UNITED STATES DISTICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICHAEL PERKINS,
Plaintiff,
-against.
CHRISTAIN VALENZUELA, ANTHONY
LAFEMINA; JACKSON; JOSEPH HICKEY;
KEENER ADAM-EDWARDS; DIAZ-MOJICA; JOHN DOE \#।,

DEFENDANTS.

Amended
CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT 42 U.S.C. 81983

Docket No: 17 -cV-423 (RRM) (CLP)

Jury Trail Demanded YES (X) NO ( )
I. Previous Lawsuit:
A. Have you began other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this action or otherwise relating to your imprisonment? Yes $(x)$ No ()
B. If your answer to $A$ is yes; describe each lawsuit in space below:

1. Parties to this previous lawsuit:

Plaintiff: Michael Perkins
Defendants: City of New Yorth; Valenzuela; Lafemina; Jackson; John Doe \#1 and John Doe*2.
2. Court: United States District Court: Eastern District of New York.
3. Docket Number: 17-cv. 423
4. Name of Judge to whom case was assigned: Roslynn R. Mauskopf.
5. Disposition: It is still pending.
6. Approximate date of filing lawsuit: On or about the $14^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2016.
7. Approximate date of disposition: June 20, 2017.
II. Place of Present Confinement: Downstate Correctional Facility, Box F, Red School House Road, Fishkill, New York 12524-0445.
A. Is three a prisoner grievance procedure in this institution? Yes ( $x$ ) No ()
B. Did you present the facts relating to your Complaint in the prisoner grievance procedure? Yes () No ( $x$ )
C. If your answer is Yes,

1. What steps did you take?
2. What was the result?
D. If your answer is No, explain why not: this Civil complaint is not a facility issue.
E. If there is no prison grievance procedure in the institution, did you complain to prison authorities? Yes () No ( $x$ )
F. If your answer is Yes,
3. What steps did you take?
d. What was the result?
III. Parties:
A. Name of Plaintiff: Michael Perkins ${ }^{\# 17-A-4183}$
B. Defendant No. 1: Police Officer Christain Valenzuela* 19271 $77^{\text {m }}$ Preciment at 127 Utica Avenue Brooklyn, New York
C. Defendant No. 2: Police Officer Anthony Lafemina " 11439 $77^{\text {th }}$ Precient at 127 Utica Avenue Brooklyn, New York
D. Defendant No. 3: Police Officer Jackson (Sergeant) $77^{\text {th }}$ Prescient at 127 Utica Avenue Brooklyn, New York
E. Defendant No. 4: Detective Joseph Hickey $77^{\text {th }}$ Precient at 127 Utica Avenue

Brooklyn, New York
F. Defendant No. S: Police Officer Keenen Adam- Edwards *10378 $77^{\text {th }}$ Precient at 127 Utica Avenue Brooklyn, New York
G. Defendant No. 6: Police Officer Johnathan Diaz-Mojica* ${ }^{*} 14105$ $77^{\text {th }}$ Precient at 127 Utica Avenue Brooklyn, New York
H. Defendant No. 7: John Doe ${ }^{\#}$ ( Police Officer)
$77^{\text {th }}$ Precient at 127 Utica Avenue Brooklyn, New York

IV Statement of Claim:
On or about the eth day of August, 2015, there was an alleged robbery of an individual by the name of Jordan Card and police officer's Valenzuela ${ }^{*} 19271$; Adam-Edwards $\# 10378$; and DiazMojica "1410s at approximately 1815 hours (and at two other different times unknown to the Plaintiff, but before 1900 hours) Violated the Plaintiff's $14^{\text {th }}$ Amendment Rights of the United States Constitution by repeatedly showing a drunk Jordan Card a single photograph of the Plaintiff and asking Jordan Card if the Plaintiff robbed him, which constitute suggestive identification. (Seci Exhibit "A" hereto annexed)

On or about the gte day of August, 2015 , police officer Lafemina * 11439 violated the Plaintiffs right of due process and equal protection of the laws (lith Amendment of the United States Constitution) by showing Jordan Card an photo array at approximately 1900 hours (fourtyfive minutes) after police officer's Valenzuela ${ }^{* 19271 ; ~ A d a m-E d w a r d s ~} \$ 10378$; and Diaz-Mojica* 14105 showed Jordan Card the single photo of the Plaintiff and police officer LaFemina "11439 placed the single photograph of the Plaintiff in slot number four of the photo array. (sec. Exhibit "B" hereto annexed)
on or about the $a^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2015 , police officer Keenen Adam-Edwards 10378 falsified a police report and charges against the plaintiff by stating that the Plaintiff was in
possession of a box-cutter, and continued to lie during his testimony of the Wade Hearing, without any proof to support the bogus allegation. (See Exhibit " $C$ " hereto annexed)

On or about the $9^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2015, police officer Johnathan Diaz-Mojica 14105 falsified a police report and the changes against the Plaintiff by stating that the Plaintiff robbed Jordan Card at knife point, and continued to lie during his testimony of the Wade Hearing, without any proof to support the bogus allegation. (See: Exhibit " $D$ " hereto annexed)

However, if the Court would review Jordan Card's grand jury testimony the Court would see that Jordan Card states he never seen a weapon or any other object. (Sci Exhibit "E" hereto annexed)

On or about the 22nd day of August, 2015, at approximately 1745 hours the Plaintiff provided the police officer Lafemina "11439 with his attorneys information and invoked his right to counsel, but yet the Plaintiff was denied hiss right to counsel in violation of his 6 th Amendment Rights of the United States Constitution. (See: Exhib. " " $F$ " hereto annexed)

On or about the 23rd day of August, 2015, police officer Lafemina * 11439 attempted to have the Plaintiff partake in a police line-up voluntaraly without providing the Plaintiff with his attorney, and when the Plaintiff refused to partake freely in the police line-up police officer Lafemina "11439 and several other police officers assaulted the Plaintiff and forced the Plaintiff to partake in said police line-up by handcuffing the Plaintiff to the wall and placing leg irons on the Plaintiffs ankles (in full restraints) in violation of the Plaintiffs $8^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ Amendment Rights of the United States Constitution, and forced the Plaintiff to sit in position number four just as he placed the single photograph of the Plaintiff in slot number four of the photo array. (Sci Exhibit "G" hereto annexed)

While the Plaintiff was in full restraints, he was forced to sit in a bent position, and during the viewing of the suggestive police line-up Detective Joseph Hickey came into the line-up, area and placed the Plaintiff in a head-lock and lefted the Plaintiff's head, and this was done while Jordan Card (complaining witness) was viewing the line-up, (See: Exhibit " $H^{\prime \prime}$ hereto annexed) which constitutes the violation of the Plaintiff's $8^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ Amendment Rights of the United States Constitution.

Defendant Jackson (Sergeant) actions of failing to properly supervise his subordinates amounts to deselection of duty, which
makes him just as guilty of violating the Plaintiff's rights as the police officer's whom committed the violations in his presence.
I. Relief:

The Plaintiff seeks the total surn of $5,500,000$. " (Five Million fivehundred thousand) dollars in actual damages and, 11,000 . 000.00 (Eleven Million) dollars in punitive damages.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that on the 23rd day of October , 2017, I delivered this complaint to prison authorities to be duly mailed via the United States Postal Service to the Honorable Judge: Roslyn R. Maushoph of the United States District Court: Eastern District of New York at 225 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn. New York 11201.

Signed this 233rd day of October, 2017, I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sign:
Box F

Red School House Road Fishkill, New York 12524-0445

EXHIBIT "A"



Dements:
Sunmany of thyestigetion:
 ossence:

Jondan statad he was wrlking shp on Franken Ave from 3a8 Frankin Ave (One Last Shrif Bar)




2 Sumatiad for your inforration


| Reporting Ofincer: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Renk } \\ & \text { POM } \end{aligned}$ | Name ANTHONY LAFEMBA |  | Tax Repono. | Commend 207.77 DET SQUAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revieuting Supervisor: | Banner of Closing | Dato Raviewed 0812712015 | Date of Next Review | Nume PAIRLCKHAMALON | Supr. Tax No. |

Q Did Officer Adams-Edwards show you a photograph?
A Yes, he did.
Q Now, do you see anyone in the courtroom that resembles the photograph that you were shown that day?

A Yes, I do.
Q Can you please indicate that person by article of clothing he or she is wearing?

A Yes, it's a black male with a light blue shirt.
THE COURT: Indicating the defendant.
Q Now, after Officer Adams-Edwards showed you that
photograph what did you do?
A I showed it to Mr. Card.
Q Before you showed Mr. Card the photograph did you ask him any questions?

A I said was this the guy he was with.
THE COURT: Wait a minute. One second. Go ahead.
Q Do you know if Officer Adams-Edwards or Officer Valenzuela spoke to Mr. Card before you did?

A At that point in time I didn't.
Q And so what was the question that you asked Mr. Card?
A I asked him was this the guy who did it.
THE COURT: Well, you said a minute ago you said is this the guy you were with. Which one is it?

THE WITNESS: Was this the guy you were with, is he the one who did it.

.. $\because$ Casé 1:17-cv-00423-RRM-CLP .Document•31 Filed 12/07/17 Page 10 of 33 PageID \#: 298 $\therefore$ :

‘
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\therefore$


EXHIBIT "B"

|  | COMPLARNT - FOLLOW UP <br> INFORHATIONAL REPORT - PHOTO ARRAY |  |  | Crimelcondilitn ROBEERY | Command 07777TH PRECINCT Date of This Report 0809i2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dite of UF61 } \\ & \text { osiogizo15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date Case Assigned } \\ & \text { 08f08/2015 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Complaint No. } \\ & 2015-077-05050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cass No. } \\ & 2015-406 \end{aligned}$ | Unit Reporting BRAM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Follow-lp No. } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |



| Person Interviewed List Namte, Frst Bad. CARD.IORDAN | Addrese | Aptina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Peiftionfirationshtp sex . | trace --- - . . . | Age |
| Hame Telaphene Buitness Telephone |  | Ediall Address |

## Detalls

Summary of lnvestigation:
. On Augist 9, 2015, at approximately 1800 hours CV Jordan Card and I were present at 77 Pel Detective Squad. I did to show a photo amay of suspect Michael Perkins il Hstoir 04431787 P to CN Jordan Card in regards to Mis investigation. The photo array was produced through the Pholo Mansger system. Prior to the showing of the Pholo Array read the instructions verbaltm from the Pholo Anray Pro-Vieving Instruetions Report. The vicitin then placed his initials on the bottorn of the form.

Presented tho CN a closed letter stza manila envelope containing one photo array. I then asked the CNH he recognized anyone in the Photo Array? The CN stated "Yes". Ithen asked "What is the number of the pholograph of the person thal you recognize?" The CN stated "Number 4". I then asked "From where do you recognize that personf" The CN ataled "The person that robbed me". The CN then signed and circled the Photo Array under position tha. The chv then signed dated and lime stamped the Photo Array Viewing Report. Instructed the CN varbatim "Do not diseuss with any other wilinesses or vielims what you observed or said or did during this identificalion procadere"
2. The following documents are atlached to the case folder:

Photo Array Pro-Viewing Instruetions Report
Photo Array Viewing Repert
Photo Array Informalion Repor
Photo Array
-Photo Manager Syslam produced Photo Array Report
3. Submitted for your information.


## ATTACHEENTT
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EXHIBIT "C"
though nowhere in your memo book is that documented?
A That's correct.
Q That's something that you recall?
A I do recall.

Q You remember my client specifically?
A Yes, ma'am.
Q What color pants did he have on that night?
A Don't recall.
Q What color shirt?
A To tell you the truth, the pants that he wore, from what I remember, it was a green camouflage pants.

Q You just told me you didn't remember.
A Well, as I'm recalling and as I'm talking to you right now, I have a better recollection of what pants he was wearing that night.

Q You remember that all of a sudden --
A Yes, ma'am.
Q Let me finish my question; okay?
So, just after telling me that you didn't remember,
all of a sudden you had a recollection of it?
A Yes, ma'am, I do.
Q I see. What color shirt was he wearing?
A He was wearing a black shirt.
Q What color shoes was he wearing?
A I don't remember the shoes he was wearing because I

## P.O. ADAMS-EDWARDS/RAMEAU/CROSS

wasn't looking at his feet.
Q What did you have for breakfast that day?
MR. MYCO: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. Stop.
Q Did you testify that Jordan Card told you that my client was a good guy?

MR. MYCO: Objection, your Honor.
Q (Continuing) Was that your testimony?
MS. RAMEAU: I'm just clarifying the testimony.
THE COURT: It's sustained as to form. Just
rephrase your question.
Q What exactly did Jordan Card tell you about my
client while he was standing there waiting?
A Who is Jordan Card?
Q Well, the witness, the complaining witness.
A (No response).
Q (Continuing) The guy with the blond hair.
A There was multiple complainants in this case. Who exactly are you talking about?

Q The white guy with the blond hair, that one.
A That individual that I said that I stopped alongside with your client?

Q Right?
A Oh, him. Yes, he did tell me that.
Q Now, you didn't -- you testified you didn't produce
any police paperwork; right?
A I did not have any police paperwork at the time.
Q And your testimony is that he was wearing camouflage pants?

A Yes, green camouflage.
Q And in terms of tops, what did he have on, I'm sorry?

A Black shirt.
MS. RAMEAU: I see.
May I have a moment, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
Q So, it's fair to say you exited the car with your partner, right, simultaneously, when you first saw my client walking alongside that white man with blond hair; right?

A Yes, ma'am.
Q Did you take the ID or did your partner?
A I took the I.D.
Q I see. And how long exactly did it take your partner to run my client's name?

A I would say, three to four minutes.
Q And how long did the entire process take, meaning, the running of the name, the writing of the summons? How long did that take?

A I would say, five to seven minutes.

## P.O. ADAMS-EDWARDS/RAMEAU/CROSS

THE COURT: Any cross-examination?

MS. RAMEAU: Yes, your Honor.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. RAMEAU:

Q Now, where exactly in your memo book did you document the fact that you saw a box cutter in my client's pocket?

MR. MYCO: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A I did not document it.

Q Okay. Did you create any other police reports related to this case?

A No.

Q Now, this incident took place almost two years ago; right?

A Yes.

Q It's fair to say that since August 9 th of 2015, you have participated in a number of arrests; right?

A Yes.

Q You frisked a number of individuals; right?
A That's right.

Q How many would you say?
A (no response).
Q Just take a wild guess.

A I can't really make an approximation.

## P.O. ADAMS-EDWARDS/RAMEAU/CROSS

THE COURT: Is there an objection?
MR. MYCO: Objection. Beyond the scope.
Q Maybe a hundred?
MR. MYCO: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained. That's sustained.
Rephrase your question. It's a wild guess.
Q How many individuals have you stopped and frisked since August 9th of 2015?

MR. MYCO: Objection, your Honor. Beyond the scope.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A I can't really approximate. I would say a good number, a good amount.

Q You would say more than a hundred?
A I would clearly say more than a hundred.
Q More than two hundred?
A Not sure.

Q More than three hundred?
A Not sure, ma'am.
Q But, it's somewhere along that line; right, along those lines?

MR. MYCO: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q So, your testimony is that somehow you remember specifically seeing a box cutter in my client's pocket even

Q Understood. And when you spoke with him he told you a series of things about the evening, right?

A Yes.
Q He told you that he was robbed at knife point, right?
A Yes.
Q He also told you that whoever robbed him made certain threatening gestures and threatened serious physical injuries, threatened him rather with serious physical injuries, correct?

A That's correct.
MS. CLARKSON: Objection. This is outside of the
scope of the hearing, your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. MS. RAMEAU: Nothing further, your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect? MS. CLARKSON: Very briefly, your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CLARKSON:
Q. You were asked on cross-examination about showing a photograph to Mr. Card. Where did you get that photograph from?

A Officer Valenzuela and Adams-Edwards showed it to me.
Q How did it come to be that you had it to show Mr. Card rather?

A One of the officers texted it to me to my phone. MS. CLARKSON: Nothing further. THE ÇOURT: It was texted to your cell phone?
I.
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EXHIEIT "E"


AUGUST. 27, 2015

KENNETH P. THOMPSON, ESQ., DISTRICT ATTORNEY, KINGS COUNTY OWEN SUCOFF, ESQ., Assistant District Attorney

## ANDREW RODEN

ASSOCIATE REPORTER
STENOGRAPHER (D.A.)

## A.R.

JORDAN CARD, RECALIED
Q. Okay.

Jordan, just a few follow-up questions.
You testified that this guy that came up to you after you left the bar and walked along with you, pushed you up against a fence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you talk in a little bit more detail about how that happened?
A. He had -- right when he had said, "you made me walk all this way for nothing," he grabbed my wrists and pushed me up against that way, ànd at some point, he had his hand up against my shoulder holding me up like that.
Q. At any time, did you see whether this man was holding any kind of weapon or other object?
A. I did not see a weapon or any other object.
Q. Now, you testified that at some point during your walk home the police stopped to talk with. this guy.

Can you talk a little bit -- explain a little bit about why you didn't just kee
A. At the time, $I$ was intoxicated and I didn't feel immediately threatened by this person; and I wanted to stay around and make sure nothing bad had


| Reporting Officer： | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Renk } \\ \text { POM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Name ANTHONY LAFEMINA |  | Tax Res.No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Command } \\ & 297-77 \text { DET SQUAD } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reviewing Supervisor： | Atanner of Closing | Date Reviewed 0812712015 | Date of Next Roview | Name PATRICK HAMLITON | Suny Tax No． |



EXHIBIT "G"
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EXHIST "H"

Q Did there come a time that Mr . Card was brought into the viewing room to view the lineup?

A Yes.

Q And when was that?
A Approximately, 12:30.
Q Did you say anything to Mr. Card before he viewed the lineup?

A Yes.
Q What did you say to him?
A I read him the lineup instructions report.
Q Can you please read those instructions the way you read them to Mr. Card.

A "As a part of our ongoing investigation into a crime that occurred in front of 612 Prospect Place on August 9, 2015, you are about to view a lineup. You will look through a one-way mirror and see six people in the lineup. They will not be able to see you.

Each person on the other side of the mirror will have a painted number situated above their head or will be holding a card with the number on it. Take whatever time you want to view the lineup. The perpetrator may or may not be among the six people in the lineup.

Do not assume $I$ know who the perpetrator is. Do not ask me or anyone else in the room for guidance during the procedure. Individuals presented in the lineup may not

## DET. LA FEMINA/HILL CLARKSON/DIRECT

appear exactly as they did on the date of the incident because features such as head and facial hair are subject to change.

After you had the opportunity to view the lineup, I will ask you the following three questions:

Do you recognize anyone?
If you do, what is the number of the person you recognize?

From where do you recognize the person?
I'm going to ask you follow-up questions. After the identification procedure is concluded, do not discuss with other witnesses what was said or observed during this identification procedure."

Q After you read Mr. Card these instructions, what did you do next?

A I had Mr. Card initial and date the form, and then I signed the form at the bottom.

Q After that paperwork was done, what did you do?
A I escorted the complainant into the viewing room.
Q What was Mr. Perkins' demeanor at that time?
A Mr. Perkins refused to pick his head up.
Q And so --
MS. RAMEAU: What time are we referring to, your
Honor? I'm not sure.
MS. HILL CLARKSON: When Mr. Card was brought into

DET. LA FEMINA/HILL CLARKSON/DIRECT
the room.

THE COURT: I believe the witness already testified it was 12:30 p.m.; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Approximately, 12:30.
Q What action did you take to try to get Mr. Perkins to lift his head for the lineup?

THE COURT: Was this when the witness was looking through the window or before he entered the viewing area?

THE WITNESS: The witness was already in the viewing room.

Q So, what steps, if any, did either you or other officers take to try to get Mr. Perkins to lift his head for the lineup.

A I had asked my partner to try and lift Mr. Perkins' head up so that the complainant could get a view of the perpetrator's face.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. This is your partner?
THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: And what is his name?
THE WITNESS: His name is Detective Hickey (Phonetic). His first name is Joseph.

Q So, at the time that Mr. Card viewed the lineup, was the defendant's face visible to him?

A Not prior to lifting his head up.

THE COURT: Just a minute. Did Detective Hickey physically put his hands on the defendant's face and try to lift his face up?

THE WITNESS: No. He mainly had the top of his head trying to lift his head and pull it up. THE COURT: Was there a struggle? THE WITNESS: Yes, there was. THE COURT: Okay.

Q Was Detective Hickey able to lift the defendant's head so that Mr. Card could see the defendant's face during this lineup?

A Yes.

Q And --
THE COURT: He was able to lift the defendant's head?

THE WITNESS: Yes. For a brief second.
Q After that happened, did you ask Mr. Card any questions?

A Yeah. I asked him did he recognize anyone.
Q And what was his response?
A Yes. Number four.
Q And did you ask him anything further?
A I asked him from where do you recognize the person.
And he stated that was the person who robbed me.
MS. HILL CLARKSON: I would like to have the

