UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

TAMPA SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

AMENDED COMPLAINT

-against-

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DETECTIVE GREGORY SMITH (Shield No. 2537), UNDERCOVER POLICE OFFICER NUMBER 10, and and "JOHN DOE" 1-2,

16 CV 1135 (PAE)

Defendants.

-----Х

Plaintiff, TAMPA SCOTT, by and through his attorneys, THE LAW OFFICES OF

MICHAEL S. LAMONSOFF, PLLC, as and for his Amended Complaint, respectfully alleges,

upon information and belief:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

 Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 1988 for violations of his civil rights, as said rights are secured by said statutes and the Constitution of the United States of America.

JURISDICTION

- 2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 3. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, and 1367.

VENUE

4. Venue is properly laid in the Southern District of New York under U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

JURY DEMAND

 Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury of all issues in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b).

PARTIES

- Plaintiff, TAMPA SCOTT, is, and has been, at all relevant times, a resident of Bronx County in the City and State of New York.
- 7. At all relevant times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, City of New York ("New York City"), was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York and acts by and through its agencies, employees and agents, including, but not limited to, the New York City Police Department ("NYPD"), and their employees.
- 8. At all relevant times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, UNDERCOVER POLICE OFFICER NUMBER 10, hereinafter referred to as "UC," was an adult employed by the City of New York as a member of the NYPD, whose identity is currently unknown to the plaintiff. UC is sued herein in his official and individual capacities.
- 9. At all relevant times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, DETECTIVE GREGORY SMITH (TAX 932419), was an individual employed by the City of New York as a member of the NYPD assigned to Narcotics Borough Bronx. Detective Smith is sued herein in his official and individual capacities.
- 10. At all relevant times hereinafter mentioned, the Doe defendants, were individuals employed by the City of New York as members of the NYPD assigned to Narcotics Borough Bronx, whose identities are currently unknown to the plaintiff. The Doe defendants are sued herein in their official and individual capacities.

- 11. At all times hereinafter mentioned the defendants, either personally or through their employees, were acting under color of state law and/or in compliance with the official rules, regulations, laws, statutes, customs, usages and/or practices of the State or CITY OF NEW YORK.
- 12. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant, THE CITY OF NEW YORK.
- 13. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting in furtherance of their employment by defendant, THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

FACTS

- 14. On November 22, 2013, at approximately 4:30 p.m., plaintiff TAMPA SCOTT, was lawfully present in the vicinity of the intersection of 147th Street and Brook Avenue in Bronx County in the State of New York.
- 15. At or about that time and place, the defendants arrived on duty, in plainclothes, and in an unmarked van.
- 16. Without warning, the defendants exited their vehicle, approached plaintiff without any legal justification or excuse, arrested plaintiff and placed him in handcuffs.
- 17. The defendants then proceeded to search plaintiff.
- 18. Plaintiff was not engaged in any suspicious or illegal activity, and the search revealed no evidence of any guns, drugs, or contraband.
- 19. Plaintiff was then taken to the station house of a local area precinct where he was held for several hours and searched.
- 20. The search revealed no evidence of any guns, drugs, or contraband.
- 21. Plaintiff was eventually transferred to Bronx County Central Booking where he was held for

several more hours.

- 22. Plaintiff was eventually arraigned on a criminal complaint made on the basis of false allegations supplied by UC.
- 23. Pursuant to these false statements, false information, and fabricated evidence, plaintiff was charged with one count of Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance, and two counts of Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance.
- 24. These charges were made on the basis of false allegations sworn to by UC.
- 25. UC provided these false statements to the New York County District Attorney's Office and, upon information and belief, also eventually testified to these allegations in front of the Grand Jury, knowing that there was no basis to support these allegations.
- 26. These and other allegations, information, and evidence, were false and the defendants knew them to be false when he made them.
- 27. At plaintiff's arraignment, plaintiff was released from custody but was forced to make several court appearances over the course of more than two years pursuant to the false allegations provided by the defendants.
- 28. During the course of his prosecution, plaintiff was indicted based, at least in part, upon information and belief, on the basis of false allegations made by UC.
- 29. Eventually, on December 3, 2015, the criminal action and all pending criminal charges related to the action were dismissed in favor of plaintiff. Per Certificate of Disposition Number 61726 and CPL § 160.60, "the arrest and prosecution shall be deemed a nullity and the accused shall be restored, in contemplation of law, to the status occupied before the arrest and prosecution."
- 30. The decision to arrest plaintiff was objectively unreasonable under the circumstances.

- 31. At no time did there exist sufficient cause to seize or arrest plaintiff, nor could the defendants have reasonably believed that such cause existed.
- 32. The factual allegations and testimony sworn to by each of the individual defendants named herein against plaintiff were materially false and deliberately made to justify the illegal arrest and assault by defendants against plaintiff.
- 33. At no time did defendants take any steps to intervene in, prevent, or otherwise limit the heretofore misconduct engaged in against plaintiff.
- 34. The individual defendants intentionally and deliberately gave false statements and/or failed to file accurate or corrective statements, or otherwise failed to report the conduct of the defendants who engaged in the misconduct described herein as required.
- 35. That at all times relevant herein, the defendants were on duty and acting within the scope of their employment, and their acts were done in furtherance of the City of New York's interests and without legal justification or excuse.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 36. Plaintiff TAMPA SCOTT repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation set forth above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein and at length.
- 37. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though stated fully herein.
- 38. At no time did defendants have any legal basis for arresting or imprisoning plaintiff, or commencing criminal process, nor was there any reasonable basis to believe said conduct set forth herein was lawful, reasonable, or otherwise appropriate.
- 39. Defendants willfully and intentionally seized, searched, detained, and arrested plaintiff without probable cause, and without a reasonable basis to believe such cause existed.

- 40. Defendants misrepresented and falsified evidence before the Bronx County District Attorney and Grand Jury.
- 41. Defendants did not make a complete and full statement of facts to the District Attorney or Grand Jury.
- 42. Defendants withheld exculpatory evidence from the District Attorney and Grand Jury.
- 43. Defendants were directly and actively involved in the initiation of criminal proceedings against plaintiff.
- 44. Defendants lacked probable cause to initiate criminal proceedings against plaintiff.
- 45. Defendants acted with malice in initiating criminal proceedings against plaintiff.
- 46. Defendants were directly and actively involved in the continuation of criminal proceedings against plaintiff.
- 47. Defendants lacked probable cause to continue criminal proceedings against plaintiff.
- 48. Defendants misrepresented and falsified evidence throughout all phases of the criminal proceedings.
- 49. Notwithstanding the perjurious and fraudulent conduct of defendants, the criminal proceedings were terminated in plaintiff's favor when the charges against him were dismissed.
- 50. By so doing, the individual defendants, individually and collectively, subjected plaintiff to excessive force, false arrest and imprisonment, malicious prosecution, malicious use and abuse of process, unlawful searches of person and property, fabrication of evidence, denial of fair trial, and denial of due process, and thereby violated, conspired to violate, and aided and abetted in the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

51. By reason thereof, the individual defendants have violated 42 U.S.C. §1983 and caused plaintiff to suffer emotional and physical injuries, mental anguish, incarceration and the deprivation of liberty, and the loss of his constitutional rights.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 52. Plaintiff, repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation set forth above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein and at length.
- 53. The NYPD Defendants arrested, searched, and incarcerated plaintiff TAMPA SCOTT, in the absence of any evidence of criminal wrongdoing, notwithstanding their knowledge that said search, arrest and incarceration would jeopardize plaintiff's liberty, well-being, safety, and violate his constitutional rights.
- 54. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers and officials, with all the actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.
- 55. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers and officials pursuant to the customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures, and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.
- 56. Those customs, policies, patterns, and practices include, but are not limited to:
 - i. requiring officers to make a predetermined number of arrests and/or issue a predetermined number of summonses within a predetermined time frame;
 - ii. requiring precincts to record a predetermined number of arrests and/or issue a predetermined number of summonses within a predetermined time frame;
 - iii. failing to take any measures to correct unconstitutional behavior when brought to the attention of supervisors and/or policy makers;

- iv. failing to properly train police officers in the requirements of the United States Constitution.
- 57. The aforesaid customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department directly cause, *inter alia*, the following unconstitutional practices:
 - i. arresting individuals regardless of probable cause in order to inflate the officer's arrest statistics;
 - ii. arresting individuals regardless of probable cause in order to inflate precinctwide statistics;
 - iii. falsifying evidence and testimony to support those arrests;
 - iv. falsifying evidence and testimony to cover up police misconduct.
- 58. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department constitute a deliberate indifference to the safety, well-being and constitutional rights of plaintiff, TAMPA SCOTT.
- 59. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department were the direct and proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein.
- 60. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department were the moving force behind the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein.
- 61. As a result of the foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, plaintiff was searched and placed under arrest unlawfully.
- 62. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, were directly

and actively involved in violating the constitutional rights of plaintiff.

- 63. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, acquiesced in a pattern of unconstitutional conduct by subordinate police officers, and were directly responsible for the violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights.
- 64. All of the foregoing acts by defendants deprived plaintiff of federally protected constitutional rights, particularly their Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights to be free from unreasonable search and seizure.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff respectfully requests judgment against defendants as follows:

- i. an order awarding compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- ii. an order awarding punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- iii. reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. §1988; and
- iv. directing such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, together with attorneys' fees, interest, costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York August 18, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL S. LAMONSOFF, PLLC Counsel for the Plaintiff

/**S**/

By: JESSICA MASSIMI (JM-2920) 32 Old Slip, 8th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 962-1020