# DFCUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

JEFFREY JEFFERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT KHALIL BIN SAFIR Shield No. 1225 of the 83rd Precinct, P.O. MARK PAREDES Shield No. 25196 of the 83rd Precinct, and POLICE OFFICER BENICKER Shield No. 16769 of the 83rd Precinct, in their official and individual capacities, 14 CV 5395 (JG) (LB)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL Pursuant to FRCP 38(b)

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff, JEFFREY JEFFERS, by and through his attorney, PAMELA S. ROTH, as and for his Amended Complaint, respectfully alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff JEFFREY JEFFERS brings this action to seek relief for defendants' violations of his rights secured by the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, by the United States Constitution, including the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments, and by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages, an award of costs, interest and attorney fees and such other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(a)(3) and (4), 1367(a), this being an action seeking redress for the violation of plaintiff's constitutional and civil rights.

3. Plaintiff further invokes this Court's supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, over any and all state law claims and as against all parties that are so related to claims in this action within the original jurisdiction of this Court that they form part of the same case or controversy.

4. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a), (b) and (c) in that Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is administratively located within the Eastern District of New York, and the event giving rise to this claim occurred within the boundaries of the Eastern District of New York.

### JURY DEMAND

5. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on each and every one of his claims.

#### PARTIES

6. At all times relevant to this action Plaintiff, JEFFREY JEFFERS was a resident of Kings County, New York, and he has been a resident of the United States of America.

7. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is and was at all times relevant to this action, a municipal corporation created and authorized under the laws of the State of New York.

8. Defendant, CITY OF NEW YORK is authorized by law to maintain a police department, which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement and for which it is ultimately responsible.

9. Defendant, CITY OF NEW YORK, assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance of a police force and the employment of police officers as said risk attaches to the public consumers of the services provided by the New York City Police Department.

10. Defendant, CITY OF NEW YORK, was at all times relevant to this case the public employer of defendants SERGEANT BIN SAFIR, P.O. PAREDES and P.O. BENICKER of the 83rd Precinct.

11. Defendants SERGEANT BIN SAFIR, P.O. PAREDES and P.O. BENICKER of the 83rd Precinct are and were at all times relevant to this case duly appointed and acting officers, servants, employees and agents of the New York City Police Department, a municipal agency of defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.

12. Defendants SERGEANT BIN SAFIR, P.O. PAREDES and P.O. BENICKER of the 83rd Precinct are and were at all times relevant to this action herein, acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as officers, agents, servants, and employees of Defendant, CITY OF NEW YORK, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties.

13. On June 15, 2014, at approximately 8:00 P.M., Defendants SERGEANT BIN SAFIR, P.O. PAREDES and P.O. BENICKER of the 83rd Precinct, were on duty and assigned to the 83rd Precinct and serving under Tax Registry No. unknown at this time.

14. At all times relevant, Defendants, SERGEANTBIN SAFIR and P.O. "JOHN and JANE DOE" 1-10, were all duly appointed and acting police officers of the New York City Police Department assigned to the 83rd Police Precinct located in County of Kings, the City and State of New York.

15. On June 15, 2014, Defendant SERGEANT BIN SAFIR was on duty and assigned to the 83rd Precinct and serving under Shield No. 1225.

16. On June 15, 2014, Defendant P.O. PAREDES was on duty and assigned to the 83rd Precinct and serving under Shield No. 25196.

17. On June 15, 2014, Defendant P.O. BENICKER was on duty and assigned to the 83rd Precinct and serving under Shield No. 16769.

18. At all relevant times, the individual defendants were acting pursuant to their authority as New York City Police Department employees. Notwithstanding their unconstitutional and unlawful conduct, the actions of the individual defendants were taken in the course of their duties and were incidental to their otherwise lawful function as agents, servants and employees of the New York City Police Department.

19. At all relevant times, the individual defendants were agents, servants and employees acting within the scope of their employment.

20. Alternatively, the individual defendants were, at all relevant times, acting outside the scope of their employment.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

On June 15, 2014, Plaintiff was arrested and charged with several counts of misdemeanor violations within the confines of the 83rd NYPD Command, in which Plaintiff pled guilty.
At the aforesaid time, Plaintiff advised defendants herein, Defendants SERGEANT BIN SAFIR, P.O. PAREDES and P.O. BENICKER that he was suffering from a diabetic ulcer on

23. EMS was called to transport Plaintiff to the hospital. Plaintiff was handcuffed and shackled with ankle restraints.

his right foot and requested medical attention.

24. Plaintiff requested that he be double handcuffed as opposed to single handcuffs as Plaintiff is a large rotund man. The transport Police Officer was about to grant his request; however, another Police Officer denied the request.

25. The EMS workers also requested that Plaintiff be double cuffed for his comfort. Again, the Defendants denied the request. At that point Plaintiff refused medical attention.

26. Defendants then grabbed Plaintiff and stripped him of his clothing, leaving him handcuffed, shackled and naked. These Defendants then assaulted, battered, beat, punched, kicked and dragged through Plaintiff, naked, through the precinct in front of both male and female police officers and the EMS workers.

27. Defendant SERGEANT BIN SAFIR allowed, observed, orchestrated, permitted, instructed, tolerated and condoned this despicable behavior against Plaintiff, and failed to stop it and failed to prevent these actions against Plaintiff.

28. Plaintiff was caused to suffer and sustain injuries to Plaintiff's face, body and mouth. Plaintiff was in extreme pain.

29. Plaintiff was transported to the hospital for treatment of his injuries.

30. Plaintiff suffered from and continues to suffer from medical condition that include but are not limited to a heart condition, diabetes and asthma.

31. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' actions, plaintiff, JEFFREY JEFFERS, sustained, inter alia, physical injury, including contusions and abrasions to face and body, emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and deprivation of his constitutional rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the laws of the City and the State of New York.

FEDERAL CLAIMS AS A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RELIEF (Deprivation of Rights Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

32. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "31" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

33. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants, their agents, servants and employees were carried out under the color of State Law.

34. All of the aforementioned acts deprived Plaintiff of the right, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.

35. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, with the entire actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.

36. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, pursuant to the customs, usages, practices, procedures, and the rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

37. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of State law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.

38. As a result of the aforementioned conduct of defendants, Plaintiff was injured.

# AS A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RELIEF (Failure to Intervene Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

39. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "38" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

40. Defendants had an affirmative duty to intervene on behalf of Plaintiff, whose constitutional rights were being violated in their presence by other officers.

41. The defendants failed to intervene to prevent the unlawful conduct described herein.

42. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff's liberty was restricted for an extended period of time, he was assaulted and battered, put in fear of his safety, and he was humiliated and subjected to handcuffing and other physical restraints, without probable cause.

# AS A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RELIEF (Excessive Force Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

43. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "42" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

44. The defendants unlawfully used excessive force against the Plaintiff without justification.

45. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff was deprived of his Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JEFFREY JEFFERS demands judgment and prays for the following relief, jointly and severally, against the defendants:

1. Awarding JEFFREY JEFFERS full and fair compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

2. Awarding JEFFREY JEFFERS punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

3. Awarding reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988 and the costs and disbursements of this action; and

4. Granting such other and further relief as to this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York March 6, 2016

1s J. Mullet

PAMELA S. ROTH (PR7124) Attorney for Plaintiff 9118 Fifth Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11209 (718) 836-9118

TO:

RICHARD BAHRENBURG, ESQ. Assistant Corporation Counsel Special Federal Litigation Unit Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF NEW YORK et al. The City of New York Law Department Zachary W. Carter Office of the Corporation Counsel 100 Church Street New York, New York 10007 Phone: (212) 356-2338 Fax: (212) 356-3509 Email: rbahrenb@law.nyc.gov