Page 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY ) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC. TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC, Plaintiffs, ) NO. 07-CV-2103 vs. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,) on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, ) NO. 07-CV-3582 vs. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC., Defendants. VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LARRY PAGE PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2009 JOB NO. 17750

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

	77 Table 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Page 2
1	OCTOBER 1, 2009
2	12:23 p.m.
3	
4	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LARRY PAGE,
5	WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI, LLP,
6	601 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California
7	pursuant to notice, and before me,
8 .	ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CLR, RPR, CRR, CSR
9	License No. 9830.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC.:
4	SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
5	By: STUART BASKIN, Esq.
6	SEAN T. STRAUSS, Esq.
7	599 Lexington Avenue
8	New York, New York 10022
9	(212) 848-4000 stuart.baskin@shearman.com
1.0	
11	FOR THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND PROSPECTIVE CLASS:
12	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
13	By: BERT H. DEIXLER, Esq.
14	2049 Century Park East, Suite 3200
15	Los Angeles, California 90067-3206
16	(310) 284-5663 bdeixler@proskauer.com
17	
18	FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC and
19	GOOGLE, INC.:
20	MAYER BROWN, LLP
21	By: JOHN MANCINI, Esq.
22	DAVID H. MCGILL, Esq.
23	1675 Broadway
24	New York, New York 10019-5820
25	(212) 506-2312 jmancini@mayerbrown.com

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCE'S (Continued.)
2	
3	
4	ALSO PRESENT: Timothy L. Alger, Deputy General
5	Counsel, Google Inc.
6	
7	Catherine Lacavera, Senior
8	Litigation Counsel, Google Inc.
9	
10	Armando Carrasco, Videographer.
11	
12	00
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 5
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA
	3	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2009
	4	12:23 P.M.
	5	
12:27:25	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's videotaped
12:27:26	7	deposition of Larry Page is taken on October 1st,
12:27:30	8	2009, at Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati,
12:27:35	9	601 South California Avenue, Palo Alto, California.
12:27:37	10	In the matter of Viacom International versus YouTube,
12:27:42	11	Inc., and The Football Association. Case No.
12:27:47	12	07-CV-3582 and 2103, in the court of the Southern
12:27:51	13	District of New York.
12:27:52	14	My name is Armando Carrasco. I represent
12:27:55	15	David Feldman Worldwide, located at 600 Anton
12:27:59	16	Boulevard, Suite 1100, Costa Mesa, California.
12:28:02	17	We are now commencing at 12:23 p.m.
12:28:05	18	Will all present please identify themselves,
12:28:08	19	beginning with the witness.
12:28:09	20	THE WITNESS: Larry Page from Google.
12:28:12	21	MR. MANCINI: John Mancini, Mayer Brown LLP
12:28:16	22	for defendants YouTube and Google.
12:28:20	23	MR. ALGER: Timothy Alger from Google, Inc.
12:28:24	24	MR. MCGILL: David McGill, Mayer Brown, also
12:28:25	25	for defendants.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 6
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:28:25	2	MS. LACAVERA: Catherine Lacavera, Google,
12:28:26	3	Inc.
12:28:26	4	MR. BASKIN: Stuart Baskin of Shearman &
12:28:28	5	Sterling for Viacom.
12:28:29	б	MR. STRAUSS: Sean Strauss of Shearman &
12:28:33	7	Sterling.
12:28:33	8	MR. DEIXLER: Bert Deixler of Proskauer &
12:28:37	9	Rose on behalf of the Class Plaintiffs.
12:28:37	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
12:28:37	11	Will will the court reporter please swear
12:28:39	12	in the witness.
12:28:39	13	LARRY PAGE,
12:28:39	14	having been sworn as a witness,
12:28:39	15	testified as follows:
12:28:39	16	
12:28:53	17	MR. BASKIN: You ready?
12:28:55	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
12:28:56	19	We're on the record.
12:28:56	20	EXAMINATION BY MR. BASKIN
12:28:56	21	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
12:28:57	22	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Page.
12:28:59	23	A Good afternoon.
12:29:00	24	Q What is your
12:29:02	25	MR. MANCINI: Stu, may I, just before we

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 7
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:29:03	2	begin, just to put on the record, we want to mark the
12:29:06	3	entirety of this transcript "Highly Confidential."
12:29:09	4	As we've agreed, the videotape that's being
12:29:12	5	taken will be kept in by one copy only by Viacom
12:29:17	6	counsel under lock and key, and this deposition is
12:29:19	7	obviously pursuant to the Court's April 2nd, 2009,
12:29:22	8	order.
12:29:22	9	And I do want to note for the record the
12:29:26	10	fault, which seems to lie with the court reporting
12:29:31	11	service, that we're starting an hour late.
12:29:34	12	MR. BASKIN: Let's go off the record again
12:29:36	13	for the with respect to this sequestering of the
12:29:39	14	of the transcript
12:29:39	15	MR. MANCINI: Sure.
12:29:40	16	MR. BASKIN: off the tape. Let's just go
12:29:40	17	off the record for a second. I don't think we should
12:29:40	18	do it on the record.
12:29:42	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off the
12:29:42	20	record.
12:29:43	21	The time is 12:25 p.m.
12:29:48	22	(Discussion off the record.)
12:30:04	23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the
12:30:49	24	record.
12:30:49	25	The time is 12:26 p.m.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 8
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:30:52	2	MR. BASKIN: Just to reformat the arrangement
12:30:54	3	and to clarify it, the agreement is that with respect
12:30:59	4	to the videotape, that each of the law firms rep on
12:31:04	5	the plaintiffs' side, with respect to both cases, will
12:31:08	6	maintain one copy of the recording, and we will
12:31:11	7	maintain it in a secure place under lock.
12:31:16	8	MR. MANCINI: Agree with the clarification.
12:31:21	9	Okay.
12:31:21	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. And with that, good
12:31:23	11	afternoon, again, Mr. Page.
12:31:25	12	A Good afternoon.
12:31:26	13	Q Have you had did you prepare for this
12:31:29	14	deposition?
12:31:32	15	A I had a conversation with my attorneys here.
12:31:35	16	Q And how long did the conversation with your
12:31:38	17	attorneys last?
12:31:41	18	A Couple of hours.
12:31:42	19	Q And by your attorneys, who was in attendance
12:31:46	20	during the course of this conversation?
12:31:48	21	A I think the same group that's assembled here.
12:31:52	22	Q The okay. That's sufficient for these
12:31:57	23	purposes.
12:31:57	24	Now, you met with the attorneys your
12:31:59	25	attorneys for two hours?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 9
]	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:32:01	2	A I said a few hours.
12:32:02	3	Q A few hours.
12:32:03	4	You met with them over the course of just one
12:32:06	5	day?
12:32:10	6	A Met with them yesterday, and we were waiting
12:32:12	7	for the proceedings to get started here.
12:32:15	8	Q And during the course of your meeting with
12:32:18	9	them, they showed you documents, I take it?
12:32:21	10	A We examined a number of documents.
12:32:25	11	Q And approximately how many documents were you
12:32:27	12	shown in the course of your preparation, Mr. Page?
12:32:36	13	A I mean, I don't recall exactly, but I would
12:32:39	14	guess it's hard for me to estimate, but I would say
12:32:48	15	under ten. Something in that range.
12:32:50	16	Q And apart from the ten documents or the under
12:32:56	17	ten documents you were shown, you've been shown non
12:32:59	18	other no other documents in connection with your
12:33:01	19	preparation today for this deposition?
12:33:05	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
12:33:07	21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I think I
12:33:09	22	described what what we did.
12:33:12	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, did any of those ten
12:33:13	24	documents, since there are so few of them, did any of
12:33:16	25	them refresh your recollection regarding some aspect

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 10
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:33:20	2	of your testimony?
12:33:24	3	A Sorry. I haven't given any testimony yet;
12:33:28	4	no?
12:33:29	5	Q That's fair enough.
12:33:30	6	Did any of the ten documents refresh your
12:33:32	7	recollection regarding events concerning the
12:33:36	8	relationship between Google and YouTube?
12:33:42	9	A I guess maybe you can rephrase the question.
12:33:44	10	We looked at a number of documents. I don't
12:33:50	11	know. We read through them.
12:33:53	12	Q The question was, did any of those that you
12:33:55	13	read through refresh your recollection regarding what
12:34:01	14	happened back in 2006, 2007, 2008 in the relationship
12:34:07	15	between YouTube and Google?
12:34:11	16	A I guess I'm still confused about the
12:34:13	17	question, because I don't have a photographic memory
12:34:15	18	for every document produced by, you know, a huge
12:34:18	19	number of employees at Google. So I'm sure any
12:34:20	20	document I looked at I didn't photographically
12:34:26	21	remember it.
12:34:29	22	Q Okay. Now, what is your current title at
12:34:34	23	Google?
12:34:35	24	A I'm president of products and cofounder.
12:34:38	25	Q And would I be correct in believing that you

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

F————		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Page 11
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:34:42	2	and Eric Schmidt and Sergey Brin are the three most
12:34:47	3	senior executives of Google?
12:34:51	4	A Yes.
12:34:52	5	Q Now, am I also correct that a larger group of
12:34:58	6	senior executives hold regular meetings to discuss
12:35:07	7	strategy and business issues?
12:35:09	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:35:11	9	THE WITNESS: We have various meetings, no,
12:35:16	10	in a large company.
12:35:18	11	MR. BASKIN: Q. Is there a formal body of
12:35:20	12	that has a name of senior executives?
12:35:25	13	A Sorry. Which senior executives?
12:35:29	14	Q Well, you and Mr. Brin and Mr. Schmidt, and
12:35:32	15	maybe seven or eight others. Is there a formal body
12:35:37	16	of executives that meet?
12:35:41	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:35:45	18	THE WITNESS: There's been various groups
12:35:47	19	over time.
12:35:49	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. So, as I recall, there was a
12:35:53	21	name change in in connection with with some
12:35:57	22	group of senior executives.
12:35:59	23	I'm just trying to determine that, because it
12:36:01	24	will make our questions easier. We can talk
12:36:05	25	isn't there was a group of senior executives,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 12
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:36:07	2	including the three of you and several senior vice
12:36:10	3	presidents, that meet with some regularity; isn't that
12:36:12	4	true?
12:36:13	5	A Yes.
12:36:13	6	Q And what is the name of that group?
12:36:16	7	A Currently, the name of that group is the OC.
12:36:20	8	Q And OC stands for what?
12:36:24	9	A I'm not exactly sure.
12:36:25	10	Q And prior and the OC had a name
12:36:28	11	previously a different name previously; did it not?
12:36:32	12	A Well, it was a different group before that.
12:36:34	13	Q But I take it that you have always been a
12:36:39	14	member of this group of senior executives; isn't that
12:36:42	15	true?
12:36:43	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:36:44	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess, which group do
12:36:47	18	you mean?
12:36:47	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, both the OC and the
12:36:50	20	predecessor to the OC. You were the members of both;
12:36:53	21	were you not?
12:36:56	22	A Like I already said, I think the groups were
12:36:59	23	different. I think that's why the name was changed.
12:37:01	24	Q I understand the groups were different, but
12:37:04	25	my question is, were you a member of both groups?
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

# DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 13
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:37:07	2	A Well, sorry, I didn't refer to the previous
12:37:10	3	group.
12:37:10	4	Q Mr. Page, the group prior to the name change
12:37:17	5	to OC, were you a member of that group?
12:37:19	6	A Well, I'm still you're not naming the
12:37:22	7	group, so.
12:37:23	8	Q Do you remember the name of the group?
12:37:25	9	A I remember there was a group that was
12:37:27	10	previous to that group called EMG, yes.
12:37:33	11	Q EMG; what did that stand for?
12:37:35	12	A I don't know. We usually use the acronym.
12:37:38	13	Q And were you a member of the EMG as well?
12:37:41	14	A Yes.
12:37:41	15	Q Now, the EMG and the OC, how frequently do
12:37:44	16	they meet?
12:37:48	17	MR. MANCINI: So, Stu, I've given you a
12:37:52	18	little bit of latitude here, but I think you recall
12:37:54	19	Judge Stanton specifically himself called out that
12:37:58	20	questions should not be put to this witness about
12:38:01	21	biography, jobs he held, responsibilities then and
12:38:05	22	now, all sorts of things, according to the judge, it
12:38:09	23	be prepared by an affidavit by the executive and
12:38:12	24	others.
12:38:12	25	MR. BASKIN: That's fair enough.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	<del></del>	
		Page 14
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:38:13	2	MR. MANCINI: So I just want to move this
12:38:14	3	along, if we could.
12:38:16	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. I take it there are regular
12:38:17	5	scheduled meetings of both the EMG and the OC; is that
12:38:20	6	correct?
12:38:21	7	A Yes.
12:38:22	8	Q And did they occur weekly?
12:38:25	9	A Typically.
12:38:26	10	Q Now, so as not to have to keep you here
12:38:36	11	longer than necessary, I'd like to begin with some
12:38:43	12	areas where I suspect you have no personal knowledge
12:38:46	13	to offer the jury.
12:38:48	14	So, first, have you ever read any of the
12:38:51	15	e-mails that were written by Chad Hurley, Steve Chen,
12:38:58	16	or Jawed Karim, prior to YouTube's acquisition by
12:39:02	17	Google?
12:39:02	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation,
12:39:03	19	and objection to the reference to a jury.
12:39:08	20	THE WITNESS: I mean, I probably get, you
12:39:09	21	know, thousands of e-mails per day or some huge
12:39:13	22	number. It's pretty hard for me to answer a general
12:39:15	23	question about an e-mail that I might have received.
12:39:17	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, prior to the
12:39:18	25	acquisition of YouTube by Google, were you receiving

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 15
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:39:23	2	e-mails from Mr. Hurley?
12:39:26	3	A I already answered the question.
12:39:29	4	Q Were you receiving e-mails from Mr. Hurley,
12:39:31	5	Mr. Page?
12:39:32	6	A I'd have no way of knowing the answer to
12:39:34	7	that.
12:39:35	8	Q And prior to the acquisition of Google of
12:39:40	9	YouTube by Google, were you receiving e-mails from
12:39:42	10	Mr. Chen?
12:39:44	11	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
12:39:45	12	THE WITNESS: Same, same question. Like I
12:39:48	13	said, it's very difficult, you know, if you're
12:39:50	14	receiving large amounts of e-mail, you asking me
12:39:53	15	whether I remember receiving an e-mail many years ago
12:39:56	16	is it's not something I'm gonna remember.
12:40:00	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall ever receiving
12:40:02	18	e-mails written by Mr. Karim prior to the acquisition
12:40:05	19	of YouTube by Google?
12:40:06	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
12:40:09	21	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Mr. Karim is?
12:40:19	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. You recall receiving any
12:40:21	23	e-mails from Mr. Karim?
12:40:23	24	MR. MANCINI: I just want to note, I think
12:40:26	25	the witness's question back to counsel was indicating

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 16
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:40:29	2	a lack of famil familiarity with the individual.
12:40:33	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. You don't know who Jawed
12:40:35	4	Karim is?
12:40:35	5	A The name does not seem familiar, no.
12:40:40	б	Q Do you recall, prior to the acquisition of
12:40:43	7	Google of YouTube by Google, ever reading any
12:40:48	8	e-mails by any of those three gentlemen discussing
12:40:54	9	YouTube's copyright compliance procedures?
12:40:57	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered;
12:41:01	11	lacks foundation.
12:41:02	12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I agree. I feel like
12:41:04	13	you're asking me the same question again.
12:41:05	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Answer the question,
12:41:07	15	Mr. Page.
12:41:08	16	A I don't recall.
12:41:11	17	Q As you sit here today, do you have any
12:41:13	18	personal knowledge, sir, of YouTube's practices, prior
12:41:19	19	to the acquisition by Google, regarding screening of
12:41:23	20	videos by human review?
12:41:25	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
12:41:27	22	vague and ambiguous.
12:41:28	23	THE WITNESS: Don't recall.
12:41:32	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you have any personal
12:41:35	25	knowledge, sir, of YouTube's practices regarding

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 17
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:41:38	2	filtering videos using search terms?
12:41:41	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:41:43	4	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall.
12:41:45	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you have any personal
12:41:46	6	knowledge, sir, of YouTube's practices regarding using
12:41:52	7	metadata to conduct searches for copyright violated
12:41:55	8	mat for copyright materials?
12:41:57	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
12:41:58	10	and calls for a legal conclusion.
12:42:04	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess I'm a bit
12:42:05	12	confused about the question.
12:42:07	13	Do you want to restate the question?
12:42:08	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you have any knowledge
12:42:10	15	regarding YouTube's practices in using metadata to
12:42:16	16	conduct searches for copyright materials?
12:42:19	17	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
12:42:21	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess I don't really
12:42:23	19	understand the question.
12:42:24	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you have any personal
12:42:26	21	knowledge regarding YouTube's practices in using
12:42:32	22	fingerprint technologies in copyright compliance?
12:42:39	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:42:40	24	calls for a legal conclusion.
12:42:42	25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and also I'm confused

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 18
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:42:47	2	about the definition of "YouTube."
12:42:49	3	So you're asking previously about YouTube
12:42:52	4	before the acquisition?
12:42:53	5	MR. BASKIN: Correct.
12:42:54	6	THE WITNESS: YouTube is acquired by Google,
12:42:55	7	so you're asking about Google's practices or YouTube's
12:42:59	8	practices?
12:43:00	9	MR. BASKIN: How about YouTube's practices,
12:43:02	10	prior to the acquisition.
12:43:02	11	THE WITNESS: You didn't state that part, so.
12:43:05	12	MR. BASKIN: Sorry?
12:43:05	13	THE WITNESS: You didn't state prior to the
12:43:07	14	acquisition in the question.
12:43:08	15	MR. BASKING: Okay. We'll do this the hard
12:43:09	16	way, sir.
12:43:10	17	Q Prior to the acquisition of YouTube by
12:43:12	18	Google, were you familiar with YouTube's practices
12:43:14	19	regarding using fingerprint technologies?
12:43:16	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:43:18	21	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall.
12:43:19	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. Prior to the acquisition of
12:43:21	23	YouTube by Google, do you recall if you had any
12:43:25	24	personal knowledge of YouTube's copyright compliance
12:43:27	25	practices?
	_ <del>-</del>	p2400200.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 19
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:43:28	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:43:29	3	calls for a legal conclusion.
12:43:31	4	THE WITNESS: Can you restate that again?
12:43:38	5	MR. BASKIN: Sure.
12:43:39	6	Q Prior to the acquisition of YouTube by
12:43:41	7	Google, do you have any personal knowledge to impart
12:43:45	8	to the jury regarding YouTube's copyright compliance
12:43:48	9	practices?
12:43:49	10	MR. MANCINI: Same objection, and objection
12:43:50	11	to the characterization of a "jury."
12:43:53	12	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:43:54	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. Prior to the acquisition of
12:43:57	14	YouTube by Google, did you ever discuss YouTube's
12:44:01	15	copyright compliance practices with anyone?
12:44:05	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for a legal
12:44:06	17	conclusion.
12:44:07	18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:44:10	19	MR. BASKIN: Prior to the acquisition of
12:44:13	20	YouTube by Google, did you personally discuss whether
12:44:18	21	Google should be indemnified by YouTube or its
12:44:22	22	founders for copyright liability?
12:44:24	23	MR. MANCINI: Okay.
12:44:25	24	Objection; vague and ambiguous, and to the
12:44:27	25	extent it seeks communications from counsel, instruct

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	······	***
		Page 20
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:44:31	2	the client, not the witness, not to respond on the
12:44:33	3	basis of an attorney-client privilege.
12:44:36	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Prior to the acquisition,
12:44:37	5	did you personally discuss whether Google should be
12:44:40	6	indemnified by YouTube for copyright liability?
12:44:43	7	MR. MANCINI: So same objection and same
12:44:45	8	instruction.
12:44:45	9	If you had any such coun communications
12:44:47	10	with counsel, you should not discuss them.
12:44:50	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:44:51	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall whether there
12:44:57	13	is, in fact, a copyright liability indemnification in
12:45:01	14	the in the merger agreement between YouTube and
12:45:03	15	Google?
12:45:03	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
12:45:08	17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:45:11	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Prior to the acquisition of
12:45:16	19	YouTube by Google, did you ever personally discuss
12:45:23	20	with anyone the percentage of views on YouTube that
12:45:28	21	were attributable to premium content?
12:45:31	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:45:33	23	THE WITNESS: Would you like to define
12:45:36	24	"premium content"?
12:45:38	25	MR. BASKIN: Yes.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
		Page 21
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:45:38	2	Copyrighted content made by professionals.
12:45:41	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:45:42	4	calls for a legal conclusion.
12:45:43	5	THE WITNESS: Why don't you restate that.
12:45:53	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. Prior to the acquisition of
12:45:55	7	YouTube by Google, did you ever discuss with anyone
12:45:59	8	the percentage of views on YouTube that are
12:46:04	9	attributable to premium content?
12:46:07	10	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
12:46:08	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:46:10	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you participate
12:46:18	13	strike that.
12:46:18	14	Leading up to the acquisition of YouTube by
12:46:21	15	Google, did you personally participate in the due
12:46:25	16	diligence examination of YouTube conducted by Google
12:46:29	17	employees and investment advisors?
12:46:33	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
12:46:35	19	vague and ambiguous.
12:46:35	20	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:46:46	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall if you ever
12:46:51	22	interviewed anyone at YouTube regarding the practices
12:46:54	23	prior to the acquisition by Google?
12:46:55	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:46:57	25	THE WITNESS: Sorry. What do you mean by

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	-	
		Page 22
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:47:00	2	their "practices"?
12:47:01	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall ever
12:47:04	4	interviewing or speaking with anyone at YouTube
12:47:08	5	regarding their copyright compliance practices prior
12:47:11	6	to the acquisition of YouTube by Google?
12:47:14	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:47:15	8	calls for a legal conclusion.
12:47:17	9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that.
12:47:20	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall ever talking
12:47:23	11	to Mr. Hurley regarding YouTube's business practices
12:47:26	12	prior to the acquisition of YouTube by Google?
12:47:30	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:47:33	14	asked and answered.
12:47:34	15	THE WITNESS: This is a very specific
12:47:38	16	question, so I can't recall.
12:47:39	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you ever recall speaking
12:47:41	18	to Mr. Chen prior to the acquisition of YouTube by
12:47:47	19	Google regarding YouTube's business practices?
12:47:49	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:47:51	21	asked and answered.
12:47:52	22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, same, same answer.
12:47:58	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Which is?
12:48:00	24	A I don't recall about specifically about
12:48:02	25	business practices.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 23
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:48:07	2	Q Did you personally review any of the due
12:48:12	3	diligence memoranda or reports prepared by Google's
12:48:18	4	due diligence team?
12:48:19	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
12:48:22	6	lacks foundation.
12:48:22	7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific due
12:48:30	. 8	diligence.
12:48:30	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you am I correct that
12:48:40	10	you did not attend the board meeting on October 9th
12:48:44	11	when the Google board approved the acquisition of
12:48:46	12	YouTube?
12:48:47	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
12:48:48	14	THE WITNESS: Do you want to ask me if I
12:48:54	15	attended the October 9th board meeting?
12:48:56	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you attend the board
12:49:00	17	meeting on October 9th when the Google board approved
12:49:02	18	the acquisition of YouTube?
12:49:04	19	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
12:49:05	20	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that meeting or
12:49:08	21	whether I was present or not.
12:49:09	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall whether you
12:49:13	23	reviewed the materials submitted to the board prior to
12:49:21	24	its acquisition prior to Google's acquisition of
12:49:25	25	YouTube strike that.

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 24
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:49:26	2	Do you recall reviewing the materials
12:49:28	3	submitted to the Google board prior to the vote by
12:49:33	4	Google's board whether to acquire YouTube?
12:49:36	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
12:49:38	6	and ambiguous.
12:49:38	7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall those
12:49:40	8	facts, so would you like to rephrase your question?
12:49:44	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall whether you
12:49:47	10	reviewed the board book and board materials submitted
12:49:53	11	to the Google board prior to its vote to acquire
12:49:56	12	YouTube?
12:49:57	13	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
12:49:59	14	THE WITNESS: So do you want to rephrase your
12:50:07	15	question? It sounded like the same question nearly.
12:50:11	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Answer the question,
12:50:12	17	Mr. Page.
12:50:14	18	A Would you like to restate it, please, then?
12:50:16	19	Q Do you not understand the question?
12:50:18	20	MR. MANCINI: I think that's what the witness
12:50:20	21	is saying, Mr. Baskin.
12:50:21	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, did you review the
12:50:23	23	board material presented to the Google board prior to
12:50:25	24	its vote to purchase YouTube?
12:50:28	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 25
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:50:30	2	and ambiguous.
12:50:30	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall the
12:50:32	4	premise of your question.
12:50:38	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you review the materials
12:50:42	6	submitted to the Google board on October 9th?
12:50:43	7	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
12:50:46	8	THE WITNESS: Did I review the question
12:50:49	9	the materials presented to the board on October 9th;
12:50:52	10	that's the question?
12:50:52	11	I don't recall.
12:50:53	12	MR. BASKIN: Can I have this?
12:51:05	13	Q You were a member of the Google board on or
12:51:06	14	around October 9th, 2006; were you not, sir?
12:51:10	15	A Yes.
12:51:10	16	Q And is it your practice to review board
12:51:12	17	materials submitted to the the board?
12:51:17	18	A Sometimes yes, sometimes no.
12:51:18	19	Q And when the Google board is about to
12:51:21	20	undertake an important decision, do you generally
12:51:24	21	review the materials submitted to the board?
12:51:29	22	A Like I said already, sometimes yes, sometimes
12:51:31	23	no.
12:51:31	24	Q Did you consider the acquisition of YouTube
12:51:33	25	by Google to be an important decision, Mr. Page?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 26
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:51:41	2	A It was a significant acquisition.
12:51:43	3	Q Did you is it your practice to review the
12:51:46	4	materials submitted to the Google board in draft?
12:51:50	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts.
12:51:53	6	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you make that a
12:51:56	7	little more specific?
12:51:57	8	MR. BASKIN: Q. When materials are being
12:51:59	9	prepared for the Google board, before they are
12:52:03	10	submitted before they are submitted to the Google
12:52:05	11	board in final, do you review the drafts?
12:52:08	12	MR. MANCINI: Are you asking him, Mr. Baskin,
12:52:12	13	as a general practice matter, because I do think
12:52:14	14	that's going beyond what Judge Stanton permitted?
12:52:15	15	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you review them in draft,
12:52:20	16	the materials submitted to the Google board?
12:52:23	17	MR. MANCINI: Same objection.
12:52:29	18	Are you asking as a general practice? Is
12:52:32	19	that the question? Because that's going beyond the
12:52:33	20	Judge's order.
12:52:34	21	MR. BASKIN: John, this will go a lot faster
12:52:38	22	to answer I'm going to go to the judge to get more
12:52:41	23	time the way he's behaving, so so you should go
12:52:44	24	ahead.
12:52:44	25	Q Is it your normal practice to review in draft

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 27
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:52:47	2	documents that go to the Google board?
12:52:50	3	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
12:52:54	4	You can answer.
12:52:54	5	THE WITNESS: Not typically.
12:52:56	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. And in the case of the board
12:52:59	7	book submitted to the board in and around October 9th,
12:53:04	8	do you recall if you reviewed that book and draft,
12:53:08	9	sir?
12:53:08	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
12:53:12	11	and ambiguous.
12:53:12	12	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
12:53:14	13	MR. BASKIN: Let me hand you what we will
12:53:19	14	mark as page one.
12:53:34	15	(Document marked Page Exhibit 1
12:53:55	16	for identification.)
12:53:55	17	MR. MANCINI: Counsel, while this witness is
12:53:57	18	reviewing this document, I do want to note my
12:53:59	19	continuing objection and just remind you of what Judge
12:54:03	20	Stanton said specifically on the conference held on
12:54:08	21	April 2nd, 2009.
12:54:09	22	Proceed immediately, this is now directed to
12:54:11	23	the plaintiff to what is necessary and only attainable
12:54:14	24	from this witness.
12:54:15	25	I've given you a lot of latitude this

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 28
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:54:17	2	morning.
12:54:17	3	This document is evidence by itself, has been
12:54:19	4	asked of two prior witnesses, including David
12:54:22	5	Drummond. So I'm hoping that you're gonna follow what
12:54:26	6	the judge had asked and ask Mr. Page, one of the most
12:54:30	7	senior executives at Google, what you can only get
12:54:32	8	from him.
12:54:32	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, have you seen
12:54:34	10	Exhibit 1 prior to today, sir?
12:54:43	11	A Let me let me take a chance to look at it.
12:55:31	12	I don't recall seeing it around the time of
12:55:33	13	the acquisition.
12:55:35	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. When do you do you recall
12:55:37	15	seeing it at any time?
12:55:41	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the extent it
12:55:43	17	seeks communications with counsel. I instruct the
12:55:50	18	witness not to answer.
12:55:51	19	THE WITNESS: I won't answer that.
12:55:52	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Your only recollection
12:55:54	21	seeing this document is when it was shown to you by
12:55:56	22	counsel?
12:55:57	23	MR. MANCINI: That's impermissible,
12:55:59	24	Counselor, and you know it.
12:55:59	25	MR. BASKIN: It's not.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 29
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:56:00	2	MR. MANCINI: You're assuming a fact I've
12:56:02	3	instructed him not to answer to and then asking him to
12:56:05	4	answer that question.
12:56:05	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. The has anyone as you
12:56:09	6	sit here today, sir, do you have any reason to
12:56:12	7	question the accuracy of any information communicated
12:56:16	8	to the Google board in Exhibit 1?
12:56:22	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts to
12:56:24	10	which the witness testified he has no knowledge or
12:56:27	11	recollection.
12:56:28	12	THE WITNESS: Well, this is, you know, like a
12:56:30	13	20-page document or something. Would you like me to
12:56:32	14	take the time to read all of it? I've looked at it
12:56:34	15	for about a minute.
12:56:35	16	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
12:56:36	17	Q Why don't we turn, for example, to page 12 of
12:56:57	18	the document.
12:57:25	19	You have page 12 in front of you?
12:57:27	20	A Yes.
12:57:27	21	Q Do you recognize page 12, sir?
12:57:31	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
12:57:32	23	THE WITNESS: You're asking do I recall the
12:57:34	24	document? I don't recall the document.
12:57:35	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. On page 12, you'll see that

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 30
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:57:40	2	First Boston strike that.
12:57:43	3	You were aware who was Google's financial
12:57:46	4	advisor in connection with the acquisition of YouTube?
12:57:49	5	A I don't recall.
12:57:51	6	Q You don't recall if it was First Boston?
12:57:54	7	A No.
12:57:54	8	Q You will you will note that in on
12:57:56	9	page 12 of Exhibit 1, the financial advisor for Google
12:58:04	10	placed a base case valuation of YouTube at
12:58:09	11	\$2.7 billion; do you see that, Mr. Page?
12:58:13	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
12:58:14	13	characterization of the document. The document speaks
12:58:15	14	for itself.
12:58:17	15	THE WITNESS: I'm confused. It also says
12:58:19	16	Credit Suisse, not First Boston.
12:58:24	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you have a recollection
12:58:25	18	Credit Suisse was your financial advisor?
12:58:29	19	A No, I don't recall.
12:58:29	20	Q Now, do you see, sir, on page 12 that Credit
12:58:33	21	Suisse valued a base case valuation of YouTube at
12:58:38	22	\$2.7 billion?
12:58:39	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
12:58:40	. 24	characterization of the document; the document speaks
12:58:42	25	for itself.
1		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 31
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:58:45	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess what I mean,
12:58:46	3	it's hard for me to take this one slide out of
12:58:49	4	context.
12:58:50	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. In October 2006, did you
12:58:56	6	believe that Google was paying a fair price for
12:58:58	7	YouTube?
12:59:03	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:59:06	9	THE WITNESS: Are you referring to our
12:59:07	10	acquisition price?
12:59:08	11	MR. BASKIN: Yes.
12:59:13	12	THE WITNESS: So your question is? Why
12:59:16	13	why don't you state the question again.
12:59:17	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. In October 2006, do you
12:59:21	15	believe that Google was paying a fair price for
12:59:23	16	YouTube?
12:59:24	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:59:25	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure how to
12:59:28	19	define "fair" in the question.
12:59:30	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you believe that Google
12:59:31	21	was overpaying for YouTube?
12:59:34	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:59:36	23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall my, you know,
12:59:40	24	exact train of thought around the price.
12:59:42	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you believe that

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 32
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12:59:43	2	Google that YouTube was worth \$1.65 billion?
12:59:49	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
12:59:50	4	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I I don't
12:59:56	5	recall my exact thinking around the price of YouTube.
12:59:59	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did anyone tell you at the
13:00:02	7	time that he or she thought that YouTube was not worth
13:00:06	8	\$1.65 billion?
13:00:14	9	A I don't recall what was said around the
13:00:16	10	price.
13:00:18	11	Q Do you recall if anyone told the senior
13:00:22	12	management of Google that YouTube was not worth
13:00:27	13	\$1.65 billion?
13:00:31	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
13:00:33	15	and ambiguous.
13:00:33	16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I actually don't recall
13:00:37	17	the discussion around price.
13:00:39	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you know, sir, whether
13:00:47	19	any of the carrying value of YouTube has been written
13:00:54	20	down on Google's books?
13:00:56	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:00:58	22	vague and ambiguous.
13:01:00	23	THE WITNESS: Not sure what you mean by
13:01:02	24	"carrying value."
13:01:03	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you know whether any of

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	-	
		Page 33
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:01:05	2	the acquisition price paid by Google has been written
13:01:10	3	down on Google's books in connection with the YouTube
13:01:16	4	acquisition?
13:01:17	5	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
13:01:17	6	And, Counsel, we've gone so far beyond what
13:01:20	7	is this client's knowledge. Again, contrary to what
13:01:22	8	the Court has said, so I'm hopeful we'll move on
13:01:25	9	quickly.
13:01:26	10	THE WITNESS: I mean, I have a lot of
13:01:28	11	accountants and people like that. I'm not aware of
13:01:31	12	the specifics of that.
13:01:32	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you know whether do
13:01:34	14	you have any idea of what the carrying value of
13:01:38	<b>1</b> 5	YouTube is on Google's books today?
13:01:40	16	MR. MANCINI: Same objections, and same
13:01:41	<b>1</b> 7	reminder to counsel about the Court's order with
13:01:44	18	respect to this witness.
13:01:45	19	THE WITNESS: Same answer.
13:01:45	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. You know or don't know,
13:01:48	21	Mr. Page?
13:01:49	22	A I already stated that I am not aware.
13:01:52	23	Q Now, prior to October 2006, Google had its
13:02:00	24	own competing video site called Google Video; did it
13:02:04	25	not?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	,	
		Page 34
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:02:06	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
13:02:07	3	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure about the
13:02:15	4	definition of "competing," and we actually still have
13:02:20	5	such a thing.
13:02:21	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. Prior to October 2006,
13:02:23	7	Google had a video site called Google Video; did it
13:02:30	8	not?
13:02:31	9	A That's correct.
13:02:31	1.0	Q And did you have personal knowledge at that
13:02:34	11	time of the copyright compliance practices followed by
13:02:37	12	Google Video in 2006?
13:02:38	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
13:02:39	14	calls for a legal conclusion, and to the extent that,
13:02:45	15	if the witness has any knowledge to this issue, that
13:02:47	16	comes from a communication with counsel, I instruct
13:02:50	17	the witness not to answer.
13:02:51	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.
13:02:53	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Was Google Video, Mr. Page,
13:02:55	20	actively screening for copyright materials prior to
13:02:59	21	upload in 2006?
13:03:01	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
13:03:02	23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:03:06	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Was Google Video using human
13:03:08	25	review, Mr. Page, to screen for copyright violation

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 35
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:03:12	2	materials in 2006?
13:03:13	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for a legal
13:03:14	4	conclusion.
13:03:15	5	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:03:17	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. Was Google Video searching
13:03:20	7	by search terms on its site to seek out copyright
13:03:25	8	violated materials?
13:03:26	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for a legal
13:03:28	10	conclusion.
13:03:28	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:03:29	12	MR. BASKIN: Let me show you what we will
13:03:40	13	mark as this one Page Exhibit 2.
13:04:00	14	(Document marked Page Exhibit 2
13:04:17	15	for identification.)
13:04:17	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Were you the term, sir
13:04:37	17	MR. MANCINI: So I Mr. Baskin, once again,
13:04:40	18	this document doesn't even list Mr. Page as a
13:04:44	19`	recipient. It's been
13:04:45	20	MR. BASKIN: Well, that's what I'm about to
13:04:46	21	ask him, sir.
13:04:47	22	MR. MANCINI: Okay.
13:04:48	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Does the name
13:04:53	24	Harappa-BD@Google.com, does that mean anything to you,
13:04:54	25	Mr. Page?

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 36
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:04:57	2	A It looks like an e-mail address of a group.
13:05:00	3	Q And you were a member of that group; were you
13:05:02	4	not?
13:05:03	5	A I don't recall.
13:05:04	6	Q Do you recall being advised in and around
13:05:08	7	December of 2005 that Google Video was sweeping videos
13:05:13	8	to take out any copyright violations on videos that
13:05:17	9	are less than two minutes long? Do you recall being
13:05:21	10	told that, sir?
13:05:21	11	MR. MANCINI: So objection to the
13:05:23	12	characterization of the document, and again objection
13:05:25	13	to the fact that a document by its marking here was
13:05:29	14	shown, at least to Mr. Drummond, is going beyond this
13:05:32	15	witness's unique knowledge, which is what this Court
13:05:35	16	permitted for this testimony.
13:05:36	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, were you aware
13:05:37	18	that Google Video was sweeping videos for copyright
13:05:40	19	violations, videos that were less than two minutes
13:05:45	20	long?
13:05:46	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
13:05:46	22	characterization of the document, and objection to the
13:05:49	23	extent it seeks a legal conclusion.
13:05:50	24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:05:54	25	Can I can I just state, too, this is

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 37
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:05:56	2	probably a Harappa-bd is probably for business
13:06:02	3	development. It seems very unlikely I would be on
13:06:05	4	such a list.
13:06:07	5	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
13:06:12	6	Q After the acquisition of YouTube by Google,
13:06:20	7	did Google senior management make a decision not to
13:06:26	8	deploy screening at YouTube?
13:06:31	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:06:33	10	vague and ambiguous.
13:06:34	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:06:35	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. After the acquisition by
13:06:39	13	YouTube of YouTube by Google, did Google's senior
13:06:44	14	management ratify a decision not to deploy screening
13:06:48	15	practices at YouTube?
13:06:49	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered;
13:06:51	17	lacks foundation; vague and ambiguous.
13:06:53	18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:06:54	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. After the acquisition of
13:06:55	20	YouTube by Google, did Google's senior management
13:07:00	21	determine to cease using practices to ferret out
13:07:09	22	copyright violations at YouTube that were being used
13:07:11	23	at Google Video?
13:07:12	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:07:14	25	vague and ambiguous; calls for a legal conclusion.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 38
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:07:17	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.
13:07:18	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. After the acquisition by
13:07:20	4	YouTube of Goo of YouTube by Google, did Google's
13:07:25	5	senior management ratify a decision not to utilize
13:07:30	6	practices followed by Google Video to weed out
13:07:35	7	copyright violations at the newly acquired YouTube?
13:07:38	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:07:40	9	vague and ambiguous; calls for a legal conclusion;
13:07:42	10	asked and answered.
13:07:42	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:07:44	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. Were you party to any such
13:07:47	13	discussion, Mr. Page, to cease using practices that
13:07:53	14	Google Video previously had been using to prevent
13:07:56	15	copyright violations?
13:07:57	16	MR. MANCINI: Same exact objections.
13:07:59	17	THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question?
13:08:02	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall being a party
13:08:04	19	to any discussion whereby senior management determined
13:08:14	20	to cease using practices at YouTube to ferret out
13:08:23	21	copyright violations which previously were being used
13:08:26	22	at Google Video?
13:08:27	23	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
13:08:27	24	And with all due respect, Mr. Baskin, it's
13:08:29	25	the exact same question characterized three different

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 39
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:08:30	2	ways.
13:08:31	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.
13:08:32	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Would such a decision have
13:08:35	5	required the approval of senior management?
13:08:37	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:08:39	7	vague and ambiguous.
13:08:39	8	THE WITNESS: I guess I would be speculating
13:08:41	9	if I answered that question.
13:08:42	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, in the ordinary course
13:08:44	11	of how the senior management works, you're one of the
13:08:46	12	three most senior people in the business; right, sir?
13:08:50	13	A Yes.
13:08:50	14	Q Would a decision to cease using copyright
13:08:55	15	compliance techniques at YouTube that previously were
13:08:59	16	being used at Google Video, would such a decision have
13:09:04	17	required the approval of you, Mr. Brin, and
13:09:09	18	Mr. Schmidt?
13:09:10	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:09:13	20	vague and ambiguous.
13:09:15	21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I guess you have a whole
13:09:18	22	bunch of assumptions in your question.
13:09:19	23	MR. MANCINI: Mr. Baskin, I think we can save
13:09:22	24	ourselves a whole lot of time if you can just ask this
13:09:24	25	question, whether or not this witness was involved in
	-	<u> </u>

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 40
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:09:26	2	operational decisions. I think that would be a fair
13:09:29	3	way to go forward.
13:09:30	4	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, would the decision
13:09:32	5	to cease using practices at YouTube that previously
13:09:38	6	were used at Google Video, would such a decision have
13:09:41	7	required your approval
13:09:42	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
13:09:43	9	MR. BASKIN: Q in the ordinary course?
13:09:45	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:09:46	11	vague and ambiguous.
13:09:48	12	THE WITNESS: Same answer. I don't recall.
13:09:50	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, does by the way, I
13:10:08	14	was invited to ask you a question. So let me ask you
13:10:10	15	that question: Are you involved in the operation
13:10:12	16	decisions at Google?
13:10:13	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; I think your
13:10:15	18	question is to Google Video excuse me YouTube.
13:10:20	19	Excuse me. YouTube.
13:10:20	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Are you involved, sir, in
13:10:22	21	operational issues at Google?
13:10:26	22	A Hard to define what "operational issues" are,
13:10:30	23	but I'm one of the most senior executives.
13:10:32	24	Q And, in fact, are you the most senior exec
13:10:36	25	your title says you are president of products; is that
1		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 41
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:10:41	2	correct?
13:10:41	3	A That's correct.
13:10:41	4	Q Does that mean that well, strike that.
13:10:44	5	Why don't you explain to us what you what
13:10:47	6	you understand your ambit to be then; what is your job
13:10:51	7	at Google?
13:10:52	8	MR. MANCINI: So, Counselor, we're completely
13:10:55	9	wasting time. The job description and
13:10:57	10	responsibilities that he holds is exactly what Judge
13:11:00	11	Stanton called out as the things you should not be
13:11:04	12	wasting this witness's time on.
13:11:04	13	MR. BASKIN: I thought you told me to ask
13:11:06	14	him.
13:11:06	15	MR. MANCINI: You asked you asked
13:11:07	16	MR. BASKIN: That's fine. I'll withdraw the
13:11:09	17	question.
13:11:09	18	MR. MANCINI: Okay.
13:11:10	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, in May 2006
13:11:12	20	strike that.
13:11:13	21	Do the initials GPS or mean anything to
13:11:19	22	you?
13:11:21	23	A Yes.
13:11:21	24	Q What are they?
13:11:22	25	A In the context of Google?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 42
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:11:24	2	Q Yes.
13:11:26	3	A They're a set of meetings that we hold.
13:11:29	4	Q And they are basically meetings in which the
13:11:33	5	senior management of the company reviews various
13:11:36	6	products; is that correct?
13:11:40	7	A They're a set of meetings with a wide variety
13:11:43	8	of topics.
13:11:45	9	Q And at each such GPS meeting, is a particular
13:11:49	10	product reviewed or highlighted?
13:11:58	11	A I don't know that I'm a particular expert on
13:12:00	12	the top on the subject of the GPS meetings. Like I
13:12:04	13	said, it's a general meeting. Pretty much, you know,
13:12:09	14	I mentioned whatever people want to talk about. Not
13:12:12	15	particularly well-defined.
13:12:14	16	Q In the spring of 2006, was a GPS meeting held
13:12:20	17	and the topic of that meeting being Google Video?
13:12:24	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
13:12:27	19	and ambiguous.
13:12:27	20	THE WITNESS: I mean, I've you know,
13:12:31	21	probably go to 100 meetings a week or something here.
13:12:35	22	Maybe not that meeting, but some significant number.
13:12:38	23	I don't recall a particular meeting in October of
13:12:40	24	2006.
13:12:40	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall attending a

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 43
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:12:42	2	GPS meeting where the topic was Google Video?
13:12:45	3	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; asked and
13:12:47	4	answered.
13:12:47	5	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:12:50	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. Who is David E-U-N? How
13:13:08	7	would you pronounce that, sir?
13:13:09	8	A I'm not sure I have the proper pronunciation.
13:13:13	9	David Eun.
13:13:16	10	Q Who is he?
13:13:18	11	A He's an employee of Google. He works in some
13:13:24	12	sort of business-related area.
13:13:26	13	Q And in 2006, was he a senior executive of
13:13:33	14	Google Video?
13:13:38	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:13:39	16	vague and ambiguous.
13:13:40	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure how to
13:13:41	18	define "senior executive."
13:13:43	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. In 2006, was he an executive
13:13:47	20	of working within Google Video, sir?
13:13:50	21	A I don't know that much about what David's
13:13:52	22	title was or whatever.
13:13:56	23	Q Let me show you an exhibit which we will mark
13:14:03	24	as Page 3, Page Exhibit 3.
13:14:13	25	///

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 44
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:14:13	2	(Document marked Page Exhibit 3
13:14:21	3	for identification.)
13:14:21	4	MR. BASKIN: I'll hand you Page Exhibit 3.
13:14:39	5	MR. MANCINI: While he reviews the document,
13:14:41	6	I'm going to renew my continuing objection to a
13:14:44	7	document that's been asked of at least two prior
13:14:47	8	witnesses, and we believe violates this Court's order
13:14:51	9	with respect to this deposition.
13:14:52	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, you will see that
13:14:54	11	you are not a recipient of Exhibit 3.
13:14:57	12	Do you see that, sir?
13:14:58	13	A Yes.
13:14:58	14	Q Now, if you go to the bottom of the first
13:15:00	15	page of Exhibit 3, you will see that Mr. Eun or Eun,
13:15:05	16	however you pronounce his name, is describing illegal
13:15:10	17	traffic and piracy on YouTube on the YouTube site;
13:15:14	18	do you see that, sir?
13:15:15	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the
13:15:16	20	characterization of the document.
13:15:17	21	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Would you like me to
13:15:39	22	review the whole document, or what would you like me
13:15:42	23	to do?
13:15:43	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Mr. Page, let me call your
13:15:45	25	attention to the following paragraph, and I'll have a

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 45
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:15:48	2	very simple question about it.
13:15:50	3	"I think we should beat YouTube - and all
13:15:53	4	competitors - but not at all costs. A large part of
13:15:57	5	their traffic is from pirated content. When we
13:16:02	6	compare our traffic numbers to theirs, we should
13:16:05	7	acknowledge that we are comparing our 'legal traffic'
13:16:08	8	to their mix of traffic from legal and illegal
13:16:12	9	content. One senior media executive told me they are
13:16:15	10	monitoring YouTube very closely and referred to them
13:16:19	11	as a 'Video Grokster.'"
13:16:21	12	Do you see that paragraph, sir?
13:16:24	13	A Uh-huh.
13:16:24	14	Q Did Mr. Schmidt ever raise the topics set
13:16:33	15	forth in that paragraph with the OC or its
13:16:37	16	predecessor?
13:16:38	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:16:40	18	vague and ambiguous.
13:16:40	19	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:16:43	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Was there ever a discussion
13:16:45	21	at the OC or its predecessor among the senior
13:16:49	22	executives of Google regarding the extent of pirated
13:16:55	23	content on YouTube?
13:16:56	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:16:58	25	calls for a legal conclusion; vague and ambiguous.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 46
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:16:59	2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:17:03	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Was there ever a discussion
13:17:04	4	that you recall among the senior strike that.
13:17:07	5	Was there ever a discussion of any kind among
13:17:09	6	the senior executives of Google that YouTube has
13:17:23	7	pirated an illegal content constituting a large part
13:17:27	8	of its traffic?
13:17:28	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:17:30	10	vague and ambiguous; calls for a legal conclusion.
13:17:31	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.
13:17:35	12	MR. BASKIN: Q. You recall no such
13:17:37	13	conversations at any time; is that what you're saying?
13:17:39	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
13:17:40	15	THE WITNESS: Sorry. You're asking the same
13:17:41	16	question.
13:17:42	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. You recall no such
13:17:43	18	conversations among the senior executives at Google at
13:17:46	19	any time, right, Mr. Page?
13:17:47	20	MR. MANCINI: Just asked and answered.
13:17:49	21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I couldn't quite
13:17:52	22	hear what you said between the two.
13:17:55	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. I've said I said, during
13:17:56	24	the course of all the OC meetings that you attended
13:18:02	25	and its predecessor to the OC, when the senior

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 47
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:18:06	2	executives of Google convene, am I right, sir, that is
13:18:14	3	your testimony, that you do not recall an instance
13:18:18	4	where the senior executives of Google discussed that a
13:18:23	5	large part of the traffic in YouTube is pirated
13:18:28	6	content?
13:18:28	7	MR. MANCINI: So same exact objections. It's
13:18:31	8	been asked and it's been answered, and although the
13:18:35	9	witness has testified to his lack of knowledge, I also
13:18:37	10	want to object to preserve it to the extent it calls
13:18:40	11	for communications with counsel, and I instruct the
13:18:43	12	client not to answer.
13:18:44	13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:19:03	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, in the course how
13:19:21	15	often, by the way, do you speak to Mr. Schmidt?
13:19:27	16	A How often do I speak to Mr. Schmidt?
13:19:31	17	Q Is it a daily event?
13:19:36	18	A I mean, there's a lot of you know, a lot
13:19:40	19	of travel and so on. Pretty often.
13:19:46	20	Q And how close are your offices, Mr. Page?
13:19:50	21	A How close are our offices physically?
13:19:54	22	Five-minute walk.
13:19:58	23	Q And do you recall Mr. Schmidt communicating
13:20:05	24	to you regarding what he had learned at the May GPS
13:20:13	25	meeting on the topic of Google Video?
:		

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 48
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:20:16	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:20:17	3	vague and ambiguous.
13:20:18	4	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:20:19	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall, for example,
13:20:22	6	whether Mr. Schmidt ever told you that the Google
13:20:39	7	Video team had stated in a deck given to him, that
13:20:52	8	YouTube's business model is completely sustained by
13:20:56	9	pirated content? They are at the mercy of companies
13:21:01	10	not responding with DCM requests?
13:21:08	11	Do you recall Mr. Schmidt ever telling you he
13:21:10	12	learned that at a GPS meeting regarding the topic of
13:21:15	13	Google Video and YouTube?
13:21:16	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:21:17	15	vague and ambiguous.
13:21:18	16	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:21:18	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall Mr. Schmidt
13:21:20	18	ever advising the OC or its predecessor of what he was
13:21:28	19	told at the spring 2006 GPS meeting on the topic of
13:21:34	20	piracy at YouTube?
13:21:35	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
13:21:37	22	vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion.
13:21:39	23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:21:39	24	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall whether the
13:21:42	25	senior executives of Google, prior to the decision to

## DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 49
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:21:47	2	buy YouTube, ever considered the issue of piracy at
13:21:53	3	YouTube?
13:21:53	4	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
13:21:57	5	calls for a legal conclusion.
13:22:00	6	To the extent it seeks communications with
13:22:01	7	counsel, I instruct the witness not to answer.
13:22:04	8	THE WITNESS: I won't answer.
13:22:06	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you ever consider in
13:22:10	10	your own mind, prior to the acquisition of YouTube by
13:22:13	11	Google, the issue of copyright piracy at YouTube?
13:22:22	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for a legal
13:22:24	13	conclusion; vague and ambiguous.
13:22:25	14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
13:22:27	15	MR. BASKIN: Why don't we take a two-minute
13:22:37	16	break.
13:22:38	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off the
13:22:39	18	record.
13:22:39	19	The time is 1:18 p.m.
13:22:41	20	(Lunch break taken 1:18 p.m.)
	21	00
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 450 Seventh Avenue - Ste 2803, New York, NY 10123 (212)705-8585

		Page 50
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	2	AFTERNOON SESSION
13:53:39	3	1:54 p.m.
13:53:39	4	
13:58:17	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going back on
13:58:29	6	the record. The time is 1:54 p.m.
13:58:33	7	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
13:58:35	8	Q Mr. Page, am I correct that over the years,
13:58:44	9	Google has held negotiations with major entertainment
13:58:52	10	companies like movie studios and producers of
13:58:56	11	televised content for revenue-sharing deals, whereby
13:59:05	12	the content owners would license their content over
13:59:09	13	YouTube or Google Video and split revenue with Google?
13:59:15	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
13:59:17	15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, there's a lot of premises
13:59:23	16	there.
13:59:24	17	Can you split it up into multiple questions,
13:59:27	18	maybe?
13:59:28	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. Over the years, has Google
13:59:32	20	engaged in negotiations with entertainment companies
13:59:40	21	to license their
13:59:41	22	A Wait. Can we stop there?
13:59:45	23	Q to license their content?
13:59:47	24	A Okay. We have there's probably been a
13:59:55	25	number of different deals with entertainment companies

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

1		Page 51
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13:59:57	2	around content.
14:00:00	3	Q And am I right that the way these deals
14:00:04	4	function is that Google would receive a license to use
14:00:13	5	the content on Google Video or YouTube and
14:00:19	6	advertisements advertising revenues surrounding
14:00:24	7	that content would be shared between Google and the
14:00:27	8	entertainment companies?
14:00:29	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; assumes facts; vague
14:00:31	10	and ambiguous.
14:00:31	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, again, there's a lot of
14:00:35	12	assumptions there. Can you split that again into
14:00:37	13	multiple questions?
14:00:38	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Was it a key element of
14:00:42	15	Google's negotiations with movie studios and TV
14:00:49	16	companies that the TV companies would license their
14:00:55	17	content on Google Video and YouTube?
14:00:57	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
14:00:58	19	THE WITNESS: Can you restate that?
14:01:04	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. You didn't understand my
14:01:06	21	words, Mr. Page?
14:01:08	22	A Well, I think it's very important that I
14:01:09	23	answer accurately, so I'd like you to restate the
14:01:12	24	question.
14:01:14	25	Q Am I correct, sir, that a key element of

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		The state of the s
		Page 52
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:01:20	2	Google's negotiations with movie studios and TV
14:01:23	3	companies is for Google to acquire a license to
14:01:30	4	display their content on Google Video or YouTube?
14:01:34	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
14:01:41	6	THE WITNESS: Sorry. I guess I'm I'm
14:01:44	7	confused about the definition of "key element."
14:01:49	8	MR. BASKIN: Q. Which word are you having
14:01:51	9	problems with, Mr. Page? The word "key" or "element"?
14:01:56	10	MR. MANCINI: I'm actually confused by the
14:01:58	11	terms myself, Mr. Baskin. There's probably a better
14:02:01	12	way to ask that question.
14:02:02	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. Which word confuses you,
14:02:04	14	Mr. Page, key or element?
14:02:06	15	A The combination of the two words.
14:02:07	16	Q That combination is confusing to you, sir?
14:02:11	17	Is that right?
14:02:13	18	A You know, business deals between large
14:02:15	19	companies are pretty complex things involving many
14:02:20	20	different aspects. There's many possible companies
14:02:25	21	represented by your question. It's a difficult
14:02:27	22	question to answer.
14:02:32	23	Q When Google has held negotiations with movie
14:02:35	24	studios and television producers of television
14:02:41	25	content, has Google sought to obtain a license to
!		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 53
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:02:49	2	display their content on YouTube or Google Video?
14:02:56	3	A Again, the first part of the question, movie
14:03:01	4	studios or? You want to remind me of the question?
14:03:08	5	Your your corporate entities were movie studios or?
14:03:12	6	Q Are you having problems understanding me,
14:03:15	7	Mr. Baskin?
14:03:16	8	MR. MANCINI: Mr. Baskin, he just wants to be
14:03:19	9	precise. He wants you to break down the question.
14:03:21	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. When Google has had
14:03:22	11	discussions with movie studios, has Google sought to
14:03:26	12	negotiate to get a license to display their
14:03:32	13	copyrighted content on YouTube and Google Video?
14:03:36	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
14:03:37	15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.
14:03:43	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, do you recall when
14:03:44	17	Google has had discussions with with television
14:03:47	18	producing companies like MTV Networks or Turner
14:03:53	19	Broadcasting, did Google seek to negotiate to get a
14:04:00	20	license to display their copyrighted content on
14:04:04	21	YouTube and Google Video?
14:04:05	22	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; objection to
14:04:08	23	the extent it seeks a legal conclusion, and continuing
14:04:11	24	objection as it being beyond the scope of Judge
14:04:14	25	Stanton's order for this deposition, but you can
) 		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 54
ı	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:04:19	2	answer if you recall.
14:04:20	3	THE WITNESS: Again, there's a lot of detail
14:04:24	4	in those arrangements and a lot of complexity, and so
14:04:30	5	the the definition of all those terms is is
14:04:33	6	relevant to how I would answer the question. You're
14:04:40	7	asking me a very, very specific question which I then
14:04:43	8	can't recall.
14:04:43	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, when Google or YouTube
14:04:50	10	personnel well, let's break it down first.
14:04:53	11	When YouTube personnel have held negotiations
14:04:58	12	with major entertainment companies, have you been kept
14:05:04	13	advised regarding the status of the negotiation?
14:05:07	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
14:05:10	15	Do you have a time period in mind,
14:05:11	16	Mr. Baskin?
14:05:14	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. What's your answer,
14:05:15	18	Mr. Page?
14:05:17	19	A In general, for all Google businesses, you
14:05:19	20	know, I try to keep apprised of what's happening, but
14:05:23	21	there's a tremendous number of deals going on and
14:05:26	22	things going on.
14:05:27	23	Q Well, my question is, particularly in the
14:05:31	24	negotiations with big movie studios, like Viacom
14:05:37	25	Paramount, or Walt Disney, or Turner, or NBC
11.00.07	23	raramodic, or wate bibliog, or rariet, or abe

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 55
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:05:44	2	Universal, were you being kept apprised of the status
14:05:48	3	of the negotiations?
14:05:51	4	A Again, I said, in general, I'm kept apprised
14:05:53	5	of major happenings in the company.
14:05:57	6	Are you asking a specific question about a
14:06:01	7	specific deal or just in general?
14:06:03	8	Q Let me ask it again. Listen very carefully
14:06:05	9	to the question, okay, Mr. Page.
14:06:07	10	Here's the question: Particularly in the
14:06:12	11	negotiations with big movie studios like Viacom,
14:06:17	12	Paramount, or Walt Disney, or Turner, or NBC
14:06:24	13	Universal, were you being kept apprised of the status
14:06:29	14	of the negotiations?
14:06:30	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection; compound question.
14:06:33	16	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say particularly.
14:06:40	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. And when you say you
14:06:52	18	wouldn't be say "particularly," you mean what do
14:06:54	19	you mean by that, sir?
14:06:56	20	A It seems like you're trying to imply in the
14:06:58	21	complicated question form that I was particularly
14:07:00	22	apprised of such deals. I already told you, in
14:07:03	23	general, I'm apprised of what's going on. I don't
<b>1</b> 4:07:05	24	think it was any different with these kinds of deals.
14:07:09	25	Q Now, at any point in time, did you were
1		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 56
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14:07:13	2	you being advised of the terms being offered to Viacom
14:07:22	3	in connection with negotiations for a license to
14:07:27	4	display Viacom content over YouTube?
14:07:30	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
14:07:35	6	MR. DEIXLER: May I note for the record and
14:07:37	7	note my objection to the witness's repeated looking at
14:07:41	8	the transcript of the questions and answers. I
14:07:43	9	believe it's irregular and improper, and I ask that
14:07:46	10	that aide to his testimony be taken from him.
14:07:50	11	MR. MANCINI: So I just want to note for the
14:07:51	12	record that I said very specifically at the beginning
14:07:52	13	of this deposition that this monitor, which is for my
14:07:54	14	benefit, was close in proximity to the witness, and I
14:07:58	15	didn't hear an objection to that because of the setup
14:08:00	16	of this room.
14:08:01	17	I'm happy to move it, Mr. Baskin, if you
14:08:04	18	think it's somehow improperly aiding this witness.
14:08:07	19	MR. BASKIN: To be honest with you, I love
14:08:11	20	the fact he's videotaped watching the monitor, so
14:08:13	21	you're welcome to look at the monitors, as far as I'm
14:08:13	22	concerned, but I understand his objection.
14:08:17	23	Q Now so let me ask you again, Mr. Page.
14:08:20	24	In connection with Viacom, were you being
14:08:24	25	apprised of the terms of the negotiations between

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.