Case: 1:18-cr-00450 Document #: 158 Filed: 08/10/21 Page 1 of 52 PageID #:1280			
1 2			1 S DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF ILLINOIS VISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERI	CA, )	
4	Plain	tiff,	
5	-VS-	}	Case No. 18 CR 450
6	DAVID WALSH,	ł	Chicago, Illinois
7	Defen	dant.	October 6, 2020 10:30 a.m.
8 9			DOCEEDINCS Contonaing
9 10	TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOGRAPHIC PROCEEDINGS - Sentencing BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY FEINERMAN		GARY FEINERMAN
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Government:	HON JOHN	R. LAUSCH, JR.
13	(via video conference	UNITED STA	TES ATTORNEY RIAN J. KERWIN
14	call)		Dearborn Street, Suite 500,
15		(312)353-5	300
16	For the Defendant:		S OF PATRICK E. BOYLE
17	(via video conference	155 North	ATRICK E. BOYLE Michigan Avenue
18	call)		llinois 60601
19		(312) 565-3	2888
20	Also Present:		KWONG, U.S. Probation.
21	Count Descentors		conference call)
22	Court Reporter:		
23	CHARLES R. ZANDI, CSR, RPR, FCRR Official Court Reporter United States District Court		
24	219 South Dearborn Street. Room 2128		treet. Room 2128
25	Tele email: Cha	phone: (312 arles_zandi@	ois 60604 2) 435-5387 2ilnd.uscourts.gov

Case:	1:18-cr-00450 Document #: 158 Filed: 08/10/21 Page 2 of 52 PageID #:1281
	2
1	(Proceedings heard via video conference call:)
2	THE COURT: Good morning, Jackie.
3	THE CLERK: Good morning, Judge.
4	18 CR 450, USA versus Walsh.
5	THE COURT: Who do we have for the government?
6	MR. KERWIN: Good morning, your Honor. Brian Kerwin
7	for the United States, if you can hear me.
8	THE COURT: I can.
9	MR. KERWIN: Okay. Thanks.
10	THE COURT: Defense counsel?
11	MR. BOYLE: Good morning, your Honor. Patrick Boyle
12	on behalf of Mr. David Walsh, who is joining us via video from
13	the MCC.
14	THE COURT: And, Mr. Walsh, is that you?
15	THE DEFENDANT: That's me.
16	THE COURT: And Probation?
17	MS. KWONG: Good morning, your Honor. Kelly Kwong on
18	behalf of the Probation Office.
19	THE COURT: Good morning. Are we all ready to
20	proceed with this morning's sentencing hearing?
21	MR. KERWIN: The government's ready, Judge.
22	MR. BOYLE: For the defense, we are, your Honor,
23	attorney Boyle.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So, let me ask Mr. Walsh, have you
25	had a chance to see the Presentence Investigation Report and

24

25

3

review it with your attorney? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I have. 3 THE COURT: And let me ask defense counsel, other 4 than what you've set forth in your sentencing brief, do you 5 have any objections or corrections to anything in the PSR? 6 MR. BOYLE: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And you've received a copy of the 8 Probation Office's sentencing recommendation? 9 MR. BOYLE: I have, and I provided that to Mr. Walsh 10 as well. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And other than the objections to 12 the proposed conditions of supervised release set forth in 13 your supplemental sentencing memorandum, will you have any 14 other objections to present this morning? 15 MR. BOYLE: No, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. So, government, any 17 objections or corrections that you have to the PSR? 18 MR. KERWIN: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. So, there's one Guideline 20 issue that we need to resolve before getting to the other 21 3553(a) factors, and that has to do with the proposed 22 four-level enhancement that the Probation Office and the 23 government believes applies because, in their view, the felon

in possession, which is Count 4, and to which Mr. Walsh

pleaded guilty, was committed in connection with another

	4
1	felony offense, the attempted bank robbery on November 24th.
2	And the defendant, Mr. Walsh
3	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me. July 24th.
4	THE COURT: Right. July 24th. And the defendant,
5	through able defense counsel, is arguing that the attempted
6	bank robbery has not been proved in accordance with the burden
7	of proof that applies at sentencing, and the government and
8	the Probation Office argues that the facts do establish
9	attempted bank robbery, which, by the way, is Count 3, to
10	which Mr. Walsh has not pleaded guilty.
11	So, let me ask defendant if there's any points
12	defense counsel, I'm sorry, if there's any points that you'd
13	like to make or emphasize on this particular issue?
14	MR. BOYLE: No, your Honor. I think I argued it, and
15	I think I beginning essentially on page 2 of our sentencing
16	memorandum, we discussed this very issue. And I think you've
17	summarized it.
18	You know, the standard here is preponderance of the
19	evidence. It's not probable cause. It's certainly more than
20	that. And we acknowledge it's less than reasonable doubt,
21	but the simple fact and, you know, we acknowledge the
22	government did charge him under the second paragraph of the
23	bank robbery, which I think essentially they're relying upon
24	the entry or the attempted entry into the bank.
25	I would just suggest that he was still in a car in a

1 parking lot. That is still not sufficient evidence of the 2 substantial step that they needed to establish of attempting 3 to enter the bank. Certainly, he -- you know, they had every 4 right to arrest him and to seize him at that moment and 5 prevent what they thought was going to happen, but he had not 6 made the substantial step yet even to satisfy an attempt by a 7 preponderance. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Probation, anything that you'd like to add? 10 MS. KWONG: Nothing further, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 Government, any points that you'd like to make or 13 emphasize? 14 MR. KERWIN: Judge, I'd just add that I believe 15 Mr. Boyle, Mr. Walsh, and I are all in agreement as to what 16 the facts are. We just have a disagreement over what it means 17 to attempt to enter a bank to commit a larceny or another 18 felonv. 19 Mr. Boyle's position and Mr. Walsh's position is that 20 effectively, you need to make a literal physical move towards 21 getting through the front door; but the pattern jury 22 instructions tell us what an attempt means, and pattern jury 23 instruction 4.09 defines attempt to be an act that is a 24 substantial step towards committing the crime with the intent 25 to commit it. It's not a literal step, but an action that

	6
1	strongly corroborates the defendant's intent, something beyond
2	mere preparation, but short of the act itself.
3	Then if you compare the actions that Mr. Walsh took
4	on July 14th, when he did commit a bank robbery, to the many,
5	many steps that he took leading up to the FBI's intervention
6	10 days later, many, many of those actions corroborate his
7	attempt and make clear that if the FBI hadn't stepped in when
8	they did, he would have been inside that bank committing
9	another felony.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thanks to both sides for your
11	arguments. I think the government has the better of the
12	argument, the government and Probation, so I'm going to apply
13	the four-level enhancement. Both sides are right
14	THE DEFENDANT: I would like to say something about
15	that. The agreement okay, the agreement in the plea
16	agreement, that said out of the way, period. All of that

four-level enhancement is not going to apply, which I'm not
going to go for. We can go for a jury trial is what we can
do, and I don't care what happens. Okay?

MR. BOYLE: Well, Mr. Walsh, if I can just try to explain, Mr. Walsh, because the judge is finding that these four levels apply, it's a sentencing issue. You're not being convicted of the attempted bank robbery. That -- you did not plead guilty to that count, and at the end of this proceeding, if we finish it, that count will be dismissed. That's my 1 understanding.

	5
2	THE DEFENDANT: Well, it should have been that way
3	from the very beginning because that's a plea agreement.
4	That's all I'm saying. What do I know? I know if you want to
5	violate the plea agreement or you want to enhance things, we
6	can go for a jury trial. How about that?
7	MR. BOYLE: I just want you to understand what's
8	happening today.
9	I don't know if you can assist me, your Honor. I
10	think I'm summarizing what's actually happening.
11	THE DEFENDANT: It's all good. Go ahead and do what
12	you're going to do.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, defense counsel is correct.
14	I'm finding by a preponderance of the evidence for purposes of
15	sentencing that there was an attempted bank robbery. Count 3
16	will be dismissed as a formal matter at the end of this
17	hearing.
18	So, the standard is preponderance of the evidence.
19	Both sides are right that we're talking about the second
20	paragraph of Section 2113(a) of Title 18. And the standard
21	set forth in U.S. versus Muratovic, 719 F.3d 809 at 815 to
22	816, requires that I find that Mr. Walsh took a substantial
23	step towards committing the bank robbery on July 24th. And in
24	my estimation, he very plainly did.
25	The story begins about a little less than two

weeks earlier, when on July 13th, Mr. Walsh attempted to
recruit Mr. Slovov to be his driver for the July 24th robbery
of the U.S. Bank, and that's Count 1 to which Mr. Walsh has
pleaded guilty. Mr. Slovov turned down Mr. Walsh at that
point back on July 13th, but then on July 23rd, Mr. Walsh
again attempted to recruit Mr. Slovov to help with another
bank robbery the following day.

8 Unbeknownst to Mr. Walsh, Mr. Slovov told the FBI. 9 The FBI outfitted him with a mechanism for oral surveillance, 10 which I imagine was a wire. And Mr. Slovov drove Mr. Walsh to 11 the TCF Bank parking lot. They then drove to another parking 12 lot, where Mr. Walsh changed into the clothes that he wore for 13 the July 14th bank robbery, placed a revolver in the front of 14 his pants, a loaded revolver. They drove back to the TCF 15 Bank, and then Mr. Walsh put up the mask that he had up to his 16 face.

At that point, law enforcement, which of course was following because they had spoken with Mr. Slovov, ran up to the car and arrested Mr. Walsh, who was found with the knit cap, the clear plastic gloves, the rubber door stoppers, and the loaded revolver that he had used during the U.S. Bank robbery.

The fact that Mr. Walsh was in the car and not walking, you know, on the sidewalk towards the bank or trying to enter the front door doesn't matter. Obviously, it would

1 be somewhat clearer had Mr. Walsh made it to the door, but he 2 certainly at that point had done more than enough, well more 3 than enough to have taken a substantial step towards 4 committing the bank robbery. 5 And given that, I find by a preponderance of the 6 evidence that he did commit attempted bank robbery under the 7 second paragraph of 2113(a) and, therefore, that the 8 four-level enhancement under 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) applies. 9 So, that finishes up the Guideline issue. So, I

agree that without any departures -- and we'll talk about
that. I'm going to raise a departure issue later on in the
hearing. But without any departures, we have the Advisory
Guidelines range for Counts 1 and 4 as 36 to 78 months, the
Guidelines --

MR. KERWIN: 63 to 78, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

15

16

17

MR. KERWIN: 63 to 78.

18 THE COURT: Right. 63 to 78 months for Counts 1
19 and 4. The Guidelines range is actually a point for Count 2,
20 is 60 months, and that has to be consecutive to whatever
21 sentence is imposed on Counts 1 and 4.

So, why don't we talk about the other Guideline issues. We'll start with defense counsel, turn it over to the government. Then if Probation has anything to add, I'll give Probation a chance. And then we'll bring it back to defense 1 counsel to make any reply.

And then if Mr. Walsh would like to address the
Court, he'll have the opportunity, though not the obligation,
to do so.

5 And when defense counsel is done with his 6 presentation, I am going to raise the departure issue with him 7 to get his input on that.

Go ahead.

8

23

9 MR. BOYLE: Thank you, Judge. As we've argued in 10 detail in our written sentencing memorandum, I think the most 11 significant factor is Mr. Walsh is 73 years old. He has 12 significant health concerns. He has extensive criminal 13 history. There's no dispute about that. But as I argue in 14 our memorandum, he was significantly punished for that, 15 especially the sentence he received for that armed robbery, 16 which almost brought him to where he is at the time of the 17 bank robbery.

18 It is significant that Mr. Walsh -- the period from 19 being released from that sentence and his involvement in the 20 bank robbery was the longest time he had been out perhaps in 21 his adult life. He was out for, I think, about nine months. 22 I think Mr. Walsh can correct me if I misspeak.

THE DEFENDANT: Nine months and 22 days.

24 MR. BOYLE: And he was working, and he was working in 25 a difficult job. He was working essentially in food service going into a freezer, you know, unloading frozen foods and
 storing them, very difficult work for a man of his age.

He has significant degeneration in his knees. He is hoping that he will get knee replacements. We talked about and the probation officer reached out to the MCC and got confirmation of the health issues that he is suffering from and that he's getting treatment from, and that's mentioned in the Probation -- the presentence report.

9 And he just couldn't handle it, couldn't take it 10 The pain was too much. And I've spoken to a good anvmore. 11 friend of Mr. Walsh. I think he misunderstood my request. He 12 wrote a letter to me instead of your Honor. But he talked 13 about how he knew David during this period of time. He'd be 14 working. He'd be coming home, I don't know, 2:00 or 3:00 in 15 the morning, exhausted and in pain from that job. And it 16 frankly led to desperation here.

And there's no question. To Mr. Walsh's credit, this has been an interesting case. Mr. Walsh is certainly an intelligent, engaged gentleman, and -- but when it really came down to it, Mr. Walsh did the right thing here. He accepted full responsibility.

There really has been no significant dispute about the facts. Long before I got into the case, I'm sure your Honor will remember that Mr. Walsh said, "Listen, I'm going to plead guilty." Everyone was trying to say, "Well, Mr. Walsh, Case: **1**:18-cr-00450 Document #: 158 Filed: 08/10/21 Page 12 of 52 PageID #:1291

1	take a deep breath. You really shouldn't be saying these
2	things. Your case is just getting started." But he's like,
3	"No, I'm going to plead guilty to bank robbery. I committed
4	that bank robbery."
5	THE DEFENDANT: Something happened to the audio.
6	THE COURT: Right. We're losing you in the audio.
7	THE DEFENDANT: We're losing you in the audio.
8	MR. BOYLE: Me?
9	THE COURT: Yes, you.
10	MR. BOYLE: You can't hear me?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I can hear you now.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, let me take care of the audio.
13	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
14	MR. BOYLE: Can you hear me now?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MR. BOYLE: Okay.
17	THE COURT: So, where we lost you where we lost
18	you was, you said, quoting Mr. Walsh, or paraphrasing him,
19	"And he said, 'No, I'm going to plead guilty to the bank
20	robbery. I committed that bank robbery.'" And then you cut
21	off.
22	MR. BOYLE: Thank you, Judge. I think, really, the
23	one issue was the attempted bank robbery, which we briefed,
24	and I think as you accurately described it, it was really a
25	legal dispute. It really wasn't a factual dispute.

1 And again, because he did the right thing, accepted 2 responsibility, he's getting credit for the acceptance of 3 responsibility. And at the conclusion of this, that count 4 will be dismissed. So, I think that's significant. I think 5 that was pretty telling, that, you know, he can accept 6 responsibility. He's going to serve his sentence. 7 THE COURT: You got cut off again, counsel. 8 MR. BOYLE: Can you hear me now? 9 THE COURT: Yeah. You said --10 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I'd like to -- damn. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, let me deal with the audio, 12 please. 13 MR. BOYLE: Okay. 14 THE COURT: You said, "I think it's pretty telling, 15 you know, he can accept responsibility," and then you got cut 16 off. 17 MR. BOYLE: He has demonstrated that he can be 18 perfectly rational about moving forward. Mr. Walsh is going 19 to serve his sentence. Unfortunately, we have the 60-month 20 mandatory minimum. He will serve that time. He has expressed 21 a desire to take full advantage of any vocational training so 22 that when he completes his sentence, despite his age, he wants 23 to go back to work. He wants to support himself in a 24 legitimate manner. And I think he will do that. 25 It's just again, as far as the nature of the offense,

there's no question that it was serious; but Mr. Walsh, I
think, as the video and the facts suggest, it was very
efficient. He wasn't screaming. He wasn't threatening. All
he wanted was to get in and out of there as quick as possible
with some money. And when there was a slight hesitation, he
displayed the firearm, but that was it.

And fortunately, he obtained some money and left as
soon as possible. It was a very -- again, not under -- not
suggesting this wasn't serious, but just those simple facts.
He didn't go in there screaming, waving a gun around,
threatening people.

So, again, we've talked about the other issues. We've talked about that he did take advantage of counseling and classes, obtained three certificates while in the MCC. Obviously, at his age in the MCC during this pandemic, it was obviously the last place on Earth anybody would have wanted to be. He got through that.

18 He will serve his sentence. He'll take advantage of 19 everything that the Bureau of Prisons offers him. And he 20 will -- again, you know, we're requesting essentially the 21 60 months and a day for the Counts 1 and 4. I know that's a 22 big ask; but again, we're doing that because of his age, and 23 because ultimately he did the right thing, he accepted 24 responsibility. And again, I think when you take all of those 25 factors into consideration, that is a sentence that's

sufficient but not greater than necessary to accomplish the
 various goals that you're grappling with to impose a fair
 sentence, your Honor.

Thank you.

4

5 THE COURT: Thank you. Let me raise one question; 6 and this is not something that either of the parties raised, 7 but it's something that occurred to me.

8 Mr. Walsh has a criminal history category of III, and 9 given his criminal history category, that struck me as not 10 reflective of his actual criminal history. And the reason --11 I guess the technical reason why his criminal history category 12 is III rather than something higher is because he did not 13 receive any criminal history points for the armed robbery back 14 in the '60s, which I imagine is because it was so long ago; he 15 did not receive any criminal history points for the unlawful 16 use of a weapon conviction that involved, among other things, 17 a loaded Uzi submachine gun, and that, I believe, was from the 18 '80s; and he didn't receive -- and the reason he didn't 19 receive any criminal history points for that is because the 20 underlying statute was held unconstitutional, the Illinois 21 state statute; and then most significantly, he didn't receive 22 any criminal history points for the murder of the police 23 officer.

And given that, I think that's what resulted in the criminal history category being III. And again, I'm not

## Case: 1:18-cr-00450 Document #: 158 Filed: 08/10/21 Page 16 of 52 PageID #:1295

16

1 saying that the Guidelines range was incorrectly calculated --2 I'm sorry, the criminal history category was incorrectly 3 calculated. It was as a technical matter. But 4 Section 4A1.3(a) of the Guidelines allows for an upward 5 departure under these circumstances if reliable information 6 indicates that the defendant's criminal history category 7 substantially under-represents the seriousness of the 8 defendant's criminal history or the likelihood that the 9 defendant will commit other crimes.

And in making that evaluation, the Court can consider prior sentences of substantially more than one year imposed as a result of independent crimes committed on different occasions. And the departure, if there is one, is to be to the criminal history category applicable to defendants whose criminal history or likelihood to recidivate most closely resembles that of the defendant.

17 Given the extreme seriousness of two of those three 18 convictions for which there's no criminal history points, the 19 murder of a police officer and the armed robbery, and the -- I 20 don't want to call it extreme seriousness, but the very 21 serious nature of the UUW. I'm inclined to think, subject to 22 hearing from counsel, that a departure, an upward departure 23 to category V would be appropriate, although I would be 24 inclined to be very conservative and go only up to criminal 25 history category IV, which would be very, very conservative,

1 given -- given the actual criminal history. 2 And if these prior offenses were for serious 3 offenses, but offenses like drug-dealing or burglary, I would 4 just say, "Well, whatever. That's just how the cookie crumbles with these Guidelines calculations." But this is an 5 6 armed robbery, possession of a --7 THE DEFENDANT: What prior offenses are you talking 8 about? The one murder on a police officer, that happened. 9 There was no other armed robbery. An armed robbery from the 10 '60s was a probational offense. You're making up some 11 nonsense. 12 THE COURT: And especially the murder of a police 13 officer. 14 THE DEFENDANT: That happened over 50 years ago, man. 15 That was another century. I don't take that --16 MR. BOYLE: Mr. Walsh, let me -- Mr. Walsh, could you 17 please allow me to respond to the judge? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, go ahead. 19 THE COURT: So, given all that, I'm tentatively 20 inclined, again, subject to hearing from counsel, to make an 21 upward departure under 4A1.3(a) to have Mr. Walsh be a 22 category IV rather than a category III. 23 So, let me ask -- first let me ask Probation for your 24 thoughts and the government for your thoughts; and then I'll 25

turn it over to defense counsel, who then can respond to

1	everybody.
2	Probation?
3	MS. KWONG: Okay. Do you want me to go first, your
4	Honor?
5	THE COURT: Yeah, if you have any thoughts. And if
6	you don't, that's fine.
7	MS. KWONG: I just I did look at that, and what I
8	came up what I ultimately decided was not to recommend an
9	upward departure because, based on the assignment of the
10	criminal history points, due to the ages of the case, I think
11	the Sentencing Guidelines pretty much, you know, reflected the
12	age of the case. They were older offenses.
13	And the conduct in them, as you said, was serious.
14	And I took that piece into consideration in making the
15	sentencing recommendation for this specific case.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
17	Government?
18	MR. KERWIN: Judge, I'd just add, similar to what
19	Probation's expressed, certainly we're in agreement that the
20	crimes that Mr. Walsh has in his history are jarring and, you
21	know, form a criminal record that is would be difficult to
22	find in anyone else unless they've lived a lifelong an
23	entire life where they've committed violent crime after
24	violent crime like this.
25	I would just note for the Court for what it's worth,

1	if Mr. Walsh were to bump up to category IV, the low end of
2	that Guideline would be 77 months consecutive to the
3	60 months. The high end of the Guideline, which is what the
4	government has asked for and what Probation has recommended,
5	is 78 months, consecutive to the 60.
6	So, at least as to the low end of category IV, we're
7	talking about something in the same range. So, I'd just leave
8	it at that, and that you know, submit that that did factor
9	in to the government's consideration about whether it was
10	appropriate to go up to a IV or remain at III.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Defense counsel?
12	MR. BOYLE: Well, Judge, again, forgive me if you're
13	getting distortion on my end. I am getting some distortion
14	from you guys. Are you hearing that at all from anybody else?
15	THE COURT: No.
16	MR. BOYLE: Okay. Well, unfortunately, I'm using a
17	phone today instead of a laptop, so that's my fault.
18	But briefly, your Honor, as we argue in the
19	memorandum, we're not questioning the seriousness of the
20	offense. And I think Mr. Walsh was questioning what armed
21	robbery you were talking about. I think you were referring
22	to the one from 1987
23	THE COURT: No.
24	MR. BOYLE: that apparently he went to trial on
25	THE COURT: No, no.

MR. BOYLE: Well, there's the much older one.

THE COURT: Yes. The one that didn't give him any criminal history points. It's in paragraph 55 of the PSR on page 11.

5 MR. BOYLE: Right. Okay. And I guess what I was 6 suggesting is that sometimes when a defendant has much older 7 convictions that aren't counted just because of the age of 8 them and so they don't result in criminal history points, I think, you know, the judge can look at that and say, "Well, 9 10 that's not really fair here," or if someone just was kind of 11 dancing through the raindrops and catching a lot of arrests 12 and convictions and just getting very minor sentences for some 13 reason, probation on probation or something. Again, as we 14 argue, again, I can't suggest that these aren't serious 15 offenses; but I would suggest that he's been significantly 16 punished for all of these every step of the way.

And again, I know you weren't specifically referring to the '87 armed robbery, but that judge, you know, gave him 60 years for that offense, which I think is -- obviously, he was punishing him for that case and all of that other -- those prior cases.

And so I think he has certainly paid a price for his prior criminal conduct. I think respectfully what your Honor should be focusing on are the specific facts of that bank robbery on that day, which is what he pled guilty to.

1 So, I think certainly with the mandatory minimum 60, 2 and then the additional Guideline range that it calls for even 3 with him remaining in the category III, that is a significant 4 amount of time; and it would just be, I would suggest, 5 re-punishing him for crimes that he's already been 6 significantly punished for. I think the goal today should be 7 finding the fairest sentence for his conduct in committing the 8 bank robbery. 9 Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your --11 everybody's thoughts. I am going to depart upward under 12 4A1.3(a) and deem Mr. Walsh to have a criminal history

13 category of IV.

14 As I mentioned, there are three very serious crimes 15 for which Mr. Walsh didn't receive any criminal history 16 points, one of them being the murder of a police officer, one 17 of them being an armed robbery. And let's put aside the armed 18 robberv. Mr. Walsh is correct. It was a probationable 19 offense. I don't know how a stick-up with a gun is a probationable offense, but it was Illinois in the '60s, so 20 21 maybe that's just what they did at that point.

But the murder, for which there's zero criminal history points -- and granted, it was 1968, but still, it was a murder of a police officer, on top of the unlawful use of a weapon, where Mr. Walsh was involved in a traffic accident where he struck some parked vehicles, left his car with a
loaded .38-caliber revolver, and then a search of his car
revealed a loaded 12-gauge shotgun and a loaded Uzi submachine
gun, along with numerous rounds of ammunition, the murder plus
that one certainly more than warrant an upward departure of
one criminal history category level.

So, I'm going to depart upward and consider Mr. Walsh
to have a criminal history category of IV, which again, it's
an adjusted Guidelines range; and the adjusted Guidelines
range is now, as Mr. Kerwin mentioned, 77 to 96 months for
Counts 1 and 4, and then Count 2 is an extra 60 months on top
of that.

All right. Probation, anything you'd like to add onthe 3553(a) factors?

MS. KWONG: Nothing else, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Government?

15

16

MR. KERWIN: Just a few things, Judge. I mean,
you -- you're touching in your discussion on the upward
departure about a lot of the things that I wanted to hit on by
focusing on some of the acts that -- and convictions that
Mr. Walsh has in his past. Obviously, the seriousness of
those offenses are clear.

The thing that I think stands out to the government equally are the quick succession and the timing of those different crimes when you compare them to each other, and the recidivist nature of them. And some of these -- and I'll -I laid it out in the sentencing memo just how quickly after
Mr. Walsh was paroled or probationed in some of these
instances he then committed the next very serious crime. It
just suggests very strongly that there's a criminal impulse
there that's deeply engrained and still very much active from
what we've seen in this case.

8 And we go back to the first armed robbery that you 9 alluded to was 1964, and Mr. Walsh somehow received only 10 probation. Yet a year later, he was charged with and later 11 convicted of burglary and possession of burglary tools. It 12 was just a few years after, while still on probation in the 13 burglary, that he committed the murder of the Chicago police 14 officer: and the facts of that case are that he shot him five 15 times in the chest. So, it's a sentence and a conviction 16 that's old, as Mr. Walsh is arguing, but it's deeply 17 disturbing because this case here involves him walking around 18 with a loaded firearm with six bullets.

You know, a month after he served his 20 years in prison for that crime, he's convicted of drug distribution. He's paroled in July of 1984, and literally three weeks later is when he had the UUW with the Uzi and the two other firearms that are -- just happen to be with him as he's hitting parked cars.

25

He gets paroled in that case on April 1st, 1987, and

1 it is literally 10 days later that he commits the armed
2 robbery at the restaurant, for which he was sentenced to
3 60 years. You know, I cut and pasted the statement of facts
4 from the State's Attorney in that case because it provides
5 even more context and reads like it's coming from a horror
6 movie.

You know, he goes in -- and Mr. Boyle makes the point
that, you know, he's punished very severely for that and
suggests that that's sufficient time to sort of right his past
wrongs, but Probation very clearly lays out what happens even
while he's incarcerated in that case.

In 2000, he's prosecuted by the State for obstructing
justice because he's in possession of a handcuff key. He's
sanctioned at least 16 other times in prison for fighting,
creating a dangerous disturbance, assaulting a prison staff at
one point, numerous instances of contraband possession.

He serves 30 full years in prison and is paroled in
October of 2017, and it's a mere nine months later that he's
committing the acts that bring us here today.

And I really have to push back on this notion that's being advanced by Mr. Boyle that, you know, Mr. Walsh just wanted to be quick and efficient and not harm anyone and just get some money and leave. He chose to bring a loaded revolver with six bullets in it, at least to that second bank robbery when the FBI intervened; and it stands to reason, based on the

similarities between the two, that the gun was loaded with
 those same six bullets the first time around.

3 So, there are very real consequences and very real 4 anguish that he's caused everyone that was affected by these 5 events. You know, Probation mentions in the PSR that one of 6 those bank tellers in that bank robbery had to be taken to a 7 doctor from the robbery before she could even be interviewed 8 by law enforcement because of the stress of the event, and she 9 ultimately miscarried the baby that she was pregnant with. 10 Now, Probation points out that, you know, the doctors were 11 unable to make that connection, you know, that his robbery 12 caused the loss of that child; but regardless, it underscores, 13 you know, that there is a very real and dangerous effect of 14 these acts.

15 So, it's the seriousness of the past conduct that 16 you've highlighted, your Honor. It's the very quick 17 succession and the pattern over the course of 56 years that 18 continues to play out over and over. And it's this conduct 19 in this case that's committed in that broader context that 20 informs the government's view that a sentence of at least 21 138 months, which is the top end of the Guidelines if he were 22 in criminal history category III, is -- I certainly don't 23 disagree with your Honor that his criminal history is 24 understated by category III and that IV is equally 25 appropriate; but it's the government's view that 138 months

1 is the minimum sentence necessary to promote respect for the
2 law, frankly, to protect the public from future crimes of
3 Mr. Walsh, as we've seen the public desperately needs, and to
4 hopefully once and for all deter Mr. Walsh from committing
5 future violent crimes when he's ultimately paroled in this
6 case.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Boyle.

7

8

17

22

23

9 MR. BOYLE: Well, Judge, again -- and we've already 10 made our argument, but I know it doesn't seem like a great 11 deal of time; but as the government accurately summarized, the 12 nine months after he was paroled was the longest period of 13 time in Mr. Walsh's adult life where he did stay out of 14 trouble. He was working. He was working a difficult job, 15 trying to support himself in a legitimate way. Because of his 16 age and health concerns and the nature --

THE COURT: Mr. Boyle, you cut out again.

MR. BOYLE: I was just saying that once he receives the vocational training, he will get better jobs. He'll be able to support himself. And that is his only intention at this stage.

Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

24 Mr. Walsh, if you'd like to address the Court, I'd be 25 very happy to hear from you. You're not obligated to, but if

1 you would like to, now is your chance.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I was paroled after 30 years and six months. Okay? True, I've done extensive time in prisons. I did try and prove the fact that I could do when I was released. Okay? I was working in these temporary labor forces jobs, but I didn't have the vocational training to get a wage that's livable. Okay?

8 To begin with, when I got out, I didn't go to a 9 regular halfway house. They sent me to a transition center 10 where they don't feed you. Okay? But I had to go to a food 11 pantry to get food to live on. Okay?

They -- you go to these -- by the state. You go to a transition center. You're there for 60 days. If you don't have the money to go to -- get an apartment or have family and friends or anything, then they send you to another transition center.

Now, as soon as I -- they paroled me from one alias
to another alias, so it was hard for me to get IDs from the
very beginning. I've got my IDs through a social worker. And
I started working immediately. Actually in November, I
started working as a temporary labor services to get money for
an apartment. Okay? I kept getting food from the food
pantries so that I could do so.

I managed to save money, and I managed to get a Link card. Okay? But as I was working, I was only working

1 maybe -- maybe at tops a month, month and a half, the \$192 2 that they did give me for food went to \$15. 3 And I did get a Social Security check. It was for 4 \$750. When I got the check, I was still steadily working. 5 Somehow, they found out under that program, SSI or whatever, 6 and they cut it to 675 and were going to cut it down some more 7 and more. And I'm working. 8 Now, I have to save enough money to get an apartment. 9 I have to get furniture. I have to get everything else, 10 clothes and everything else. I'm steadily working. 11 As I was working in the warmer environment in the 12 plastics, I was doing that, 12-hour jobs, five days a week, 13 making only 12 to \$13 an hour. It's not really a livable 14 wage, and you're not going to live well, and you're really 15 not going to have too much of anything on 12 or \$13. I 16 realize that it's a tough lesson to learn, but it's a lesson 17 that I have learned since being out. 18 True, I did do what I did, but I had no intentions of 19 hurting anyone, period. That can be provable by looking at 20 the video. If you looked at the bank robbery, clip one, 21 vou'll see how shortly, I did pull out the gun and put it back 22 and was there. 23 Yeah, I said, "I'm not playing," but they closed the 24 I didn't point no gun at nobody. I didn't raise my drawer. 25 I didn't frighten anybody. There was people in the voice.

1 bank that didn't even know the bank was robbed. Okay?

I realize that it's a serial offense and I'm going to have to pay for the offense, but I don't want to pay for the offense with my life.

5 I have a chance to do what I need to do, and I can do 6 it with vocational training. I can do something at my age and 7 maintain. Okay?

8 Here's the deal. I was working those four -- first 9 four or five months, and these are jobs that really -- how 10 should I say this? You're almost doomed to failure on them. 11 Okay? I was -- at the end of a 12-hour shift, I'm on the end 12 of a line, and these machines are steadily pulling out stuff. 13 And I'm loading stuff, and I'm wheeling stuff in; and I threw 14 a pallet down on the floor after 12 hours. And a female staff unfortunately said to me, "Do not return." So, that kind of 15 16 went.

Now I go back to the temporary labor service, and
they've got me working in freezers. Well, these freezers
have big fans over them, and everything is frozen solid.
0kay?

I'm working on the lines, and I'm doing stuff; but
my hands are feeling it, and my knees are really feeling it.
My back is feeling it. I'm 70-some years old. I'm not young
no more. I realize that the pain is -- I'm doing this for two
or three months. I can't do it. Okay?

1 So, I did what I did; but before that, I did seek to 2 get a job as a porter, right? But they changed up the porter 3 spot at this bakery. It was through the temp agency. And 4 then they would put me back in the freezers again, and I 5 can't -- it's the summertime. See a lot of times in those 6 temporary labor services, they had you working in the freezers 7 in the summertime because people don't want to work in them. 8 All right?

9 So, all I'm saying is that if you give me some type 10 of a chance, I get the 60 months, I take culinary art training 11 and a commercial driver's license training, then either one of 12 these two, I can have a livable wage to live on and live like 13 a normal human being. You know what I'm saying? You can't 14 live on that like that.

Now, I know people that have done this type stuff, and they do this, but you know what they do? They have two or three of their family members, right, working the same place, and they stay in one apartment. That's the only way that they can be able to do this type thing. I'm telling you what it is.

I tried to do the best I could to stay out and stay legitimate. I fell back on a bad way because I couldn't continue working doing what I was doing and realizing that if I got sick or anything else, I'm not going to be able to maintain. I'm not going to be able to live.

Rent was \$700 a month. Food runs 3, \$400 every week
 or every two weeks or so. You're only making, like, 12 or
 \$1300 tops after taxes. And I'm steadily paying in taxes.
 If I make over \$400, I'm only bringing home \$320. 12, \$1300,
 700 for rent, they're cutting Social Security down on me.
 They cut the Link card down to \$58 a month. So, I have to do
 what I have to do to live.

8 This is what happened. I did not want to hurt 9 anybody. My intentions are not to hurt anybody. I'm well 10 beyond that stage in my life. Okay? All I want to do is get 11 vocational training right now as I'm doing this time.

I had a -- I took a GED thing. In fact, it was
offered in the state in the '70s. That's mainly what they've
got on the state level right now for education is GED. I got
that.

16 The vocational training wasn't offered to people 17 with a Class X felony, so I didn't have a chance to get any 18 vocational training. I have a chance to get vocational 19 training now and do something with the remainder of my life. 20 I don't want to finish it out in some prison cell and die in 21 some prison over something like this. All I want to do is get 22 out and stay out. I tried my best to stay out. I did stay 23 out longer than any other time in my life because I wanted to 24 do the right thing. That was it.

25

That's all I have. That's -- you know, I mean, I

tried to do the best that I could do under the circumstances.
 You know? I don't blame anybody for any of the circumstances.
 I don't blame anybody else. I'd blame me, but I'm not getting
 me the vocational training and being able to have a livable
 wage.

If I get that training now, I'll be able to come out,
and I'll be able to return to society as a productive person
in society. You know what I'm saying? I can live on 20, \$30
an hour a month -- or 20, \$30 an hour. I can't live on no 12,
\$13, or even \$15 like that. You're not going to have
anything. And God forbid you get sick or anything.

But at least with my age, I could be a cook or I could drive a vehicle. I could drive a cab. I could drive an Uber. I could drive a truck. I could do these -- I could do that in my old age and not return.

As is, I will -- the Social Security stopped payment, and they got me down for owing them 1,600-some-odd dollars because I was working. I didn't have that -- that cushion to lay on. I just didn't have it. I didn't have it, and I'm trying to do what I can do. That's all. That's it.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

21

THE DEFENDANT: If I could do it over, I would have had vocational training, and I would have never been in this courtroom. I would have never been in this situation at this time. It would have never happened. It would have never

1 happened. I was done with all that time and everything else 2 when I came out. 3 I didn't realize the situation the way it is and the 4 way it was, because a lot of times you're shut away in some 5 prison like that, and you don't realize it. Even when I came 6 out, everything was just completely -- completely different. 7 Just everything changed. Everything was gone. 8 All I'm asking for is a chance to get vocational 9 training and come out and -- that's all. That's all I want to 10 That's it, nothing -- nothing crazy. I'm tired of the do. 11 craziness. I've done enough crazy all my life. Okay? Too 12 much crazy. 13 That's all. I'm set. That's all. That's it. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Walsh.

15 I want to thank counsel for your excellent work 16 throughout this case. This is a difficult case for any number 17 of reasons, and both lawyers did the absolute best they could 18 with the material that they were given. So, I want to thank 19 them and compliment them for their work on this case. I also 20 want to thank Probation for the very comprehensive Presentence 21 Investigation Report and the very helpful sentencing 22 recommendation.

23 In deciding upon a sentence, I'm directed by 24 Section 3553(a) of Title 18 to consider seven factors, and 25 I'll address those in turn.

$\sim$	
·7	л
$\mathbf{J}$	-

1	MR. BOYLE: Judge, I your Honor, I don't want to
2	interrupt you. I'm afraid my technology is about to go off.
3	Can I transition to just a conference call? Is there a number
4	I can call in on my office landline?
5	THE COURT: Sure. Jackie?
6	THE CLERK: You can use the same telephone number.
7	Just use it on your telephone. That same number that I sent
8	to your e-mail, just use that same number.
9	MR. BOYLE: It seems like kind of a strange number,
10	though, 57135, that?
11	THE CLERK: Yes.
12	MR. BOYLE: Okay. That's a landline to do a
13	conference call?
14	THE CLERK: Yes. You'll be able to hear us. You
15	just won't be able to see us.
16	MR. BOYLE: Okay. I apologize. I just know that I'm
17	going to lose this feed, so I will just call in right now.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Let us know when you're on.
19	You should just keep the video and then call in if
20	you can keep the video, keep the video and then call in by
21	another mechanism.
22	MR. BOYLE: I've called in.
23	THE CLERK: You'll need to mute your speaker on your
24	computer. Okay?
25	MR. BOYLE: I think it is. Is that better?

~	_
٠.	5
J	J

1	THE COURT: You need to mute your speaker on your
2	computer because otherwise we get feedback because you have
3	two actually, you need to mute your mic on your computer.
4	You don't need to mute your speaker.
5	MR. BOYLE: Is that better?
6	THE CLERK: You see the video? You're able to mute
7	yourself. It's a microphone with a little mark on it.
8	MR. BOYLE: Yes, I've done that. It's muted. What
9	if I just leave this the video, and I'll just keep me on
10	the phone; is that fine?
11	THE COURT: No, just keep just keep the video.
12	MR. BOYLE: Okay.
13	THE COURT: I think we're fine.
14	So, can you hear us, Mr. Boyle?
15	MR. BOYLE: I can hear you, Judge.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. BOYLE: I'm just afraid I'm going to lose the
18	video.
19	THE COURT: All right. So, subsection (a)(1)
20	requires me to consider the nature and circumstances of the
21	offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant.
22	The offenses here are very serious. We have bank robbery in
23	violation of 2113(a). We have possessing a firearm in
24	furtherance of a crime of violence, a violation of 924(c); and
25	then we have felon in possession of a firearm under 922(g).

1 The bank -- the first two convictions arose from a 2 bank robbery that occurred on July 14th of 2018. Mr. Walsh 3 robbed the U.S. Bank in Chicago. He wore a clear plastic 4 mask, Navy knit hat and gloves. He propped open the door 5 using dark rubber door jams. He demanded that the tellers 6 give him cash. When they hesitated, Mr. Walsh showed them his 7 .357 revolver and told them, "I'm not playing." And then the 8 teller relented. That was a threat. That's very clearly a 9 threat. When you show somebody a gun, that is a threat.

And given that the revolver was loaded on July 24th, I think I agree with the government it stands to reason that the revolver was loaded on the 14th. And while Mr. Walsh may not have wanted to use the revolver, he could have used the revolver. And we know that he was able -- he had it in him to use the revolver, given that he had put five bullets in somebody's chest in another part of his life.

17 In terms of the felon in possession, Mr. Walsh, 18 10 days later, on July 24th, knowing that he had previously 19 been convicted of a felony, possessed the same .357 revolver. 20 And again, I think by a preponderance of the evidence he 21 committed attempted bank robbery of the TCF Bank; but even if 22 I'm wrong on that, and I don't think I am, he plainly was on 23 his way to rob that bank and had made all the preparations 24 necessary to do so.

25

So, the first robbery and the second, had there been

a robbery, they were very, very well-planned. For the first
robbery, Mr. Walsh had hidden a bag between two garbage cans
behind an apartment building near the bank. After the
robbery, he retrieved the bag, changed out of his disguise and
his clothes, packed his clothes and gun in the bag, and then
got on the Brown Line CTA at the Western Avenue station.

One of the tellers, who was pregnant at the time, had
to leave the bank for medical attention after the robbery.
She then suffered a miscarriage shortly after the robbery,
unclear, as the government acknowledges and Probation, whether
there was a causal connection between the bank robbery and the
miscarriage. But the bank robbery surely did not help the
pregnancy.

And then I talked about the July 24th incident at the TCF Bank, and I'm not going to repeat myself.

16 These were very, very well-planned events. 17 Oftentimes with bank robberies, you find that they are crimes 18 of opportunity, where somebody's in a bad way, is walking by a 19 bank, and just on the spur of the moment decides to rob the 20 bank. That is not what happened here. These were very, very 21 well-planned crimes. One was completed. The other one 22 wasn't. And the second one wasn't only because of the good 23 work of law enforcement.

And I hear what Mr. Walsh is saying, what Mr. Boyle said on his behalf about the situation that he was in after

1 his release from prison on the armed robbery charge. He was 2 in his 70s. It's tough to get work in any event, but 3 certainly with Mr. Walsh's criminal record. And it's to his 4 credit that he found work, and it was very tough and hard work 5 working in a freezer in your early 70s when you have knee 6 problems. And he wasn't making a lot of money. I understand 7 all of that, and I understand that Mr. Walsh was having a 8 tough time after his release.

And had he committed some other kind of crime in
those circumstances, this would be a very -- this would be a
much different sentencing. It would be a much different
sentencing. But the crime Mr. Walsh committed was bank
robbery, and it was a well-planned bank robbery. And it
wasn't just a bank robbery and a well-planned bank robbery;
it was an armed bank robbery.

So, Mr. Walsh wasn't walking by a bank and on the spur of the moment went in, wrote down a note, and gave the note to a teller. This would be a different sentencing had that been the case. It would have been a serious -- it would have been very serious, no doubt, but it would have been a different sentencing.

If Mr. Walsh had even -- and I almost hesitate to say this; but I will say it because it's true, and I'm always 100 percent honest at sentencings. Had it been an unloaded gun, definitely more serious than a note bank robbery; but an

unloaded gun, you could say, "You know what, that's extremely
serious, but no one was going to get shot, at least." And it
wouldn't be a very, very different sentencing, but it would be
a different sentencing than we have right now.

5 But Mr. Walsh had a loaded gun, a loaded gun to a 6 bank robbery, to two of them. One of them obviously was 7 interrupted, which is why it's an attempted robbery, for which 8 he's not convicted, but which I'm considering the conduct. 9 And that just makes this -- it makes it a different 10 sentencing. It -- I understand Mr. Walsh's situation that he 11 was in, but under no conceivable set of circumstances can 12 carrying a loaded gun to a bank -- two bank robberies be 13 viewed as anything other than it was, which was Mr. Walsh was 14 in a tough situation, and he was desperate; but he walked in 15 to one bank and was about to walk in to the other bank, not 16 with a note, not with an unloaded gun, but with a loaded gun, 17 which he has shown in his life that he was able to use because 18 he pumped five bullets into that man's chest in the late '60s.

19 And that's why this sentencing hearing is what it is, 20 and that's why I'm listening to Mr. Walsh about his situation, 21 I'm considering it, but it's not moving the needle at all. It 22 would have moved the needle had it been a note job. It 23 probably would have moved the needle a little bit had it been 24 an unloaded gun; but the fact that it was a loaded gun, its 25 circumstances no longer move the needle in terms of my

1 sentencing considerations.

25

2 Mr. Walsh's personal history, I'll start with the 3 criminal history. It's extensive. A 1964 armed robbery, for 4 which he received supervision; 1965 possession of burglary 5 tools, 90 days; 1969 conviction for murder of a police 6 officer, indeterminate sentence of 20 to 40 years; 1984 7 marijuana trafficking, I haven't even mentioned that just 8 because it's insignificant, relatively speaking, to the other 9 crimes, six months; 1984 unlawful use of a weapon, that was 10 the Uzi and the shotgun, two years; 1988 armed robbery, 11 60 years, for which Mr. Walsh served day for day, released in 12 October of 2017; and then 2000, as the government pointed out, 13 obstruction of justice, Mr. Walsh possessed a handcuff key in 14 prison and got one year on that.

The revolving door is extremely significant here.
Mr. Walsh was paroled from his murder sentence on May 11th,
1983. He committed the marijuana trafficking on June 17th,
1983, just over a month later.

He was paroled from the marijuana trafficking on July 17th, 1984, committed the unlawful use of a weapon on August 8th, 1984, about three weeks later.

Paroled from that sentence, the weapons sentence, on
April 1st, 1987, committed the armed robbery on April 12th,
1987.

Paroled from that on October 2nd, 2017, and then, as

everybody's discussed, nine months later, a little more than
 nine months later committed the U.S. Bank robbery, which was
 his longest time out of prison for quite some time.

Mr. Walsh was raised in this area. He's currently 73 years old. He has physical and medical issues that are typical for a man in his 70s. And by saying that, I don't mean to diminish their importance. They're important, but they're not atypical. And we've heard about Mr. Walsh's knees, the degenerative arthritis, and I'm sure that's very painful.

Mr. Walsh attended two years of high school and later earned his GED. And as I mentioned, after he was released from the armed robbery sentence, he did, to his credit, got a job. It was very difficult work; and I'm sure it was hard on his knees, and I'm sure it was difficult working in a freezer. And I'm certainly considering that.

Mr. Walsh has availed himself of various educational
programs at the MCC that I am considering, his statement that
he would like to get vocational training so that he can be
gainfully employed -- get gainful employment upon his release.

Mr. Walsh admitted his guilt, he pleaded guilty. He
gets three levels of credit for that. And as Mr. Boyle said,
you're right, Mr. Boyle, Mr. Walsh admitted his guilt before
he even entered a plea of guilty, despite our best efforts to
stop him from saying what he was saying during those status

1 hearings.

5

2 MR. BOYLE: Thank you, Judge. And again, I apologize 3 for the technology. If you've lost my video feed, I 4 apologize. But I can hear everything, so thank you.

THE COURT: Yeah, we can hear you.

6 Subsection (a)(2) requires me to consider the need 7 for the sentence imposed to accomplish the various purposes of 8 criminal punishment. The first is to reflect the seriousness 9 of the offense and to promote respect for the law and to 10 provide just punishment for the offense. I've already talked 11 about the offenses and won't repeat myself.

The second purpose is to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct. The sentence will do that. It will hopefully send a message to anybody who's listening that they should not be committing armed bank robbery or, if you're a felon, that you shouldn't be possessing a weapon.

17 The third purpose is to protect the public from 18 further crimes of the defendant, and that, unfortunately, is a 19 very significant factor in this case. Mr. Walsh has served a 20 lot of time in prison. He's got a lot of convictions. And 21 the messages that those convictions were supposed to send were 22 not received by Mr. Walsh. It's been a revolving door, from 23 prison to outside to prison to outside to prison to outside.

And I do understand Mr. Walsh's statement that he would like to chart a different path for himself in the future and get some vocational training and make a better life for
 himself, but the path he has charted thus far makes me
 extraordinarily concerned that -- about the very, very high
 risk that we're going to see more of the same when Mr. Walsh
 is released.

6 Subsection (a)(3) requires me to consider the kind of 7 sentences available. There's a 25-year maximum term for bank 8 robbery, which is Count 1. Count 2, the 924(c), the minimum 9 is five years. The maximum is life. And that has to be 10 consecutive to any other sentence imposed. Count 4, which is 11 the felon in possession, there's a 10-year maximum term, which 12 can be concurrent to the bank robbery term. It would have to 13 be consecutive to the 924(c).

The Guidelines range is subsection (a)(4). Without the adjustment, the Guidelines range for Counts 1 and 4 is 63 to 78 months. As I explained, I am doing an upward departure under 4A1.3(a), so the Guidelines range for Counts 1 and 4 is 77 to 96 months. And then the Guidelines point for Count 2, which must be consecutive, is 60 months.

20 (A)(5) are Guideline policy statements. None have21 been referenced.

(A) (6) is the need to avoid unwarranted sentence
disparities among defendants with similar records who have
been found guilty of similar conduct. As the Seventh Circuit
has held time and again, that weighs in favor of a Guidelines

1 sentence.

2 (A)(7) is restitution, and there is a small
3 restitution aspect of this sentence, the money that was taken
4 from the U.S. Bank, which is about \$3700; but that's an
5 insignificant factor, given all the other factors.

So, at this point, let me ask Mr. Boyle, are there any main arguments in mitigation that the defendant has made that I have not addressed?

9 MR. BOYLE: I don't believe so, Judge. And just so 10 the record is clear, we are objecting to the four-level 11 enhancement, the use of the firearm during the attempted 12 robbery. We didn't feel that the facts supported that that 13 was determined beyond a preponderance. And we also are 14 objecting to the category IV upward departure for his criminal 15 history.

But I think you've been very patient with us, both in my arguments and Mr. Walsh's arguments. I think we've made all of our arguments in mitigation, so thank you.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And you've waived 20 nothing. And just because I've addressed everything doesn't 21 mean that you agree with the way in which I've addressed them. 22 And all of those arguments are certainly available to you if 23 Mr. Walsh chooses to appeal the sentence.

24 So, I've considered everything that's been submitted 25 in writing, everything that's been said here at the sentencing

hearing. And it's my obligation to impose a sentence that is
 sufficient, but no more than necessary, to fulfill the
 purposes of 3553(a).

4 I'm going to impose a custodial sentence on Mr. Walsh 5 as follows:

For Counts 1 and 4, I'm going to impose concurrent
sentences of 96 months, which is the top end of the adjusted
Guidelines range. And for Count 2, I'm going to impose a
consecutive sentence of 60 months. And that results in a
total sentence of 156 months.

Why the top end of the adjusted Guidelines range?
THE DEFENDANT: Because you're a filthy stinking pig,
you mother-fucker, and I'd blow your fucking brains out. That
was a fucking -- I not only would blow your fucking brains
out, you pig, but I would kill your entire fucking family and
torture and murder each and every fucking one of them, you
filthy, mother-fucking lying pig mother-fucker, you.

18 Suck my dick, bitch. Did you get that down on, your 19 fucking little paper? Eat shit and die, you faggot 20 mother-fucker. I don't give a fuck what you're gonna do, 21 bitch. That's what the fucking reason is. I don't give a 22 fuck, and I don't give a fuck about coming home, dying in 23 prison, doing whatever the fuck I'm gonna do. I am what I am, 24 and I'm gonna be what I am, you mother-fucking lying coward 25 pig bitch.

That's what the fuck you are, a lying coward pig
 mother-fucker. When you look in the mirror, say, "I'm a lying
 coward pig mother-fucker," because you're sucking the
 mother-fucking Attorney General's dick with that bitch shit,
 you political punk ass mother-fucker.

6 That's what I'm saying. And without any craziness 7 that follows it, that is what I feel. I would blow each one 8 of your eyes out with a mother-fucking .357 and your brain, 9 with no fucking hesitation. I would kill you and your entire 10 I think nothing about you. I don't give a fuck about familv. 11 a life sentence. That's what you just sentenced me to. Suck 12 my dick, bitch.

Put that on that fucking record. Have that down in that sentencing transcript. I'd like to get a copy of that fucking sentencing transcript sent to me. Okay? If you can do that, that would be really appreciated, you mother-fucker, you. Suck my dick, bitch. You don't do me nothing.

Suck my dick, mother-fucker, you. That's what time
it really is. I don't give a fuck, bitch. We're done. I'm
done. Suck my dick. If you can make it a life sentence,
boogie woogie the life sentence, you mother-fucking faggot.
That's what time it is, you mother-fucker, you.

You're giving me nothing. You don't take into
consideration any fucking thing, you lying coward bitch. What
you took into consideration is some political shit with your

	17
1	finger in your ass. That's what the fuck you did.
2	Not true. You did honestly say a lot of things.
3	And, yeah, I have a propensity for some violence, but I did
4	try to do what I said I was going to do. But yeah, I said
5	what I said, and I meant what I said, and I mean what I say,
6	and I don't give a fuck what you think. Okay?
7	You that mother-fucker over there, lousy Lausch,
8	whatever that faggot mother-fucker is doing, I don't give a
9	fuck what you do. I don't give a fuck about the Probation
10	lady. I don't give a fuck about the prison. I don't give a
11	fuck about any of that shit, period, in life.
12	That's where I am. Put that down on your record.
13	I'd like a copy of my fucking sentencing transcript. Send it
14	directly to me, David Walsh, 52186424, MCC. Send that on to
15	me so I can start the appeal, you mother-fucker.
16	That's what time it is. I don't give a fuck what
17	you're gonna do, you greedy mother-fucker.
18	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Walsh?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No, no, not at all.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to yet impose a
21	sentence. So, the sentencing hearing is going to remain open,
22	and we're going to continue the sentencing hearing for a week
23	or two. And I can't give you a date and time right now, but
24	Jackie will give you
25	THE DEFENDANT: (Defendant laughing.) Hey, suck a

1	dick, bitch, with your mother-fucking 96 and your 60. Stick
2	that in your asshole and twist it around a little bit. I'm
3	not hey, fuck you, and fuck that time, bitch.
4	Guard
5	(Announcement: "This meeting is being recorded.")
6	THE DEFENDANT: You can continue to do, send what the
7	fuck you're going to send in the fucking mail. Have my
8	attorney call me, and send that fucking transcript to me,
9	mother-fucker. That's what you do.
10	Other than that, suck my dick, bitch. Fuck you, and
11	fuck that sentence. I don't give a fuck about 35 to life or
12	10 life sentences and 3,500 fucking years. Suck my dick,
13	bitch. That's it.
14	Mr. Boyle, did you hear what the fuck I had to say?
15	MR. BOYLE: I did, Mr. Walsh.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Good. Listen to me very carefully.
17	MR. BOYLE: Mr. Walsh, I will put in a request for a
18	legal call with you. I would suggest just let me speak for
19	you at this stage. Okay?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, yeah. Do that. Fuck that pig
21	mother-fucker, piggy ass mother-fucker. Yeah, cute boy. I
22	would murder you and your entire fucking family with no
23	fucking hesitation. You got that right. I would do that,
24	with no fucking hesitation.
25	I'd come in there and torture and murder your family

1	one by fucking one, you mother-fucker, you. And I would fuck
2	your old lady in the ass, cut her fucking throat, and I would
3	torture you for days. That's what I would do for you, you
4	mother-fucker. That's what I think about you, your laws,
5	rules, and regulations, you fucking filthy pig.
6	I want a copy
7	MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, I apologize for this. I know
8	that this setting is difficult
9	THE COURT: Mr. Boyle, please let Mr. Walsh speak.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. You're a hell of a
11	gentleman, even though you're a lying coward pig. So go
12	ahead. You better go ahead and do what you're going to do
13	while you can do it, sweetheart. That's all the fuck I've got
14	to tell you.
15	Hey, Mr. Boyle, you make sure you get that fucking
16	transcript, and if I've got to go another week to listen to
17	this mother-fucking pig because I don't have no respect for
18	no law, rules, and regulations any fucking way, and I never
19	have, and I never fucking will. I am what I am, and I ain't
20	worried about shit, you mother-fucker, you.
21	MR. BOYLE: Mr. Walsh, yes, this is all being taken
22	down, and you will get a transcript of this.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Good. As far as that fucking
24	transcript goes, I want a copy of that.
25	MR. BOYLE: You will get a copy of this transcript,

1 Mr. Walsh.

THE DEFENDANT: When is this mother-fucker going to
have his final fucking word on a fucking sentence, this creepy
mother-fucker?

5

MR. BOYLE: Mr. Walsh, I think --

6 THE DEFENDANT: And you acknowledge that you are a 7 creep, you mother-fucker, you. I would blow your fucking 8 brains out and think nothing of it, man. You can really rest 9 assured on that. I'd think nothing about killing you. 10 Cutting your fucking throat and watching you bleed out would 11 give me great fucking pleasure. That's what the fuck it would 12 do, great pleasure.

Under the right circumstances and setting, you're right, I would kill you. I'd kill that mother-fucker with his good little ass, too, you mother-fucker, you. I'd kill you in a heartbeat. I'd feel nothing about killing you. And that bitch, I'd kill her, too. I'd cut her fucking throat in a heartbeat.

I'd feel nothing for you mother-fuckers, nothing at
all, man, because you don't feel nothing for me. You're in
that little bullshit system of yours. You can suck my dick.

22 Put it all down there on that fucking paper. I love23 it. Do what the fuck you've got to do.

Hey, Mr. Boyle, now, let me get this right. Thismother-fucker is going to continue this sentencing shit?

1	MR. BOYLE: Mr. Walsh, I believe the Court is still
2	in session. Yes, there will be a date. I believe we are
3	still in session. Unfortunately, my video is no longer
4	working, but I believe the Court is still in session.
5	THE DEFENDANT: What I admitted to this creepy punk
6	mother-fucker.
7	MR. BOYLE: Mr. Walsh
8	THE DEFENDANT: He is what he is, and I am what I am,
9	and I it is what it is, man. The mother-fucker better kill
10	me or make sure I'm dead. That's what he'd better fucking do.
11	If I get a chance to get out there, I'd kill him and his
12	entire fucking family, and I wouldn't give a shit about a gun
13	charge or a thousand other fucking charges and millions of
14	fucking years, millions and billions of fucking years.
15	Not a fucking you ain't worth two dead fucking
16	flies to me. Put them all down.
17	Thank you. Thank you. Thank you, you mother-fucker,
18	you.
19	THE COURT: Jackie will get in touch with counsel and
20	with Probation, and we'll set this for a continued sentencing
21	hearing in another week or two.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Good. I hope to see you. I hope you
23	die of cancer, you faggot mother-fucker.
24	(Defendant laughing.)
25	THE COURT: Until we get back together, be well,

Case: 1	:18-cr-00450 Document #: 158 Filed: 08/10/21 Page 52 of 52 PageID #:1331
	52
1	everybody.
2	MR. BOYLE: Thank you, your Honor.
3	MR. KERWIN: Thank you, your Honor.
4	MR. BOYLE: I will schedule a call with you,
5	Mr. Walsh. Thank you, everyone.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Fuck that faggot mother-fucker.
7	(Which were all the proceedings heard this date.)
8	CERTIFICATE
9	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
10	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
11	
12	/s/Charles R. Zandi October 7, 2020
13	Charles R. Zandi Date Date
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	