Case: 1:24-cv-02939 Document #: 44-2 Filed: 05/21/24 Page 1 of 14 PageID #:1232

Exhibit 2

Case: 1	:24-cv-02939 Document #: 44-2 Filed: 05/21/24 Page 2 of 14 PageID #:1233
	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	XYZ CORPORATION,) Docket No. 24 C 2939
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs.
7	THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, / Chicago, Illinois LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, / May 20, 2024
8	PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED) 9:30 o'clock a.m.
9	ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
10	Defendants.
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW F. KENNELLY
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	For the Plaintiff: BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC
16	BY: MR. SHAWN ANTHONY MANGANO 605 N Michigan Ave., 4th Floor, #5456
17	Chicago, IL ⁻ 60611 (702) 462-5973
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: MS. CAROLYN R. COX, CSR, RPR, CRR, FCRR
24	Official Court Reporter 219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 2102
25	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5639

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	For Defendents
3	For Defendants Xinchengdongdongbianlidian,
4	Fandee Branch Store, Quanzhoushizhinaguandidianzishangwuyouxiangongsi,
5	and iPhoenix shop: NI, WANG & MASSAND, PLLC BY: MR. TIMOTHY TIEWEI WANG
6	8140 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 615 Dallas, TX 75231
7	(972) 331-4603
8	
9	For Defendants ANHEUM, StunningQueen,
10	ADHOWBEW, Aojingyibin, BTLYUIOAPE, Febecool,
11	FQZWONG, Goddess Accent 15-18 Days Delivery,
12	Hjgjhgf, Holiday Deals-7-15 Days Delivery-Ruisiqi Trading,
13	Iuebc_NHF, Kenvina, Lcyhony 2023_Black_Friday Deals-7-20 Days Delivery,
14	MABUTINGTI, Tang Jian, Tantisy Easter Day Big Promotion,
15	Taymeis women's store, Yantihe, ZWSPTO-US, and
16	AU LLC BY: MR. ADAM EDWARD URBANCZYK
17	444 W. Lake St. 17th Floor
18	Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 715-7312
19	For Defendants
20	FANDEE, Runwind, Nmoder, JTNFairy, LightlyKiss,
21	TDiooCor, LaiyiVic, Glozeplus, MsavigVice, Sexycherry, SeNight, SxClub, Yiershu, VisiChenup,
22	PaladMom, PerZeal, LalaLin,
23	Yajedo, and Angerella Fashion: WHITEWOOD LAW PLLC
24	BY: MR. SHENGMAO MU 111 W. Jackson BLVD, Suite 1700
25	Chicago, IL 60604 (917) 858-8018

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	For Defendants The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies,
4	Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A Hereto: DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
5	BY: MR. MICHAEL P. ADAMS 111 Congress Ave, Suite 1800 Austin, TX 78701
6	(512) 703-6300
7	For Defendants
8	TieBnss, IbuduSexv, Oxamoky
9	MOJICK, Bttup, DinyIn HugeNice, Knoint, ZonJie, and SheKiss: SOLTER IP_LAW, LLC
10	BY: MR. BENJÁMIN SOLTER
11	421 W Huron St, Apt 801 Chicago, IL 60654 (781) 752-6369
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	4
1	(The following proceedings were had telephonically:)
2	THE CLERK: 24 C 2939, XYZ v. The Individuals.
3	THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Kennelly.
4	Can counsel for the plaintiff please give your name for the
5	record.
6	MR. MANGANO: Yes, your Honor. This is Shawn Mangano
7	on behalf of the plaintiff.
8	THE COURT: Say it a little clearer and spell the
9	last name, please. It's kind of a bad connection.
10	MR. MANGANO: Yes, Shawn Mangano. Last name is
11	M-A-N-G-A-N-O.
12	THE COURT: Thanks.
13	And do we have I know a number of defendants have
14	filed appearances. Are there any attorneys on for any of the
15	defendants in the case?
16	MR. WANG: Good morning, your Honor. This is Timothy
17	Wang on behalf of defendant iPhoenix shop.
18	THE COURT: Thanks.
19	Any other defense counsel?
20	MR. URBANCZYK: Good morning, your Honor. Adam
21	Urbanczyk for the defendant group beginning with ANHEUM at
22	docket 32.
23	THE COURT: I know it was talked over. That's
24	Mr. Urbanczyk?
25	MR. URBANCZYK: Yes, your Honor. Adam Urbanczyk for

Case: 1	:24-cv-02939 Document #: 44-2 Filed: 05/21/24 Page 6 of 14 PageID #:1237
	5
1	the ANHEUM group of defendants at docket 32.
2	THE COURT: Thanks.
3	And I think I heard another defense attorney.
4	MR. MU: Good morning, your Honor. This is Shengmao
5	Mu speaking, attorney for defendants including FANDEE and the
6	rest of the 18 defendants on the docket.
7	THE COURT: Can you spell your surname for the
8	record, please.
9	MR. MU: Sure, M-U. M as in Mike and U as in
10	umbrella.
11	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Thanks.
12	Any other defense counsel?
13	MR. ADAMS: Good morning, your Honor. Mike Adams
14	representing the defendants identified in docket 31.
15	THE COURT: Thanks.
16	Anybody else?
17	MR. SOLTER: Yes, Benjamin Solter.
18	THE COURT: Sorry. Say it again.
19	MR. SOLTER: Benjamin Solter for ten of the defendant
20	stores including SheKiss.
21	THE COURT: Spell last name, if you wouldn't mind.
22	MR. SOLTER: Yes, S-O-L-T-E-R.
23	THE COURT: Thanks very much.
24	Is there anybody else on the defense side?
25	Okay. So most of my questions or comments are for

plaintiff's counsel here. So as I looked more carefully at
this, I'm seeing all sorts of problems here.

3 Just to back up, it's a copyright infringement suit. 4 It was filed under seal. I entered an ex parte TRO. The 5 plaintiff is named on the docket as the XYZ Corporation. Now, 6 I understood that to be kind of a placeholder for the actual 7 name because this was a situation where the contention was 8 that if anybody knew that a lawsuit was being filed, they 9 would immediately transfer assets, hide assets, start new 10 websites, et cetera. Do I have that right Mr. Mangano? 11 MR. MANGANO: That's correct.

12 THE COURT: Here's the problem. I spent probably the 13 better part of a half hour yesterday looking through the 14 docket and I could not find a single document that identifies 15 -- even a sealed document -- that identifies the actual 16 There's a sealed version of the complaint that's company. 17 docket number 12, but that doesn't have the name of the 18 plaintiff on it. It still says XYZ Corporation. And all it's 19 got is a handful of pictures of the items which look like 20 they're clothing items.

Can you just tell me where the document is that will
tell me what the name of the plaintiff is in this case?
MR. MANGANO: Well, your Honor, maybe I could cut
through this for you. Since we already have the TRO in place
and that TRO has been complied with by the platform, we would

not need to proceed under seal in this action for the
 plaintiff's sake.

THE COURT: So we're going to go back to my question because I don't have a -- it's like you're saying your name is John Doe and you ain't going to tell me what your real name is. It doesn't work that way. So who is the plaintiff?

7 MR. MANGANO: Exactly, your Honor. If we have not 8 provided that document, we can refile that immediately.

9 THE COURT: Yeah, so you're telling me you haven't,10 because I couldn't find it.

11 MR. MANGANO: Well, your Honor, we filed -- this is 12 the tenth or eleventh case we filed, and this is the only time 13 that this issue has been raised by anyone, so I'm assuming --

14 THE COURT: Yeah, well, maybe the other people didn't 15 -- maybe the other judges didn't look at them carefully and 16 maybe I don't always look at them carefully, but I did on this 17 one, and it was largely because a bunch of defendants came in 18 and appeared. So I'm having a kind of basic problem. If 19 nothing else, we're not just talking about whether this case 20 proceeds under seal. We're talking about whether I grant you 21 a preliminary injunction. I'm not going to grant a 22 preliminary injunction to somebody I don't know the name of, 23 and I don't have a document that I can find, unless you can 24 tell me right now where it is on the docket, that names the 25 plaintiff in this case.

-
O
×
L J

1	MR. MANGANO: Okay, your Honor. I cannot at this
2	second tell you where in the docket that identification is.
3	If you would like me to make a representation on the record
4	and tell you what the plaintiff's name is, I can do that.
5	THE COURT: Well, that's a starting point. So what
6	is it?
7	MR. MANGANO: Hold on. The brand name is Roeita.
8	THE COURT: Spell that.
9	MR. MANGANO: R-O-E-I-T-A.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. MANGANO: Let me pull up the name because it's
12	quite a long named plaintiff, the actual name. That's the
13	brand. It is Hong Kong Leyuzhen, L-E-Y-U-Z-H-E-N, Technology
14	Company Ltd.
15	THE COURT: It's possible that I overlooked
16	something, but I can tell you that in all the stuff I looked
17	through, I didn't see anything like that at all. I mean, it's
18	just kind of basic. Normally what happens in these cases
19	and, again, as you know, we get a billion of them here in this
20	district.
21	MR. MANGANO: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: Even in the
23	MR. MANGANO: I understand
24	THE COURT: I'm talking right now. I'm talking right
25	now, so stop interrupting. We get a billion of these cases,

1 and in most of them -- all of them the complaint is filed 2 under seal, but there's always -- you know, there's a redacted 3 version that keeps out the names of the defendant, maybe, but 4 the actual complaint gets filed under seal. There's a much 5 smaller percentage of them like yours, this case, in which the 6 plaintiff asks to proceed anonymously to start off with. But 7 in every case that I've had, at least to my knowledge -- and 8 I'm not going to guarantee that I haven't overlooked 9 something -- the under seal version of the complaint has the 10 name of the plaintiff. And in this case, the under seal 11 version of the complaint is docket entry number 12, docket 12 entry number 12. And if you pull up docket entry number 12 as 13 I just did, it also names the plaintiff as XYZ Corporation. 14 It doesn't -- it doesn't do a whole lot, to be perfectly 15 honest with you, to identify exactly what the copyrights are.

16 I mean, there's a whole lot of -- I'm saying this 17 only partly pejoratively -- boilerplate language talking about 18 the harm from selling online knockoff products, and it keeps 19 referring to the asserted brand copyrights, asserted brand copyrights all in capital letters, ABC, and then it doesn't 20 21 really explain much about them. It doesn't explain whether 22 they're registered or whether they're not. It doesn't explain 23 how there's a copyright, if it's a common law copyright. And 24 all I get are these -- there's three pictures, three pictures 25 of dresses that are the knockoff products. I don't even have

a picture of what the copyrighted items are, at least in the
 complaint.

3 And so it's kind of a basic failure of -- you know, 4 it's what an auditor would call a failure of internal 5 controls. Somebody didn't vet this stuff carefully enough. 6 And honestly, I'll just be blunt about it, it was me when I 7 granted the TRO. If I had seen that the under seal version of 8 the complaint didn't name the plaintiff, I wouldn't have 9 granted the motion to begin with. What that basically boils 10 down to is I'm not going to extend it today. The clock is 11 running and it's on you. You got to get something on file 12 immediately. As my mom used to say, immediately, if not 13 sooner.

You said you don't need to proceed under seal anymore. Great. That's fine. Get a complaint on file that actually names the plaintiff and does whatever else you think it needs to do, and then get a motion for preliminary injunction on file that also has all -- that identifies who the plaintiff is.

You tell me when you're going to file that. I'm happy to set a relatively short date for the defendants to respond to it. But I'm not going to extend this TRO even by a minute here given what happened to begin with. So that's basically the only deal you get from me.

25

When can you get something on file that actually

1 | names the party?

2

MR. MANGANO: We'll get it on file today.

THE COURT: Okay. So on the defense side, I assume what's going to get filed is a complaint and a revised motion for preliminary injunction. You're going to get a week to respond to it. If it's filed today, that means a week from today. And then I'm going to set it over to -- there's going to be a problem because I'm going to be out of town. Let me just think this through.

I'm going to set this for a phone call -- look, I'm going to tentatively set it for Wednesday morning the 29th, but I'm going to tell you right now that the odds of us having a hearing that day is pretty slim because I'm on something called the Judicial Panel For Multidistrict Litigation, and that's my day to travel to our hearing. I'm going to set it for 9:00 o'clock by phone that morning.

17 What's likely -- and the response to the preliminary 18 injunction motion needs to be on file at 4:00 o'clock Chicago 19 time on Tuesday the 28th. I'm going to look at those. If I 20 think that the plaintiff has made the case already for a 21 preliminary injunction based on the motion and the responses, 22 I'll just go ahead and grant it. If I don't, then I'm going 23 to set a date for a reply and I'll set a hearing date a week 24 after that. So it's not likely we'll actually have a hearing 25 on the 28th -- on the 29th rather, but that's the plan at this

1 | point.

6

21

2 So does anybody want to say anything, ask questions 3 or anything else?

4 MR. URBANCZYK: Your Honor, Adam Urbanczyk for one of 5 the groups of defendants. We had filed a short motion --

THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. URBANCZYK: -- for a briefing schedule. I guess
8 that's a bit obvious right now. But we also requested
9 materials that were supporting the TRO --

10 THE COURT: Mr. Urbanczyk, one second. Can I ask a 11 question. Whoever's got all of the wailing and moaning going 12 on in the background, can you please mute your phone.

13 Okay. It got a little better. Maybe they stopped14 wailing and moaning.

15 What are you asking to do, Mr. Urbanczyk? Unseal the16 TRO motion?

MR. URBANCZYK: That's correct. I think dockets 4and 12 were the sealed items.

19 THE COURT: Do you have any problem with unsealing20 the TRO, the complaint?

MR. MANGANO: No, we do not.

22THE COURT: The clerk is directed to unseal docket23numbers 4 and 12.

24 Okay. Anything else anybody's got?

25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor -- go ahead.

1	MR. ADAMS: I'm sorry, your Honor, this is Mike
2	Adams. I understood from your comments that you were giving
3	the plaintiff a pretty strong hint that they needed to
4	identify the copyrights at issue with more specificity, and I
5	just wanted to see if we could get actually a ruling that that
6	is a requirement in addition to identifying with specificity.
7	THE COURT: I'm going to leave it as a strong hint,
8	and it's possible that that can be done in the motion, you
9	know, in the alternative to doing it in the complaint.
10	Mr. Mangano, the one other thing I'm going to need
11	from you is a revised preliminary injunction order that
12	actually names the plaintiff in it.
13	All right. Guys, I got to move on to the next thing.
14	Thanks. We're going to call the next case now.
15	MR. MANGANO: Thank you, your Honor.
16	(Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled
17	cause on the day and date aforesaid.)
18	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
19	
20	/s/ <i>Carolyn R. Cox, CSR, RPR, F/CRR</i> May 21, 2024 Official Court Reporter United States District Court
21	Northern District of Illinois
22	Eastern Division
23	
24	
25	