		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 18-CR-204(NGG)	
4	: U.S. Courthouse	
5	: 0.0. courthouse : Brooklyn, New York -against-	
6	: TRANSCRIPT OF : SENTENCING	
7	: :	
8	ALLISON MACK, : June 30, 2021 : 11:00 a.m.	
9	Defendant. :	
10	X	
11	BEFORE: HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS, U.S.D.J.	
12	APPEARANCES:	
13	For the Government: JACQUELYN M. KASULIS, ESQ.	
14	Acting United States Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East	
15	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: TANYA HAJJAR, ESQ.	
16	Assistant U.S. Attorney	
17	For the Defendant: KOBRE & KIM LLP	
18	800 Third Avenue	
19	New York, New York 10022 BY: SEAN S. BUCKLEY, ESQ.	
20	WILLIAM F. McGOVERN, ESQ. MATTHEW I. MENCHEL, ESQ.	
21		
22	Court Reporter: Holly Driscoll, CSR, FCRR	
23	Chief Court Reporter 225 Cadman Plaza East	
24	Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 613-2274	
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript.	

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States versus Mack, 1 2 criminal cause for sentencing. 3 Beginning with the government, please state your 4 appearances for the record. MS. HAJJAR: Good morning, Your Honor, Tanya Hajjar 5 for the government and with me at counsel's table are Special 6 7 Agents Michael Weniger and Michael Lever of the FBI, and we're also joined by Jennifer Fisher of the United States Probation 8 9 Department. 10 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 MR. BUCKLEY: Good morning, Your Honor, Sean 13 Buckley, William McGovern and Matthew Menchel on behalf of 14 Ms. Mack who is seated to my right. 15 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. McGOVERN: Good morning, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Good morning. 18 Before we get started let me just remind everyone to 19 please continue wearing your masks unless you're speaking in 20 the well and also please do not, if you still have an 21 electronic device, which you shouldn't, please do not use it. We've instructed the court security officers that anyone who 22 23 uses such a device during this proceeding will be asked to 24 leave. 25 So, this is a sentencing for defendant Allison Mack

who has entered a plea of guilty on April 8th, 2019 to two counts of an 11-count second superseding indictment. Ms. Mack has pleaded guilty to Count One, which charged her with racketeering conspiracy in violation of 18, United States Code, Sections 1962(d) and 1963(a), and to Count Two, which charged her with racketeering in violation of 18, United States Code, Sections 1962(c) and 1963(a). The statutory maximum sentence for these crimes is 20 years on each count. There's no statutory mandatory minimum. The sentence on each count may run consecutively.

Per the plea agreement, the defendant admits to the following racketeering activity: (1) the extortion of Jane Doe 5 and Jane Doe 8; second, the forced labor of Jane Doe 5 and Jane Doe 8; and third, wire fraud of "lower-ranking" members of the organization called DOS, D-O-S. She also stipulates to the conduct underlying the sex trafficking of Jane Doe 5.

We'll proceed as follows: First, I will identify the materials that the Court has reviewed in connection with this sentencing and I'll ask the attorneys if I've missed anything, to so advise me.

I'd like to thank Ms. Fisher of the Probation

Department for the excellent work that the Probation

Department has done in preparing the presentence investigation report and supporting the efforts of the Court in connection

4 1 with this litigation. 2 The Court has reviewed the Presentence Investigation 3 Report of May 7th, 2021, and the defendant's response to the 4 PSR in a letter of June 7th, 2021. The Court has also reviewed the addendum to the PSR of June 22nd, 2021. 5 Has the defense received all of the materials from 6 7 the Probation Department and reviewed them with the defendant? 8 MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, we have, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. And the government has 10 received everything as well, correct? 11 MS. HAJJAR: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. The Court has reviewed the 13 government's sentencing letter authorizing a below guideline sentence pursuant to United States Sentencing Commission 14 Section 5K1.1, that's on June 21, 2021. 15 Has the defense seen that and shared it with 16 Ms. Mack? 17 18 MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor, we have. 19 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 20 I also have reviewed the defendant's sentencing 21 memorandum of June 25th, 2021, and letters of support of the 22 defendant. 23 Has the government received all those materials? 24 MS. HAJJAR: Yes, Your Honor. 25 Finally, the Court has reviewed THE COURT: Okay.

5 1 the victim impact statements. Have those been shared with the 2 defense? 3 MS. HAJJAR: Yes, they have, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. And have those been shared with the defendant? 5 6 MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, they have, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Now, is there anything else that I have not 8 9 mentioned that you have requested me to look at? 10 MS. HAJJAR: No. Your Honor. 11 MR. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, the only thing from the defense were the sealed submissions that we made with regard 12 13 to certain private apology letters. 14 THE COURT: As to certain? MR. BUCKLEY: Certain private apology letters. 15 THE COURT: Yes, I've seen those and I'm going to 16 mention them in my remarks. 17 18 MR. BUCKLEY: Understood. Thank you, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Yes. Let me just ask, there were nine I 20 think, how many of those were actually provided to the 21 victims? 22 MR. BUCKLEY: So, we provided eight of them to the 23 victims and the ninth victim, we reached out to counsel and 24 AUSA Hajjar also reached out to counsel but we were unable to 25 get in contact with her attorney.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Very good. 1 2 Thank you. 3 The next step in this process is to determine the 4 appropriate guideline for these crimes and let me just go over 5 the calculation of the guideline and seek your comments on the 6 guideline calculation. 7 The Probation Department recommends that I calculate 8 the Total Offense Level for Ms. Mack's sentence as a 35 and 9 indicates that because Ms. Mack has no prior criminal history, 10 that her Criminal History Category is Category I. Based on a 11 Total Offense Level of 35 and a Criminal History Category I 12 designation, the Probation Department recommends that I 13 calculate the applicable Guidelines range as 168 to 210 months 14 in the custody of the Attorney General, or put in years, 14 to 15 17 and a half years. 16 Does the government agree with the calculation? 17 MS. HAJJAR: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And does the defense agree with the calculation? 19 20 MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 The Court finds that the Total Offense Level is 23 properly calculated as 35 and that Ms. Mack belongs in 24 Criminal History Category I. Accordingly, the Court 25 calculates the applicable Guidelines range as 168 to

210 months in the custody of the Attorney General.

The next step is to hear the victim impact statements that have been provided to the Court or will be provided to the Court. We have one video statement and we have one in-person statement.

What we'll do is we'll start with the video statement.

(Whereupon, the video was played.)

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

The remaining victim impact statement is from Jane

Doe number 8 who is present and will speak in-person from the podium.

JANE DOE NUMBER 8: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I've traveled across the country and spent the last of my Unemployment just to be here to make sure my voice was present, heard and accounted for, not just for me but for all my sisters who are unable to be here today, for all of Allison's victims who do not have a voice, to not only warn you and the rest of the world but to also shine light on the truth of who Allison Mack truly is. Allison Mack is a predator and an evil human being, a danger to society with no care, remorse or empathy for her victims.

When I woke up one morning to an article about her asking for no jail time because she turned her life around I was horrified. I wanted to scream to the top of my lungs. I

was triggered back into a memory of a real life nightmare she had already put me through.

Your Honor, my name is Jessica Joan. Through this case you've known me as Witness Jay. You heard my story and all the horrors I've had to endure growing up, from being raped, molested, to being abandoned by my mother as a small child, and with all of that combined, Allison Mack and Keith Raniere are the most evil monsters I've ever met.

I met Allison on my first trip to Albany. She was a proctor leading my group during the ESP intensive. From the moment I met her she made me feel like she was a kind, loving and deeply empathetic person. The way she would look into my eyes would make me feel sane, like she really cared. She felt like the big sister I wish I had. Even at the very beginning of joining the vow she would tell me how she'd always be there to look after and protect me. Allison knew all the right things to say in order to lure me in.

Once I joined the vow and learned that she was my grand master, she admitted that she was intentionally leading my group in order to find out who I was. So, from the very beginning she was probing into my psyche learning what made me tick, what drove me and also my deepest vulnerabilities.

Allison is very clear and calculated in the moves she makes, just like when she would randomly ask me how I felt about Keith, what did I think about him, or when she told me

that seduction and sexual power isn't bad if it is used for good. The whole time she had been playing me and, worst of all, grooming me into becoming a sex slave for her beloved Keith Raniere.

Allison maliciously gave me, a survivor of rape and sexual assault, a special assignment, an honor and privilege to seduce Keith and have him take a naked picture of me to prove I did it. And when I pushed back confused, I asked if Keith knew about the vow. She blatantly lied and said that doing this assignment would get rid of all my issues around being sexually abused. This demon of a woman literally tried to use my pain, suffering and traumas against me to be abused by another monster. She intended and tried to hurt the little girl inside of me yet again, the little girl that she watched cry her eyes out night after night reliving these traumas. Let me be very clear, she ended the phone call with a sinister: "And I give you permission to enjoy it."

So, when she was on house arrest hanging with her family, taking Berkeley Women's Studies courses online and enjoying the Orange County sunshine and sipping lattes and putting on lip gloss with her friends, her victims were left hiding in the dark corners of their homes reliving the fear, silence and horror that Allison put us through. She worked her way into my heart. She made me to believe that she would do anything for me but the truth is she sought me out like a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

predator stalking their prey, another little lamb for her to slaughter. She saw the little Jess inside of me and exploited her. She stabbed her and watched her bleed out.

I could blame myself for falling for it but the truth is I was so desperately needing to feel loved, I felt so alone, everyone in my life had left me in the dust and I just wanted to feel like I mattered, that someone cared about me. Allison will never know and feel the ramifications of her actions. She's grown up in a pretty and privileged life, always protected, never knowing the consequences of her She plays the victim so well. She can blame Keith all she wants but she is a monster cut from the same cloth. The fact of the matter is she gained much pleasure out of other people's pain, myself included. I saw the evil glimmer in her eyes and the sick sadistic smile on her face whenever she would punish us. She took great pride and joy knowing she had total control, having blackmail to make us do whatever she wanted. She used fear to intimidate and coerce her supposed sisters to do things against our will.

I truly believe there aren't enough years in the rest of her lifetime to even begin to undo the harm, pain and suffering she has caused myself and the rest of her victims. If I would have gone through with her attempt to sex traffic me, I know I wouldn't be able to stand here in front of you today. And to think I'm one of the lucky ones, I don't have

to walk around with a permanent brand on my pelvic region, none of the beautiful symbols representing the elements that she claimed but of her and Keith's initials; woman mutilated and branded like cattle for her to forever have power and dominion over them with that symbol burned onto their bodies.

Again, I stand here not only for myself but for all the other countless victims unable to be present today and the friends, families and loved ones forever affected by Allison's actions. Her poison does not only affect the victims and loved ones but it also bleeds into future generations. I repeat this from the depths of my heart, Allison Mack is an evil sociopath, a menace to society and a danger to innocent beings. She may put on a good show but don't let her fool you. I know exactly who she is, she's the Ghislaine Maxwell to Keith's Jeffrey Epstein, and I just pray that she gets what she deserves.

Allison, I thought I was going to be angry standing here looking you face-to-face and I'm just sad, I'm sad because you had everything and it wasn't enough. I had nothing and you tried to break me and you tried to dim my light. You imprisoned us but by the grace of God we are free and now it's your turn to be imprisoned and feel as alone and broken as we once did. Only then can you begin to reflect on your actions and darkness and hopes that one day you see the light, then maybe, just maybe you can be free too.

Your Honor, I have complete trust and faith in your empathy, discernment and understanding. I believe you will bring justice to myself and all of the other victims of Allison Mack. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

There are no further witness statements?

MS. HAJJAR: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Having calculated the Guidelines range, I now turn to the factors outlined in 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a). Under Section 3553(a), I must consider several factors in imposing a sentence, including the nature and circumstances of the offense, the defendant's history and characteristics, the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide for just punishment for the offense; the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence; and the need to protect the public. The sentence must be sufficient, but not greater than that necessary, to accomplish the purposes of sentencing.

So, at this point I'm going to hear from the government and then I'll hear from the defense counsel, and then I'll afford the defendant an opportunity to speak.

Ms. Hajjar.

MS. HAJJAR: Thank you, Your Honor. I know the

Court is well aware of the seriousness of the offense conduct in this case as well as the harm caused by the defendant to the victims, including those present today and those who submitted victim impact statements to the Court. The government's sentencing letter described Ms. Mack's cooperation with the government and I want to emphasize the significance of cooperation in a case like this.

As Your Honor knows, DOS was a criminal organization that operated, by its very nature, in secrecy. DOS members were forbidden to discuss the operation of the group and Raniere's role as the head of the organization was concealed to all but the few that he directly recruited. For this reason, information about the meetings and conversations between Raniere and the first line of DOS, including Ms. Mack, were very significant to the government's investigation and prosecution.

Ms. Mack accepted responsibility in this case by pleading guilty and she chose to cooperate by contributing her knowledge of the crimes that she engaged in and to assist the government in holding Raniere and others accountable for their crimes. The government did not call upon Ms. Mack to testify at trial, though she was prepared to do so if called, but Ms. Mack did provide law enforcement with information, material and recordings which proved to be crucial evidence at trial, evidence which confirmed Raniere's role in DOS.

And just as the Court must take into account the seriousness of the crimes and the need for deterrence in this case, the government submits the Court should also take into account Ms. Mack's cooperation and the value of that cooperation in imposing a sentence and for these reasons, the government requests that the Court impose a sentence below the applicable Guidelines range.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, I have no doubt that Your Honor has read through the sentencing submission that we and Ms. Mack put together for the benefit of this Court and for purposes of this proceeding. So, I am going to try my best not to belabor any of those points or repeat those points and instead I just want to use this opportunity to highlight some of the more significant aspects that we set forth in that submission which was directed at giving both the history and characteristics of Ms. Mack, as well as marching through each of the 3553(a) factors that Your Honor has to consider in imposing sentence here.

Now, to be clear, Ms. Mack fully accepts responsibility here. To be sure, nothing that she has said changes anything about the abhorrent conduct that she engaged in, about the harm that she caused the victims, the harm that

she caused innocent individuals who put their trust in her.

Nothing that she says excuses that and we're not asking the

Court to excuse that.

But as you've heard from some of the victims here, as you've heard in some of the victim letters that have been submitted to the Court, this is a unique situation where Ms. Mack stands before this Court not only as a participant in Keith Raniere's abhorrent behavior but also in many respects as a victim of it. And, again, we don't say that to the Court to ask you to excuse her conduct but we do think that that relationship, the abusive relationship that she had with Raniere is something that the Court can and should consider in imposing sentence.

As the Court is aware and indicated earlier,
Ms. Mack has apologized both publicly and privately to the
individuals that she believes have been harmed by her
behavior. We have heard that some of those individuals are
not satisfied with the apologies that she has provided. We
understand that completely. Ms. Mack doesn't offer these
apologies expecting them to be a panacea that is going to make
everything go away and make everything better, rather she has
reached out to these people to express her remorse and her
regret for the harm that she has caused them, for the harm
that she caused them after they placed their trust in her in
an effort to try to give them a step towards their own

recovery. She recognizes that the apology will not solve all.

We've also heard that a number of individuals have stated publicly that Ms. Mack's public apology did not go far enough. As the Court is aware, Ms. Mack apologized privately to a number of individuals and Ms. Mack stands ready, to the extent other people believe that her apology was not specific enough or did not go far enough, she is prepared to engage with them and apologize directly to them.

Your Honor, I have been representing Ms. Mack since shortly before her arrest in this case, that's been a number of years. I've gotten to know Ms. Mack and I represent to Your Honor that I believe that the remorse and regret that she has expressed in both the public apologies, the apology she is about to give here in court, as well as the private apologies are sincere and I believe that Ms. Mack sincerely wants to try to right the wrongs in whatever way she can and continue along a path to rehabilitation.

Now, I just want to talk briefly about her path to rehabilitation, Your Honor. As I said, you know Ms. Mack while also a participant in the crimes, in the crimes to which she pled guilty before Your Honor, the crimes that you've heard described before you both at trial and here in this courtroom today, she pled guilty to them to accept full responsibility but the Court in imposing sentence and in constructing the sentence that it intends to impose should

take into account the unique set of conditions that brought her here, that brought her where she was when she was first arrested in April 2018, and that brought her here to this courtroom today.

The fact that she was a victim of Raniere's psychological and physical abuse we do think is relevant but we don't think that explains all and that's why so much of what we have presented to Your Honor has been focused on affirmative steps that Ms. Mack has taken. It has focused on the fact that since her arrest and since her decision to break ranks from Raniere and his co-conspirators, since her decision to cooperate with the AUSAs, Ms. Mack has actively tried to repair the harm that she has done to herself and to others.

She has, in the first instance, tried to figure out the flawed thinking that led her down the path to commit herself to Raniere and to support Raniere in the commission of crimes and to bring others under his sway. She has done that through an intensive course of psychological therapy which she commenced from the moment she decided that it was time to break ranks. She continues that psychological therapy to this day and that was one of the letters that we presented to Your Honor outlining from the doctor's perspective where she was when she first came in and where she is now and the strides that she has made.

You've also heard, Your Honor, about her education,

how while out on house arrest, the Court and the AUSAs' insistence that if she be released on bail, it would be home incarceration but not just home incarceration in Brooklyn where she was residing at the time but rather back at her childhood home where she would reside with her parents under their supervision. You've heard how she has used that time productively, how she has tried to take steps to turn her path completely from the path that she had been on at the time of her arrest, how she has tried to educate herself and learn about psychology and other important academic pursuits that will help ensure that she never does what she had done before.

That home incarceration had the additional benefit, Your Honor, of reuniting her with her family and I just want to pause for a second, with the Court's permission, and identify for Your Honor that numerous of her family are here, her mother, her brother, extended family, friends, loved ones. These are individuals who have submitted many of the letters that the Court has reviewed. They've traveled here to New York to express their support and I think that's telling because back in April 2018 no one would have expected this.

Back in April 2018 Ms. Mack had shied away from her family, she had all but renounced her family in order to dedicate herself entirely to the pursuits of Raniere and NXIVM and DOS. But her family is here, they have supported her, they have helped her heal, they have helped her rehabilitate

and they have helped her to become the woman that sits here before you today which is a woman who has a firm and vast support network, a woman who is not going to be subject to the depredations of a manipulator like Raniere, a woman who has people who will make sure that she continues on this path, this path of education and reform.

So, Your Honor, with that I would just say that in considering her punishment, we would ask that you take into account Ms. Mack as a whole, Ms. Mack as she was at the time that she committed these crimes but also the Ms. Mack that has taken the past three years to try to demonstrate to the Court, to the victims, to her family and her friends that she can and will be a better person when this is all behind her.

So, we would ask that the Court allow Ms. Mack the opportunity to continue along this path of rehabilitation. We ask that you take into account that because of what she had chosen to do when she made the decision to align with NXIVM and Raniere and then DOS, she literally lost everything. She lost her friends, she lost her family, she lost her loved ones, she lost her career. We would ask you to take that into account, and the fact that she continues on this path. And taking those factors into account, impose a non-incarceratory sentence or permit her to continue under home confinement so that she can continue her therapy and continue her studies and continue to reunite and strengthen and restrengthen the bonds

that she had lost with her family.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right.

Ms. Mack, is there anything you would like to say before I sentence you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I stand before you today filled with remorse and guilt. To begin, I would like to state unequivocally that my behavior while in DOS was abusive, abhorrent and illegal. I also want to be clear to this Court and to the victims that I renounce Keith Raniere and all his teachings. I cannot imagine the pain that the victims must have experienced because of my actions. For this, from the deepest part of my heart and soul, I am sorry. I understand that what I chose cannot be undone but it is my sincere hope that an apology coupled with my promise to live a life dedicated to rehabilitation may offer some semblance of peace for you as you move ahead. I am thankful for your bravery in stepping forward and speaking out.

One of the thoughts I find most terrifying is where I would be now had we not been stopped. Your courage and tenacity to right these wrongs rescued me and stopped so many other horrible things from happening. Over the course of a decade I bought into the belief that I could only become the person I wanted to be through total devotion to Keith Raniere. I justified his transgressions, fulfilled his every request

and made choices that I will forever regret. I rejected anyone who tried to show me the truth, investing myself more and more deeply into his perverse schemes.

Coming out from under this delusion has been the most difficult experience of my life. To admit that I was wrong meant that I had to acknowledge the pain I caused and the depth of destruction that lay in my wake. But now I know without a doubt that I was wrong. The shame of this recognition has been crippling and the guilt is permanent.

I'm also sorry to the families and loved ones of the victims affected by my choices. During this process I have relearned just how valuable family is. I'm ashamed for the way I behaved and how I treated those most precious to you.

Finally, I want to say I'm sorry to my family and loved ones. I know this has been an intensely painful road and words cannot express how significant your presence has been throughout all of this. Your willingness to forgive me for my destructive choices is truly miraculous and I would not be alive today without your support.

Thank you to the AUSAs for your patience and humanity that you showed as I came out from under my cloud of delusion. Sorry. (Pause.)

On the day of my arraignment you insisted that my conditions of release include moving back home to my childhood place with my parents. This felt like a nightmare at the time

but quickly became the greatest gift I could have received. My family and close community surrounded me with support and care as I fought my way back to myself and took ownership of the truth. I wish more than anything I could have seen my mistakes sooner. I know this would have spared Your Honor, the court and so many people I love so much trouble and turmoil.

And lastly, thank you to you, Judge Garaufis, for supporting my process of rehabilitation because you allowed me to go to school and to share in important events with my family. I've been able to create a path forward for myself and develop the tools I need to continue to right the wrongs I committed. I am grateful for the patience and humanity you have demonstrated towards me over these past three years. I have complete faith that your fair and compassionate deliberation regarding my sentence will be a huge part of righting this wrong and helping me progress beyond this horrific chapter of my life with integrity.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

As determined by the Supreme Court in *United States v. Booker* in 2005, and its progeny, the Sentencing Guidelines are merely advisory and not mandatory. Accordingly, while I must consider the applicable Guidelines range, I am free to impose a sentence that falls outside that range in either

direction, even in the absence of "extraordinary" circumstances. The only limits on the sentence I will give today are that it be no greater than the statutory maximum of 20 years on each count and that it be reasonable in light of the factors identified in the sentencing statute, 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a).

Before turning to an analysis of the 3553(a) factors, it is important to say a word about what I will be considering in that analysis. First, I have reviewed the parties' sentencing submissions and listened to their arguments today in court and I want to commend counsel on both sides for their excellent work in preparing for this sentencing.

I have read the 14 letters submitted in support of Ms. Mack, as well as two letters written by Ms. Mack herself, and I have listened carefully to Ms. Mack's statement during today's proceeding. I've reviewed and considered the six victim impact statements that were submitted in writing and the two victim impact statements that were made on the record today.

I have also read nine letters of apology that

Ms. Mack wrote to the victims of her conduct: because the

terms of Ms. Mack's release precluded her from communicating

with the addressees of those letters, she asked the Court to

modify the conditions of her release to permit her to send the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

letters. The Court reviewed the letters in camera and, after determining that they were reasonable and appropriate, granted the requested temporary modification of Ms. Mack's conditions of release to permit her counsel to send them so long as the addressees consented to receive them.

I have also considered testimony adduced at co-defendant Keith Raniere's trial, to the extent that it is relevant and has been proven, in my view, by a preponderance of the evidence. It is well-settled that the scope of a sentencing judge's inquiry when analyzing the 3553(a) factors is largely "unlimited as to the kind of information the district court may consider, and it is free to consider evidence of uncharged crimes, dropped counts of an indictment, and criminal activity resulting in an acquittal in determining sentence." United States v. Bennett, Second Circuit, 2016. I am not bound by the rules of evidence that would pertain at a trial, and I am not limited to considering admissible evidence in determining an appropriate sentence. Particularly relevant here, the Second Circuit has repeatedly held that a sentencing court is entitled to rely on information "gleaned from a trial in which the person to be sentenced was not a defendant nor represented by counsel." See the case of Cacace, C-A-C-A-C-E, and United States v. Tracy.

Ms. Mack, you pleaded guilty to one count of racketeering and one count of racketeering conspiracy

predicated on conduct that included forced labor, extortion, sex trafficking, and wire fraud. You admitted to conduct in connection with your role as a "first-line master" in the secret organization DOS, that included recruiting women to join the organization and requiring them to serve as your "slaves."

By many accounts, you were able to use your status as a well-known public figure to gain credibility and influence with NXIVM and DOS recruits. You abused this position of power to persuade and pressure women to join DOS. You capitalized on your celebrity and these individuals' eagerness to be close to you, told them you were recruiting them for a "women's empowerment" sorority, and misrepresented and obscured fundamental facts about the organization and the conditions of membership. You told them that Keith Raniere was not involved. You did not tell them that they would be required to engage in sexual conduct.

You required your "slaves" to provide "collateral," both as a price of admission and on a continuing basis, in order to ensure their obedience and secrecy. The collateral that you extracted from your "slaves" included explicit photographs and videos, confessions and accusations that would damage them or their loved ones if released, and rights to significant financial assets. For example, one victim provided a sexually explicit video, credit card

authorizations, a series of letters falsely alleging sexual abuse by a close family member, and the right to a family heirloom. She testified explicitly that she believed her collateral would be forfeited and made public if she reneged on her commitment to DOS. In other words, you demanded that these woman give you the keys to their most intimate, personal and valuable parts of their themselves so that you could maintain power over them and have leverage to direct them to do anything you wanted.

And what did you direct them to do, using your leverage? You directed them to subject themselves to extreme sleep and food deprivation and geographical isolation, and to perform uncompensated labor whenever asked, often for your own benefit or gain. You directed them to submit nude photographs of themselves, and to be branded on their pubic areas with a symbol that, unbeknownst to them, included Mr. Raniere's initials. And in several cases you directed your slaves to engage in sexual contact with Mr. Raniere. You used your leverage, your power over these women, to recruit and groom them as sexual partners for Mr. Raniere, and to pressure them into engaging in sexual acts that, according to their testimony, they did not want to engage in and would not have engaged in voluntarily.

This Court, in sentencing Mr. Raniere, made it very clear how seriously it views the conduct for which he was

convicted. When it comes to DOS, and the monstrous crimes he committed in connection with that organization, you were an essential accomplice. You willingly enslaved, destabilized, and manipulated other women so that when they were at their most vulnerable, when they believed that they owed you total obedience and that anything less than that would cause them serious personal and financial harm, when you had taken them from their sense of agency to make their own choices, you gave them "special assignments" to satisfy Mr. Raniere's sexual interests. Mr. Raniere could not have done that without you. You did that together. The evidence presented at his trial demonstrated that you were not a begrudging or passive enabler, but rather that you were a willing and proactive ally.

The victims of your conduct have described, through their testimony at Mr. Raniere's trial and through their letters and statements to the Court, the serious psychological and physical injuries they have suffered at your hands. They have described your cruelty, your lies, and your manipulation, your apparent sadistic pleasure in watching them suffer, and your creative enthusiasm when it came to developing new ways to debase them. They have described enduring psychological trauma as a result of your actions. The Court acknowledges that to some of them no sentence short of severe punishment would seem sufficient, and that no sentence of any length can

truly redress their trauma. The seriousness of your conduct and the harm that you wrought dovetails with the need for your sentence to serve as a forceful deterrent - both for you, over the next many decades of your life, and for others who might be tempted to use their privileges and authority to inflict harm and exert control over the vulnerable and impressionable. For all of these reasons, I think that a serious sentence is appropriate. (Continued on next page.)

(Continuing)

THE COURT: There are also important mitigating factors, three of which I want to emphasize.

First, your lawyers make a persuasive case that you, like the victims of your conduct, were ensnared in Mr. Raniere's coercive and manipulative web. Like your victims, you turned over collateral in connection with your involvement in DOS. Like your victims, you were subject to abusive and unreasonable demands that were designed to destabilize you and deprive you of your agency.

I do not doubt that you were also manipulated and that you also felt captive, even as you were inflicting those very consequences on other women. In the language of DOS, you were a slave as well as a master and the harms that you inflicted as a master, were to some extent demanded of you in your capacity as Mr. Raniere's slave.

Even the letters from your victims reflect a kind of ambivalence. Many of them see you both as their abuser and as their fellow victim. That is something that weighs heavily on me. It is hard to determine an appropriate sentence for a perpetrator who is also her coconspirator's victim.

Second, you have expressed remorse and contrition and have made significant progress towards rehabilitating yourself and I see no reason to doubt that your efforts and your progress are sincere. In contrast to other individuals

who have remained deferential to Mr. Raniere, even as the artifice of his virtues crumbled, you have begun the hard work of unravelling the lies and grappling with your culpability and the consequences of your behavior. I do not doubt that it has been difficult and painful to dispel some of the illusions under which you were operating and to attempt to see yourself and your behavior with a new kind of clarity.

I commend you for having the courage to engage in that work. Your contrition and ownership of your actions cannot repair the damage that you inflicted, but it is important and -- an important and encouraging step towards your own rehabilitation. I urge you to continue that work during and after your sentence so that you may better understand for yourself what happened, why it happened, what effects it had and how you can ensure that it does not happen again.

Third, you have assisted the Government with its investigation and prosecution. As the Government described in its sentencing submission, you began to cooperate with their investigation approximately one month prior to Mr. Raniere's trial. You provided key details about Mr. Raniere's role in DOS, including his solicitation of nude photographs and sexual encounters.

You turned over evidence, including e-mails, documents and an audio recording that became a crucial piece

of evidence at trial in which Mr. Raniere advises that DOS branding ritual. According to the Government, you were willing to testify at trial, although you were not called upon to do so.

The Government takes the position that while you could have been more helpful if you had begun to cooperate sooner, you deserve a sentence below the guidelines range in recognition of the assistance that you provided.

Taking all of this into consideration, my task today is to balance the need for a sentence that adequately punishes your serious conduct with the need for a sentence that supports, rather than disrupts your efforts towards rehabilitation.

There will be more chapters in your life after your sentence concludes and it is the Court's hope that you will be ready and able to make the most of those chapters and that the family and community that have supported you through the last three years will aid you in continuing your work of rehabilitation as you move through your sentence and as you eventually move beyond it.

I am appreciative that your family members with whom you have reunited over the last three years are here today and I believe that they have demonstrated the kind of mercy and support that you will need in the years ahead; not just today, not just yesterday, but throughout your life despite some of

the wrongs that you have inflicted on some of them over your period of involvement with NXIVM and DOS.

And so to your family I say two things: I say thank you for assisting the defendant thus far and I say your job is not over and you have an obligation to continue to do so demonstrated by your presence here today, coming across the country to be here in support of Ms. Mack.

I have considered the range of sentences that are available and the range suggested by the Sentencing Guidelines. I have also considered the need to avoid unwarranted sentences, sentence disparities between you and other defendants who have been convicted of similar conduct. I have considered my obligation to impose a sentence that is sufficient, but not greater than necessary to achieve the objectives of sentencing.

I agree with the Government and your lawyers that a downward departure from the guidelines range is warranted in this case. I grant the Government's motion under 5K1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines.

A sentence even in the lower end of that range would be much greater than necessary. At the same time, for the reasons I have explained, I believe that the nature and consequences of your offense and the need for deterrence warrant a serious sentence. While I accept your contrition as sincere and your efforts towards rehabilitation is genuine, it

is impossible to ignore the tremendous injuries you have caused. For that reason, I believe that an incarceratory sentence is appropriate.

Are you ready to be sentenced, Ms. Mack?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Please rise.

Ms. Mack, I sentence you as follows. On Count 1, I sentence you to 36 months in the custody of the Attorney General:

On Count 2, I sentence you to 36 months in the custody of the Attorney General, those sentences to be served concurrently with each other;

I also sentence you to a three-year term of post-incarceration supervised release to be served concurrently on both counts;

To a fine in the amount of \$20,000 payable immediately, and to a \$200.00 special assessment also due immediately.

While the Court is not ordering restitution at this time, it reserves the right to make the defendant jointly and severally responsible for any forthcoming restitution orders imposed upon the coconspirators as permitted under the relevant statutes.

The Court has already approved an amended preliminary Order of Forfeiture on July 17, 2019, and I direct

that the forfeiture order be made final and attached to the judgment.

In addition, there are special conditions of supervised release. They include the following:

The defendant shall not attempt to contact in person or communicate with by letter, telephone, electronic means or through third-party any individual with an affiliation to Executive Success Programs, NXIVM, DOS, or any other NXIVM-affiliated organizations unless granted permission in advance by the Probation Office or by this Court, nor shall defendant frequent any establishment or the locales where these groups may meet, pursuant but not limited to a permission list provided by the U.S. Probation Department unless granted permission in advance by the Probation Office or by this Court.

The defendant shall undergo a mental health evaluation and if deemed necessary, participate in an outpatient mental health treatment program as provided by the Probation Department.

The defendant shall contribute to the cost of such services rendered and any psychotropic medications prescribed to the degree she is reasonably able and shall cooperate in securing any applicable third-party payment.

The defendant shall disclose all financial information and documents to the Probation Department to

35 1 assess her ability to pay. 2 The defendant shall complete 1000 hours of community 3 service. 4 You have the right to appeal your sentence to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit if you 5 believe the Court has not properly followed the law in 6 7 sentencing you. Your time to appeal is extremely limited and 8 you should consult with your attorneys at once as to whether 9 an appeal would be worthwhile. 10 Do you understand? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: You may be seated. 13 Is there any objection to allowing the defendant to 14 surrender? 15 MS. HAJJAR: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 Ms. Mack, I am not going to remand you into custody 18 You may return home where you will be subject to the 19 same conditions of release that have previously applied to 20 You will be designated to a facility and given a 21 surrender date to that facility; however, I am going to set a 22 surrender date just in case the Bureau of Prisons does not 23 provide you with one in a timely fashion. 24 I set a surrender date of September 29, 2021, before 25 noon, to the U.S. Marshal service in this courthouse.

```
Proceedings
                                                                    37
               MS. HAJJAR: No, thank you, Your Honor.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: All right.
               Good luck to you, Ms. Mack and to your family.
 3
    are adjourned.
 4
 5
               (Matter concluded.)
 6
 7
                                 000000
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```