

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
JERONIMO MARADIAGA, COSME DEL
ROSARIO-BELL, RANDOLPH CARR, and
KEVIN PARK,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, a municipal entity; New York
City Department Police Officer (“P.O.”) KEVIN COX
(Shield No. 3270), Sergeant (“Sgt.”) Sgt. RAY THORNEY
(Shield No. 3814), Sergeant (“Sgt.”) DAWUD DANIEL-BEY
(Shield No. 2122), Police Officer (“P.O.”) THOMAS LOWE
(Shield No. 31931), Police Officer (“P.O.”) DENIS CEKIC
(Shield No. 11429), Police Officer (“P.O.”) DANIEL CRUZ
(Shield No. 22799), Police Officer (“P.O.”) JACK DENG
(Shield No. 8379), Police Officer (“P.O.”) MICHAEL
DUDINE, Police Officer (“P.O.”) JENNIFER GOMEZ, and
P.O. John Doe (the names John Doe being fictitious, as the
true names and shield numbers are not presently known), in
their individual capacities,

Defendants.
----- X

**SECOND AMENDED
COMPLAINT AND
DEMAND FOR A
JURY TRIAL**

16-CV – 8325 (GBD)

Plaintiffs Jeronimo Maradiaga, Cosme Del Rosario-Bell, Randolph Carr and Kevin Park,
through their attorney David B. Rankin do hereby state and allege:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is a civil rights action brought to vindicate plaintiff’s rights under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, through the Civil Rights Act of 1871, *as amended*, codified as 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
2. Plaintiffs Jeronimo Maradiaga’s, Cosme Del Rosario-Bell’s, Randolph Carr’s, and Kevin Park’s rights were violated when officers of the New York City Police Department (“NYPD”) unconstitutionally and without any legal basis arrested them. By reason of defendants’ actions,

including their unreasonable and unlawful seizure of Plaintiffs' persons, they were deprived of their constitutional rights.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(a)(3-4). This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988 violations of the Constitution of the United States.
4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b)(2) in that plaintiffs' claim arose in the Southern District of New York.
5. An award of costs and attorney's fees is authorized pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Jeronimo Maradiaga ("Maradiaga") was at all times relevant to this action a resident of Bronx County in the State of New York.
7. Plaintiff Cosme Del Rosario-Bell ("Del Rosario-Bell") was at all times relevant to this action a resident of New York County in the State of New York.
8. Plaintiff Randolph Carr ("Carr") was at all times relevant to this action a resident of New York County in the State of New York.
9. Plaintiff Kevin Park ("Park") was at all times relevant to this action a resident of Queens County in State of New York.
10. Hereinafter Maradiaga, Del Rosario Bell, Carr and Park will be referred to as the ("Individual Plaintiffs").
11. Defendant The City of New York ("City") is a municipal entity created and authorized under the laws of the State of New York. It is authorized by law to maintain a police department, which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement and for which it is ultimately responsible. Defendant

City assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance of a police force and the employment of police officers as said risks attach to the public consumers of the services provided by the NYPD.

12. NYPD Officer (“P.O.”) Kevin Cox (Shield No. 3270)(“Cox”), Sergeant (“Sgt.”) Ray Thorney (Shield No. 3814)(“Thorney”), Sergeant (“Sgt.”) Dawud Daniel-Bey (Shield No. 2122)(“Daniel-Bey”), Officer (“P.O.”) Thomas Lowe (Shield No. 31931), Officer (“P.O.”) Denis Cekic (Shield No. 11429)(“Cekic”), Officer (“P.O.”) Daniel Cruz (Shield No. 22799)(“Cruz”), Officer (“P.O.”) Jack Deng (Shield No. 8379)(“Deng”), Officer (“P.O.”) Michael Dudine (“Dudine”), Officer (“P.O.”) Jennifer Gomez (“Gomez”), and P.O. John Doe are and were at all times relevant herein, officers, employees, and agents of the NYPD and will hereinafter be referred as (“Individuals Defendants”).

13. The individual defendants are being sued herein in their individual capacities.

14. At all times relevant herein, the individual defendants were acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as agents, servants, employees, and officers of the NYPD and otherwise performed and engaged in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties. They were acting for and on behalf of the NYPD at all times relevant herein, with the power and authority vested in them as officers, agents, and employees of the NYPD and incidental to the lawful pursuit of their duties as officers, employees and agents of the NYPD.

15. The true name and shield number of defendant P.O. John Doe is not currently known to the plaintiff.¹ However, P.O. John Doe was a employee or agent of the NYPD on the date of incident. Accordingly, P.O. John Doe is entitled to representation in this action by the New York City Law Department (“Law Department”) upon request, pursuant to New York State General Municipal §

¹ By identifying said defendant as “John Doe,” plaintiff is making no representations as to the gender of said defendant.

50-k. The Law Department, then, is hereby put on notice (a) that plaintiffs intend to name said officer as a defendant in an amended pleading once the true names and shield numbers of said defendant becomes known and (b) that the Law Department should immediately begin preparing their defense in this action.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

16. Individuals plaintiffs were falsely arrested by individual defendants, at approximately 9:05 p.m., on the north sidewalk of the 145th Street Bridge near the intersection with Lenox Avenue, in New York County in the State of New York.
17. Individual plaintiffs were standing on the pedestrian north sidewalk of the 145th Street Bridge near the intersection with Lenox Avenue at approximately 9:00 p.m. on February 22, 2014.
18. Individual plaintiffs, as a part of participation in a copwatch patrol, were observing and video recording a traffic checkpoint set up by the individual defendants and other NYPD officers.
19. At all times, individual plaintiffs remained on the public sidewalk and did not interfere physically, verbally, or otherwise with the individual defendants or other officers conducting the traffic stop.
20. Individual plaintiffs were approached by P.O. Cox and Sgt. Ray Thorney and told they had to step away from the traffic checkpoint.
21. Prior to and during individual plaintiff's interaction with the individual defendants, the area remained open to pedestrian traffic, with numerous individuals walking through and standing near the same area from which the Plaintiffs were instructed step back.
22. After taking a step back from the officers and the checkpoint, the individual plaintiffs asserted that they were engaging their constitutionally protected right to record police activity.

23. Individual plaintiffs were then handcuffed, upon information and belief, in retaliation to their First Amendment protected right to inform civilians of their rights and their documentation of the individual defendant's activities.
24. The individual defendants who did not arrest the plaintiffs, failed to intervene in the obviously unconstitutional conduct of their colleagues.
25. Mr. Maradiaga and Mr. Del Rosaria-Bell were transported to the 32nd Precinct stationhouse and then transferred to the 28th Precinct stationhouse before being taken to Central Booking.
26. Mr. Maradiaga and Mr. Del Rosaria-Bell spent less than 24 hours in the defendant's custody as a result of their arrest.
27. Mr. Maradiaga and Mr. Del-Rosaria-Bell were charged with N.Y. Pen. L. § 195.05 - Obstructing Governmental Administration in the Second Degree.
28. The charges were adjourned in contemplation of dismissal on February 23, 2014.
29. Mr. Carr and Mr. Park were issued summons after they were taken to a precinct for violating N.Y. Pen. L. § 240.20 (6) – Disorderly Conduct – Failure to Comply with a Lawful Order.
30. The summonses required Mr. Carr and Mr. Park to attend court on a weekend, when the courts are not operating.
31. All of above charges were based upon the false statements of defendant Cox and were submitted to a prosecutor for a purpose unrelated to the just application of the law.
32. On information and belief, the summonses were never submitted to the Office of Court Administration for prosecution.

///

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS
UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THROUGH 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(Against all Defendants)**

33. Plaintiffs incorporate, by reference, the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
34. Defendants, under color of state law, subjected the plaintiffs to the foregoing acts and omissions, thereby depriving plaintiff of their rights, privileges and immunities secured by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, including, without limitation, deprivation of the following constitutional rights: (a) freedom from unreasonable seizure of their persons; (b) freedom from arrest without probable cause and freedom from false imprisonment; (c) right to fair trial, due process under the law, and freedom from the fabrication of evidence or lodging of false charges against him by police officers; (d) freedom from retaliatory arrest and prosecution, under the First and Fourteenth Amendments, (e) freedom from interference with activity protected by the First Amendment and prior restraint of the same; (f) freedom from abuse of process; (g) freedom to the enjoyment of equal protection, privileges, and immunities under the laws and; (h) failure to intervene to prevent the above referenced conduct.
35. Defendants' deprivation of plaintiffs' constitutional rights resulted in the injuries and damages set forth above.

**SECOND CLAIM
MONELL CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT CITY—42 U.S.C. § 1983
(Against the City of New York)**

36. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
37. P.O. Cox's, Sgt. Thorney's, Sgt. Daniel-Bey's, P.O. Thomas Lowe's, P.O. Denis Cekic's, P.O. Cruz's, P.O. Deng's, P.O. Dudine's, P.O. Gomez's, and P.O. John Doe's acts and omissions

described above were carried out pursuant to the City's overlapping customs and practices which were in existence on February 22, 2014 and were engaged in with the full knowledge, consent, and cooperation and under the supervisory authority of the City, its agency, the NYPD.

38. The acts complained of were carried out by the P.O. Cox's, Sgt. Thorney's, Sgt. Daniel-Bey's, P.O.M. Thomas Lowe's, P.O.M. Denis Cekic's, P.O.M. Cruz's, P.O.M. Deng's, P.O.M. Dudine's, P.O.F. Gomez's, and P.O. John Doe's in their capacities as police officials pursuant to customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City and the NYPD, all under the supervision of ranking officers of the NYPD.
39. The aforementioned custom and practice of the City and the NYPD include, but are not limited to,
- a. Making retaliatory arrest against persons who lawfully photograph, document, or record police activity; and
 - b. Arresting persons known to be innocent particularly where such persons are engaged in activity protected by the First Amendment and/or the consent decree *Black v. Codd*, attached as Exhibit 1.
40. The existence of aforesaid unconstitutional customs and polices may inferred from repeated occurrences of similar wrongful conduct, as documented in following incidents and civil rights actions filed against the City:

Journalist and Photographers

- a. **May 30, 2007/ Robert Carneval / Carneval v. City of New York, 08 CV 9993 (DAB)(AJP) (S.D.N.Y.)**

A Manhattan photographer, Robert Carneval, was arrested after filming NYPD officers in the East Village as they seized and loaded bikes, which had been locked to lampposts and parking meters, into a police van. After the photographer began filming and discussing the removals with another man, a plainclothes officer asked him for identification. When the photographer stated he had the right to film, the officer led him to a police car, examined his ID, then arrested him. Colin Moynihan, *City Settles with Two Arrested After Police Confrontation*, The New York Times (March 31, 2010), <http://cityroom.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/03/31/city-settles-with-pair-arrested-after-police-confrontation/>

b. November 2011 / Patrick Hedlund, Paul Lomax, Matthew Lysiak, Karen Matthews, Julie Walker, and Seth Wenig

In November of 2011, at least six journalists were arrested while covering the raid and eviction of Occupy Wall Street protesters from Zuccotti Park. Thirteen news organizations in New York City lodged complaints about the treatment of journalists and the suppression of coverage of the event, and ten press clubs, unions, and other groups called for an investigation into the police conduct at issue. CBS New York / AP, *Several Journalists Among Those Arrested During Zuccotti Park Raid*, CBS New York (November 15, 2011), <http://newyork.cbslocal.com/2011/11/15/officials-journalists-among-those-arrested-during-zuccotti-park-raid/>; Brian Stelter, *News Organizations Complain About Treatment During Protests*, The New York Times: Media Decoder (November 21, 2011), <http://mediadecoder.blogs.nytimes.com/2011/11/21/news-organizations-complain-about-treatment-during-protests/>

c. November 15, 2011 / Timothy Fitzgerald / Rodriguez v. Winski, 12 CV 3389 (NRB) (S.D.N.Y.)

On or about November 15, 2011, in Zuccotti Park, a publicly accessible open space, several police officers grabbed Timothy Fitzgerald, a citizen reporter who was documenting protest activities and police response to the same, pulled him to the ground and arrested him.

d. November 15, 2011 / Douglas Higginbotham / Higginbotham v. The City of New York, 14 CV 8549 (PKC) (S.D.N.Y.)

On November 15, 2015, Mr. Higginbotham, a freelance video-journalist for TV New Zealand was arrested in retaliation for filming police activity associated with Occupy Wall Street.

e. March 2012 / Unknown

In March of 2012, a cameraman from WABC-TV was struck by an officer at a crime scene for unknown reasons. Carlos Miller, *NYPD Cop Assaults News Videographer At Crime Scene*, Photography Is Not a Crime (March 22, 2012), <http://photographyisnotacrime.com/2012/03/22/nypd-cop-assaults-news-videographer-at-crime-scene/>

f. August 4, 2012 / Robert Stolarik

Photographer Robert Stolarik, who worked regularly for the New York Times for more than a decade, was charged with obstructing government administration and resisting arrest after taking photographs of a brewing street fight at McClellan Street and Sheridan Avenue in the Bronx. Mr. Stolarik was taking photographs of the arrest of a teenage girl at about 10:30 p.m., when a police officer instructed him to stop doing so. Mr. Stolarik said he identified himself as a journalist for The New York Times and continued taking pictures. A second officer appeared, grabbed his camera and “slammed” it into his face, he said. The arresting officer was later indicted for falsifying statements surrounding Mr. Stolarik’s arrest. Russ Buettner, *Officer Is Indicted on Charges of Lying About*

Photographer's Arrest, The New York Times (Aug. 26, 2013),
<http://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/27/nyregion/officer-is-indicted-on-charges-of-lying-about-photographers-arrest.html>.

- g. September 15-17, 2012 / John Knefel, Charles Meacham, and Julia Reinhart**
Five photojournalists reporting on Occupy Wall Street protesters were arrested while reporting. One photographer was arrested after attempting to take a picture of an officer giving a dispersal order on a sidewalk. Another was forced to the ground and detained, while another was shoved and blocked from taking a photo by a Lieutenant in the NYPD's Legal Bureau. Christopher Robbins, *NYPD's pattern of harassing, arresting journalists continues*, Gothamist (Sep. 19, 2012),
http://gothamist.com/2012/09/19/nypds_harassment_of_journalists_con.php
- h. September 17, 2012 / Christopher Faraone / Faraone v. City of New York et al., 13 CV 09074 (TPG) (S.D.N.Y.)**
Christopher Faraone, a journalist, was photographing a public demonstration at One Chase Manhattan Plaza when NYPD officers tackled, battered, and arrested him. One of the officers directed him to cease his reporting activities within New York City.
- i. December 12, 2011 & March 15, 2012 / John Knefel, Paul Sullivan, and Justin Wedes / Rodriguez v. Winski, 12 CV 3389 (NRB) (S.D.N.Y.)**
On December 12, 2011, Paul Sullivan, John Knefel and Justin Wedes, citizen reporters who were documenting protest activities and police response to the same at the Winter Garden, a publicly accessible open place, were grabbed, pulled to the ground, and arrested without warning or probably cause by the NYPD. On March 15, 2012, Mr. Wedes was again threatened with arrest for the same legal activity.
- j. November 27, 2012 / Angel Zayas / Zayas v. Kelly, 13 CV 08808 (JMF) (S.D.N.Y.)**
On November 27, 2012, a freelance photographer, Angel Zayas, was arrested for photographing officers effect an unrelated arrest in Grand Central Terminal. Daniel Beekman, *Photographer trying to shoot stop and frisk sues NYC over police harassment*, New York Daily News (Dec. 13, 2013),
<http://www.nydailynews.com/news/justice-story/photog-shooting-frisking-sues-nyc-harassment-article-1.1546548>
- k. January 16, 2013 / Shimon Gifter**
A photographer from VIN News, a media outlet for the Orthodox Jewish community, had his camera seized and thrown to the ground, his memory card erased, and his Blackberry damaged after photographing officers stopping and frisking several young men. The photographer was also handcuffed and held up against a wall before being released with a warning. Boruch Shubert, *Police Brutality in Midwood?*, The Jewish Voice (Jan. 24, 2013),
http://jewishvoiceny.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=2978:police-brutality-in-midwood&catid=106:international&Itemid=289; Sandy Eller, *Charedi Photographer Claims Handcuffed By NYPD After Videotaping Flatbush Police Stop*, Voz

Iz Neias? (Jan. 20, 2013), <http://www.vosizneias.com/122118/2013/01/20/brooklyn-ny-charedi-photographer-claims-handcuffed-by-nypd-after-videotaping-flatbush-police-stop/>

l. April 19, 2013 / Justin Thomas / Thomas v. City of New York, 13 CV 6139 (SJ)(LB)(E.D.N.Y.)

A film student was arrested filming the exterior of the 72nd Precinct on April 19, 2013. Officers confiscated the student's cell phone, camera, and the camera's memory card, as well as his friend's memory card from a camera. Officers failed to remove the correct memory card from the friend's camera, however, leaving evidence of the encounter.

m. March 16, 2013 / Ed García Conde

Mr. Conde, a journalist who writes for the Welcome 2 Melrose blog, recorded an interaction between an NYPD officer and a man who the officer believed was violating the open container law. The officer asked Mr. Conde to stop recording, and when he refused, he was given two summonses, one for open container and the other for attempting to create a dangerous situation. Ben Yakas, *Video: Bronx Man Hauled to Jail for Exercising Right to Videotape Cops*, Gothamist (Mar. 16, 2013), http://gothamist.com/2013/03/16/video_bronx_man_hauled_to_jail_for.php

Concerned Citizens

n. February 9, 2005 / Lumumba Bandele, David Floyd, and Djibril Toure / Bandele v. The City of New York, 07 CV 3339 (MGC) (S.D.N.Y.)

Lumumba Bandele, Djibril Toure, and David Floyd were arrested while videotaping two arrests in Bedford-Stuyvesant, Brooklyn, on February 9, 2005. "In trying to stop the police from violating the rights of others, they had their rights violated," said Kamau Franklin, a lawyer with the Center for Constitutional Rights. *Metro Briefing: New York; Manhattan: Lawsuit Against the Police*, The New York Times (Apr. 27, 2007), <https://www.nytimes.com/2007/04/27/nyregion/27mbrfs-lawsuit.html>

o. September 29, 2009 / Dennis Flores / Mesa v. City of New York, 09 CV 10464 (JPO) (S.D.N.Y.)

On September 29, 2009, Dennis Flores was arrested when he photographed NYPD officers using excessive force to disperse a crowd at a festival in the Bronx. At one point prior to Flores' arrest, an NYPD officer stated it was "illegal to photograph police."

p. October 17, 2010 / Anibal Ortiz / Ortiz v. City of New York, 11 CV 7919 (JMF) (S.D.N.Y.)

On October 17, 2010, Anibal Ortiz was arrested after he began recording NYPD officers, who asked him for identification, with a cell phone camera.

q. July 11, 2011 / George Hess and Caroline Stern / Stern v. City of New York, 12 CV 04863 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.)

On July 11, 2011, Caroline Stern was arrested and her boyfriend, George Hess, was tackled and arrested after he began video recording an encounter with officers in a subway station.

Kathianne Boniello, *Couple handcuffed, jailed for dancing on subway platform: lawsuit*, The New York Post (July 8, 2012), <http://nypost.com/2012/07/08/couple-handcuffed-jailed-for-dancing-on-subway-platform-lawsuit/>

- r. **January 10, 2012 / Justin Sullivan / Rodriguez v. Winski, 12 CV 3389 (NRB)**
On January 10, 2012, Justin Sullivan was arrested for filming an Occupy Wall Street protest at Grand Central Terminal and his camera was confiscated. Later, Mr. Sullivan returned to the police precinct at the terminal to retrieve his camera and he was re-arrested. A witness reported an officer destroyed Mr. Sullivan's camera.

- s. **April 27, 2012 / John Runnells / Runnells v. City New York, 13 CV 2904 (KBF) (S.D.N.Y.)**
On April 27, 2012, John Runnell's camera was seized and its footage erased after he video recorded a police traffic checkpoint. Mr. Runnells continued recording the officers after his camera was returned, and he was subsequently arrested. Once again, his camera was seized and the footage deleted.

- t. **June 5, 2012 / Hadiyah Charles / Charles v. City of New York, 12 CV 06180 (SLT) (SMG) (E.D.N.Y.)**
On June 5, 2012, a woman was pushed and arrested for using her phone to video record the stop-and-frisk of three unrelated young men on a public sidewalk. Rocco Parascandoola, *Woman suing NYPD for wrongful arrest discovers that a key piece of evidence has mysteriously vanished from the Brooklyn stationhouse*, New York Daily News (Oct. 17, 2013), <http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/brooklyn/brooklyn-precinct-log-book-mystery-article-1.1487721>

- u. **August 2012 / Unknown**
In August of 2012, a man was threatened with arrest for filming outside about 300 feet away from the security checkpoint at One Police Plaza. Carlos Miller, *NYPD Cop Fails at Intimidating Man From Video Recording Police Headquarters*, Photography Is Not a Crime (Aug. 17, 2012), <http://photographyisnotacrime.com/2012/08/17/nypd-cop-fails-at-intimidating-man-from-video-recording-police-headquarters/>

- v. **September 28, 2012 / Santos Bobet / Bobet v. The City of New York, 14 CV 1396 (WHP) (JLC) (S.D.N.Y.)**
On September 28, 2012, at approximately 8:55 p.m., Santos Bobet observed someone being arrested near the Whitlock Avenue 6 Subway Station in the Bronx. After beginning to videotape the arrest, he was arrested by Officer Kelvin Prado, who then along with his partner deleted the video footage.

- w. **May 16, 2013 / Christina Gonzalez and Matthew Swaye**
Two Harlem residents were arrested after they filmed NYPD officers conduct stop-and-frisks at a car checkpoint. Christina Gonzalez and Matthew Swaye said they were returning from a Bronx mall at about 10:30 p.m. when they notice several vehicles

stopped and Gonzalez took out her camera to being filming. Jeff Mays, *'Professional Agitators' on NYPD 'Wanted' Flier Arrested After Filming Stop*, DNA Info (May 21, 2013), <http://www.dnainfo.com/new-york/20130521/central-harlem/professional-agitators-on-nypd-wanted-flier-arrested-after-filming-stop>

x. June 5, 2013 / Rahul Saksena / Seksena v. The City of New York, 14 CV 5129 (JBW) (LB) (E.D.N.Y.)

On June 5, 2014, at approximately 10:00 p.m., Mr. Saksena was arrested in retaliation for filming a civilian police interaction in the Bushwick section of Brooklyn.

y. August 26, 2013 / Jonathan Harris

NYPD officers arrested a Bronx teenager, Jonathan Harris, after he was filming the officers attack and threaten two young girls in a Bronx park. The teenager told the officers to leave the girls alone and began filming them with his phone. The officers began chasing him, tackled him, and punched him before arresting him. Jennifer Cunningham, *Teens say they were beaten by cops in Bronx park*, New York Daily News (Aug. 29, 2013), <http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/bronx/teens-mauled-cops-article-1.1440394#ixzz2eVh68jgw>

z. September 19, 2013 / Sean Basinski / Basinski v. The City of New York, 14 CV 1057 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y.)

On September 10, 2013, a lawyer, Sean Basinski, was arrested while using a phone to record police during a rally in front of Midtown North Precinct police station on West 54th Street. Colin Moynihan, *Street Vendors Protest Treatment by Police*, The New York Times (Oct. 2, 2013), http://www.nytimes.com/2013/10/03/nyregion/street-vendors-protest-treatment-by-police.html?_r=0

aa. 2013 / Debra Goodman / Goodman v. City of New York, 14 CV 03296 (GHW) (S.D.N.Y.)

Officers arrested Ms. Goodman for filming their interaction with a citizen requiring medical attention. After she began, the officer demanded for her to produce identification, and arrested her when she refused. The New York County District Attorney's Office ultimately dismissed all the charges.

bb. March 12, 2014 / Jason Disisto / Disisto v. The City of New York, 15 CV 03296 (GHW) (S.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Disisto was arrested while attempting to film an officer search the bag of an acquaintance. The officers threw the cell phone out of the police vehicle. The entirety of the interaction was captured by a security camera. Natasha Vargas-Cooper, *Video: NYPD Attacks and Arrests Man for Filming a Cop Pawing a Woman*, Jezebel (May 4, 2015), <http://jezebel.com/video-nypd-attacks-and-arrests-man-for-filming-a-cop-p-1701890381>

cc. April 2, 2014 / Wajid Kahlil Al-Qadaffi / Al-Qadaffi v. The City of New York, 15-CV-0052 (PAE) (S.D.N.Y.)

On April 2, 2014, Mr. Al-Qadaffi was detained by members of the NYPD in retaliation for recording police activity.

dd. July 18, 2014 / Ruben An / An v. City of New York, 16 CV 05381 (LGS) (S.D.N.Y)

Mr. An observed three NYPD officers questioning another man and began filming the interaction on his cellphone. An officer advised Mr. An to step back and he complied. The officer threatened Mr. An with a disorderly conduct summons and requested identification. Mr. An did not produce identification and continued filming. The officer then twisted Mr. An's arm, confiscated his cellphone, and arrested him for obstruction of governmental administration and disorderly conduct. A jury trial took place and returned a not-guilty verdict on all counts. Nathan Tempey, *Video: Activist Sues City After Cops Arrest Him for Filming*, Gothamist (July 6, 2016), http://gothamist.com/2016/07/06/filming_nypd_lawsuit.php

ee. September 5, 2014 / Deyan Fang / Fang v. City of New York, 15 CV 04886 (NRB) (S.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Fang was working as a street vendor selling drawings when the police arrived and asked him for identification. He complied and began recording the incident. The NYPD officers arrested him and charged him with resting arrest and a statutory violation for standing within ten feet of a crosswalk. The charges were adjourned in contemplation of dismissal. The plaintiff's phone was held by the District Attorney's Office for two months, and when it was returned, the video of the incident had been deleted.

ff. January 20, 2015 / Joel Delorbe-Bell / Delorbe-Bell v. City of New York, 15 CV 02344 (LGS) (S.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Delorbe-Bell was a passenger in a car that the NYPD pulled over for tinted windows. Mr. Delorbe-Bell began recording when officers ordered him out of the car, grabbed him by the hand in which he had the phone, searched him, and handcuffed him. He was arrested and charged with disorderly conduct, which was subsequently dismissed at the first court appearance.

gg. March 29, 2015 / Daniel Teitell / Teitell v. City of New York, 15 CV 4187 (JG) (JO) (E.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Teitell was arrested after filming officers interrogate a man in a public park at night. The officers explicitly told him that they were arresting him rather than giving a citation because he had decided to film them (the citation also would have been unjustified as the park was open to the public at the time).

hh. July 3, 2015 / Luis Gomez / John v. Demaio, 15 CV 06094 (NGG) (E.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Gomez was at a barbeque when police arrived and began searching people. Luis Gomez began recording. Officers told him to put the phone away and grabbed him, handcuffed him, and charged him with disorderly conduct. The case was adjourned in contemplation of dismissal.

ii. August 10, 2015 / Benjamin Beckford / Beckford v. City of New York, 16 CV 02261 (AKH) (S.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Beckford recorded an incident of police searching his family in front of their home. He was arrested as a result of the filming, and subsequently released, without charges and without explanation.

jj. March 14, 2016 / Dennis Flores

Mr. Flores, founder of the police watchdog group El Grito de Sunset Park, was arrested for jaywalking after filming NYPD Strategic Response Group officers during a protest in the Bronx. *A Week of Crackdowns on Activists and Copwatchers in NYC*, Huffington Post (Mar. 17, 2016) http://www.huffingtonpost.com/josmar-trujillo/a-week-of-crackdowns-on-a_b_9484768.html

kk. March 16, 2016 / Ramsey Orta

Ramsey Orta, the man who videotaped the killing of Eric Garner, was arrested in the process of videotaping NYPD officers making an arrest. He was also arrested in February for assaulting his wife, but both Mr. Orta and his wife say this is a lie. According to Mr. Orta, the NYPD has been engaging in a pattern of harassment ever since he filmed Mr. Garner's death in July of 2014. Ben Keller, *NYPD Arrest Ramsey Orta, Man Who Recorded Eric Garner Death, for Recording Too Closely*, Photography Is Not a Crime (Mar. 19, 2016), <http://photographyisnotacrime.com/2016/03/19/nypd-arrest-ramsey-orta-man-who-recorded-eric-garner-death-for-recording-too-closely/>

ll. March 17, 2016 / Joseph Hayden and Five Mualimm-ak

Mr. Hayden and Mr. Mualimm-ak, prisoner's rights and mental health activists, filmed an interaction between two NYPD officers and a homeless man who appeared to be emotionally disturbed. An officer began pushing Hayden, and then placed him in a headlock. Both men were arrested and charged with obstructing governmental administration and disorderly conduct. Victoria Law, *It's 2016 & the NYPD Will Still Arrest You for Filming Them*, Gothamist (Mar. 7, 2016), http://gothamist.com/2016/03/17/nypd_arrest_video_edp.php

mm. May 20, 2016 / Calvin West

Mr. West filmed an NYPD officer in the process of an arrest. After the arrest, the officer pointed a loaded gun at and punched Mr. West who filmed the interaction. The officer was placed on modified duty once he video surfaced. *Max Speaks Out After Filming Arrest in Which NYPD Officer Pointed Gun at Him*, Pix11 (May 23, 2016), <http://pix11.com/2016/05/23/man-speaks-out-after-filming-arrest-in-which-nypd-officer-pointed-gun-at-him/>

nn. June 11, 2016 / Threstan Ralph

Mr. Ralph observed five NYPD officers handcuffing a woman and recorded the interaction. An officer arrested him and charged him with disorderly conduct simply for filming the interaction. John Marzulli, *Exclusive: NYPD Accused of Arresting Man for Recording Video of Cops Cuffing Woman*, New York Daily News (June 16, 2016),

<http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/nypd-accused-arresting-man-recording-video-cops-article-1.2675620>

oo. June 15, 2016 / David Rivera

Mr. Rivera was filming an interaction involving NYPD officer taking place in a hallway in his building. The sergeant pointed a gun at him. Then, while Mr. Rivera was standing in his own apartment continuing to film, the sergeant unlawfully entered his apartment and arrested him. The Brooklyn District Attorney's Office declined to prosecute the case. John Marzulli, *See It: Infamous NYPD Sergeant Points Gun at Man Recording Her, Then Busts Into Apartment and Arrests Him*, New York Daily News (June 5, 2016), <http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/brooklyn/nypd-sergeant-aims-gun-man-recording-illegal-search-article-1.2661248?cid=bitly>

Observation of Officers

pp. January 2, 2009 / Marrero v. City of New York, 09 CV 7924 (VM) (S.D.N.Y.)

Mr. Marrero was assaulted and arrested by NYPD officers after he observed them falsely arrest his friend and then announced his intention to file a complaint against said officers.

qq. May 9, 2009 / Jameel Preddie / Preddie v. City of New York, Index No. 302566/11 (Sup. Ct. Bronx County)

Numerous NYPD officers beat and then arrested Jameel Preddie after he observed and objected to NYPD officers using excessive force in course of an arrested of another.

rr. August 1, 2011 / Alexandra Castillo, Ricardo Hanson, and John Parker / Parker v. City of New York, 13 CV 3891 (AT) (S.D.N.Y.) and Castillo v. City of New York, 14 CV 3583 (WHP) (S.D.N.Y.)

Three lifeguards, John Parker, Ricardo Hanson, and Alexandra Castillo, were arrested in Central Park upon leaving work in retaliation for their observation from a reasonable distance of a police investigation of another.

ss. August 3, 2013 / Nicolas Behncke / Behncke v. City of New York, 14 CV 0009 (ARR) (JO) (E.D.N.Y.)

NYPD officers arrested Mr. Behncke after he observed a police officer threaten someone with arrest inside of a subway station.

tt. March 17, 2016 / Nuratu Otulana, Channing Tyner, Rachel Levine, Joshua Kristal, and Terrence Slater

Ms. Otulana, Mr. Tyner, Ms. Levine, Mr. Kristal, and Mr. Slater observed the arrest of Joseph Haydden and Five Mualimm-ak and offered support to their fellow activists. All five were handcuffed and detained for more than two hours before being issued summons for failure to disperse. Victoria Law, *It's 2016 & the NYPD Will Still Arrest You for Filming Them*, Gothamist (Mar. 17, 2016), http://gothamist.com/2016/03/17/nypd_arrest_video_edp.php

41. All of the foregoing acts by defendants deprived the plaintiff of federally protected rights, including, and limited to, the constitutional rights enumerated in paragraph 33.
42. Defendant City knew or should have known that the acts alleged herein would deprive the plaintiff of their rights, in violation of the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
43. Defendant City is directly liable and responsible for the acts of the individual police defendants because it repeatedly and knowingly failed to properly supervise, train, instruct, and discipline them and because it repeatedly and knowingly failed to enforce the rules and regulation of the City and NYPD, and to required compliance with the Constitution and laws of the United States.
44. Despite knowledge of such unlawful de facto polices, practices and/or customs, these supervisory and policy-making officers and officials of the NYPD and the City, including Commissioner Bratton and Former Commissioner Kelly, did not and have not taken steps to terminate these polices, practices and/or customs, do not discipline individuals who engage in such policies, practices and/or customs, or otherwise properly train police officers with regard to the constitutional and statutory limits on the exercise of their authority, and instead sanction and ratify these policies, practices and/or customs through their active encouragement of, deliberate indifference to and/or reckless disregard of the effect of said policies, practices and/or customs upon the constitutional rights of persons in the City of New York.
45. The aforementioned City policies, practices, and/or customs of failing to supervise, train, instruct, and discipline police officers, and encouraging their misconduct are evidenced by the police misconduct detailed herein. Specifically, pursuant to the aforementioned City policies, practices, and/or customs, the individual defendant felt empowered to exercise unreasonable and whole unprovoked force against plaintiff, arrest plaintiff without probable cause and then

fabricate and swear to a false story to cover up their blatant violations of plaintiff's constitutional rights. Pursuant to the aforementioned City policies, practices, and/or customs, defendants failed to intervene in or report other defendants' violation of plaintiffs' rights or subsequent perjury.

46. Plaintiffs' injuries were a direct and proximate result of the defendant CITY and NYPD's wrongful de facto policies and/or well-settled and widespread customs and practices and of the knowing and repeated failure of the defendant CITY and NYPD to properly supervise, train, and discipline their police officers.
47. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, acquiesced in a pattern of unconstitutional conduct by subordinate police officers and were directly responsible for the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights.

JURY DEMAND

48. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action on each and every one of her damage claims.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants individually and jointly and prays for relief as follows:

- a. That they be compensated for violation of their constitutional rights, pain, suffering, mental anguish, and humiliation; and
- b. That they be awarded punitive damages against the individual defendants;
- c. That they be compensated for attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- d. For such other further and different relief as to the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: June 11, 2018
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,
/s

By: _____
David Rankin
Attorneys for Plaintiff
99 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10016
(212) 490-0400