IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

*

*

MARYLAND, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v. Case No. 1:25-cv-00748-JKB

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, et al.,

Defendants.

* * * * * * * * * * *

*

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO EXTEND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Plaintiffs States hereby move to extend the Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) entered by this Court on March 13, 2025, ECF No. 44, until April 10, 2025, to permit consideration and decision on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Stay and Preliminary Injunction. ECF No. 78. To the extent this Court rules prior to April 10, Plaintiffs understand that the TRO would dissolve upon resolution of the stay and preliminary injunction motion.

As explained below, there is good cause for this motion. Yesterday, March 20, Plaintiffs filed their Motion for a Stay and Preliminary Injunction. ECF No. 78. However, without an extension, the TRO will expire on March 27. *See* ECF No. 44 (docket entry). An extension of time, of no longer than 14 days, will ensure that the status quo is preserved while the Court considers and prepares a ruling on Plaintiffs' forthcoming motion for a stay and preliminary injunction.

ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(2) provides that a temporary restraining order expires after 14 days, unless the adverse party consents to an extension, or if the court, "for good cause, extends it for a like period[.]" In other words, courts may properly extend a TRO for an additional 14 days upon a finding of good cause, so long as the "reasons for an extension" are "entered in the record." Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 65(b)(2); *see also Dellinger v. Bessent*, No. CV 25-0385 (ABJ), 2025 WL 615122, at *2 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 2025) (explaining that TROs may be entered for "the standard fourteen days followed by a fourteen-day extension").

Courts have found good cause for an extension where more time is needed to fully "consider the parties' arguments and motions or 'where the moving party need[s] additional time to prepare and present its preliminary injunction" motion. *See Costa v. Bazron*, No. CV 19-3185 (RDM), 2020 WL 2410502, at *2 (D.D.C. May 11, 2020) (quoting *SEC v. Arisebank*, No. 18-cv-186, 2018 WL 10419828 at *1 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 9, 2018)). Indeed, courts in this circuit regularly find good cause to extend a TRO to enable a decision on a motion for preliminary injunction. *See, e.g., CHGYM LLC v. Unify Athletics, LLC*, No. 1:21CV911, 2022 WL 112208, at *1 (M.D.N.C. Jan. 12, 2022) (noting that the court "extended the TRO for seven days to allow for the preparation of a written memorandum . . . in support of a preliminary injunction"); *Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Sivel*, No. CIV. A. MJG-99-185, 1999 WL 35799640, at *1 (D.

Md. Feb. 4, 1999) (explaining that "the Court extended the TRO until decision is rendered on the request for preliminary injunction").

There is similarly good cause to extend the TRO here. As set forth in the Notice filed March 21, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their Motion for a Stay and Preliminary Injunction on March 20, and Defendants will respond no later than March 24, prior to the potential hearing set for March 26, 2025. Because the TRO will expire the next day, March 27 at 8:00pm, Plaintiffs request that the Court extend the TRO until such time that it rules on Plaintiffs' motion for a stay and preliminary injunction, for a period no longer than 14 days, or until April 10, 2025.

Otherwise, if the TRO expires during the Court's consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion, Defendant agencies will likely re-terminate tens of thousands of probationary employees *en masse*, exacerbating the irreparable harms to the States that justified the entry of the TRO. *See* ECF No. 43 at 13-19, 41-42. Such terminations, which this Court found were likely unlawful, *id.* at 40, should not be repeated while preliminary relief is considered. An extension of the TRO will appropriately preserve the status quo during the pendency of Plaintiffs' motion.

For all these reasons, Plaintiff States respectfully request that the Court extend the duration of the TRO until such time that it rules on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Stay and Preliminary injunction, for a period no longer than 14 days, i.e., April 10, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTHONY G. BROWN

Attorney General State of Maryland

/s/ Virginia A. Williamson

James D. Handley, Bar No. 20299 Virginia A. Williamson, Bar. No. 31472 **Assistant Attorneys General**

200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 Phone: 410-576-6584

vwilliamson@oag.state.md.us

BRIAN SCHWALB

Attorney General District of Columbia

Emma Simson Senior Counsel to the Attorney General

/s/ Ryan Wilson Ryan Wilson** Senior Counsel

Hannah Cole-Chu, Bar No. 20747 Anne Deng* Pamela Disney** Tessa Gellerson, Bar No. 21271 Charles Sinks, Bar No. 21185 Cara Spencer, Bar No. 20171 Assistant Attorneys General

Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia 400 6th Street N.W., 10th Floor Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 230-2342 Ryan.Wilson@dc.gov

KEITH ELLISON

Attorney General State of Minnesota

/s/ Liz Kramer Liz Kramer†

Solicitor General

445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2131

Phone: 651-757-1059 Fax: 651-282-5832

liz.kramer@ag.state.mn.us

KRISTIN K. MAYES

Attorney General State of Arizona

/s/ Hayleigh S. Crawford

Hayleigh S. Crawford† Deputy Solicitor General 2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Phone: (602) 542-3333

Hayleigh.Crawford@azag.gov

ACL@azag.gov

ROB BONTA

Attorney General State of California

/s/ Satoshi Yanai Satoshi Yanai† Senior Assistant Attorney General

Demian Camacho† Miranda Maison† 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Phone: 213-269-6400 satoshi.yanai@doj.ca.gov

WILLIAM TONG

Attorney General State of Connecticut

/s/ Michael Skold Michael Skold† Solicitor General 165 Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT 06106 Phone: (860) 808 5020 michael.skold@ct.gov

KATHLEEN JENNINGS

Attorney General State of Delaware

By: /s/ Vanessa L. Kassab
Ian R. Liston
Director of Impact Litigation

Vanessa L. Kassab* Deputy Attorney General Delaware Department of Justice 820 N. French Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 683-8899 vanessa.kassab@delaware.gov

ANNE E. LOPEZ

Attorney General State of Hawai'i

/s/ Kaliko 'onālani D. Fernandes

David D. Day†
Special Assistant to the Attorney General
Kalikoʻonālani D. Fernandes†
Solicitor General
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, HI 96813
(808) 586-1360
kaliko.d.fernandes@hawaii.gov

KWAME RAOUL

Attorney General State of Illinois

/s/ Gretchen Helfrich

Gretchen Helfrich†
Deputy Chief
Special Litigation Bureau
Office of the Illinois Attorney General
115 South LaSalle Street, 35th Floor
Chicago, IL 60603
Tel. (312) 814-3000
Gretchen.helfrich@ilag.gov

DANA NESSEL

Attorney General State of Michigan

/s/ Bryan Davis, Jr.

Bryan Davis, Jr.†
Debbie Taylor†
Assistant Attorneys General
Department of Attorney General
Labor Division
3030 W. Grand Blvd., Ste. 9-600
Detroit, MI 48202
davisb47@michigan.gov
taylord8@michigan.gov
(313) 456-2200

RAÚL TORREZ

Attorney General State of New Mexico

/s/ Anjana Samant

Anjana Samant*
Deputy Counsel for Impact Litigation
New Mexico Department of Justice
P.O. Drawer 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504-1508
(505) 490-4060
asamant@nmdoj.gov

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL

Attorney General Commonwealth of Massachusetts

/s/ Katherine Dirks

Katherine Dirks†
Chief State Trial Counsel
Office of the Attorney General
1 Ashburton Pl.
Boston, MA 02108
617.963.2277
katherine.dirks@mass.gov

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

Attorney General State of New Jersey

/s/ Nathaniel Ilan Levy

Nathaniel Ilan Levy, Bar No. 21840 Deputy Solicitor General 25 Market Street Trenton, NJ 08625 Phone: (862) 350-5800 nathaniel.levy@njoag.gov

LETITIA JAMES

Attorney General State of New York

By: /s/ Rabia Muqaddam
Rabia Muqaddam†
Special Counsel for Federal Initiatives
New York Office of the Attorney General
28 Liberty St.
New York, NY 10005
(929) 638-0447
rabia.muqaddam@ag.ny.gov

DAN RAYFIELD

Attorney General State of Oregon

By: /s Deanna J. Chang
Deanna J. Chang**
Senior Assistant Attorney General
100 SW Market Street
Portland, OR 97201
(971) 673-1880
Deanna.J.Chang@doj.oregon.gov

CHARITY R. CLARK

Attorney General State of Vermont

/s/ Jonathan T. Rose
Jonathan T. Rose†
Solicitor General
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609
(802) 828-3171
Jonathan.rose@vermont.gov

PHIL WEISER

Attorney General of Colorado

/s/ David Moskowitz

David Moskowitz†
Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the Colorado Attorney General
1300 Broadway, #10
Denver, CO 80203
(720) 508-6000
David.Moskowitz@coag.gov

PETER F. NERONHA

Attorney General for the State of Rhode Island

By: /s/ Natalya A. Buckler
Natalya A. Buckler (RI Bar No. 8415)*
Sarah W. Rice, Bar No. 29113
Assistant Attorney General
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 274-4400, Ext. 2022
nbuckler@riag.ri.gov

JOSHUA L. KAUL

Attorney General of Wisconsin

/s/ Brian P. Keenan

Brian P. Keenan*
Assistant Attorney General
State Bar #1056525
Wisconsin Department of Justice
Post Office Box 7857
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857
(608) 266-0020
(608) 294-2907 (Fax)
keenanbp@doj.state.wi.us

AARON D. FORD

Attorney General of Nevada

/s/ Heidi Parry Stern

Heidi Parry Stern (Bar. No. 8873)* Solicitor General Office of the Nevada Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 HStern@ag.nv.gov

March 21, 2025

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

^{*} Pro hac vice application forthcoming **Application for admission pending † Admitted pro hac vice

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on this 21st day of March, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, which will send notice of such filing to all counsel.

/s/ Virginia Williamson
Virginia Williamson