was the
23	business mission of your consulting company.
24	A. Because of my diplomatic experience for almost ten years, I
25	felt that Africa did not get its fair share of international

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 230 of 239 259 Ibr1ho6

	Ibr1ho6
1	business and trade and it was high time for me to use my
2	knowledge to help African businesspeople connect with the rest
3	of the world and to help also the rest of the world partners,
4	business partners to come into Africa and to invest in the
5	country.
6	MR. ZOLKIND: All right. We can take that down.
7	Q. After stepping down as Foreign Minister of Senegal, did you
8	get involved in any not-for-profit organizations?
9	A. In I'm sorry? In?
10	Q. After stepping down as Foreign Minister
11	A. Yes.
12	Q in addition to forming Sarata, the consulting company,
13	did you get involved in any not-for-profit organizations?
14	A. Yes. I created a political party.
15	Q. What's the name of that party?
16	A. Mouvement politique citoyen; that's Citizens Movement for
17	like Pan-Africanism, to unite African countries.
18	Q. Any other organizations?
19	A. Africa I created also, in 2012 actually, two years
20	later, I created IPS, which is an Institute for Peace and
21	Security in Africa, and it was in 2012. It's a nonprofit
22	organization. We call it institute.
23	MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, I'm about to turn to another
24	topic. I'm happy to do that. I just wanted to check with the
25	Court on the time.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 231 of 239 260 Ibr1ho6

THE COURT: Whatever you want. If you want to go till 1 2 5, that's fine. How long is your next topic? 3 MR. ZOLKIND: It's going to be a lengthy topic. This 4 is a logical breaking point, if that makes sense. 5 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we break for the 6 evening. 7 Ladies and gentlemen, would you comply with the normal rules. Please remember not to discuss the case among 8 9 yourselves or with anyone else. Don't do any research on the 10 case. 11 Please leave your notebooks in the jury room. 12 Let's all try to be here on time ready to start at 13 10:00 tomorrow. The more time we get in during the day, the 14 shorter the trial will be. So you know how long it takes you 15 to get in through security, so come right in, sit down. Your 16 coffee's been ordered for 9:15. 17 Have a pleasant evening, ladies and gentlemen. Thank 18 you for your attention today. 19 (Continued on next page) 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 232 of 239 261 Tbr1ho6 1 (Jury not present) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, if you'd remain in 2 3 the courtroom until the jurors are down in the elevator, I'd 4 appreciate it. Thank you. 5 You may step down, sir. I'll just ask you to stay in the courtroom for a few minutes. 6 7 Counsel, do you have anything to put on the record? MR. ROSENBERG: Nothing from the defense. 8 9 MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, I think everything that we 10 want to raise, we can raise tomorrow. So yes, I don't think 11 there's anything. 12 THE COURT: I only know of one item. 13 MR. ZOLKIND: Yeah, I was going to raise -- I was 14 going to let your Honor know what issues we do want to raise 15 tomorrow. So there's the --16 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, won't you be seated 17 for a minute. 18 Sir. MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, I think in addition to --19 20 THE COURT: The witness is still here. Do you want 21 the witness taken out? 22 Can you put the witness in the witness room for a 23 minute. 24 Gentlemen. 25 MR. ZOLKIND: Yes, your Honor. In addition to the

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 233 of 239 262 Ibr1ho6

issues that were raised in the letter, which we'll respond to, there's one other issue that we think makes sense to take up tomorrow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

The government has prepared a summary chart reflecting essentially the dates that the defendant traveled to and from the United States and reflecting emails and text messages that he sent relevant to this case while he was in the United States. We've had a lot of productive discussions with the defense about that summary chart. We understand they are prepared to stipulate to the accuracy of it. They have certain objections to the relevance of different aspects of the chart. And so we were hoping that the Court will rule as to the relevance of those disputed portions, and then I think the rest of the chart will come in through a stipulation.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you give me a heads up as to what the issue is on the relevance of certain entries.

Why don't you let the defense address it.

MR. ZOLKIND: Oh, certainly.

MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, the chart shows the visits 19 to the United States by Dr. Ho. It shows visits where he stayed. It also shows visits to the UN. They're at the same time. And it shows emails. But there are certain rows and 23 times Dr. Ho came to the United States where there are no 24 emails and no visits to the United Nations. Our position is that those are not relevant to anything in the case. The dates

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 234 of 239 263 Ibr1ho6

don't correspond to anything, according to the chart itself, that happened in the United States related to the case.

THE COURT: Thank you. So it was in fact a visit. MR. ROSENBERG: Correct, your Honor. We don't dispute it was a visit. We just think it doesn't need to be -- it ought not to be presented to the jury. The rest of the chart is fine.

MR. ZOLKIND: To be clear, your Honor, the chart does not say -- it's not titled, you know, "Relevant Visits" or anything. It just is a reflection of the defendant's trips to the United States. We think it is absolutely relevant that the jury have a picture of, during the period of the charged conspiracy, how frequently he was in the United States.

For example, Mr. Riccardi-Zhu was asked about how frequently he came to the United States. He gave an answer. The chart will corroborate that answer. We don't necessarily know all the evidence that's going to come in either through witnesses the defense may call or cross-examination, and so --

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record)

MR. ZOLKIND: -- a visit that, just because there's no email or text message reflected on the chart, does not mean it's not relevant. There could be other testimony that makes it relevant. At a minimum, as I said, it corroborates what witnesses like Mr. Riccardi-Zhu testified to. There's

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 235 of 239 264 Ibr1ho6

certainly no prejudice in the jury seeing how frequently he 1 came to and from the United States. In fact, the defense had 2 3 stipulated to the admission of his passport, which reflects the 4 same exact thing. It's just that it would require more work to 5 go through the passport. So we think it's totally 6 unobjectionable evidence, plainly relevant. 7 THE COURT: All right. Let me see the chart in the morning, and then if there's anything else you want to add to 8 9 it, I'll be happy to listen. 10 MR. ZOLKIND: Thank you, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Anything else, gents? MR. RICHENTHAL: Small administrative matter. 12 13 The parties agree that with respect to certain 14 stipulations -- and in particular, the website one that 15 Ms. Ghosh put into evidence -- to the extent that it refers to exhibits that themselves are not ultimately admitted, the 16 17 parties are going to remove them or redact it so the copy of 18 the stipulation the jury ultimately gets won't lead them to 19 speculate about certain things. 20 I just want your Honor to know the parties have come 21 to that agreement. 22 THE COURT: All right. As long as you people are on 23 top of it, it's fine.

24 MR. RICHENTHAL: That's it from the government, your 25 Honor.

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 236 of 239 265

Ibr1ho6 THE COURT: Okay. Off the record. (Discussion off the record) THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Good evening. (Adjourned to November 28, 2018, at 9:30 a.m.)

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 237 of 239

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	VUK JEREMIC
4	Direct By Mr. Richenthal
5	Cross By Mr. Kim
6	Redirect By Mr. Richenthal
7	DAVID WEN RICCARDI-ZHU
8	Direct By Ms. Ghosh
9	Cross By Mr. Rosenberg
10	Redirect By Ms. Ghosh
11	CHEIKH TIDIANE GADIO
12	Direct By Mr. Zolkind
13	
13 14	
	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
14	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS Exhibit No. Received
14 15	
14 15 16	Exhibit No. Received
14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit No. Received 1516
14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit No. Received 1516
14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit No. Received 1516
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit No. Received 1516
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit No. Received 1516
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit No. Received 1516
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	Exhibit No. Received 1516

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

266

1	1509 and 1509A
2	2730R
3	2713R
4	2703
5	2704 and 2705
6	2716R
7	2732R
8	413R, 2735R, 2736R, and 2738R 105
9	2739R
10	2741R
11	200R
12	1502, 1502A, 1502B
13	2714R
14	254
15	2710R
16	2706
17	2707, 2708, 2709
18	2742R
19	1506B
20	S4
21	2201 through 2204
22	412
23	S11
24	807
25	s8
	I

Case 1:17-cr-00779-LAP Document 204 Filed 12/13/18 Page 239 of 239 268

1	907-TX	 	226
2	2701	 	252
3	821	 	258
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			