UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 1:22cv21028

PIERINA RIVERA, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v. First Federal Credit Control Inc,
Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Pierina Rivera ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated, sues Defendant First Federal Credit Control Inc ("Defendant") for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
- 2. Venue in this District is proper because the transaction and/or debt at issue arose here, the property the subject of the underlying debt is within this District, Defendant transacts business here, and the complained conduct of Defendant occurred here.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is a natural person, and a citizen of the State of Florida, residing in Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 4. Defendant is a/an Ohio corporation, with its principal place of business located in Cleveland Ohio 44122.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

5. Plaintiff, respectfully, demands a trial by jury on all counts and issues so triable.

ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began attempting to collect a debt (the "Consumer Debt") from Plaintiff.
- 7. The Consumer Debt is an obligation allegedly had by Plaintiff to pay money arising from a transaction between the creditor of the Consumer Debt, Lakes Radiology, and Plaintiff (the "Subject Service").
 - 8. The Subject Service was primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 9. Defendant is a business entity engaged in the business of soliciting consumer debts for collection.
 - 10. Defendant is a business entity engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts.
- 11. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 12. Defendant is registered with the Florida Office of Financial Regulation as a "Consumer Collection Agency."
 - 13. Defendant's "Consumer Collection Agency" license number is CCA9904310.
- 14. Defendant maintains all the records specified in Rule 69V-180.080, Florida Administrative Code.
- 15. The records specified by Rule 69V-180.080, Florida Administrative Code, of which Defendant does maintain, are current to within one week of the current date.
- 16. Defendant maintains and keeps updated within seven (7) days the records required by Florida Administrative Code Rule 180.080(1), (3), (6), (7), (9), (10), and (11).

17. Defendant has written policies and procedures for the secure handling of all

consumer documents and information received in the course of collecting a debt from a consumer

as required by Rule 69V-180.090(2).

Defendant is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6). 18.

On a date better known by Defendant, Defendant sent a letter to Plaintiff, of which 19.

was internally dated February 7, 2022, (the "Collection Letter") in an attempt to collect the

Consumer Debt.

20. Attached as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the Collection Letter.

21. Defendant is required by C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3) to provide an "itemization date"

of the Consumer Debt in the Collection Letter.

22. The term "itemization date" is defined by C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3) to mean one of

five specific dates, namely: (1) "[t]he last statement date, which is the date of the last periodic

statement or written account statement or invoice provided to the consumer by a creditor," (the

"Last Statement Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(i); (2) "[t]he charge-off date, which is the

date the debt was charged off, (the "Charge Off Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(ii); (3) "[t]he

last payment date, which is the date the last payment was applied to the debt, (the "Last Payment

Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(iii); (4) "[t]he transaction date, which is the date of the

transaction that gave rise to the debt," (the "**Transaction Date**"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(iv);

or (5) "[t]he judgment date, which is the date of a final court judgment that determines the amount

of the debt owed by the consumer," (the "Judgment Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(v).

23. Defendant identifies February 03, 2022, as the itemization date of the Consumer

Debt in the Collection Letter (the "Represented Itemization Date"). See Exhibit A.

24. The Represented Itemization Date is not the Last Statement Date associated with

the Consumer Debt.

25. The Represented Itemization Date is not the Charge Off Date associated with the

Consumer Debt.

26. The Represented Itemization Date is not the Last Payment Date associated with the

Consumer Debt.

27. The Represented Itemization Date is not the Transaction Date associated with the

Consumer Debt.

28. The Represented Itemization Date is not the Judgment Date associated with the

Consumer Debt.

29. The Represented Itemization Date falsely represents the amount of the Consumer

Debt because the Represented Itemization Date is not an itemization date permitted by C.F.R. §

1006.34(b)(3).

30. The Represented Itemization Date falsely represents the character of the Consumer

Debt because the Represented Itemization Date is not an itemization date permitted by C.F.R. §

1006.34(b)(3), whereby the use of the Represented Itemization Date wrongfully causes the least

sophisticated consumer to falsely believe that the Represented Itemization Date is the Last

Statement Date, the Charge Off Date, the Last Payment Date, the Transaction Date, or the

Judgment Date.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

PAGE | 4 of 12

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

PROPOSED CLASS

31. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of Plaintiff, individually and

on behalf of all other similarly situated persons as a class action. The "Class" that Plaintiff seeks

to represent is the below defined "FDCPA Class."

32. The "FDCPA Class" consists of: [1] all persons with Florida addresses [2] that

were sent a letter [3] from and/or by Defendant, or someone on Defendant's behalf [4] in an attempt

to collect a debt [5] during the twelve [12] months preceding the filing of this Class Action

Complaint [6] whereby said letter is required to provide an "itemization date" required by C.F.R.

§ 1006.34(b)(3) [7] and the "itemization date" provided is not Last Statement Date, the Charge Off

Date, the Last Payment Date, the Transaction Date, or the Judgment Date associated with the

underlying debt.

33. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class.

34. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class but believes the Class

members number in the several thousands, if not more.

Numerosity

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant has sent thousands of debt collection

letters to thousands of consumers throughout the United States that are required to use one of five

itemization dates set forth by C.F.R. § 1006.34(b), but which use a different, impermissible date

instead. The members of the Class, therefore, are believed to be so numerous that joinder of all

members is impracticable.

PAGE | 5 of 12

36. The exact number and identities of the Class members are unknown at this time and

can be ascertained only through discovery. Identification of the Class members is a matter capable

of ministerial determination from Defendant's e-mail records.

COMMON QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT

37. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class which

predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Among the

questions of law and fact common to the Class are: [1] Whether Defendant sent a letter to Plaintiff

and members of the Class in an attempt to collect a debt; [2] Whether Defendant is a debt collector;

[3] Whether Defendant's conduct was knowing and willful; [4] Whether Defendant is liable for

damages, and the amount of such damages; and [5] Whether Defendant should be enjoined from

such conduct in the future.

38. The common questions in this case are capable of having common answers. If

Plaintiff's claim that Defendant routinely sends debt collection letters to consumers that violate

C.F.R. § 1006.34(b) is accurate, Plaintiff and members of the Class will have identical claims

capable of being efficiently adjudicated and administered in this case.

TYPICALITY

39. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class, as they are

all based on the same factual and legal theories.

PROTECTING THE INTERESTS OF THE CLASS MEMBERS

40. Plaintiff is a representative who will fully and adequately assert and protect the

interests of the Class and has retained competent counsel. Accordingly, Plaintiff is an adequate

representative and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

PAGE | 6 of 12

SUPERIORITY

A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient 41.

adjudication of this lawsuit because individual litigation of the claims of all members of the Class

is economically unfeasible and procedurally impracticable. While the aggregate damages sustained

by members of the Class are in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each

member of the Class resulting from Defendant's wrongful conduct are too small to warrant the

expense of individual lawsuits. The likelihood of individual members of the Class prosecuting

their own separate claims is remote, and, even if every member of the Class could afford individual

litigation, the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases.

42. The prosecution of separate actions by members of the Class would create a risk of

establishing inconsistent rulings and/or incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. For

example, one court might enjoin Defendant from performing the challenged acts, whereas another

may not. Additionally, individual actions may be dispositive of the interests of the Class, although

certain class members are not parties to such actions.

COUNT 1

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

43. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the FDCPA Class, incorporates by reference

¶¶ 6-42 of this Class Action Complaint.

44. The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection the administrative agency authorized

to exercise its authorities under Federal consumer financial law to administer, enforce, and

otherwise implement the provisions of Federal consumer financial law. See 12 U.S.C. § 5512; 15

U.S.C. § 1692l(d); see also 12 C.F.R. § 1006.1(a).

45. On November 30, 2020, the CFPB issued their final rule to revise Regulation F

("Reg F") of which contains, among other things, the CFPB's most recent interpretation of the

PAGE | 7 of 12

FDCPA. Reg F addresses, among other things, communications in connection with debt collection

and prohibitions on harassment or abuse, false or misleading representations, and unfair practices

in debt collection. See generally 85 FR 76734.

With respect to the purpose of Reg F, it is stated "[Reg F] carries out the purposes 46.

of the FDCPA, which include eliminating abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors,

ensuring that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not

competitively disadvantaged, and promoting consistent State action to protect consumers against

debt collection abuses. 12 C.F.R. § 1006.1(b). Moreover, Reg F, "prescribes requirements to

ensure that certain features of debt collection are disclosed fully, accurately, and effectively

to consumers in a manner that permits consumers to understand the costs, benefits, and risks

associated with debt collection, in light of the facts and circumstances." Id. (emphasis added).

47. Pursuant to § 1006.34 of Reg F, a debt collector must provide a consumer with the

validation information required by § 1006.34(c) of Reg F.

48. Pursuant to § 1006.34(c) of Reg F, a debt collector *must* provide certain validation

information, of which includes, but is not limited to: (1) "debt collector communication

disclosure;" (2) "information about the debt;" (3) "information about consumer protections;" and

(4) "consumer-response information."

49. Section 1006.34(c)(2) of Reg F, of which requires "information about the debt" to

be disclosed, provides an explicit list information, of which includes: (i) "[t]he debt collector's

name and the mailing address at which the debt collector accepts disputes and requests for original-

creditor information;" (ii) "[t]he consumer's name and mailing address;" (iii) "the name of the

creditor to whom the debt was owed on the itemization date;" (iv) "[t]he account number, if any,

associated with the debt on the itemization date, or a truncated version of that number;" (v) "[t] he

PAGE | 8 of 12

name of the creditor to whom the debt currently is owed;" (vi) "[t]he itemization date;" (vii)

"[t]he amount of the debt on the itemization date;" (viii) "[a]n itemization of the current amount

of the debt reflecting interest, fees, payments, and credits since the itemization date;" and (iv)

"[t]he current amount of the debt."

50. Section 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F defines the term "**itemization date**" to mean one

of five specific dates, namely: (1) "[t]he last statement date, which is the date of the last periodic

statement or written account statement or invoice provided to the consumer by a creditor," (the

"Last Statement Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(i); (2) "[t]he charge-off date, which is the

date the debt was charged off, (the "Charge Off Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(ii); (3) "[t]he

last payment date, which is the date the last payment was applied to the debt, (the "Last Payment

Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(iii); (4) "[t]he transaction date, which is the date of the

transaction that gave rise to the debt," (the "Transaction Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(iv);

or (5) "[t]he judgment date, which is the date of a final court judgment that determines the amount

of the debt owed by the consumer," (the "Judgment Date"), see C.F.R. § 1006.34(b)(3)(v).

51. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits, among other things, "any false, deceptive,

or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. §

1692e.

52. Section 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA explicitly prohibits "[t]he false representation

of the character, amount, or legal status of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2).

53. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits, among other things, "unfair or

unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.

PAGE | 9 of 12

Case 1:22-cv-21028-RNS Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 04/05/2022 Page 10 of 12

54. Section 1692g of the FDCPA requires debt collectors to make certain disclosures,

provide consumers with certain information, and to make such disclosures and provide such

information within a specific timeframe. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1)-(5).

55. Here, as set forth above, the Collection Letter was a communication required to use

of the five "itemization dates" set forth under § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F. The date used and/or

otherwise represented in the Collection Letter as the "itemization date," namely, the Represented

Itemization Date: [1] is not the Last Statement Date associated with the Consumer Debt; [2] is not

the Charge Off Date associated with the Consumer Debt; [3] is not the Last Payment Date

associated with the Consumer Debt; [4] is not the Transaction Date associated with the Consumer

Debt; and [5] is not the Judgment Date associated with the Consumer Debt.

56. Defendant violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using the Represented Itemization

Date in the Collection Letter because the Represented Itemization Date is not one of the five dates

permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F and using the Represented Itemization Date as though it

was one of the five dates permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F is false, deceptive, and/or

otherwise misleading to the least sophisticated consumer.

57. Defendant violated § 1692e of the FDCPA by using the Represented Itemization

Date in the Collection Letter because the Represented Itemization Date is not one of the five dates

permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F and using the Represented Itemization Date as though it

was one of the five dates permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F is false, deceptive, and/or

otherwise misleading to the least sophisticated consumer.

58. Defendant violated § 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA with respect to the character

and/or amount of the Consumer Debt by using the Represented Itemization Date in the Collection

Letter because the Represented Itemization Date is not one of the five dates permitted by §

PAGE | 10 of 12

1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F. Here, using the Represented Itemization Date as though it was one of the

five dates permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F wrongfully causes the least sophisticated

consumer to falsely believe that the Represented Itemization Date is the Last Statement Date, the

Charge Off Date, the Last Payment Date, the Transaction Date, or the Judgment Date.

59. Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA by using the Represented Itemization

Date in the Collection Letter because the Represented Itemization Date is not one of the five dates

permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F and using the Represented Itemization Date as though it

was one of the five dates permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F constitutes unfair and/or otherwise

unconscionable means to collect the Consumer Debt.

60. Defendant violated § 1692g of the FDCPA and § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F by failing

to use one of the five itemization dates permitted by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F in the Collection

Letter, as Defendant was required to use one of the five itemization dates set forth under §

1006.34(b)(3) in the Collection Letter, but instead, used the Represented Itemization Date in the

Collection Letter, whereby the Represented Itemization Date is not one of the five dates permitted

by § 1006.34(b)(3) of Reg F.

61. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the FDCPA Class, requests

this Court to enter a judgment against Defendant, awarding Plaintiff and the FDCPA Class the

following relief: [1] statutory damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; [2] costs and reasonable

attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and [3] any other relief that this Court deems

appropriate under the circumstances.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Dated: April 4, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Thomas J. Patti

JIBRAEL S. HINDI, ESQ.

Florida Bar No.: 118259

E-mail: jibrael@jibraellaw.com

THOMAS J. PATTI, ESQ. Florida Bar No.: 118377

E-mail: tom@jibraellaw.com

The Law Offices of Jibrael S. Hindi

110 SE 6th Street, Suite 1744 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Phone: 954-907-1136 Fax: 855-529-9540

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 4, 2022, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Thomas J. Patti

THOMAS J. PATTI, ESQ.

Florida Bar No.: 118377