		Page 57
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:08:28	2	Viacom and Google?
14:08:32	3	A So I don't recall any such detail or
14:08:39	4	anything.
14:08:40	5	Q Now, did you ever hear of the phrase "Big
14:08:45	6	6" by the way, "Big 6 Media Companies"?
14:08:50	7	A I don't recall hearing such a phrase.
14:08:52	8	Q Did Google's senior management hold weekly
14:09:07	9	meetings to discuss the status of large transactions?
14:09:12	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
14:09:15	11	and ambiguous.
14:09:15	12	THE WITNESS: I mean, seems again a very
14:09:19	13	vague question. I don't recall.
14:09:20	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, for example, was there
14:09:28	15	a no-surprise approach at Google about bringing in
14:09:36	16	deals so that you and Mr. Brin and Mr. Schmidt had to
14:09:41	17	be kept advised regarding the status of negotiations?
14:09:44	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
14:09:47	19	and ambiguous.
14:09:47	20	THE WITNESS: Again, in general, you know, I
14:09:52	21	work hard to make sure I'm apprised of roughly what's
14:09:56	22	going on. I don't know the details of how that's
14:09:59	23	operated on.
14:10:00	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, my question was, was
14:10:02	25	there a no-surprise policy that the three of you could

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 58
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:10:05	2	not be surprised regarding the status of negotiations
14:10:10	3	with big content owners?
14:10:12	4	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and just
14:10:15	5	answered.
14:10:15	6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall of any
14:10:17	7	such term.
14:10:18	8	MR. BASKIN: Let me just we will mark as
14:10:33	9	Page 4 you got it?
14:10:47	10	(Document marked Page Exhibit 4
14:11:09	11	for identification.)
14:11:09	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. If it makes your life
14:11:50	13	easier, Mr. Page, I'm not really going to ask you any
14:11:54	14	questions about the document, apart from the first
14:11:57	15	paragraph.
14:11:57	16	MR. MANCINI: Just give the just give the
14:11:59	1 7	witness a moment to review it.
14:12:21	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Can you identify, sir,
14:12:23	19	Exhibit 4 as an e-mail received by you, among others,
14:12:31	20	on or about September 7th, 2006?
14:12:36	21	A I mean, I don't remember the e-mail, but
14:12:38	22	I assume that's been produced in document production.
14:12:47	23	Q And was there, at Google, a no-surprises
14:12:50	24	approach to bringing in deals so that you and
14:12:53	25	Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Brin had to be put on notice on

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 59
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:13:02	2	deals that were being discussed?
14:13:05	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
14:13:06	4	asked and answered.
14:13:06	5	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is an e-mail that
14:13:11	6	was, you know, addressed to me. But, you know, again,
14:13:18	7	I have many, many responsibilities at Google, and I'm
14:13:22	8	not that operationally involved in the deal details.
14:13:27	9	So I typically probably would not read such an e-mail.
14:13:34	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. I didn't ask you whether you
14:13:35	11	read the e-mail. I asked you whether there was a
14:13:38	12	no-surprise approach to bringing in deals to Google
14:13:41	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
14:13:42	14	asked and answered.
14:13:42	15	MR. BASKIN: Q such that such that
14:13:43	16	you and the other two prin key executives have to
14:13:50	17	be advised of ongoing negotiations?
14:13:53	18	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
14:13:54	19	THE WITNESS: I already stated I had no
14:13:57	20	recollection of that term.
14:13:58	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, do you recall being
14:14:19	22	advised of the content deal terms regarding my client,
14:14:25	23	Viacom, as the transaction as the transaction was
14:14:32	24	being negotiated?
14:14:33	25	A No, I don't recall that.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 60
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:14:36	2	Q Well, let me hand you, for example, what
14:14:51	3	we'll mark as Page 5.
14:14:55	4	(Document marked Page Exhibit 5
14:15:13	5	for identification.)
14:15:13	6	MR. BASKIN: Oh, I'm sorry. Let me see about
14:15:15	7	the others. You get the marked 5.
14:15:38	8	THE WITNESS: Sorry. I seem to have two
14:15:39	9	copies of this.
14:15:41	10	MR. BASKIN: You can pass one down.
14:16:43	11	Q Can we agree, sir, that you and Mr. Brin were
14:16:48	12	among the CC recipients of Exhibit 5?
14:16:50	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; document speaks for
14:16:52	14	itself.
14:16:53	15	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall the
14:16:54	16	particular e-mail, but I assume that's produced and
14:16:59	17	that that's the case.
14:17:01	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, does this document,
14:17:06	19	Exhibit 5, help to refresh your memory, that you were
14:17:12	20	being kept advised of the status of deal terms in the
14:17:18	21	negotiations between Viacom and Google?
14:17:23	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
14:17:25	23	characterization of the document.
14:17:26	24	THE WITNESS: I mean, it helps me remember
14:17:28	25	that there's an e-mail that I appear to have gotten

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 61
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:17:31	2	about those terms.
14:17:32	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. And do you recall whether
14:17:39	4	the senior management of Google had discussed
14:17:54	5	providing over half a billion dollars as a revenue
14:17:59	6	guarantee to Viacom for its content to be displayed
14:18:05	7	over YouTube and Google.
14:18:09	8	A No, I don't recall. You said in the
14:18:13	9	context again, we have very large commercial
14:18:16	10	relationships with very many large number of
14:18:19	11	companies, and I take it in this case, this one did
14:18:22	12	not get signed by Viacom or by Google, and so I tend
14:18:29	13	to pay more attention to the ones that are in their
14:18:32	14	final processes. I don't know how far along this was.
14:18:38	15	Q Well, can you tell us, for the record, of the
14:18:43	16	transactions you do remember, whether there was any
14:18:48	17	other content provider to whom Google offered in
14:18:57	18	excess of half a billion dollars for its content apart
14:19:02	19	from Viacom?
14:19:03	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
14:19:05	21	and ambiguous; and once again, I think clearly outside
14:19:06	22	of the scope of Judge Stanton's order.
14:19:09	23	THE WITNESS: So, again, Google has very
14:19:11	24	large commercial relationships with companies, some of
14:19:15	25	which is much, much larger than this. That seems not

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 62
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:19:19	2	the scope of the current inquiry.
14:19:21	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. The question I asked you,
14:19:22	4	Mr. Page, is, can you tell us the name of one content
14:19:31	5	provider, other than Viacom, to whom Google offered in
14:19:39	6	excess of a half billion dollars for its content?
14:19:42	7	MR. MANCINI: Are you asking whether he has
14:19:44	8	personal knowledge of this issue?
14:19:45	9	MR. BASKIN: If he knows, yes.
14:19:46	10	MR. MANCINI: Okay. Same objections.
14:19:48	11	THE WITNESS: Again, it would not surprise
14:19:49	12	me, if that's the case. Again, I'm not in charge of
14:19:53	13	all those deals and intimately familiar with all of
14:19:57	14	them, so I don't want to speculate on that.
14:20:00	15	MR. BASKIN: Well, let me hand you what we
14:20:10	16	will mark as Page Exhibit 6.
14:20:19	17	(Document marked Page Exhibit 6
14:21:11	18	for identification.)
14:21:11	19	MR. MANCINI: So while the witness reviews
14:21:14	20	it, I just want to renew my continuing objection, that
14:21:16	21	these questions go outside the Court's directive.
14:21:20	22	Once again, this is a document on which he is
14:21:22	23	CCed, so it clearly could not be within his unique
14:21:26	24	knowledge.
14:21:32	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Are you ready, Mr. Page?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 63
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:21:33	2	MR. MANCINI: Just give him a minute.
14:21:35	3	THE WITNESS: Would you like me to read the
14:21:37	4	document?
14:21:38	5	MR. BASKIN: I don't think for my questions
14:21:39	6	you're really gonna to have to, but you're welcome to
14:21:41	7	if you want. It depends how long you want the
14:21:43	8	deposition to last.
14:21:43	9	MR. MANCINI: Well, if you can point us,
14:21:46	10	Counselor, to some aspect of this document that you'd
14:21:48	11	like to ask him about, then maybe that would help us
14:21:51	12	move along.
14:21:52	13	MR. BASKIN: Okay. I really want to focus on
14:21:53	14	the first paragraph, again. So why don't you read
14:21:56	15	that for a second, and I'll pose you a couple of
14:22:00	16	questions.
14:22:00	17	MR. MANCINI: Thank you.
14:22:06	18	MR. BASKIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
14:22:41	19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14:22:45	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. First, sir, in and around
14:22:47	21	November of 2006, it was Google's view, was it not,
14:22:54	22	that Viacom had the most valuable content of any other
14:23:00	23	premium content provider?
14:23:01	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
14:23:03	25	lacks foundation.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 64
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:23:04	2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any such
14:23:06	3	conclusion.
14:23:06	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, looking, for example,
14:23:11	5	at Exhibit 6, do you recall receiving Exhibit 6 as a
14:23:18	б	CC?
14:23:18	7	A Again, no, I don't recall this particular
14:23:21	8	e-mail.
14:23:21	9	Q Do you recall strike that.
14:23:26	10	Does this help refresh your memory again,
14:23:28	11	that you were being constantly kept apprised of the
14:23:31	12	status of deal terms in the negotiations between
14:23:35	13	Viacom and Google?
14:23:36	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
14:23:37	15	characterization; and objection, vague and ambiguous.
14:23:39	16	THE WITNESS: I already asked I already
14:23:43	17	answered this. I don't recollect.
14:23:45	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, do you recollect that
14:23:51	19	the proposed minimum guarantee being offered Viacom in
14:23:56	20	and around November 14th, 2006, was five times the
14:24:07	21	amount of the minimum guarantee being offered Turner
14:24:10	22	and eight times the minimum guarantee being offered to
14:24:18	23	CBS? Do you recall that, sir?
14:24:20	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
14:24:23	25	THE WITNESS: I don't understand any of the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		The state of the s
		Page 65
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:24:25	2	premises actually of your question. You showed me two
14:24:30	3	e-mails. I don't even know if the first e-mail you
14:24:33	4	showed me is before or after this one, and this e-mail
14:24:38	5	is quite vague, so I wouldn't make a conclusion about
14:24:41	6	five times from from that set of data, and I don't
14:24:45	7	recall any specifics.
14:24:48	8	MR. BASKIN: Q. Read the sentence that
14:24:49	9	begins second full sentence that begins "The
14:24:52	10	challenge is that for CBS, Turner and Viacom, we have
14:25:01	11	proposed minimum guarantees of 60 million, 75 million,
14:25:07	12	and 483 million, all of which have been countered with
14:25:13	13	300 million, 170 million, and plus 700 million,
14:25:19	14	depending on the day respectively.
14:25:21	15	Do you see that?
14:25:24	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; document speaks for
14:25:25	17	itself.
14:25:26	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you see that?
14:25:27	19	A I can read the document, yes.
14:25:28	20	Q Do you understand those words on the
14:25:30	21	document?
14:25:31	22	A I can understand what is written there.
14:25:36	23	Q Okay.
14:25:37	24	A I think deals are pretty complex things. It
14:25:40	25	doesn't have time frame here or any number of other
		-

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 66
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:25:43	2	issues. It all states in the second paragraph here
14:25:49	3	that CBS and Turner are more focused on building a
14:25:53	4	real business rather than just getting a large dollar
14:25:54	5	guarantee.
14:25:55	6	So I assume that any deal team negotiations
14:25:57	7	would have taken that into account. You're implying
14:26:01	8	value from this, which I think is is not something
14:26:04	9	I would agree with.
14:26:06	10	Q So you would not agree that Google had
14:26:13	11	offered Viacom five times the amount of of for a
14:26:18	12	minimum guarantee that it had offered Turner?
14:26:22	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection asked and just
14:26:24	14	answered.
14:26:24	15	THE WITNESS: I already answered this, that
14:26:26	16	there's many premises here that aren't clear to me
14:26:30	17	from these documents, and I have no other
14:26:33	18	recollection.
14:26:33	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, let me show you what
14:26:39	20	we'll mark as Page 7, Page Exhibit 7. Strike that.
14:27:06	21	Did there come a time that you recall,
14:27:08	22	Mr. Page, that Google offered Viacom a partnership
14:27:19	23	which Google valued at \$592 million? Do you remember
14:27:24	24	that, sir?
14:27:25	25	which Google valued at \$592 million? Do you remember that, sir? MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

[Page 67
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:27:27	2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:27:28	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. As you sit here, did do
14:27:44	4	you recall if Google ever offered any content provider
14:27:52	5	even half as much of the \$592 million offered to
14:27:59	6	Viacom?
14:27:59	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
14:28:03	8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall, and again, I
14:28:05	9	just would say that business these kinds of
14:28:07	10	business deals that are done between large companies
14:28:11	11	are hundreds of pages. There's many, many, many
14:28:15	12	terms. You're asking about one particular term, but
14:28:17	13	you're not talking about time frame or other parts of
14:28:20	14	the deals or so on.
14:28:25	15	MR. BASKIN: I understood I was talking about
14:28:27	16	money, sir. The question is let me show you what
14:28:29	17	we'll mark as Exhibit 7, Page Exhibit 7.
14:28:33	18	(Document marked Page Exhibit 7
14:28:54	19	for identification.)
14:28:54	20	MR. MANCINI: Again, I'm going to continue my
14:28:55	21	same same objection as being beyond the scope of
14:29:01	22	the Court's order with respect to this deposition, as
14:29:04	23	this document was only forwarded or copied to this
14:29:07	24	witness after the fact, I might note.
14:29:11	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, apart from a

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 68
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:29:14	2	number I actually am not going to ask you any
14:29:19	3	questions about this document.
14:29:19	4	Q Does this document help to remind you that
14:29:21	5	you and Mr. Brin were routinely being kept advised of
14:29:27	6	deal terms regarding negotiations with Viacom?
14:29:33	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
14:29:34	8	mischaracterization of the document.
14:29:37	9	THE WITNESS: Again, same answer. I don't
14:29:39	10	recall.
14:29:39	11	MR. BASKIN: Q. And let me ask you then, can
14:29:43	12	you recall, as you sit here today, another media
14:29:51	13	company that was offered even half as much as the
14:29:56	14	\$592 million being offered to Viacom in this document?
14:30:00	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
14:30:02	16	several times now and lacks foundation.
14:30:04	17	THE WITNESS: Same answer.
14:30:05	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Which is?
14:30:07	19	A I don't recall.
14:30:10	20	Q Now by the way, let me show you what we'll
14:30:16	21	mark as Page 8.
14:30:19	22	(Document marked Page Exhibit 8
14:30:39	23	for identification.)
14:30:39	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. And again, so we can get you
14:30:54	25	out of here promptly, I'm only going to be talking to

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 69
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:30:59	2	you about the paragraph marked three.
14:31:02	3	A Sorry. On the first page?
14:31:03	4	Q Yes.
14:31:04	5	A Okay.
14:31:18	6	Q My question, sir, since by the way, this
14:31:19	7	is not a document that went to you; correct?
14:31:25	8	A I don't know. I'm just reading the document
14:31:27	9	like you, so it doesn't appear that I'm on here, no.
14:31:31	10	Q The document says that
14:31:35	11	MR. MANCINI: Mr. Baskin, let's just give the
14:31:37	12	witness a moment. Make sure he's reviewed it.
14:31:40	13	MR. BASKIN: Okay. I'm just talking about
14:31:42	14	paragraph three, but you can read the whole thing. It
14:31:44	15	depends how long we want the deposition to go.
14:31:47	16	MR. MANCINI: No, no. Just to skim it to
14:31:49	17	give him context.
14:31:58	18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14:31:58	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you, in fact, say that
14:32:10	20	the value to Google of getting a license from Viacom
14:32:19	21	was worth \$150 million even without any advertising
14:32:24	22	support?
14:32:25	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
14:32:28	24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:32:29	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, as you sit here now,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 70
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:32:34	2	do you recall, in fact, believing in and around
14:32:39	3	October, November 2006 that Viacom's content, even
14:32:46	4	absent any ads, would have been worth \$150 million to
14:32:50	5	Google?
14:32:50	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:32:52	7	vague and ambiguous.
14:32:52	8	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall. I
14:32:55	9	was can I let me just state something here about
14:32:57	10	the it says with ten ads.
14:33:04	11	This is a note to from Tim, looks like. I
14:33:09	12	should say there's two ways, I think, deals were being
14:33:13	13	contemplated.
14:33:14	14	One was with ads being sold by Google, which
14:33:17	15	Tim is in charge or was in charge of, is no longer in
14:33:20	16	charge of, and the other way was with a partner
14:33:24	17	selling ads.
14:33:25	18	So I assume from Tim's perspective here, that
14:33:28	19	that means that he's saying Viacom would sell the ads,
14:33:33	20	not that there would be no ads.
14:33:37	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well
14:33:37	22	A Just wanted to make that clear.
14:33:39	23	Q that's the way you read the document?
14:33:41	24	A That's the way I would read it, yes.
14:33:42	25	Q Was that, in fact, your position back in

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 71
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:33:45	2	October 2006?
14:33:46	3	A I don't recall my position.
14:33:48	4	Q Okay. Now, you mentioned, I think, before
14:33:51	5	that the negotiation with Viacom failed; is that
14:33:54	6	right, sir? Meaning, you did not reach an agreement
14:33:57	7	with Viacom; correct?
14:33:58	8	A As far as I know.
14:33:59	9	Q And did there come a time, in the
14:34:04	10	negotiations with Viacom, when the senior management
14:34:11	11	of Google decided that Google should pretend to be
14:34:16	12	negotiating with Viacom as a way to buy time while
14:34:22	13	Google completed deals with other content owners?
14:34:26	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:34:28	15	vague and ambiguous.
14:34:28	16	THE WITNESS: I don't recall, and I add
14:34:31	17	further that we have very strong ethics around not
14:34:35	18	doing such things. So I would certainly be against
14:34:39	19	that if anything like that had happened.
14:34:41	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, did there come a time
14:34:43	21	when the senior management decided that Google should
14:34:50	22	keep Viacom warm while it negotiated transactions with
14:34:56	23	other companies?
14:34:57	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:35:00	25	vague and ambiguous.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 72
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:35:01	2	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall.
14:35:02	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. If that was Google's policy
14:35:10	4	to pretend to negotiate with Viacom, or to keep Viacom
14:35:18	5	warm while it negotiated with other companies, would
14:35:22	6	such a policy have required your approval, Mr. Page?
14:35:25	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:35:26	8	vague and ambiguous, and calls for a hypothetical
14:35:28	9	answer.
14:35:31	10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, you're asking me to
14:35:33	11	speculate on something that I have no recollection of
14:35:36	12	and I have no knowledge of.
14:35:37	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall whether it was
14:36:01	14	Google's policy and practice, in November 2006, to try
14:36:09	15	to keep Viacom warm in order to buy time in order to
14:36:13	16	complete other deals?
14:36:15	17	MR. MANCINI: Same exact same exact
14:36:17	18	objections, and asked and answered.
14:36:19	19	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:36:20	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. You recall no such
14:36:24	21	discussion among you, and Mr. Page, and Mr. Schmidt?
14:36:27	22	A I am Mr. Page.
14:36:28	23	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
14:36:29	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Sorry?
14:36:30	25	A I am Mr. Page.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 73
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:36:31	2	Q Sorry.
14:36:32	3	Among the three of you, you recall no such
14:36:34	4	discussions?
14:36:35	5	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
14:36:36	6	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall.
14:36:37	7	MR. BASKIN: Let's mark as Page 9.
14:36:51	8	(Document marked Page Exhibit 9
14:37:06	9	for identification.)
14:37:06	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Let me hand you, sir,
14:37:07	11	Page 9.
14:37:18	12	A Thank you.
14:38:33	13	Q Mr. Page, can you identify Page Exhibit 9,
14:38:39	14	sir, as an e-mail received by you, along with
14:38:43	15	Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Brin?
14:38:45	16	A Again, I don't remember specific e-mails, but
14:38:47	17	I assume this was produced by by counsel.
14:38:51	18	Q In other words, if counsel produced it you
14:38:53	19	are the Larry Page referenced in the "To" line, are
14:38:57	20	you not, sir?
14:38:57	21	A Yes.
14:38:58	22	Q And do you recall your thoughts regarding the
14:39:10	23	strategy set forth in the e-mail from Joan Braddi,
14:39:17	24	B-R-A-D-D-I, in and around November 16th, 2006?
14:39:21	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 74
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:39:23	2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:39:26	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall responding to
14:39:28	4	Mr. Kordestani's inquiry of you and Mr. Schmidt and
14:39:33	5	Mr. Brin for your thoughts regarding the strategy set
14:39:37	6	forth in Exhibit 9?
14:39:37	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; mischaracterizes the
14:39:39	8	document; vague and ambiguous.
14:39:45	9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:39:45	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall ever
14:39:46	11	discussing, with the other two most-senior people at
14:39:52	12	Google, Eric's request to keep them warm?
14:40:02	13	A I do not recall.
14:40:03	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; same objections.
14:40:04	15	MR. BASKIN: Q. How how about the
14:40:06	16	strategy of buying us time in order to complete other
14:40:10	17	deals through negotiations with Viacom?
14:40:13	18	MR. MANCINI: Same
14:40:13	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall that,
14:40:14	20	Mr. Page?
14:40:15	21	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
14:40:15	22	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that, and I
14:40:17	23	would state I mean, I believe the exact opposite
14:40:19	24	case is made by this e-mail that you just produced.
14:40:23	25	I mean, it says here "On Viacom, they are not

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 75
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:40:30	2	moving and we are not either. The goal is to keep
14:40:32	3	them engaged (as tough as it might be)
14:40:37	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, actually, why don't
14:40:39	5	you read the end of that sentence you just read,
14:40:41	6	Mr. Page. Why don't you read the whole sentence for
14:40:43	7	the jury; would you?
14:40:44	8	A I
14:40:46	9	MR. MANCINI: First of all, I object to
14:40:48	10	continual references to a non-present jury and the
14:40:51	11	document speaks for itself. That's not why we're here
14:40:54	12	to have this witness read documents.
14:40:57	13	MR. BASKIN: He cut off his he read a
14:40:59	14	sentence and cut it off halfway.
14:41:01	15	Q Why don't you finish the sentence, Mr. Page.
14:41:04	16	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
14:41:05	17	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm happy to read the
14:41:08	18	sentence, as I believe it still states my point.
14:41:13	19	And "focus on getting one of the other deals
14:41:17	20	done." I don't think that there's any reason why
14:41:23	21	these deals would be exclusive; and, in fact, Google's
14:41:26	22	policy has always been to do deals with all content
14:41:30	23	providers.
14:41:32	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, the very next
14:41:34	25	parenthetical on that document following what you just

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 76
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:41:38	2	cut off reading says that "Larry and Sergey are on
14:41:43	3	board with this as well."
14:41:46	4	Were you, in fact, on board with the
14:41:48	5	strategy, Mr. Page, back in October, November, 2006?
14:41:53	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
14:41:54	7	characterization of the document.
14:41:55	8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall the details
14:41:57	9	here.
14:41:57	10	MR. BASKIN: Now, let me show you what we
14:42:09	11	will mark as 10.
14:42:19	12	(Document marked Page Exhibit 10
14:42:34	13	for identification.)
14:42;34	14	MR. MANCINI: Handing you Exhibit 10.
14:42:41	15	THE WITNESS: Thanks.
14:42:43	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. First, Mr. Page, can you
14:43:32	17	identify Exhibit 10 as an e-mail received by you as a
14:43:35	18	CC in and around November 16th, 2006?
14:43:38	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection; the document speaks
14:43:41	20	for itself.
14:43:42	21	THE WITNESS: My e-mail address is on here,
14:43:44	22	yes.
14:43:44	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you remember this
14:43:44	24	document?
14:43:45	25	A I do not recall this document.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 77
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:43:49	2	Q Do you remember whether it was Google's
14:43:54	3	strategy, in and around November 16th, 2006, to be
14:44:01	4	more generous to CBS and Turner and to keep Viacom
14:44:07	5	engaged until we sign one of the other two? Do you
14:44:11	6	recall that, sir?
14:44:11	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:44:13	8	vague and ambiguous.
14:44:13	9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that, no.
14:44:16	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall whether in
14:44:26	11	your own mind you believed on November 16, 2006, that
14:44:34	12	Google's practice tactics with respect to Viacom were
14:44:37	13	in good faith?
14:44:38	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:44:39	15	calls for speculation.
14:44:42	16	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specifics
14:44:43	17	about Viacom.
14:44:44	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. I take it these last two
14:44:48	19	e-mails sent to you called "Deal review call," or Chat
14:44:57	20	with Eric on Media Deals," that is 9 and 10, do not
14:45:02	21	refresh your recollection that you were being kept
14:45:05	22	apprised of negotiations with Viacom?
14:45:09	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
14:45:11	24	THE WITNESS: Yeah, again, I don't recall.
14:45:13	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, you recall, do you not,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 78
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:45:22	2	that during the same time period Google was
14:45:31	3	negotiating with other film studio and TV producers,
14:45:37	4	were they not, Mr. Page?
14:45:39	5	A I don't recall any specifics there.
14:45:41	6	Q Well, do you recall, for example, whether
14:45:47	7	Google had ongoing negotiations to acquire Turner's
14:45:51	8	content, Turner Broadcasting, Inc.'s content to show
14:45:57	9	that on YouTube with a valid license? Do you recall
14:46:03	10	such negotiations?
14:46:04	11	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:46:06	12	vague and ambiguous.
14:46:06	13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:46:10	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. How about with Walt Disney
14:46:12	15	Company? Do you recall, Mr. Page, whether in and
14:46:18	16	around December 2006 you were being advised of
14:46:25	17	negotiate the status of negotiations with Walt
14:46:28	18	Disney and Google regarding acquiring Walt Disney's
14:46:33	19	content to be displayed on YouTube?
14:46:35	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:46:37	21	vague and ambiguous.
14:46:41	22	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:46:41	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Or how about a record
14:46:43	24	company called EMI? Do you recall actually
14:46:45	25	consummating a transaction with them?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 79
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:46:48	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
14:46:52	3	THE WITNESS: I know we have deals with
14:46:54	4	with a number of major record labels.
14:46:56	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, is it a fact that
14:47:09	6	in Google's negotiations with all of these film
14:47:16	7	studios, and TV programmers, and record companies in
14:47:23	8	and around the end of 2006 and early 2007, that the
14:47:33	9	package being offered by Google to these companies
14:47:38	10	included fingerprinting and filtering for copyright
14:47:42	11	materials on the YouTube site?
14:47:45	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
14:47:46	13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
14:47:51	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, assuming that YouTube
14:47:53	15	and Google, at the end of 2006 and early 2007, was
14:48:03	16	offering fingerprinting and filtering for copyright
14:48:06	17	violations to studios and content providers who signed
14:48:16	18	deals with YouTube, would such a policy had to have
14:48:21	19	been approved by you?
14:48:22	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:48:24	21	hypothetical; vague and ambiguous.
14:48:25	22	THE WITNESS: Seems like you're you're
14:48:32	23	wanting me to hypothetically state whether such a
14:48:34	24	thing, if it existed, would have to have been approved
14:48:39	25	by me.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		
		Page 80
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:48:40	2	I don't know.
14:48:40	3	MR. BASKIN: Well, let's let me hand you,
14:48:48	4	for example, what we will mark as Page 11.
14:48:51	5	(Document marked Page Exhibit 11
14:49:26	6	for identification.)
14:49:26	7	MR. MANCINI: So, Mr. Baskin, while the
14:49:28	8	witness reviews this document previously shown to
14:49:30	9	Mr. Drummond as an exhibit, I want to state my
14:49:33	10	continuing objection that we've gone far afield of
14:49:35	11	what the judge had indicated would be the ground rules
14:49:40	12	of this deposition. I'll ask allow you to ask a
14:49:44	13	question and ask that you proceed with some haste
14:49:49	14	here.
14:49:49	15	MR. BASKIN: Sure.
14:49:51	16	Q Mr. Page, with respect to Page 11 Page
14:50:02	17	Exhibit 11, I want you to turn to page four of that
14:50:05	18	document.
14:50:06	19	MR. MANCINI: Just give the witness a moment
14:50:07	20	to familiarize himself generally with it.
14:50:27	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. And, in particular, on
14:50:29	22	page four, sir, there's a section called "Audio
14:50:33	23	Fingerprinting & Claiming Turner Content Uploaded By
14:50:37	24	Users."
14:50:39	25	Do you see that, sir, in the second box on

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 81
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:50:43	2	the page?
14:51:02	3	A Okay.
14:51:03	4	Q Now, does this help refresh your memory that
14:51:05	5	in and around October 2006, YouTube was offering
14:51:10	6	Turner audio fingerprinting and metadata searches and
14:51:22	7	some other techniques as part of a license transaction
14:51:28	8	with Turner?
14:51:31	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:51:32	10	mischaracterizes the document; vague and ambiguous.
14:51:34	11	THE WITNESS: So I know very little about
14:51:37	12	this document, whether it was signed or not, or any of
14:51:40	13	those things, so it's hard to answer that question.
14:51:43	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, the question I do want
14:51:45	1 5	you to answer though is this: Assuming that, in fact,
14:51:49	16	YouTube was offering Turner Broadcasting
14:51:56	17	fingerprinting and other filtering techniques in and
14:51:59	18	around October 2006 as part of a revenue-sharing
14:52:04	19	agreement, would such a provision had to have been
14:52:08	20	approved by you, Mr. Page?
14:52:09	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
14:52:11	22	vague and ambiguous.
14:52:11	23	THE WITNESS: Well, again, this is a
14:52:15	24	hypothetical deal which I'm not sure of the status of,
14:52:18	25	and I have no idea.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 82
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:52:19	2	MR. BASKIN: Q. You have no idea whether it
14:52:20	3	would have had to have been approved by you?
14:52:25	4	A Well, it's not like the company is really
14:52:26	5	obvious like we should approve everything, so no, I
14:52:29	6	don't know if that should be approved by me or not.
14:52:31	7	Q What about if a similar provision strike
14:52:38	8	that.
14:52:39	9	You'll agree with me that Walt Disney
14:52:41	10	Companies is one of the largest entertainment
14:52:44	11	companies on the face of the earth? Is that right,
14:52:48	12	Mr. Page?
14:52:48	13	A I agree that Walt Disney is a large
14:52:52	14	entertainment company.
14:52:52	15	Q In fact, you actually visited with them from
14:52:55	16	time to time; did you not?
14:52:55	17	A I recall visiting Walt Disney at least once.
14:52:59	18	Q And were you being kept apprised of the
14:53:02	19	status of the negotiations between Google and Walt
14:53:05	20	Disney in and around December 2006?
14:53:09	21	A I don't recall.
14:53:09	22	Q Well, let me hand you, for example
14:53:16	23	MR. ALGER: Let's take a break. Let's take a
14:53:16	24	break.
14:53:19	25	MR. BASKIN: No, I'm in the middle of a

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 83
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:53:20	2	question.
14:53:20	3	MR. ALGER: I'm asking for a break.
14:53:22	4	MR. BASKIN: Excuse me. When I'm done with
14:53:23	5	my line of questioning.
14:53:24	6	MR. ALGER: There's no question pending right
14:53:26	7	now, that's why I asked for a break right now.
14:53:27	8	MR. BASKIN: There's no question, because you
14:53:28	9	just interrupted me in the middle of the question.
14:53:30	10	MR. ALGER: You haven't handed the witness an
14:53:34	11	exhibit, so we're going to take a five-minute break
14:53:36	12	right now.
14:53:37	13	Off the record.
14:53:39	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the ending of tape
14:53:41	15	number one of the video deposition of Larry Page.
14:53:44	16	We're now going off the record.
14:53:45	17	The time is 2:49 p.m.
14:53:51	18	(Recess taken.)
15:04:07	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
15:04:08	20	recording number two of the video deposition of Larry
15:04:10	21	Page.
15:04:11	22	We are now back on the record.
15:04:13	23	The time is 3:00 p.m.
15:04:17	24	MR. MANCINI: What is this marked as?
15:04:21	25	MR. BASKIN: I've lost track.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 84
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:04:24	2	THE REPORTER: 12.
15:04:24	3	MR. BASKIN: 12.
15:04:25	4	MR. MANCINI: So, Mr. Baskin, while the
15:04:26	5	witness is reviewing this one
15:04:27	6	THE WITNESS: Actually, I don't have a copy
15:04:42	7	yet.
15:04:42	8	(Document marked Page Exhibit 12
15:04:43	9	for identification.)
15:04:43	10	MR. MANCINI: I want to re restate my
15:04:44	11	continuing objection and at this point, alarm, over
15:04:49	12	the fact, yet again, he's being showed a document that
15:04:52	13	was previously, in fact, shown and marked as an
15:04:54	14	exhibit to another witness in stark violation of this
15:04:58	15	Court's order, which I'm now compelled to read into
15:05:01	16	the record.
15:05:01	17	Judge Stanton in the order for this
15:05:03	18	deposition says on October sorry April 2nd,
15:05:07	19	2009, on page eight, line 24, "First, no one is going
15:05:12	20	to spend any time during these depositions on matters
15:05:15	21	which are non-controversial and can be obtained in
15:05:20	22	another way.
15:05:21	23	"For example a witness's biography, the jobs
15:05:24	24	he held, his responsibilities then and now. Matters
15:05:28	25	of that sort can all be finished by an affidavit

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 85
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:05:32	2	prepared for the executive by others. Proceed
15:05:33	3	immediately this is now directed to the
15:05:37	4	plaintiff to what is necessary and only obtainable
15:05:41	5	from this witness."
15:05:42	6	We've given you incredible leeway today.
15:05:42	7	This witness, one of the most senior executives at
15:05:46	8	Google, has spent an incredible amount of time being
15:05:49	9	asked questions that other people have, in fact, been
15:05:49	10	deposed about and never has there been a showing made
15:05:55	11	that it is either necessary or only obtainable from
15:05:56	12	this witness, and if we can't move on, Mr. Baskin, at
15:06:00	13	some point we're going to have to shut this down
15:06:02	14	MR. BASKIN: Well
15:06:02	15	MR. MANCINI: in direct violation of this
15:06:04	16	Court's order.
15:06:05	17	MR. BASKIN: I totally disagree. I'm going
15:06:08	18	ask him about his knowledge and the senior
15:06:10	19	management's knowledge of policies and practices.
15:06:13	20	MR. MANCINI: You're allowed to ask him about
15:06:15	21	his knowledge.
15:06:17	22	MR. BASKIN: That's what I'm going to do.
15:06:18	23	MR. MANCINI: Thank you.
15:06:19	24	MR. BASKIN: And that's what I've been doing.
15:06:21	25	And again, if you want to we're going to finish

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 86
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:06:24	2	well within the four hours if he continues to
15:06:25	3	cooperate, and let's let's go forward.
15:06:31	4	Q Sir, I've handed you now what's been marked
15:06:34	5	as Page Exhibit 12.
15:06:36	6	Do you see that, sir?
15:06:37	7	A Yes.
15:06:37	8	Q If you turn to the last page of Page
15:06:40	9	Exhibit 12, you will see that in and around
15:06:51	10	December 21st, 2006, that Google was offering to
15:06:55	11	provide to the Walt Disney companies
15:06:59	12	A Sorry. You're asking me about the last page?
15:07:01	13	Q Yes. The the page marked five of five on
15:07:04	14	the bottom.
15:07:04	15	A Okay.
15:07:05	16	Q And the very last paragraph, you want to read
15:07:07	17	that for a second, sir.
15:07:12	18	A Sure. Okay.
15:07:46	19	Q You will see in that last paragraph on Page
15:07:50	20	Exhibit 12, that Google, in and around December 21st,
15:07:57	21	2006, was offering the Walt Disney Company audio
15:08:01	22	fingerprinting and various other tools to protect
15:08:07	23	their content as part of a license transaction.
15:08:10	24	Do you see that, sir?
15:08:11	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; document speaks for

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		
		Page 87
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:08:12	2	itself.
15:08:13	3	THE WITNESS: Sorry. I'm not I don't
15:08:15	4	recall this document, and I don't know if it's was
15:08:19	5	presented to them or not, so I don't know if this was
15:08:22	6	an internal document or whatever.
15:08:24	7	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
15:08:25	8	Q So my question is, first of all, did you have
15:08:29	9	knowledge that Google was offering the Walt Disney
15:08:34	10	company, in and around December 2006, fingerprinting
15:08:38	11	and other tools as part of a revenue-sharing
15:08:45	12	agreement?
15:08:47	13	A I don't I don't recall, and also I'll just
15:08:51	14	state I just stated several times, Google is
15:08:58	15	offering audio fingerprinting. Both documents they
15:09:01	16	presented, as I'm reading the document now, just say
15:09:04	17	that the Google shall sorry Google shall, on
15:09:11	18	behalf on behalf of Walt Disney Company pay an
15:09:14	19	audio fingerprinting vendor.
15:09:14	20	Q Right.
15:09: 1 4	21	A So that seems a little bit of a
15:09:16	22	mischaracterization of the document.
15:09:18	23	Q Accepting your reading, which is exactly
15:09:20	24	right, did you have knowledge in and around 2006,
15:09:25	25	December 2006, Google was offering to pay a a
		-

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 88
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:09:30	2	fingerprinting vendor to check for Walt Disney's
15:09:34	3	content on the Google web on the YouTube website?
15:09:37	4	A I don't recall.
15:09:37	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
15:09:39	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. And assuming that that, in
15:09:41	7	fact, happened as set forth in Exhibit 12, would the
15:09:49	8	decision to provide fingerprinting and like techniques
15:09:54	9	to a large content provider like Walt Disney had to
15:09:58	10	have had your approval, sir?
15:10:00	11	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
15:10:01	12	several times.
15:10:03	13	THE WITNESS: Again, same hypothetical
15:10:05	14	question. I don't know.
15:10:06	15	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, let me show you just
15:10:08	16	one more, and then we'll move on. This one happens to
15:10:11	17	be my client.
15:10:24	18	(Document marked Page Exhibit 13
15:10:27	19	for identification.)
15:10:27	20	MR. BASKIN: Let's mark as Page 13.
15:11:06	21	Q I will, in particular, sir, ask you to look
15:11:09	22	at a section called "UGV Content Claiming/Association
15:11:12	23	Process," and it's on the page Bates stamp with the
15:11:18	24	last four digits of the Bates stamp being '2081.
15:11:24	25	MR. MANCINI: I just want to repeat my

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Page 89
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:11:25	2	continuing objections and hope we'll move on quickly.
15:12:13	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, can you identify
15:12:15	4	for us Exhibit 13?
15:12:19	5	A I'm looking at Exhibit 13, yes.
15:12:21	6	Q Oh.
15:12:24	7	What I'm asking is, do you recall in and
15:12:30	8	around December 2006 being shown the proposed term
15:12:33	9	sheet for a transaction between MTV Networks, a Viacom
15:12:40	10	Company, and Google?
15:12:41	11	A No, I don't recall that.
15:12:42	12	Q Do you recall, sir, being advised, having
15:12:46	13	knowledge in and around December 14th, 2006, that as
15:12:50	14	part of a license contract, proposed license agreement
15:12:56	15	between Viacom's MTV Networks and Google, Google was
15:13:01	16	offering to provide audio fingerprinting services to
15:13:06	17	Viacom with respect to the YouTube website?
15:13:08	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:13:10	19	vague and ambiguous; and to the extent it calls for
15:13:14	20	communications with counsel, instruct the client, not
15:13:17	21	the witness, on attorney-client not to testify on
15:13:20	22	attorney-client privilege ground.
15:13:21	23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:13:22	24	MR. BASKIN: If, in fact strike that.
15:13:28	25	Q If Google and YouTube were was offering

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	•	Page 90
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:13:35	2	fingerprinting and other filtering techniques to all
15:13:38	3	of these media companies in and around November and
15:13:41	4	December 2006, would the senior management of Google
15:13:47	5	have been advised of that, sir?
15:13:50	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
15:13:53	7	several times today.
15:13:54	8	THE WITNESS: The premise of your question
15:13:56	9	doesn't make sense to me.
15:13:57	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Would the senior management
15:14:01	11	of Google have been advised and strike that.
15:14:06	12	Would senior management of Google have to
15:14:09	13	have approved the provision of audio fingerprinting
15:14:14	14	services to would-be content partners in exchange for
15:14:20	15	a license agreement?
15:14:21	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:14:23	17	asked and answered several times today.
15:14:26	18	THE WITNESS: Again, don't agree with the
15:14:28	19	premise of your question.
15:14:29	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Which part of the premise
15:14:32	21	don't you agree, sir?
15:14:35	22	A You have a long string of hypothetical
15:14:37	23	things.
15:14:39	24	Q Well, assuming that the jury believes that
15:14:43	25	Google did offer audio fingerprinting to Turner, to

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 91
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:14:50	2	MTV, to Walt Disney Company, at the end of 2006 as
15:14:56	3	part of a license agreement, should the jury conclude
15:15:00	4	that such a that such audio fingerprinting had to
15:15:02	5	have been approved by the senior management of Google,
15:15:05	6	sir?
15:15:05	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
15:15:06	8	So that is clearly a question intended for no
15:15:12	9	other purpose than to harass this witness. There is
15:15:14	10	no jury here. It is clearly intended for that purpose
15:15:18	11	and that purpose only, Mr. Baskin, and you know that.
15:15:21	12	If your question is posed differently, I'll allow him
15:15:24	13	to answer that question. That is purely harassment.
15:15:26	14	MR. BASKIN: It's not harassment.
15:15:27	15	Q But you've now seen, sir, proposed term
15:15:30	16	sheets for three companies, all of which contain the
15:15:33	17	provision of audio fingerprinting. Would such
15:15:35	18	provisions had to have had the approval of senior
15:15:37	19	management of Google?
15:15:38	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation and
15:15:42	21	asked and answered numerous times.
15:15:43	22	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know if these
15:15:44	23	deals were consummated or not in this form; and as I
15:15:47	24	already stated also, it didn't look like that was just
15:15:50	25	a third-party vendor that was being paid as part of

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 92
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:15:53	2	this deal.
15:15:53	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. And would you have had to
15:15:56	4	have approved such a provision, Mr. Page?
15:15:58	5	A I also already stated
15:16:00	6	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:16:01	7	THE WITNESS: I also already stated that
15:16:03	8	companies are complicated things, and whether or not I
15:16:06	9	would have had to approve it is not is not a
15:16:08	10	reasonable thing to to opine on.
15:16:10	11	MR. BASKIN: In the event strike that.
15:16:19	12	Q In this time period, Mr. Page, was it the
15:16:27	13	express policy of Google's senior management not to
15:16:31	14	offer fingerprinting or filtering to content owners
15:16:37	15	unless they entered into a revenue-sharing agreement
15:16:40	16	with Google?
15:16:41	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
15:16:41	18	So once again, this is the same question
15:16:44	19	asked probably in ten different ways today to which
15:16:47	20	the witness has clearly said he doesn't have knowledge
15:16:49	21	of this subject.
15:16:50	22	Therefore, we're clearly in violation of the
15:16:52	23	Court's order, and it is clearly for no purpose any
15:16:55	24	longer that I can see other than to harass this
15:16:58	25	witness.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Page 93
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:16:59	2	MR. BASKIN: Sorry. Sir, let me reread the
15:17:01	3	question again. I need your answer.
15:17:03	4	Q In this time period, Mr. Page, was it the
15:17:06	5	express policy of Google's senior management not to
15:17:09	6	offer fingerprinting or filtering to content owners
15:17:15	7	unless they entered into a revenue-sharing agreement
15:17:17	8	with Google?
15:17:18	9	MR. MANCINI: Same objections. Plus, lacks
15:17:20	10	foundation and vague and ambiguous.
15:17:21	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:17:24	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall discussing
15:17:25	13	with senior management, sir, the fact that Google
15:17:30	14	would not offer fingerprinting to any content owner in
15:17:32	15	the absence of a license?
15:17:34	16	MR. MANCINI: Same exact objections.
15:17:37	17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that.
15:17:41	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, as president of
15:18:12	19	products, isn't that your title, Mr. Page?
15:18:14	20	A And cofounder.
15:18:15	21	Q Yes.
15:18:16	22	As president of products and cofounder, was
15:18:20	23	one of the products that you were president over of
15:18:23	24	YouTube?
15:18:23	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		The state of the s
		Page 94
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:18:26	2	THE WITNESS: Like I stated, Google has a
15:18:28	3	wide variety of products.
15:18:32	4	MR. BASKIN: Mark as Exhibit 14.
15:18:33	5	(Document marked Page Exhibit 14
15:18:38	6	for identification.)
15:18:38	7	MR. MANCINI: While the witness is looking at
15:18:40	8	that, can we just get a time check when you get a
15:18:43	9	moment.
15:18:44	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.
15:18:45	11	MR. BASKIN: We just happened to have him do
15:18:48	12	that. Before the break you guys called, we were under
15:18:52	13	two hours.
15:18:53	14	MR. MANCINI: Okay.
15:19:01	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're at 124 minutes.
15:19:03	16	124.
15:19:04	17	MR. MANCINI: Thank you.
15:19:09	18	While this witness is reviewing this
15:19:41	19	document, I want to renew my continuing objection and
15:19:43	20	increasing concern over the apparent intentional
15:19:49	21	disavowence of this Court's order.
15:19:52	22	This document is, on its face, marked as
15:19:54	23	having been presented to Mr. Schmidt at his
15:19:58	24	deposition, and we're asking this witness again,
15:20:00	25	again, about something that's been asked about before,
	_	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 95
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:20:04	2	but I hope we'll move on quickly.
15:20:06	3	MR. BASKIN: We are. We're gonna ask him
15:20:08	4	about his knowledge and authorization.
15:20:10	5	Q Mr Mr. Page, who is Mr. Eun, E-U-N?
15:20:14	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
15:20:15	7	several times.
15:20:15	8	THE WITNESS: Some sort of business person
15:20:17	9	working for Google.
15:20:19	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, you will see in Page
15:20:22	11	Exhibit 14, this is an e-mail that you have no reason
15:20:26	12	to believe you received; right, sir?
15:20:28	13	A No. My name isn't anywhere on it.
15:20:31	14	Q But Mr. Eun refers to the bottom of the
15:20:35	15	page to the new CYC system, which includes audio
15:20:42	16	fingerprinting using Audible Magic's database and
15:20:48	17	other tools.
15:20:49	18	And then you'll see, sir, in the first
15:20:56	19	it's really the second paragraph I guess, at the top
15:20:58	20	of the page, he says "Our CYC tools are now live and
15:21:03	21	well and are only offered to partners who enter into a
15:21:08	22	revenue deal with us."
15:21:11	23	Do you see that, Mr. Page?
15:21:13	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; it mischaracterizes
15:21:16	25	the document.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

ļ		Page 96
·	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:21:17	2	THE WITNESS: I'm reading the document, too.
15:21:20	3	Yes.
15:21:22	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, is Mr. Eun's recital of
15:21:28	5	Google's policy as only offering the CYC tools to
15:21:34	6	partners who enter into a revenue deal, was that an
15:21:38	7	accurate portrayal of Google's policies and practices
15:21:43	8	as authorized by senior management in and around
15:21:46	9	February 2007, Mr. Page?
15:21:47	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:21:48	11	vague and ambiguous.
15:21:49	12	THE WITNESS: I have no recollection of that.
15:21:53	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall being advised,
15:21:54	14	in and around February 2007, that that is Google's
15:21:57	15	policy?
15:21:58	16	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; and to the
15:22:00	17	extent, although I don't think it is, it's seeking
15:22:02	18	advice of counsel. Instruct the witness not to
15:22:04	19	answer.
15:22:05	20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't I don't recall
15:22:08	21	that.
15:22:08	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. And assuming that Mr. Eun
15:22:11	23	has it right and this was Google's policy, sir, could
15:22:15	24	this have been Google's policy without the
15:22:17	25	authorization approval of the senior management of the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 97
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:22:20	2	company?
15:22:21	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:22:22	4	hypothetical; vague and ambiguous.
15:22:25	5	I do suggest we move on, Mr. Baskin.
15:22:28	6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'll state this e-mail
15:22:30	7	appears to have never been sent, except to himself.
15:22:34	8	MR. BASKIN: Q. My question is, sir, if his
15:22:37	9	characterization of the policy is accurate as set
15:22:40	1.0	forth in Exhibit 14, could this have been Google's
15:22:42	11	policy without the authorization and approval of the
15:22:45	12	senior management of the company?
15:22:47	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:22:48	14	hypothetical; vague and ambiguous.
15:22:52	15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't I don't
15:22:53	16	recall.
15:22:53	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now by the way, as you
15:23:13	18	sit here today, sir, do you know even one example
15:23:29	19	where in the end of 2006 or January, February, March,
15:23:37	20	April 2007 where Google provided filtering and
15:23:42	21	fingerprinting to any studio or TV producer in the
15:23:46	22	absence of a revenue-sharing deal?
15:23:48	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:23:50	24	vague and ambiguous; asked and answered several times.
15:23:55	25	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 98
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:23:56	2	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, do you recall whether
15:24:03	3	senior management, in fact, discussed that it would be
15:24:14	4	Google's practice not to provide for strike that.
15:24:17	5	Now, was David Drummond a member of the OC?
15:24:32	6	A David Drummond is a member of the OC.
15:24:35	7	Q That is to say he's a member of the senior
15:24:38	8	management of the company, right, sir? He sits in the
15:24:44	9	OC meetings.
15:24:45	10	A That's correct.
15:24:45	11	Q Did Mr. Drummond advise the senior management
15:24:55	12	of the company that he had received letters from the
15:25:02	13	general counsel of Viacom and the general counsel of
15:25:06	14	NBC Universal, in and around February 2007, requesting
15:25:14	15	that Google cooperate with those two companies to
15:25:17	16	utilize filtering and fingerprinting to on the
15:25:20	17	YouTube website?
15:25:21	18	MR. MANCINI: So objection, and instruct the
15:25:23	19	witness not to answer to the extent it's seeking
15:25:26	20	communications with counsel, which it seems that it
15:25:28	21	clearly indeed is.
15:25:30	22	MR. BASKIN: Your position is that if you
15:25:35	23	communicate and he got a letter it is a privileged
15:25:38	24	communication?
15:25:38	25	MR. MANCINI: You're asking about the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 99
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:25:39	2	communication itself.
15:25:40	3	MR. BASKIN: Okay. Let's do it the hard way.
15:25:46	4	Let's have exhibit where are we?
15:25:48	5	THE REPORTER: 14.
15:25:49	6	MR. BASKIN: 14?
15:25:50	7	THE REPORTER: 15.
15:25:51	8	MR. BASKIN: Let me hand you, sir, what we
15:25:56	9	will mark as Exhibit 14.
15:25:58	1.0	MR. DEIXLER: 15.
15:26:00	11	MR. BASKIN: 15 is it?
15:26:01	12	THE REPORTER: Yes.
15:26:02	13	MR. BASKIN: I'm sorry.
15:26:02	14	MR. MANCINI: 15.
15:26:04	15	MR. BASKIN: Which purports to be a letter
15:26:06	16	from Michael Fricklas at Viacom to David Drummond,
15:26:10	17	Kent Walker of Google.
15:26:11	18	(Document marked Page Exhibit 15
15:26:21	19	for identification.)
15:26:21	20	MR. BASKIN: And just to make our life easier
15:26:23	21	again, why don't we go to Exhibit 16.
15:26:28	22	MR. MANCINI: Do you mean 15?
15:26:29	23	MR. BASKIN: I thought the other one is 15.
15:26:31	24	This is now 16; is that right?
15:26:33	25	THE REPORTER: Yes.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 100
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:26:35	2	MR. BASKIN: The Viacom letter is 15. The
15:26:37	3	NBC letter is 16.
15:26:41	4	MR. MCGILL: He's introducing the second
15:26:44	5	exhibit at the same time.
15:26:44	6	MR. BASKIN: I'm introducing two exhibits.
15:26:46	7	MR. MANCINI: Go ahead.
15:26:47	8	(Document marked Page Exhibit 16
15:27:48	9	for identification.)
15:27:48	10	MR. MANCINI: Is there a question pending,
15:27:50	11	Counselor?
15:27:51	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. Are you ready?
15:27:54	13	Mr. Page, you received Exhibits 15 and 16
15:28:07	14	prior to today, sir?
15:28:10	15	A I don't recall ever seeing them.
15:28:12	16	Q In the course of the OC meetings or other
15:28:15	17	meetings between senior management, did David Drummond
15:28:19	18	ever tell you and the other OC members that he
15:28:28	19	received Exhibit 15 from the general counsel of Viacom
15:28:31	20	and Exhibit 16 from the general counsel of NBC
15:28:35	21	Universal both in February of 2007?
15:28:38	22	MR. MANCINI: So I'll permit the witness to
15:28:41	23	answer what appears to be a question directed at
15:28:44	24	whether or not Mr. Drummond indicated that these
15:28:48	25	documents were received, but just so we're cautious,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	-	
		Page 101
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:28:52	2	instruct the witness not to communicate any
15:28:55	3	communications from Mr. Drummond about the content or
15:28:58	4	discussions surrounding these documents to the extent
15:29:01	5	there were any and if Mr. Page was even present for
15:29:04	6	those.
15:29:05	7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:29:05	8	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall the senior
15:29:11	9	management of the company of the OC at this time ever
15:29:20	10	giving consideration to cooperating with Viacom or NBC
15:29:28	11	and to use fingerprinting to keep their content off
15:29:33	12	the YouTube website in and around February 2007?
15:29:36	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
15:29:38	14	compound question.
15:29:40	15	THE WITNESS: I I don't recall.
15:29:42	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Sorry?
15:29:43	17	A I don't recall.
15:29:44	18	Q You recall no discussion about cooperating
15:29:46	19	with these two companies at all, is that right,
15:29:50	20	Mr. Page?
15:29:50	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and just
15:29:52	22	answered.
15:29:52	23	THE WITNESS: Sorry. That's a different
15:29:53	24	question.
15:29:54	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall the senior

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 102
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:29:58	2	management at the company, including you, ever giving
15:30:01	3	consideration to cooperating with Viacom and NBC in
15:30:07	4	February 2007 to use filtering techniques to keep
15:30:11	5	their content off the YouTube website?
15:30:13	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
15:30:15	7	asked and just answered.
15:30:17	8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:30:17	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. You know, if two of the
15:30:44	10	largest entertainment companies in the world are
15:30:48	11	simultaneously communicating with Mr. Drummond to
15:30:52	12	request YouTube's cooperation, is that the sort of
15:30:55	13	topic that you would expect to be considered by the OC
15:31:00	14	and the senior management of the company, sir?
15:31:02	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for
15:31:04	16	speculation.
15:31:10	17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any such
15:31:13	18	discussion.
15:31:13	19	MR. BASKIN: Now, let me hand you, sir, what
15:32:32	20	we'll mark as Exhibit 17.
15:32:41	21	(Document marked Page Exhibit 17
15:32:46	22	for identification.)
15:32:46	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Sir, this is a very long
15:33:31	24	document. What I'm going to ask you to do, first of
15:33:35	25	all so we can, again, try to get you out in a timely

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 103
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:33:39	2	fashion, is to simply have you verify that you are the
15:33:42	3	Larry Page to whom this document was sent, and then
15:33:45	4	I'm going to ask you to turn to what's page 25 of the
15:33:51	5	document, and I have actually page the page
15:33:56	6	preceding 25 and page 25, and I have a few questions
15:33:58	7	about that.
15:33:59	8	MR. MANCINI: So let's take that one at a
15:34:02	9	time when you're ready.
15:34:03	10	THE WITNESS: My name is on the document,
15:34:05	11	yes. Sorry. I don't seem oh.
15:35:22	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. So, first of all, who is
15:35:23	13	Jonathan Rosenberg?
15:35:26	14	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections to
15:35:27	15	the violation of the Court's order.
15:35:29	16	THE WITNESS: He's one of our executive vice
15:35:34	17	presidents or whatever we call them. I don't know
15:35:35	18	exactly.
15:35:36	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. And do you recall him
15:35:40	20	sending Exhibit 17 to you and Mr. Schmidt and
15:35:43	21	Mr. Brin?
15:35:45	22	A No, I don't recall that, sir.
15:35:46	23	Q And when you turn to that page that I
15:35:50	24	referenced, page 25
15:35:54	25	A I should probably say I get hundreds of such

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 104
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:35:58	2	things.
15:35:58	3	Q If you turn to the page preceding page 25,
15:36:01	4	the actual the it says "How to get more content
15:36:06	5	digitization"; do you see that, sir?
15:36:12	6	A Sorry. I've been looking at page 25, so
15:36:14	7	okay.
15:36:14	8	Q Okay. Then we turn to page 25.
15:36:18	9	Do you recall you and Mr. Brin and
15:36:23	10	Mr. Schmidt discussing any of the points made on
15:36:32	11	page 25 of Page Exhibit 17?
15:36:36	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:36:38	13	vague and ambiguous.
15:36:39	14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall discussing
15:36:47	15	page 25.
15:36:48	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, how about the items on
15:36:50	17	page 25, sir? Do you recall you and you,
15:36:52	18	Mr. Page you and Mr. Brin and Mr. Schmidt,
15:36:56	19	discussing the items found on page 25?
15:36:58	20	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:37:00	21	THE WITNESS: Same answer.
15:37:01	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. No; is that the answer?
15:37:04	23	MR. MANCINI: No. I believe the witness
15:37:08	24	said, "I don't recall."
15:37:09	25	THE WITNESS: Yeah.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 105
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:37:09	2	MR. BASKIN: Q. So, for example, do you
15:37:20	3	recall the three senior management of Google discussed
15:37:26	4	whether they should threaten a change in copyright
15:37:29	5	policy as a threat to get standard deal sign-ups with
15:37:36	6	content owners?
15:37:37	7	MR. MANCINI: So, Mr. Baskin, besides the
15:37:39	8	fact that you're mischaracterizing the document, he's
15:37:41	9	just answered that question.
15:37:42	10	If you want to read him each of the bullet
15:37:45	11	points in the hopes you might get a different answer,
15:37:47	12	I don't know how we're doing anything other than
15:37:49	13	wasting this witness's time.
15:37:51	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you hear my question,
15:37:53	15	sir?
15:37:55	16	Do you recall discussing with the other two
15:37:56	17	top senior executives of the company whether Google
15:38:00	18	should threaten a change in its copyright policy as a
15:38:04	19	threat to get a standard deal sign-up with content
15:38:06	20	owners?
15:38:06	21	MR. MANCINI: Same objections, and lacks
15:38:08	22	foundation, and vague and ambiguous.
15:38:09	23	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall.
15:38:13	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall being advised,
15:38:36	25	in 2007, that entire movies were being uploaded onto

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		The state of the s
		Page 106
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:38:45	2	YouTube?
15:38:45	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:38:49	4	vague and ambiguous.
15:38:49	5	THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall.
15:38:50	6	MR. BASKIN: Let me give you the names of
15:38:59	7	some movies, and tell me if you recall being told if
15:39:03	8	they were being uploaded in their entirety onto
15:39:05	9	YouTube.
15:39:07	10	Q How about Sicko, a movie released by
15:39:13	11	Weinstein Company? Were you advised of that, sir?
15:39:25	12	A Privileged information.
15:39:30	13	Q How about Spider Man III?
15:39:35	14	MR. MANCINI: So to the extent it calls for
15:39:37	15	communications with counsel, instruct the witness not
15:39:39	16	to answer to the extent it seeks to reveal
15:39:41	17	attorney-client communications.
15:39:42	18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:39:46	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. How about Blood Diamond?
15:39:48	20	MR. MANCINI: Same objection and instruction.
15:39:49	21	THE WITNESS: I won't answer.
15:39:54	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. How about the Walt Disney
15:40:03	23	picture Cars?
15:40:04	24	MR. MANCINI: Same objection and instruction.
15:40:06	25	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	,	
		Page 107
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:40:06	2	MR. BASKIN: Q. How about Meet The
15:40:08	3	Robinsons?
15:40:09	4	MR. MANCINI: Same objection and instruction.
15:40:10	5	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:40:11	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. How about Pans Labyrinth?
15:40:17	7	MR. MANCINI: Same objection and instruction.
15:40:18	8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:40:25	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Is it your testimony that
15:40:27	10	you do not recall strike that.
15:40:28	11	Is it your testimony, as you sit here today,
15:40:31	12	that you do not recall being advised of any movie
15:40:34	13	up uploaded in its entirety onto YouTube in 2007?
15:40:40	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; mischaracterizes his
15:40:41	15	testimony.
15:40:41	16	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:40:42	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, do you recall the
15:40:55	18	senior management of the company discussing what to do
15:41:05	19	about entire movies being uploaded onto YouTube in
15:41:09	20	2007?
15:41:10	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation,
15:41:11	22	and objection and instruction not to answer to the
15:41:15	23	extent it seeks attorney-client privileged
15:41:17	24	communications.
15:41:17	25	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 108
	, 1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:41:19	2	MR. BASKIN: Q. Were you aware that Google
15:41:37	3	was strike that.
15:41:42	4	I take it, sir, that senior management was
15:41:45	5	very aware that Google was in discussions with the
15:41:48	6	MPAA, the Motion Picture Association, regarding
15:41:54	7	copyright compliance issues?
15:41:55	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
15:41:56	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Are you aware of that?
15:41:57	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
15:41:58	11	lacks foundation; calls for a legal conclusion.
15:42:05	12	THE WITNESS: I don't recollect that.
15:42:12	13,	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
15:42:29	14	Q Did the senior management of the company,
15:42:34	15	sir, authorize Google personnel to tell the MPAA that
15:42:40	16	Google would refuse to filter or use fingerprinting
15:42:47	17	technologies in and around February 2007 in the
15:42:52	18	absence of a license deal with members of the MPAA?
15:42:56	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:42:58	20	vague and ambiguous, and continuing objection to the
15:43:01	21	expressed disavowance of this Court's order with
15:43:05	22	respect to this deposition.
15:43:06	23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:43:22	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. If the MPAA was so advised,
15:43:26	25	could that have been done, sir, without the express

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	·····	
		Page 109
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:43:29	2	authorization and permission of the senior management
15:43:31	3	of Google?
15:43:32	4	MR. MANCINI: Same exact objections.
15:43:34	5	THE WITNESS: Again, I feel like the same
15:43:39	6	hypothetical question. You're asking me if,
15:43:43	7	hypothetically, should they have authorization or not
15:43:47	8	for that. I think it's an unclear statement for a
15:43:50	9	company.
15:43:57	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, you learned, did you
15:43:59	11	not, that there came a time in February 2007 where
15:44:04	12	Viacom did a very substantial takedown of its content
15:44:10	13	off of the YouTube website?
15:44:12	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
15:44:13	15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you restate
15:44:16	16	the question?
15:44:17	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did there come a time when
15:44:22	18	you learned in February 2007 that my client, Viacom,
15:44:27	19	did a very substantial takedown of its content off of
15:44:31	20	the YouTube website?
15:44:33	21	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:44:34	22	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:44:34	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Let me show you you don't
15:44:57	24	recall being advised that Viacom had done a massive
15:45:00	25	takedown off of the YouTube website in or around
]		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 110
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:45:03	2	February 2007?
15:45:04	3	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; asked and
15:45:04	4	answered.
15:45:06	5	THE WITNESS: I already said I don't recall.
15:45:09	6	MR. BASKIN: Let's have what we will mark as
15:45:29	7	Exhibit 18.
15:45:31	8	(Document marked Page Exhibit 18
15:47:16	9	for identification.)
15:47:16	10	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to read the
15:47:19	11	whole document?
15:47:20	12	MR. BASKIN: No. In fact, let me just tell
15:47:23	13	you, first, let's just first authenticate it first,
15:47:26	14	and I'll ask you a very simple question.
15:47:28	15	Q Sir, Exhibit 18, on its face, appears to be a
15:47:32	16	document, an e-mail chain, the top of which
15:47:35	17	Mr. Kordestani is sending the rest of the e-mail chain
15:47:40	18	expressly to you and Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Brin. Do you
15:47:46	19	see that, sir?
15:47:47	20	A Yes. My name is on this, yes.
1 5:47:49	21	Q Do you recall, in fact, receiving Exhibit 18?
15:47:51	22	A No, I do not recall.
15:47:52	23	Q Does this refresh your recollection, in and
15:47:53	24	around February 2007, that Viacom had performed a
15:47:57	25	massive takedown of its content off of YouTube?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 111
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:48:01	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
15:48:02	3	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:48:03	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, I just have one
15:48:11	5	question for you, sir.
15:48:12	6	Are you familiar with the ways in which
15:48:26	7	YouTube could perform a takedown off of the off
15:48:28	8	off of the website? Is that is anything you of
15:48:33	9	familiarity with as president of products?
15:48:35	10	A Again, we have a very large number of
15:48:38	11	products. I'm not the operational person. I assume
15:48:41	12	we have people who are very knowledgeable about those
15:48:44	13	topics.
15:48:46	14	Q Okay. So how a takedown might be performed
15:48:48	15	is not something that you feel qualified to talk
15:48:54	16	about?
15:48:55	17	A Is there a question?
15:48:56	18	MR. MANCINI: And objection; continuing
15:48:57	19	objection that it violates the Court's order.
15:49:00	20	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
15:49:01	21	Q In particular, you see that Mr. Kordestani
15:49:07	22	can you give him back the document, please.
15:49:17	23	You'll see, if you go, sir, to the page two,
15:49:22	24	the Bates stamp '3153 of Exhibit 18, Mr. Kordestani
15:49:35	25	puts forth two ways in which the takedown might be

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 112
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:49:40	2	done.
15:49:41	3	One way was for Viacom to give specific URLs
15:49:47	4	to YouTube, and the other is if Viacom only gave
15:49:52	5	search terms or program names; do you see those two
15:49:54	6	sentences, sir?
15:49:55	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; document speaks for
15:49:57	8	itself.
15:49:59	9	Mr. Baskin, I really hope, now, we're getting
15:50:02	10	to a question that is within this witness's knowledge.
15:50:05	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I have no specific
15:50:07	12	knowledge of that.
15:50:08	13	MR. BASKIN: Okay. That wasn't question.
15:50:09	14	Q You have no and this topic was not
15:50:10	15	discussed, I take it, among you and Mr. Schmidt and
15:50:13	16	Mr. Brin after you received Exhibit 18
15:50:18	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:50:19	18	vague and ambiguous.
15:50:20	19	MR. BASKIN: Q the manner in which
15:50:21	20	YouTube could implement a takedown?
15:50:23	21	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:50:24	22	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:50:25	23	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
15:50:36	24	Q Have you used the YouTube website, Mr. Page?
15:50:39	25	A Yes.
1		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 113
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:50:39	2	Q And let me ask you, when you're a computer
15:51:18	3	engineer; are you not?
15:51:19	4	A I have a computer engineering degree.
15:51:22	5	Q So when a clip is uploaded onto YouTube by an
15:51:34	6	outsider, by an uploader, am I correct that YouTube
15:51:38	7	makes a copy of of it and stores that copy on
15:51:43	8	YouTube's server?
15:51:44	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
15:51:46	10	THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with exactly
15:51:51	11	what YouTube does with uploads.
15:51:53	12	MR. MANCINI: And I just want to continue my
15:51:55	13	objection as now being way beyond the Court's
15:51:57	14	instruction that this be limited to the witness's
15:52:00	15	knowledge, who has already testified to lacking
15:52:03	16	operational knowledge of YouTube, by the way.
15:52:06	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you know whether YouTube,
15:52:11	18	in order to encourage browsing, creates a searchable
15:52:17	19	index on the site?
15:52:20	20	MR. MANCINI: Same exact objections.
15:52:22	21	THE WITNESS: I have no specific knowledge of
15:52:26	22	that.
15:52:26	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, you do know, for
15:52:28	24	example, do you not, that YouTube sorts and indexes
15:52:40	25	videos to encourage browsing? Isn't that right?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 114
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:52:44	2	MR. MANCINI: So, Stu, this is really a point
15:52:47	3	we should really cut this off, because we're going way
15:52:50	4	beyond Judge Stanton's instructions here.
15:52:50	5	MR. BASKIN: You know, the deposition
15:52:52	6	would could been done well short of four hours.
15:52:52	7	Probably would have been done short of two hours, but
15:52:58	8	for all the objections. I'm asking him things within
15:52:59	9	his knowledge. If he doesn't know, he doesn't know.
15:52:59	10	MR. MANCINI: He said He said how many
15:52:59	11	times you want to ask him. He says he doesn't have
15:53:01	12	this operational knowledge.
15:53:03	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. You do know, sir, that
15:53:05	14	YouTube's website has distribution contracts with
15:53:09	15	various parties; do you not?
15:53:12	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
15:53:13	17	and same continuing objections.
15:53:17	18	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what "distribution
15:53:20	19	contracts" mean.
15:53:21	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. You're serious? You don't
15:53:22	21	know what a distribution contract means?
15:53:23	22	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:53:24	23	THE WITNESS: Well, it could mean many
15:53:27	24	things.
15:53:27	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you tell the securities

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.