EXHIBIT 105

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
2	ATLANTA DIVISION
3	
	DONNA CURLING, ET AL.,
4	
	Plaintiffs,
5	CIVIL ACTION FILE
	vs. NO. 1:17-CV-2989-AT
6	
	BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, ET AL.,
7	
	Defendants.
8	
9	
10 11	VIDEOTAPED ZOOM DEPOSITION OF
Т.Т	WIDEOTAPED ZOOM DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL BARNES
12	MICHAEL BARNES
12	February 11, 2022
13	9:04 A.M.
14	
15	Lee Ann Barnes, CCR-1852B, RPR, CRR, CRC
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 11
1	are off the record.
2	(Off the record.)
3	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:12 a.m.
4	We're on the record.
5	BY MR. CROSS:
6	Q. All right. Mr. Barnes, you have
7	Exhibit 1?
8	A. Yes, sir, I do.
9	Q. All right. So take a look at Exhibit 1 in
10	front of you.
11	And do you understand that you are
12	designated to testify today on Topic 1?
13	A. Yeah, I remember seeing a list of topics
14	in which I was designated as being the one that
15	would speak.
16	Q. Okay. So just read through Topic 1, the
17	subparts a through h, and tell me if you're prepared
18	to testify on that topic today.
19	MS. LaROSS: And, David, we're going to be
20	reserving all objections except those to the
21	form of the question or responsiveness of the
22	answer until trial; is that correct?
23	MR. CROSS: Yeah, that's the default under
24	the federal rules, yeah.
25	MS. LaROSS: Sure. And I just you

Page 12 1 know, we go into each deposition and often say 2 it or don't, but I just wanted to clarify --3 MR. CROSS: Okay. 4 MS. LaROSS: -- we just have that standing 5 agreement with you guys. That's -- I just wanted the record to be clear. 6 7 MR. CROSS: Okay. THE WITNESS: I've looked at the items in 8 9 point la through h, and those are the items I 10 am familiar with, yes, sir. BY MR. CROSS: 11 12 Okay. Then go on to Topic 2, please, and Ο. 13 let me know if you're prepared to testify on that today. 14 15 MS. LaROSS: David, I believe David 16 Sterling is designated for 2c. 17 MR. CROSS: Okay. Great. That's right. 18 Sorry. BY MR. CROSS: 19 20 So, Mr. Barnes, just look at 2a, b, and d. 0. 21 2a, b, and d, I -- I believe I'm prepared 22 to testify on those items. 23 Q. Okay. And then look at Topics -- just to 24 make sure -- yeah, look at Topics 7 through 11, so 25 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, and let me know if you're

Page 13 1 prepared to testify on those topics today. 2 Yes, sir, I believe I'm prepared. 3 Ο. And then the last one is Topic 18, the last one in the list, and let me know if you're 5 prepared to testify on that, too, please. MS. LaROSS: It's my understanding, David, 6 7 that that one -- that topic was -- we designated David Sterling, Merritt Beaver, and 8 9 Chris Harvey. 10 MR. CROSS: Sorry. 11 MS. LaROSS: And some of the topics in the 12 7 --13 MR. CROSS: Yeah, that's right. Sorry. Yeah. 14 15 MS. LaROSS: Okay. No -- no worries. 16 And some of the topics in 7 through 11 we 17 also designated either Mr. Sterling or Mr. Beaver, but there is certainly overlap with 18 19 Mr. Barnes. MR. CROSS: Yeah. Okay. 20 21 MS. LaROSS: Great. Thank you. 22 BY MR. CROSS: 23 Q. Mr. Barnes, what did you do to prepare to testify on these topics today? 24 25 I have met with our attorneys. Α.

Page 27 1 Management System, and then media created and then 2 the testing executed. 3 And who decided on that process today? Ο. It was -- I was involved in that decision, 4 5 along with attorneys in the Secretary of State's office. 6 7 Ο. What attorneys? When we set it up last was Ryan Germany 8 Α. 9 and Kevin Rayburn, when he was deputy general 10 counsel. 11 Ο. When the new system was implemented with 12 BMDs, was there any discussion of Mr. --13 Dr. Shamos's testimony and about the need to test a whole lot more than a single BMD and printer? 14 15 THE WITNESS: I --16 MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the 17 question. THE WITNESS: I do not recall. 18 BY MR. CROSS: 19 20 Ο. As the head of CES, is it your view that 21 testing a single BMD and printer on election day is 22 a reliable way of assessing the security and reliability of some 30,000 BMDs and printers across 23 24 the state? 25 When we enter into the testing, the way Α.

that the project files are built in regards to programming a BMD, when you're within a single jurisdiction, there's only one data file created from that jurisdiction's data election project that's used to program a BMD. So the same data file is used to program all BMDs within a single county.

So if we randomly select a county, have them create a backup, bring that backup into
Atlanta, install that into a Dominion Election
Management computer, then create the election file that's used to program a BMD, we would be putting the same file on one BMD or 500 BMDs. So it's an expectation that if one BMD shows proper operation, that others would as well.

- Q. So is the answer to my question yes, you think that is a reliable method?
- A. We have found to be -- we have found it to be reliable in the past.
- Q. You've found it to be reliable because it's never shown malware or a glitch with whatever equipment you tested?
 - A. We --

2.0

MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the question.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: We have never encountered any situation where the system did not operate as expected.

BY MR. CROSS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you have a background in statistics?
- A. I took some statistical classes in graduate school, yes, sir.
- Q. So why doesn't the State test a statistically representative sample of voting equipment, instead of 1 out of some 30,000 pieces?

MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: As you speak, there are 30,000 pieces of equipment. Depending upon what sampling you were to set up, you would then have to have access to that amount of equipment and a location to set all of that equipment up for testing purposes and manpower to go through that testing exercise.

BY MR. CROSS:

- Q. And that's not something the State can do?
- A. The State, of course, has resources. My office has a total of seven individuals, and that would be a hard task for us to execute on a single day.

Page 30

- Q. Are you aware that there are USB ports in the current voting equipment, the BMDs and the printers, that are accessible to voters in the voting booth?
- A. I am aware that there are USB ports on the devices. However, accessible by the voter on election day, I would -- I would raise question of that.

Because the B -- the D -- the BMDs on election day, the -- the panels where you would find those USB ports are behind sealed mechanisms. There are seals that are attached on the sides of the BMD, there are seals that are attached on the sides of the printers, to prohibit access to those locations.

- Q. So it's your understanding that all the USB ports on the printers and BMDs are covered by seals on election day?
 - A. It is my understanding, yes, sir.
 - Q. But you haven't inspected those; right?
- A. I have not been out into a county on election day, no, sir.
- Q. And who is responsible for inspecting all of the seals on all of the voting equipment? Is that at the county level?
 - A. The counties are responsible for

maintaining the security of their voting equipment.

- Q. But you're aware that it's happened on numerous occasions the counties have used BMDs in elections where the seals were broken on election day; right?
 - MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Any notification that we have obtained with a county saying that they had a unit that the seal was broken, our direction has always been, well, that needs to be resealed or the unit taken out of operation, preferably take the unit out of operation if it is election day.

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

- Q. And under what circumstances would you tell a county to just go ahead and reseal a broken seal on a BMD on election day, rather than remove it for testing?
- A. To be honest, I don't believe I would ever tell a county just to reseal it. And if it's in the midst of election day, you're going to turn that machine off and you're going to set it aside.
 - Q. And why is that?
 - A. Because we want to try to -- also try to

Page 96 1 has brought that to me. 2 BY MR. CROSS: 3 Are you aware that Dr. Halderman prepared Ο. a report that was submitted to the Secretary's 5 office -- or to their counsel, I should say, on July 1 of 2021, where he did a further inspection of 6 7 the Fulton County voting equipment? MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the 8 9 question. 10 THE WITNESS: The only thing I'm aware of 11 is a report that was referenced in a news 12 article of a couple of weeks ago. But that is 13 all the knowledge I have of that. BY MR. CROSS: 14 15 Ο. So before that news article, you had not 16 heard anything about this report from Dr. Halderman 17 regarding the Fulton County voting equipment? No, sir, I had not. 18 Α. So no one in the Secretary's office ever 19 20 told you that a -- an expert had prepared a nearly 2.1 100-page report detailing numerous security 22 vulnerabilities in the voting equipment that you're responsible for as the head of CES? 23 MS. LaROSS: 24 Objection to form of the 25 question.

Page 97 1 THE WITNESS: No, sir, they had not. 2 BY MR. CROSS: 3 Do you have any insight into why the Ο. decision was made at the Secretary's office not to 5 share anything about the fact that Dr. Halderman had found these vulnerabilities with you, with David 6 7 Hamilton, with James Oliver, with Merritt Beaver? Do you know why that is? 8 9 MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the 10 question. 11 THE WITNESS: No, sir, I do not. 12 BY MR. CROSS: 13 Q. Does that strike you as odd? MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the 14 question. 15 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sure that members of the 17 Secretary of State's office are doing the 18 things that they feel are necessary need to be done. 19 20 BY MR. CROSS: 21 Who would you ask if you wanted to know Ο. 22 why the decision was made not to share any information, including that Dr. Halderman had found 23 24 vulnerabilities, with you and others in the office? 25 Who would you ask?

Page 98 1 Α. My question would probably be asked of 2 general counsel. 3 Ο. Mr. Germany? Yes, sir. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Would you expect the Secretary's office to take measures to mitigate vulnerabilities that were 6 7 identified in the current voting system? MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the 8 9 question. 10 THE WITNESS: It's my expectation that the 11 Secretary of State's office would do what it 12 needs to do to make sure that the voting system 13 is functioning properly. BY MR. CROSS: 14 15 0. Properly and securely; right? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 And including taking measures to mitigate 0. any vulnerabilities that were identified with that 18 system; right? 19 2.0 MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the 21 question. 22 THE WITNESS: Again, I can't speak to what 23 may or may not be in the item that you're discussing, so I -- I -- I don't know what the 24 25 State would need to do to address them.

Page 99 1 BY MR. CROSS: 2 Ο. Fair enough. 3 You don't know the specifics, but you would expect the State to take some measures to 4 5 mitigate vulnerabilities, whatever those measures might be; right? 6 7 MS. LaROSS: Objection to form of the question. 8 THE WITNESS: I have confidence that the 9 10 State would continue doing its job as the --11 you know, we are the elections division for the 12 State. We're part of the elections division, 13 and I would -- I would have a belief that the Secretary of State's office would continue 14 15 doing its due diligence. BY MR. CROSS: 16 17 Well, given none of the senior leadership in the Secretary's IT department and you, as the 18 leader of CES, were informed about anything that 19 2.0 Dr. Halderman had found, including even that he had 2.1 found vulnerabilities at all, who is it you believe 22 in the Secretary's office is actually dealing with those vulnerabilities, if anyone? 23 24 MS. LaROSS: Objection to form of the 25 question.

Page 100 1 THE WITNESS: I do not know. 2 BY MR. CROSS: 3 Ο. Who would you ask if you wanted to know? Again, the people -- the person that I ask 4 Α. 5 the most questions of in our office is our general counsel. 6 7 O. Mr. Germany? Yes, sir. 8 Α. 9 Ο. As you sit here, though, you're not aware 10 of any specific measures -- there are no measures 11 you can point me to that have been adopted since 12 July 1 of 2021 to address the vulnerabilities of Dr. Halderman's report; right? 13 14 MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the 15 question. 16 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 17 BY MR. CROSS: 18 Q. And you can't point me to any measures that have been adopted since September 2020 taken by 19 20 the Secretary's office to address the hack that he 21 demonstrated in that hearing; right? 22 MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the 23 question. 24 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 25

BY MR. CROSS:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Have you participated in any discussions with anyone in the Secretary's office regarding Dr. Halderman generally or his work?
- A. No, sir, I -- I can't recall any direct conversations with anybody in the Secretary of State's office in relation to Dr. Halderman.
- Q. Are you familiar with the name Dr. Juan Gilbert.
- A. I believe he is associated with the University of Florida, or -- or was at some point in time.
- Q. And have you worked with him at all or had any communications with him about his work in this case? Just yes or no.
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware that he has -- he was retained and offered testimony on behalf of the Secretary's office as an election security expert in this case?
 - A. I am not aware.
- Q. Were you aware that Dr. Gilbert testified in his deposition that if he wanted to have a cybersecurity assessment done of voting equipment like that used in Georgia, he would turn to two

	Page 102
1	experts, Dr. Alex Halderman and Dr. Andrew Appel?
2	MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the
3	question.
4	THE WITNESS: I am not aware of what
5	Dr. Gilbert may have said in his deposition.
6	BY MR. CROSS:
7	Q. Are you aware that Dr. Gilbert had access
8	to Dr. Halderman's full July 2021 report on the
9	Fulton County voting equipment?
10	A. No, sir, I am
11	MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the
12	question.
13	THE WITNESS: No, sir, I am not aware.
14	BY MR. CROSS:
15	Q. Were you aware that Dr. Gilbert testified
16	under oath that he did not disagree with any of the
17	technical vulnerabilities identified in that report?
18	MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the
19	question.
20	THE WITNESS: No, sir, I'm not aware.
21	BY MR. CROSS:
22	Q. All right. Take a look at Topic 9,
23	please, if you would.
24	A. Sure.
25	Q. Looking at Topic a, are you aware of any

suspected or actual unauthorized access to software or data on any component of the -- of Georgia's Dominion system?

A. No, sir, I'm not.

2.0

- Q. Are you aware of any suspected or actual unauthorized copying or alteration of software or data on any component of Georgia's Dominion system?
 - A. No, sir, I'm not.
- Q. Did you undertake any investigation or any research in preparation for today to determine whether that happened?
 - A. No, sir, I have not.
- Q. Looking at Topic 9c -- I'm sorry, just actually before we leave 9a, if you were to do some research or some sort of investigation on 9a, who would you ask? Who would you expect to know the answer to that?
- A. I really don't know where I would start with that.
- Q. Would the current elections director be a good start?
- A. I have discussions with him on a weekly basis, if not more than weekly, about our voting system. But any time that we feel like we would need to start looking into something, he would be

workers were not successful in powering on the equipment as they should have been.

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

2.1

- Q. And that's just one of the problems.

 There were also problems where the BMD equipment,

 even when powered on, didn't function as it was

 supposed to. That happened in some cases; right?
- A. I'm -- I suspect that was the case, but I can't think of a direct example. But I will say yes.
- Q. Okay. What emergency paper back- -- strike that.

What plan did the Secretary adopt as an emergency paper backup for the 2020 election, if any?

MS. LaROSS: Objection as to form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It's my understanding that they had -- Secretary of State's office had instructed counties that as a cause of emergency, that if something were to transpire where the BMD was not operational, that they should have preprinted copies of hand-marked -- hand-fillable ballots available to poll workers for distribution to the voters.

Those hand-marked paper ballots can be scanned by the ICP scanners that are in place at the polling locations on election day.

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

- Q. Did you at some point see a written plan for using emergency paper ballot backups for the 2020 election, the June 2020 election?
- A. I don't recall seeing very much of the instructional documentation for process of managing polls that was being sent out from the elections division to counties to try to get them ready for the first rollout. There was a -- a high number of training materials and official election notifications and such that went from Mr. Harvey's office to the counties to get them ready for that election.

So I'm sure that there were those things, but I can't remember -- I can't say to a fact that I saw every single one of those.

Q. If someone were to ask you for a copy of a emergency paper ballot backup plan for the June 2020 election, is there a document that you could put your hands on and say, "Here it is"?

MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the question.

Page 227 1 private computer and key the information in. 2 Ο. Okay. And one last question. 3 Are you aware of any forensic examination that's ever been done of the computers or the server 4 that sits on the Dominion private network to 5 determine whether it's ever been compromised in any 6 7 way or -- or accessed in any unauthorized fashion? MS. LaROSS: Objection to form of the 8 9 question. 10 THE WITNESS: The Dominion -- the 11 Dominion-maintained system? 12 BY MR. CROSS: Yeah. Let me be clear. 13 Q. So the -- the private network that now 14 15 exists as of the summer of 2020 that's used to 16 main- -- run the Dominion system at the Secretary's office. That's what we're talking about. 17 18 Α. Okay. All right. So -- so state the 19 question again, please. 2.0 Are you aware of any forensic examination 21 of any of that equipment, meaning the computers, the 22 server, any part of the equipment that makes up that private network, to determine whether there's ever 23 24 been any compromise or unauthorized access?

I am not aware of one.

25

Α.

Page 252 1 are in place for counties to execute on their 2 equipment. BY MR. CROSS: 3 That's not my question, Mr. Barnes. 4 Ο. 5 My question is: In 2020, were you under 6 the belief that the logic and accuracy testing that 7 you've described would detect malware or any other compromise of the voting equipment in Georgia? 8 9 MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the 10 question. 11 THE WITNESS: It was my belief that the 12 tests that the counties were undertaking would 13 give them some level of confidence that the voting system was matching to what had been 14 15 certified for use by the State previously. 16 BY MR. CROSS: 17 Q. So is that a "yes" or a "no" to my 18 question? 19 Α. That's a yes. 2.0 Q. All right. Grab Exhibit 23, please. 21 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 23 was marked for 22 identification.) 23 BY MR. CROSS: 24 Just let me know when you've got that. Ο. 25 Α. I am looking at it.

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

Q. Mr. Barnes, let me come back to the question I asked you.

Do you have a personal view -irrespective of what any jurisdiction is doing
around the country, as someone who's been working
with elections for two decades, do you have a
personal view on whether hand-marked paper ballots
are a reliable method of voting?

MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I think any system that jurisdictions use, whether it's a BMD system that's been through federal testing and state testing, whether it's an optical scan system that's been through federal testing and state certification testing, a hand-marked paper ballot system that's been through levels of testing, I believe all of those voting systems are reliable for use by whatever jurisdiction chooses to use them.

BY MR. CROSS:

Q. In fact, Georgia does currently process millions of hand-marked paper ballots through its absentee voting system; right?

Page 272 1 Α. It does. 2 Ο. And you certainly would not suggest 3 there's anything unreliable about that system; right? 4 5 Α. I would not suggest that. I believe it is very reliable. 6 7 Ο. Are you aware that the current Dominion system can process, scan, tabulate hand-marked paper 8 9 ballots at the precincts on election day? 10 MS. LaROSS: Objection as to form of the 11 question. 12 THE WITNESS: The -- the ICP scanner that 13 is sent out to a polling location on election 14 day has the ability to process either a 15 hand-marked paper ballot or a BMD-generated 16 ballot. BY MR. CROSS: 17 18 Q. Are you aware that BM- -- sorry. Strike that. 19 2.0 Are you aware that Georgia is the only 21 state in the country that uses BMDs as the primary 22 means of voting statewide? MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the 23 24 question. 25 THE WITNESS: I am not certain on that.

Page 285 1 said. Okay. I wish I got an errata. That's 2 embarrassing. 3 BY MR. CROSS: Okay. All right. So the question I was 4 Q. 5 asking you, Mr. Barnes, was: If the ballot has a QR code that is of the type that the scanner is 6 7 designed to tabulate, but it captures different selections than the voter actually cast on the BMD, 8 9 the scanner would still -- would still tabulate 10 that; right? 11 MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the 12 question. 13 THE WITNESS: The scanner is designed to tabulate what is contained within the OR code. 14 15 BY MR. CROSS: 16 Based on your experience with elections Ο. 17 over the years and what you know about the current environment of -- of threats -- sophisticated 18 threats to U.S. elections, would you personally be 19 2.0 more comfortable with an election system that does 21 not use QR codes, where voters can actually read 22 what's going to get tabulated? MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the 23 24 question. 25 THE WITNESS: What I can speak to is I do

Page 289 1 human -- I'm sorry -- scanning hand-marked paper 2 ballots; right? 3 MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the question. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have confidence that our system is scanning hand-marked paper 6 7 ballots properly and reflecting the intent of the voter. 8 9 We have a system that actually gives us 10 both options and we are currently using both 11 options, and I have confidence in both options. 12 BY MR. CROSS: 13 Q. Well, under the -- under the new Georgia statute, it's not as easy to vote absentee as it 14 15 used to be; right? 16 MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the 17 question. THE WITNESS: There have been changes to 18 19 the election statute in Georgia in reference to 2.0 when absentee ballots -- the length of time 21 absentee ballots can be issued prior to an 22 election, the amount -- how those absentee ballots are returned to the county elections 23 24 office for tabulation purposes. There have 25 been changes to the election statute, yes.

that it's accessible to our voters, and that it is reflective of what the voters wish to purvey to the State when an election takes place.

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

- Q. Can you identify one cybersecurity election expert that has endorsed the current Georgia system as a reliable voting system?
 - A. I cannot.
- Q. Is voter confidence in that system important?
- A. Voter confidence in all that we do as the elections divisions important. It's important that they have confidence in the people that run elections. It's important that they have confidence in the systems that we use. It's important that they have confidence in the voter registration system.

And we work day in and day out doing what we can to secure the system that we have.

Q. But if you're confident that the system is secure, particularly in an environment now where there have been extraordinary claims made about the reliability of that system, why not just have an election security expert analyze it, examine it, and offer an opinion on whether it's reliable?

Page 297 1 MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the 2 question. THE WITNESS: I'm sure if the 3 Secretary of State decided that he wanted to do 4 5 that, that that would get done. BY MR. CROSS: 6 7 And as you sit here, you don't know why he's not decided that; right? 8 9 MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the 10 question. 11 THE WITNESS: I do not. 12 BY MR. CROSS: 13 Q. Do you think voters would have more confidence in a system that did not use QR codes, 14 15 where what was getting tabulated, they could 16 actually read for themselves? 17 MS. LaROSS: I object to the form of the 18 question. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Voters have 19 2.0 confidence in systems, and it seems like in 21 today's environment, voters only have 22 confidence in systems that -- where the people 23 that they supported in the election won and 24 they don't have -- they don't have good 25 confidence in systems when their candidate of

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

Q. You understand that during the audit that you've just talked about, there was never any effort made when reading the human-readable portion of a particular ballot to see whether the QR code on that ballot captured the same selections? Are you aware of that?

MS. LaROSS: Objection to form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I am aware that during the audit, that they focused on the text on the ballot solely, that they were not correlating back the text to the QR code at the time.

BY MR. CROSS:

Q. So the ballot would -- sorry. Strike that.

The audit that we're talking about would not catch a situation where the human-readable text had different selections than the QR code on a particular ballot. That would not be captured; right?

- A. It's my understanding that the audit that they undertook was solely looking at the text and were not engaging the QR code at that time.
 - Q. Do you know why a decision was made not to

conduct at least some reliable statistical sampling of ballots to compare the QR codes to the human-readable text to make sure that they actually correspond?

MS. LaROSS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I was not privy to the discussions outlining how the audit was going to be performed, so I don't know if those -- if those discussions were discussed or not.

BY MR. CROSS:

2.0

2.1

- Q. If you wanted to know, who would you ask?
- A. I believe the people that were involved in that discussion at the time, I believe Kevin Rayburn, who was the deputy general counsel for the Secretary of State's office at the time was the -- was the preeminent audit person in the Secretary of State's office at the time. So I believe he was involved with the discussions on the steps that would be taken in that process.
 - O. And --
- A. I don't think he had left the Secretary of State's office yet at that time. I know he is now working for EAC, but I'm -- I might be tying up my calendar a little bit.

Page 303 1 Q. And that's Kevin Rayburn you're talking 2 about? 3 Yes, sir. Α. Mr. Barnes, do we agree that the fact that 4 Q. 5 there has not been any known compromise or widespread fraud in Georgia elections to date, that 6 7 that does not mean that that can never happen in the future? Are we agreed on that? 8 9 MS. LaROSS: Object -- objection as to 10 form of the question. THE WITNESS: We never know what can 11 12 happen in the future. If something bad can 13 happen -- I think Murphy's Law is if something 14 bad can happen, it can happen. 15 So that's why we have the procedures and 16 protocols in place to try to prevent bad things 17 from happening, but none of us can see into the future. 18 BY MR. CROSS: 19 20 Were you aware that Theresa Payton, the Ο. 21 head of Fortalice, testified in this case that it's 22 not a question of when a U.S. election will get hacked, but if? 23 24 MS. LaROSS: Object to the form of the 25 question.

	Page 304
1	THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that
2	testimony.
3	MR. CROSS: All right. Sorry I took you a
4	little longer than you asked. I apologize
5	about that. Let me let you get out of here.
6	And we will we'll keep it open. I'm
7	not going to litter the transcript. I'm going
8	to let him out. We'll send you a letter,
9	Diane, on on how to proceed.
10	VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This suspends the
11	deposition. The time is 5:04 p.m. and we are
12	now off the video record.
13	(Deposition suspended at 5:04 p.m.)
14	(Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal
15	Rules of Civil Procedure and/or O.C.G.A.
16	9-11-30(e), signature of the witness has been
17	reserved.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 305
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	
	COUNTY OF FULTON:
5	
6	
	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was
7	taken down, as stated in the caption, and the
	questions and answers thereto were reduced to
8	typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing
	pages represent a true, complete, and correct
9	transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing,
	and I further certify that I am not of kin or
10	counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the
	regular employ of counsel for any of said parties;
11	nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said
	case.
12	
13	
L 4	$\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}$
15	Lee An Baines
16	
1 7	LEE ANN BARNES, CCR B-1852, RPR, CRR, CRC
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

COURT REPORTER DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Article 10.B. of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia which states: "Each court reporter shall tender a disclosure form at the time of the taking of the deposition stating the arrangements made for the reporting services of the certified court reporter, by the certified court reporter, the court reporter's employer, or the referral source for the deposition, with any party to the litigation, counsel to the parties or other entity. Such form shall be attached to the deposition transcript," I make the following disclosure:

2.

I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter. I am here as a representative of Veritext Legal Solutions. Veritext Legal Solutions was contacted to provide court reporting services for the deposition. Veritext Legal Solutions will not be taking this deposition under any contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 9-11-28 (c).

Veritext Legal Solutions has no contract/agreement to provide reporting services with any party to the case, any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been made to cover this deposition. Veritext Legal Solutions will charge its usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will not be given to any party to this litigation.

21 Le an Baines

 LEE ANN BARNES, CCR B-1852B, RPR, CRR, CRC