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THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. Participants should be aware that this proceeding is being recorded. As such all conversations held will be recorded unless there is a request or agreement to go off the record. Private conversations and attorney-client interaction should be held outside the presence of this remote interface. This is the remote video recorded deposition of James Nguyen taken by counsel for the plaintiff.

Today is Thursday April 30th 2020 and the time is now 12:04 p.m in the Eastern Time Zone. We are here in the matter of Kleiman vs Wright. My name is Michael Hollander, remote video technician on behalf of US Legal Support. I am not related to any party in this action nor am I financially interested in the outcome.

At this time will the reporter Rick Levy on behalf of US Legal please enter the statement for remote proceedings into the record.

THE COURT REPORTER: The attorneys participating in this deposition acknowledge that I am not physically present in the deposition room and that $I$ will be reporting this deposition

[^0]remotely.
They further acknowledge that in lieu of an oath administered in person $I$ will administer the oath remotely. This arrangement is pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court Administrative Order No. AOSC20-16.

The parties and their counsel consent to this arrangement and waive any objections to this manner of reporting. Please indicate your agreement by stating your name and your agreement on the record.

MR. FREEDMAN: Vel Freedman for the plaintiffs, we agree.

MR. RIVERO: Andres Rivero for Dr. Wright, we agree.

MR. KASS: Zalman Kass for Dr. Craig Wright, we agree.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Spencer Silverglate, counsel for the witness Jimmy Nguyen, we agree.

MR. GILLUM: Trevor Gillum with Jimmy Nguyen agree.

THE WITNESS: My name is James. I go by Jimmy Nguyen, $N-G-U-Y-E-N$. I declare my testimony in this matter is under penalty of perjury.

Thereupon,
(JAMES NGUYEN)
having been first duly sworn or
affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Good morning Mr. Nguyen, how are you?
A. Fine. How are you?
Q. Before we just get started with the formalities of the deposition $I$ just wanted to lay out a quick schedule the way $I$ was hoping this would go. I know it's about 9:00 by you. Obviously we can take some breaks but I wanted to take our lunch break at 12:00 by you which would be 3:00 here.

A break for about an hour or hour and 15 if that's enough for you. Then if we still have more to go we can finish up and if we're done obviously we're done.
A. Okay.
Q. All right.

MR. RIVERO: Vel, if I may, just for avoidance of doubt we're going to designate the deposition as confidential and then review it subsequently to de-designate but just for avoidance of that we're invoking that.
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BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, can you please state your name -just before we begin am I pronouncing it correctly, is it Nguyen?
A. Tough name to pronounce. That's good.
Q. What is properly I'll give it one shot and then we'll move on.
A. Well, Vietnamese pronunciation is Nguyen but that's very difficult for American English and you so a lot of people say Nguyen or sometimes I just say Nguyen.
Q. Got it. I am going to stick to Nguyen because you are right I would not have gotten that correct. Mr. Nguyen, can you please state your name and date of birth for the record?
A. Name is $N-G-U-Y-E-N$. I pretty much go by Jimmy

your voice is cutting out a little bit. I don't know if there is a microphone if you can bring it a little closer?
A. I'll talk louder.
Q. That works. Perfect. Mr. Nguyen, you understand that your testimony is being recorded today?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understand both by a court reporter who is taking a transcript and a videographer who is taking a video?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understand that your testimony may be shown to a jury at some point in this case?
A. Yes, I understand that.
Q. And I know you're a lawyer but you understand that your testimony is being given today under oath, correct?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. If you don't understand a question, Mr. Nguyen, I need you to let me know and I'll re-ask it, is that fair?
A. Yes, that's fair.
Q. If you don't I will assume you understood the question and I'm going to rely on your answer, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Are you -- I'm going to also assume you have familiarity with the groundrules of a deposition like oral responses and breaks and anything like that given your experience. Tell me if I'm wrong.
A. Generally. It's been a number of years since I've been involved in a deposition.
Q. Do you want me to go through? I'll go through them just to refresh your memory. You know I need you to give oral responses -- sorry?
A. I haven't practiced law in a while so it's been a while.
Q. So I need you to give oral responses if you can so the court reporter can take them down. Intuitively we nod our heads but I actually need you to say yes or no. And if you need a break at any point in time just let me know. This isn't a marathon. We'll stop. You can stretch your legs, get a drink, whatever, use the restroom. Are you on any medications that would affect your ability to testify today?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
A. I believe so. I have never testified in court proceedings before but I think I've been deposed.
Q. Did it have anything to do with the matters at issue in this case?
A. No.
Q. One last housekeeping matter. Do you hold citizenship for any country besides the United States?
A. No.
Q. Can you give me a brief professional background of your career?
A. Sure. I have been a lawyer most of my professional career. I graduated law school in 1995 and I was in private practice at corporate law firms from 1995 until I left legal practice, at least private practice, in early 2017.

So I have been an associate and then a partner at several major law firms. I can list them for you if you need?
Q. Sure.
A. Most of my career was spent at Foley \& Lardner in the Los Angeles office. I lived in Los Angeles most of my life. Foley \& Lardner in Los Angeles with a little gap in between where $I$ went to a boutique firm before I came back. Then I think I was in total at Foley \& Lardner about 12 years or so. Then I went to help a Chicago based firm launch their Beverly Hills/Los Angeles office called Wildman, Herald. It's now merged into another firm and then the last major firm of my career was Davis, Wright \& Tremaine where I was for about I think six years. All of those were in Los Angeles offices.

Then after I left Davis, Wright \& Tremaine to work with nchain which $I$ know we'll talk about $I$ was there for a short period of time kept my own legal practice to assist some of my longstanding clients on
U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT
www.uslegalsupport.com
some matters they wanted me to continue helping them on for a period of time. It wasn't something I did for a long time.
Q. Go ahead.
A. Then $I$ joined the Bitcoin world.
Q. When did you stop practicing law?
A. Good question. I went on inactive status with the California Bar when I moved out of California which was in December 2018. I would say I stopped doing legal work, legal advice work, towards the end of 2017 .
Q. Then $I$ know that you said you left private practice to join nChain. Was that around 2018?
A. No, we -- I signed on with nChain in $I$ believe it was in September of 2016. I notified my law firm that $I$ was intending to leave the law firm partnership to pursue other ventures and it took -- there was kind of a bit of a transition process. A long period of time to wind down, transfer my client relationships and matters. I had been a lawyer for so long. Departing and you don't want to leave your clients in a difficult situation.

It took me longer than $I$ expected to actually complete the process to transition out of the law firm but I signed on to join nChain in September of 2016 I believe is the month and sort of overlapped with my

[^1]departure from the law firm.
Q. Can you walk me through the positions you held at nChain starting from -- actually take one step back. Are you still at nChain today?
A. No, I am not.
Q. Can you walk me through the positions you held at nChain starting from November 2016 when you started and going up until you left?
A. Sure. In the beginning I didn't have a formal title. The company was fairly new and part of my, you know, job that $I$ was asked to do was help figure out a number of things at the company such as in particular focusing on its IP program since my legal practice that I had in law was focused on IP and digital technology areas.

So in the beginning I would say I didn't have a title. Eventually we gave me a title to cover those duties but the general area in which I was asked to work was the commercialization of intellectual property.

Eventually I got the title of IP Communications and Legal Officer to summarize the variety of tasks that were described in my first contract with nChain because it included IP strategy, some communications, marketing related things as well as legal advice related to particularly the IP.

[^2]Then I became later chief business officer for a very short period of time because then I got asked to become CEO of the company.

So I was CEO for a while and then when I left that role $I$ was appointed to be chair of what we call a Strategic Advisory Board and I maintained that role until last month, March 2020.
Q. Did you say the Strategic Advisory Board?
A. Yes.
Q. So I am going to try -- I think I took some notes I'll try to break it down a little bit. You started with nChain in November 2016 and you didn't have a formal title until you got the title of IP Communications and Legal Officer. How long did that no formal title period last?
A. I have to correct you one thing about your question. I signed on to nChain in September of 2016, I believe, not November.
Q. Sorry. I have September written down. I don't know why I said November. September.
A. What was your question?
Q. There was this intermediate period where you didn't have a formal title. How long did that last?
A. From September 2016 to sometime I think in spring of 2017. I would say around March or April.

[^3]Q. Of 2017?
A. Correct.
Q. And then -- so I'm assuming around -- I understand these aren't exact but around April of 2017 you obtained this title of IP Communications and Legal Officer?
A. Yes.
Q. And then how long did that last until you were made chief business officer?
A. I think -- I have these dates on my LinkedIn profile. I think I would say it was around September, October. I think October because I was only the chief business officer for two months before I got asked to take on the CEO role and I took on the CEO role in 2017. I think it was October 2017 when I became Chief Business Officer.
Q. Got it. As you know this isn't a test so you've referenced your LinkedIn page. So if that helps you I'm happy to bring that up on the screen for you. Do you see that here?
A. I saw it for a second.
Q. What if $I$ put it here and make it bigger. How is that?
A. I can see that now.

MR. FREEDMAN: Let's mark this as Exhibit 1
though I'm never going to be able to keep track of them all. One drawback of these electronic depositions but I'll do my best and maybe Rick, Mr. Court reporter, you can help me here.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I'm going to scroll down here to your nchain titles. Do you see that here?
A. Yes.
Q. So I guess -- why don't we go down to the bottom. You have from February 2017 to October 2017. So I guess if I'm reading this correctly that no formal title period probably went from September of 2016 until February of 2017?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then we had February of 2017 you were the IP -- chief IP Communications and Legal Officer, right?
A. Yes.
Q. That went about until November of 2017 it looks like; right?
A. Correct.
Q. At which time you then became the Chief Business Officer. Sorry, I'm reading it wrong. Then that lasted until as you said a very short period just
until December so about a month or so; right?
A. About right. About a month approximately.
Q. And then on December of 2017 you became the CEO?
A. Correct.
Q. And that lasted until November of 2018; correct?
A. Yes, approximately. My transition out of the CEO role was sort of gradual and so it's -- exact date is hard to define. It was right around -- there was I'm sure you've heard about a hash war in the Bitcoin cash and Bitcoin $S V$ world so it was right after that time.
Q. Got it. Then you slowly transitioned out in November of 2018 or so and then from about December of 2018 until March of 2020 you were then the chair of the Strategic Advisory Board?
A. Yes.
Q. And at what point in this progression did you stop performing any kind of legal services for nChain?
A. I would say after I took the chief business officer title. I certainly still had to be involved in legal matters, particularly with outside counsel since I was the executive on the team that was a former lawyer but I did -- was not acting in a legal advisor role I think after that point. Managing the legal affairs that

[^4]happened but more from a business perspective.
Q. Got it. Who was your contact to initially join nChain?
A. Robert MacGregor.
Q. How did you know Robert MacGregor?
A. I've known Rob for many years. Originally he was a client contact of mine in my legal practice and that's how I first got to know him.
Q. Got it. Let's get back to that. When did you first meet Calvin Ayre?
A. A long time ago. I'm trying to remember. I don't remember the exact year. I would say around 2006.
Q. What was the context of that meeting?
A. When I was a lawyer I was asked to start doing legal work for $I$ think it was a media agency or media business that worked with or was related to his Bodog business at the time?
Q. Did you ever do legal work for him or his companies?
A. I definitely did legal work for Bodog companies. I'm trying to remember if -- I believe my firm also did some legal work for him individually.
Q. Did you yourself?
A. I was involved in it since $I$ was the law firms -- the relationship partner, the key contact with
the client but you use colleagues with other specialty areas, you know, that are outside of my specialty area.
Q. Is it safe to say you have not acted as a lawyer for -- let me just ask you. When is the last time you worked as a lawyer or gave legal advice to Calvin Ayre?
A. To Calvin Ayre personally?
Q. Yes.
A. Quite a long time.
Q. 10 years?
A. Probably sooner than that. I'm trying to remember the sequence of law firms. Probably we're in 2020 so around 2000 -- in the mid 2000s I think. Sorry not 2000s. Around the 2013, 2014 maybe time period.
Q. Is that the same response for any companies that are affiliated with him as well?
A. It's been a long time so that's why I don't remember.
Q. I understand. Is that the same answer for companies that may have been affiliated with him as well not since 2013, 2014ish?
A. Trying to remember that as well. It would probably be somewhere similar in that time period. That's tougher for me to answer because $I$ know at some point Calvin left the Bodog organization from the online
gaming industry and so there were some of the companies that I did legal work for from that organization or I continued to do legal work for but I don't think Calvin was involved any more.
Q. Got it.
A. At least that's what $I$ was told.
Q. Do you know what Calvin's connection to Craig Wright is?
A. Yes. So I wasn't involved personally but what I've been told and tell me if you want me to testify about things I've been told but Stefan Matthews had known Craig Wright for many years ago from Australia. They had a working relationship because Stefan was the I think CIO or CTO of an online gaming company in Australia that was going public and they needed auditing work done and at the time Craig worked for BDO, one of those auditing firms. He used to work in the auditing field and so they had -- you know, they had a prior working relationship they knew each other.

At some point Craig's Australian companies were in financial distress, financial trouble, and as I understand it I was told by Stefan that Craig contacted Stefan to try and find routes for help. Stefan then introduced Craig to Calvin and that's how they met.
Q. Do you know about when that was?

[^5]A. Probably 2015 but I don't know for sure.
Q. Have you ever talked to Craig about that?
A. About the introduction? I've talked to Craig about his work with Stefan in years past. I don't think I've ever talked with Craig about how --
Q. Do you know whether or not Calvin is funding Craig Wright?
A. Funding what I guess?
Q. You tell me. Do you know if he is funding anything?
A. So I understand they have some kind of financial agreement that $I$ don't know the terms of.
Q. Who told you they have a funding agreement?
A. I wouldn't call it a funding agreement. I don't know the terms of it. I know they have some agreement that $I$ have heard about from some of Calvin's representatives. Calvin has a whole family office and lawyers and executives so the subject has come up in discussions since I don't represent either Calvin or Craig individually that's sort of between the two of them.
Q. And Craig has never made any statements to you about this financial relationship?
A. No.
Q. Do you know whether or not Calvin has given

Craig a loan that is secured by Craig's Bitcoin or intellectual property?
A. I do not know.
Q. Does Calvin own Coin Geek?
A. Yes. As far as -- does he own it personally I don't know. Sometimes from my understanding there's companies that he is associated with but is he the founder general owner of Coin Geek businesses that's my understanding.
Q. When I say owner I understand that affluent individuals use complex structures to control assets. The ultimate beneficial owner essentially traced back to Calvin?
A. From what I understand, yes.
Q. Does Calvin have any financial interest in nChain?
A. He is now as I understand it a shareholder.
Q. When did that start?
A. You know, this was after I was CEO so I was less involved in operational structure but -- there's I think a press release or something about it. I think it was announced in December last year. I don't know when it became effective.
Q. And before that date did he have any interest in nChain at all even through holding companies or other
companies affiliated with him?
A. Not that I'm aware of. I was always told he was not a shareholder and didn't have a financial stake in nChain at the time.
Q. It doesn't -- I'm trying to figure it out because you're saying that you've heard that Craig turned to Stefan Matthews for help in bailing out the businesses in Australia?
A. Yes.
Q. And then Stefan introduced Craig to Calvin?
A. Yes.
Q. And then as we'll talk about there is a transfer of intellectual property from those Australian companies to nChain; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And so I'm trying to figure out why Calvin doesn't have a stake in it, doesn't add up to me?
A. There's another step in the process you hadn't asked me about yet.
Q. Okay.
A. Calvin and Stefan introduced Craig to Robert MacGregor.
Q. Got it. I see. And Robert MacGregor was the one who provided all the funds then?
A. Robert MacGregor was -- had a company in the

UK called The Workshop and it's a holding company as I understand it it's the one that did the deal with the marketing group.
Q. Got it.
A. Craig's company in Australia.
Q. But where is Robert MacGregor now?
A. You know, I'm not sure. I haven't heard from him in a while. Last $I$ heard he was in London.
Q. Do you have contact information for him?
A. I do. I would say the last time I e-mailed him $I$ didn't hear back so $I$ don't know if it's still valid. He's had health -- a serious health issue over the last few years so he has not been as active.
Q. Does Robert MacGregor or any of his companies maintain any kind of interest in nChain?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. So getting back to Calvin and nChain, Coin Geek is it fair to say that Calvin's companies invest in nChain?
A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that question?
Q. Is it fair to say --
A. Give qualification to my last answer which is since I've been out of the CEO role of nChain I'm less aware of anything that's happened with respect to the ownership structure, corporate structure.
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Q. Of course. Just what you know, all I'm asking for is what you know. So does Calvin -- do Calvin's companies invest in nChain?
A. Well, I don't -- I don't think I can answer that because I was not involved in the share or whatever agreement that led to him becoming a shareholder. Presumably something happened there but I don't know the terms.
Q. I understand. Give me a second here. Does nChain find lots of ways to work very closely with Calvin's companies?
A. Yes, that's a fair claim. I don't know how it's defined but there are a lot of common goals for the growth of Bitcoin in particular, Bitcoin SV so there's definitely a close working relationship.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I am going to share with you Exhibit 2 to this deposition which is a video or an interview that you did I believe with Cryptofinder. Do you recall this interview?
A. Yes. I don't recall the interview but I recall doing it.
Q. Fair to say what the video captured is what
you said?
A. I would assume so.
Q. I am going to play for you I hope this works where at the 26 minute and 16 second mark let me know if you can hear this, okay?
A. Sure. I cannot hear it.

MR. FREEDMAN: You cannot hear it? That is a problem. Let me see if I can fix that.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Do you want to go off the record?

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Give me one second. I might be able to fix that this way. Can you still hear me?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's try I am going to go back to the 26 minute if it lets me.
A. Still cannot hear it.
Q. All right. If this doesn't work we'll have to go off the record but I'm hopeful we got it. How about now?

VIDEO AUDIO VOICE: That relationship stopped between Coin Geek and nChain.

MR. NGUYEN: Well, Calvin's been interested in Bitcoin for a while. Online gaming industries one of the first industries to adopt Bitcoin a long
time ago. So he got introduced to Craig and they became friends a number of years ago. I could say 2015 or so and then obviously Calvin has learned a lot about Bitcoin from Craig so when nChain emerged Calvin was kind of getting more involved in Bitcoin. He started the Coin Geek brand as a media site at first and then decided to start getting into mining.

So mining operations got more started investing so nChain and Coin Geek are very close business allies. We I do lot of things together. Obviously we both have money operations. We have the hash fork together. We align on things. We're starting new efforts and investing where for example Coin Geek is providing investment funds and nChain is providing access to its IP and technical portfolio to the investment companies. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I want to stop there for a minute. I don't know if you recall $I$ asked you whether or not Calvin's companies invested in nChain I don't recall what your answer was but I don't think it was yes. Not sure if it was no but this help refresh your recollection Coin Geek does provide investment funds to nChain?
A. It actually confirms what I -- my prior
answer. I think you're referring to the last part of the clip you just played where I'm talking about they collaborate where Coin Geek is investing in new Bitcoin tech start ups and nChain is involved by providing access to nChain's IP technology to help the new start ups.

That happened because -- so it's not Coin Geek investing in nChain. I was talking about Coin Geek investing in -- we even had nChain at first and then Coin Geek has invested in a number of the Bitcoin start ups in the world. That's what $I$ was talking about.
Q. Thank you for that clarification. Would it be fair to say that Coin Geek financially supports nChain's teams?
A. Here is how I would answer that. Certainly not all the teams but the arrangement is when Calvin and Coin Geek wanted to support a competing what we call software implementation, by completing reference implementation of Bitcoin protocol when there were disagreements at the time in 2000 -- I guess this was 2018 we were supporting a version of Bitcoin called Bitcoin Cash. As you know now there's several competing versions of Bitcoin.

There was a split between BTC, Bitcoin core as we call it, and Bitcoin Cash. Then we were supporting

Bitcoin Cash after that split which happened in 2017. There became disagreements among the different group protocol developer groups involved in Bitcoin Cash and Craig wanted to basically compete against the other Bitcoin Cash implementation and see which the miners would follow, that led to what's called this hash war. To do that work to create a new version of the software that more aligned to Craig's vision that's why we call it the Satoshi Vision that took work.

The nChain team is the development team that did that work. It's done under a service agreement with the Bitcoin Association which I now run and Calvin funds the Bitcoin Association right now until we find other ways of revenue which is membership revenue which is something that's going to start happening soon.

The work that is done on not all of nChain but the work -- nChain does a variety of things. The development work done for Bitcoin SV, the software implementation, the technical scaling work for that infrastructure is done through nChain under a service agreement through Bitcoin Association which is funded by I don't know that it's Coin Geek it's maybe -- some entity associated with Calvin.
Q. That's helpful. Would it also be fair to say nChain is a team that is the Bitcoin SV no team and they

[^6]are financially supported by Coin Geek.
A. I don't know if it's by Coin Geek per se but ultimately by a Calvin funded organization. That's pretty public. Very public about that.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So I am going to attempt to share with you again $I$ guess we're now on Exhibit 3. See this You Tube page that's the popped up?
A. Okay.
Q. This appears to be a little I'm not sure if it's an interview. It looks like an interview Coin Geek put out in April 2019. Do you recall this interview?
A. I do so many interviews -- meeting interviews. I don't recall every single one but it looks familiar.
Q. That's you?
A. Yes, that's me. I do a lot so when people ask me do I remember particular interviews they sort of blur together.
Q. I totally understand that. Do you understand from an evidentiary perspective $I$ just need to make sure it's you, it's a video, it's what you said?
A. I understand.
Q. All those things are true?
A. Once you play the video I am fairly certain I will be able to confirm it's video -- interview I did.
Q. I am going to particularly direct you to the time stamp of this video of 2:09. We are going to start at 2:08 and let's take listen for a second.

MR. NGUYEN: Work for nChain. So nChain has a chain that is the Bitcoin. They are supported financially by Coin Geek. They -- the project is owned by the Bitcoin Association. So it's kind of an interrelated set of relationships but -BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I am going to stop there for a second. I was reading a quote from you again not -- this is not a test but is it fair to say I guess that the nChain team -nChain has a team that is the SV Bitcoin No Team they are financially supported by Coin Geek.
A. I think it's a fair statement. I was trying to remember what entity it is because Coin Geek is not a single entity. Coin Geek brand I guess you can say.
Q. Absolutely understood. I want to ask you about something you said in the second half of that which was Calvin and I are of course a huge supporter of Bitcoin SV and the project owned by the Bitcoin Association. What do you mean by that?
A. Someone -- when you create software you have
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Q. I want to jump with Robert MacGregor if you'll come with me. You gave me some information about him already. Can you explain to me how -- I understand practically how because you've told me Stefan Matthews introduced Craig to Calvin and Calvin to Robert MacGregor but so not mechanically how but I guess what is Robert's connection to Craig. How did that whole thing come about?
A. Sure. Again I'll just repeat I wasn't directly involved in any of this so I'm just going to tell you what I've been told. As I understand it so Stefan outreached from Craig. I introduced Calvin because obviously he had an interest in Bitcoin. Craig used to also do work in the online gaming industry as well in some parts. And then at the time Rob, I don't know if it was at the time but he had started a company in Canada called nTrust. It was a set of businesses that brand name to the public was nTrust that was involved in electronic money transfer and international remittance.

So -- as I found out later I think Craig had even talked once to Rob before and might have been introduced to by Stefan because Rob and $I$ have to be a bit careful because nTrust was a client of mine for many years when $I$ was a lawyer so $I$ have to be careful about

[^7]what I disclose related to that but I can tell you that nTrust and Rob MacGregor were interested in exploring Bitcoin and virtual currency technologies as a fast, more efficient way to be able to send funds across countries for example.

The remittance fee could be very high. An overseas worker from the Philippines who is young and left to move to Canada work and send money back to my family in the Philippines the percentage you have to pay to do that is high and so for the nTrust business I know Rob MacGregor had been interested and once Bitcoin and digital currencies came out in exploring how that could be useful for his business and so as I understand it that's why Stefan and Calvin introduced Craig to Rob at this time thinking what Craig was doing with Bitcoin could be useful for what nTrust could possibly do.
Q. Do you know the final deal that Robert MacGregor struck with Craig?
A. No. I was not involved in that at all.
Q. Did you ever review the deal documents that came out of that deal?
A. I did later after I started working for nChain but they're very long and so $I$ won't say I read them all in detail. I have seen them but yes, it was after -well after the transaction.

[^8]Q. Can you give me a kind of high level summary understanding that it may not be 100 percent accurate of what that deal looked like?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Not really. Honestly I know there was the agreement, some payments and transfer of assets. At a high level that's what happened. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you know which assets got transferred?
A. I couldn't tell you. There's a long list I know. Without looking at that agreement I wouldn't know. Even looking at the agreement since I was not involved with its negotiation. I don't know I have the basis to answer that.
Q. Have you seen an agreement that involved the transfer of Satoshi Nakamoto's life rights?
A. I don't know if I've seen -- I know there is one. I think life story rights.
Q. Do you know if the deal included all intellectual property created?

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you know if the deal included beyond just life story rights but also included intellectual property rights?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: As I understand it it included certain -- I understand the deal included transfer of certain IP assets but sitting here today I could not tell you what those are.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Owned by Robert MacGregor at the time these transactions took place?
A. Can you repeat that question?
Q. Was nChain owned by Robert MacGregor at the time these transactions took place?
A. There was no nChain at the time.
Q. The BITC Holdings, let me amend that.
A. I think I don't know -- I'm not sure which was the entity that acquired the DeMorgan Group assets and I know that the entity that is now known as nChain $I$ think got created as I understand it in connection with what happened with this transaction.

So that's why it's hard for to answer did Rob MacGregor own nChain because I'm not sure the nChain entity existed at the time. I'm not certain. I wasn't involved in the transaction of the structure.
Q. So the assets that were acquired by Robert MacGregor in that deal with Craig eventually ended up being controlled by nChain?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know that I have a basis to answer that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Haven't you reviewed the agreements?

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
THE WITNESS: They were long and I didn't review them for that purpose. In general the assets that were acquired from the DeMorgan Group got transferred I believe -- I just don't know if they all got transferred to the same entity. That's part of why I'm pausing. It's like which entity owned which assets I'm not sure.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I'll just go where I'm getting to maybe you can help me make it easier which is I'm trying to find out how Robert MacGregor ended up out of the picture. Because you told me he was the one that purchased everything from Craig then you told me he no longer has interest in nChain. How did he get removed?
A. He became unhappy with Craig at a certain point and again I'm telling you this all second hand because I wasn't there. So it's what I've heard from other people and he thought about closing the nChain operation at one point. Stefan Matthews wanted to

[^9]continue it and there was a transaction which was announced in 2017 about a public investment fund in Malta acquiring the nChain set of companies from Rob's company. Basically he was unhappy with Craig and didn't necessarily want to be involved any more.
Q. Do you know why he was unhappy with Craig?
A. Yes. Well, Craig is -- can be a difficult business colleague. You know, has been widely reported in the media there was an effort in the spring of 2016 I believe it was or in 2016 to show that Craig is Satoshi Nakamoto, creator of Bitcoin.

It happened after there was some media articles that tried to out Craig as Satoshi I believe in the December before that this process to establish Craig as Satoshi at the end did not go well and as I understand it Rob was upset with Craig.
Q. Can you explain what you mean "did not go well?"
A. Well, I'm telling you all that from reports obviously because I wasn't -- I knew it was happening because this was when I was in talks with Rob to start working for nChain but $I$ wasn't directly involved with it. Craig did not media interviews to come out and say I am Satoshi Nakamoto creator of Bitcoin. The Bitcoin community, you know, they're very technical people

[^10]involved in cryptography. They would not believe a statement like that without some other proof and there was supposed to be -- I can't remember the date it was a date in May where he was supposed to -- I am not exactly sure what he was supposed to do. I think he was supposed to either sign a transaction using private keys from one of the early Bitcoin block chain blocks which the Bitcoin community would recognize as only being held or owned or accessible by Satoshi Nakamoto and he did a -- I don't know if he was supposed to sign a transaction or move a coin, I'm not entirely sure but something using private key associated with one of the first early Bitcoin blocks.

He did something but -- that the Bitcoin community then quickly thought well, that's -- it's using -- it wasn't using that private key of Satoshi. It was using information he could have found publicly so people thought well, he's just -- that doesn't prove he is Satoshi.
Q. It's fair to say it was a pretty big issue at the time, right?
A. Yes, very much. There was a lot of news about both his claim coming forward saying I'm Satoshi and then there was a lot of news that came when the proof -proof, you know, session, proof providing not believed

[^11]by the Bitcoin community and I think he posted something on the blog he had at the time saying I'm sorry.
Q. I saw you produced documents in response to our subpoena so thank you for that. We just got through them last night and I saw that you were involved in, you know, $P R$ campaigns to kind of correct that narrative and kind of remediate the harm so to speak that had been caused by the false procession?
A. I wouldn't describe it that way because I joined --
Q. I didn't hear that. I apologize, can you repeat that?
A. Sure. I would not -- the way you characterize the question is not how $I$ would characterize it. I joined nChain after this attempt of proving Craig was Satoshi and the $P R$ work $I$ was asked to oversee was more focused on the launch of nChain publicly as a company which would of course trigger a question of Craig he is your chief scientist, is he really Satoshi why didn't he fully prove he was Satoshi back then so this was an element of it but that was not the main purpose of the PR work I was asked to manage.
Q. You consider Craig to be a friend?
A. I do now. He is a colleague. We're colleagues at first and eventually we became friends as
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you often do with people you work with.
Q. Fair to call him your partner?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Not in any legal sense. We don't have any business partnership arrangements together and, you know, we work together in building his vision of Bitcoin and the Bitcoin ecosystem.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you understand whether or not this failed proof session had an affect on Craig?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer that. BY MR. FREEDMAN
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record. The time is 1:13 p.m. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, do you know what happened to the deal that -- where Satoshi Nakamoto's life rights were sold to Rob MacGregor? Strike that. Let me take a step back actually.

Before the break you told me that there was a Malta based firm that ended up buying Robert MacGregor's interest out of the nChain related companies; right?
A. Bought the nChain companies.
Q. From Robert MacGregor's companies?
A. I would say he was the principal. As far as I understood it of the nChain companies.
Q. So let's talk for a second about these companies. So nChain Holdings Limited is the parent company, right?
A. I don't think that's true any more. It was I think at one time.
Q. Let's do before the Malta based purchase nChain Holdings -- actually $I$ wrote myself a little chart here because it was hard to follow but I think I got it to the point where the Workshop companies Holdings, the Workshop Holdings was the ultimate parent company and it owned nChain's Holdings formerly called EITC Holdings. Is that consistent with your
recollection?
A. Yes, at one point in time. I will also preface saying is $I$ was not involved in the structuring so I am answering based on just what I've seen in documents after I started working for nChain.
Q. And then nChain Holdings eventually acquired NT International Holdings and its five subsidiaries. Do you recall that?
A. I was involved at the time in terms of the transactions the process by which they got held by nChain Holdings or what entities were held by them I couldn't answer for you.
Q. I might be able to help you with that. I think your document production helped me with it.
A. I know there are documents.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I think I can help you with that. I am going to share with you I think we're now on Exhibit 4. It's a document you produced to us yesterday Nguyen 424. Do you recognize this as an e-mail from you to Jamie Diaferia?
A. Yes.
Q. And on January 23rd 2017?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Do you want to take a moment to review the e-mail and it appears -- I'll tell you I read it last night and it is you conveying over to Mr. Deaferia who works with a PR firm called Infinite Global the structure of how the at the time nChain company was structured and so it was very helpful to me in mapping out the entities and I think this might refresh your recollection. Do you want to take a moment to review it?
A. Yes, I will. I generally remember. As you can see it's a complex set of transactions.
Q. I can map it out. I have a sketch pad in front of me to map it out. It seems to me that nChain Holdings -- let's -- why don't we go in the order you've laid out. NChain Holdings acquires the nTrust companies through two different transactions and this is accomplished by first having nTrust Tech Solutions sell itself be purchased by NT International Holdings?
A. Yes, that's what it says.
Q. And then NT International Holdings then has itself and six subsidiaries underneath it that of various nCrypt nTrust companies and then nChain Holdings purchases NT International Holdings and -- hold on, let me find it. Sorry, so then purchases NT International

[^12]Holdings and it already owns nChain Limited and nChain Technology Limited and nChain Labs Limited.
A. Here is what I would say. I wasn't involved in the transactions that led to this structure. The information that's contained in this e-mail was summarized for me so that $I$ could pass on to the $P R$ firm.

So I believe I would assume that the information $I$ summarized here is accurate because that's what we were trying to communicate but I don't have personal knowledge of all these transactions happening in this way.
Q. Right. But at the time you were hired by the company to manage their PR; right?
A. Correct. I don't have any reason to believe this is incorrect. I just can't give you personal knowledge about this is what happened.
Q. And then -- so at the end what ended up happening was at the end of this transaction this Pi-High Tech and PE Fund ends up purchasing three different companies; nChain Limited, nChain Holdings and NT International Holdings which basically sweeps all the nChain and nTrust companies into its ownership; right?
A. In effect, yes.
Q. Who owns Pi-High Tech and PE Fund?
A. I didn't -- did not deal with the fund directly much. As I understand it's an investment fund so it doesn't really have owners, it has a fund manager and then there's people who invest into the fund.
Q. Do you know who has invested into the fund and who its investors are?
A. I do not.
Q. Have you ever -- I want to go back for a second to Robert MacGregor have you ever texted or messaged Robert MacGregor not through e-mail?
A. I think I've tried a couple of times but don't think I ever had a response which --
Q. What about Stefan Matthews, have you ever texted or messaged him not through e-mail?
A. Not through e-mail, yes.
Q. We did not have any text messages in your production of documents. Did you collect them?
A. I did not have any text messages with any of the people that were responsive to your request.
Q. Have you ever text messaged or otherwise non-e-mail messaged Craig Wright?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you collect those and review them for production?
A. I reviewed what text messages I have had with

Craig and they are not responsive to your request.
Q. So in 2015 there was a leak I think it's described -- you know what, strike that. In 2015 Wired and Gizmodo ran articles contending that Craig Wright was Satoshi. Do you remember that?
A. I do.
Q. Were you involved in orchestrating that leak?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who was involved in orchestrating that leak?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if anyone was involved -- let me strike that. Do you know if that was an orchestrated leak or if it was actually a leak?
A. I have no idea.
Q. So then we talked about this in May of 2016 there was a coordinated effort to out Craig as Satoshi which ended up not being successful and he failed to provide public proof. Did you talk -- do you recall that?
A. I recall that happening, yes.
Q. Did you talk to -- were you involved in that coming out?
A. Not directly. I knew it was happening.
Q. Did you talk to or e-mail Andrew O'Hagan about
this coming out?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar with an article called The Satoshi Affair?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that in The Satoshi Affair Andrew O'Hagan says that you e-mailed him?
A. I'm aware my name is referenced. I don't know it's my e-mail though.
Q. Let's take a look at it. I'm sharing with you docket entry 83-1 to the Second Amended Complaint. It is a copy of Andrew O'Hagan's The Satoshi Affair. Let me show you the title $I$ successfully lost our place. I think we're on page ten. Why don't you read from this paragraph that starts with $A$ in the middle of page nine for the record?
A. I see it.
Q. Do you want me to go ahead and read that for the record for us?
A. "A few weeks before the raid on Craig Wright's house, when his name still hadn't ever been public associated with Satoshi Nakamoto $I$ got an e-mail from a Los Angeles lawyer called Jimmy Nguyen from the firm Davis, Wright \& Tremaine (self described as a one stop shop for companies in entertainment, technology,
advertising, sports and other industries). Nguyen told me they were looking to contract me to write the life of Satoshi Nakamoto. My client has acquired life story rights dot dot dot from the true person behind the pseudonym Satoshi Nakamoto the creator of the Bitcoin protocol the lawyer wrote. Quote, the story will be in brackets of, end of bracket, great interest to the public and we expect the book project will generate significant publicity and media coverage once Satoshi's true identity is revealed" end quote.
Q. Does this refresh your recollection that you did e-mail Andrew O'Hagan?
A. No. My memory is I e-mailed who I believe Andrew O'Hagan's literary agent.
Q. I see. Suppose he didn't say you e-mailed him directly he says $I$ got an e-mail from a Los Angeles lawyer not necessarily that he got directly from you. Okay. Do you see that he -- I got a couple questions here. He redacts out -- he replaces an ellipsis my client has acquired life story rights dot dot dot from. What did he remove?
A. I have no memory of that e-mail. That would have been a number of years ago.
Q. Then he replaced the original quote with the word of. Do you remember what it said previously?
A. No.
Q. Can you tell me how this occurred? How did you come about e-mailing his literary agent?
A. Rob MacGregor asked me to help them find a writer to write about the story of Satoshi Nakamoto Craig Wright and so he asked me to reach out and see if I could find a contact to Andrew O'Hagan.
Q. Was that the extent of your conversation with -- about this issue that you just said -- sorry, strike that. Did you follow up and ask him any details about what was going on and who Satoshi was?
A. Ask who?
Q. I guess Robert MacGregor.
A. At this point $I$ was already in discussions with Mr. MacGregor about possibly working with nChain but at this time $I$ knew that he thought this was going to be obviously a significant public interest in that he was acquiring through one of the companies the life story rights of Craig about Satoshi Nakamoto and he thought it was going to be a great book.
Q. And you wrote -- in your e-mail you wrote he had already acquired at this point. Is that what you understood?
A. That's what $I$ was told.
Q. You had no other insight into the plan other
than this?
A. I don't understand what you mean by the plan.
Q. The plan to come out and reveal the true person behind the pseudonym Satoshi Nakamoto?
A. I am not sure if $I$ had any understanding when I sent that e-mail to Mr . O'Hagan's literary agent.
Q. Do you know what date you sent that e-mail to him?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Throughout the documents you produced you make references to something called Project Satoshi?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what that is?
A. It's just a name $I$ think $I$ gave to a project that I was asked to work on.

MR. FREEDMAN: Just as a housekeeping matter before we continue this line of questioning $I$ want to make sure we marked for the record The Satoshi Affair as Exhibit 5.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So getting back what was the project that you were asked to work on that you named the Satoshi --
A. In short it was to help with the process to --
how do I describe it? It changed over time. That's why I'm trying to figure out how to describe it. Ultimately what it became is to help guide the efforts to commercialize and monetize intellectual property that was going to be created at nChain.
Q. So did that project post date the failed reveal in 2016?
A. I started talking about it before but it did not happen until afterwards.
Q. Besides the e-mail to --
A. Correct. At the time $I$ was not really part of the project team. It was something I got asked to do, you know, while $I$ was a lawyer.
Q. Why do you think they asked you to do that if it's not exactly legal advice to contact a literary agent?
A. Because I have a lot of connections and both client and business relationships in the entertainment media world in the United States.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Got it. And then let's introduce I think we're on Exhibit 6 now which is Nguyen 229 and it appears to me to be an e-mail from you to Robert

MacGregor on -- let me take that back. It appears to be an e-mail chain between you and Robert MacGregor starting on May 1st you responding on May 2 and him respond -- you responding again on May 5th. Is that consistent with your observations?
A. Yes, that's what it says.
Q. Do you recall this e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you send this e-mail?
A. I sent two of the e-mails on this chain.
Q. Did you receive the first one on the bottom?
A. Yes.
Q. So Robert MacGregor tells you that the embargo will lift and the news will break in 50 minutes. Then the next day you say "I see the online articles. How has reaction been in your world." At this point did you know there was a failure?
A. A failure of what?
Q. At this point had it blown up essentially that Craig had not actually produced valid proof?
A. I don't think that happened by May 2nd. I don't remember if it was -- it was certainly by May 5th when I sent the top e-mail. I don't remember what date it was between the May 1 st and May 5 th sequence.
Q. Got it. And I don't have a response from

Robert MacGregor to this e-mail. Did he call you in response to your e-mail?
A. (Indicating).
Q. No, he did not?
A. No.
Q. So you just never got a response to that?
A. No.
Q. Were you not -- I mean you then proceeded to engage in Project Satoshi which was premised on this IP that partly was Craig and -- it seems odd you wouldn't inquire about what happened?
A. I did not hear from Rob for a long time after this. Eventually I think I reached out to his assistant.
Q. When that happened and you got back in touch with Rob did you discuss this?
A. Discuss what?
Q. This failure and this debacle of May of 2016?
A. I wouldn't call it a debacle but we did discuss or -- I had not heard from him after Craig did not provide the cryptographic proof that the Bitcoin community would like to see. So we did eventually discuss it.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said it's a really long story so I didn't
get the whole story. He said, you know, Craig didn't sign using a private key that the Bitcoin world would accept as from a Satoshi block and he was very angry and very upset.
Q. Did he say why?
A. Rob?
Q. Yes.
A. That's what we expected Craig to do.
Q. That was a bad question. Did he say why Craig didn't sign using a private key the Satoshi blocks?
A. No.
Q. You were a partner at a top law firm in the country; right at Davis, Wright \& Tremaine?
A. Yes.
Q. And you left that position to work on the Satoshi Project and further nChain, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Don't you think the responsible thing would have been to kind of dig into that a little bit more before you gave up something so great for this new venture you were betting on?
A. Not necessarily. I had been wanting to leave law for many years before this.
Q. So you -- it's your testimony today that you did not push for what happened and why there was a

## failure?

A. Well, I asked questions but $I$ don't think even Rob could even tell me why Craig didn't do it.
Q. Did you ever Craig why he didn't do it?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever ask -- have you ever asked Craig to give you a private proof session?
A. Sorry, you cut off.
Q. Have you ever -- can you hear me now?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever asked Craig to give you a private proof session?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because I knew he would if I asked him to and I made a decision including before $I$ decided to sign on to nChain and leave my law practice that I wasn't doing it based upon Craig having to be Satoshi Nakamoto. That I wasn't -- that that was certainly relevant to everything, right, but since there's all this controversy over whether he is or he isn't and how could it be proven, you know, either way I had to make a decision am I going to join this opportunity based upon that and could be left disappointed if it turned out not to be true so decided for myself while it certainly is
relevant that the technology that can be built and his vision for it is powerful and that I needed to focus on that because otherwise you can drive yourself crazy with is he or isn't he Satoshi and it's also what I said publicly not what I asked. So I did ask questions about it but I didn't make my decision to join nChain based upon it.
Q. How do you know he would show it to you if you asked him to?
A. I've had this discussion with Steve Shadders, the chief technology officer at nchain, and Steve has said to me we're pretty confident Craig would do it if we asked because, you know, Craig doesn't trust very many people so we work together a lot. I have never asked him the question flat out so I don't know 100 percent he would say yes but I think he would.
Q. How would he have access to the private keys if they are -- let me take a step back. Are you aware that Craig has claimed the properties are locked in a trust?

MR. RIVERO: Sorry, you cut out and I could not hear that question.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Are you aware that Craig has claimed the private keys to his Bitcoin are locked in a trust that
he cannot access?
MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I'm aware that he's claimed -- I can't -- I am aware that in this case he's claimed that he cannot access private keys to certain Bitcoin and so yes, I'm aware of that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. And how then would he demonstrate a proof for you if you asked him to?
A. Well --

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. Go ahead. Sorry, Mr. Nguyen.

THE WITNESS: Probably why I've never asked him.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Has Craig ever explained the trust to you?
A. Only in the context of this litigation.
Q. What has he said?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Wait a second. If it's in the context of the litigation then it's privileged. MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Nguyen testified he has not acted as a lawyer since late 2018 or 2017 I think it is. I don't have the date.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Well --
MR. RIVERO: I'll join the objection and the
instruction.
MR. FREEDMAN: Actually I will actually say that in this deposition Mr. Nguyen testified that he is not a lawyer for Craig Wright.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Right. I'm not suggesting that he is a lawyer for Craig Wright. What I am saying is that Mr. Nguyen has a joint interest agreement with Craig Wright and he has also served as Craig Wright's liaison to his counsel in the litigation.

So he's part of the litigation team even though he is not serving as a lawyer but a liaison. So the conversation that you're inquiring about is privileged both under the joint interest privilege and under the attorney-client privilege.

MR. FREEDMAN: What is the joint interest that you're protecting?

MR. SILVERGLATE: They both have a community of interest and they have a signed agreement.

MR. FREEDMAN: A signed agreement can't extend the privilege, it just memorializes an existing privilege under existing law. I just want to -- I understand you're instructing him not to answer and I can't do anything about that but I need a record of it so we can challenge it eventually. What is
the joint interest that you are memorializing with the joint common interest privilege?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Well, I believe you're misstating what my objection was. So first of all, I'm asserting two privileges. One is attorney-client privilege because Mr. Nguyen is on the team. He is on the defense team, Mr. Wright's defense team, okay and because of that there is also a community of interest which implicates the joint interest agreement and joint interest privilege.

MR. FREEDMAN: What is Mr. Nguyen's capacity on the -- I'll direct this to Mr. Nguyen and obviously you can instruct him not to answer so I don't need to tell you Mr. Nguyen take a second to allow your lawyer to give an instruction team. What is your capacity on the defense team?

MR. SILVERGLATE: You can answer that, Jimmy.
THE WITNESS: When the lawsuit first got filed Craig asked me to assist him in both finding counsel and helping to communicate with counsel regarding his defense.

MR. RIVERO: And I haven't interrupted the question but Mr. Nguyen, obviously you have a lawyer but I just ask you to be careful since there

[^13]are dates where you were counsel to nChain, dates where there was this common interest.

Please refrain from testifying about anything that would invade those privileges and I'll just join as necessary, stay out of it.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So you are not a lawyer on the defense team, you are not providing legal advice; is that correct?
A. Correct. Basically how I would describe it is I am his liaison with his lawyers because to help with communication Craig is a difficult communicator on what are complex topics here and he felt that he asked me to do this because to help him because of the need to communicate with his lawyers especially about dealing with complex issues in this case.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, so as I understand this is directed to the lawyers you're asserting -Mr. Rivero, you're asserting Craig's attorney-client privilege through you because Mr. Nguyen is the go between between you and the client, is that an accurate assertion of your privilege?

MR. RIVERO: Can I hear the last question read back? Sorry, the last question where these objections were actually posed? It was probably a

[^14]couple questions ago.
(Thereupon, a portion of the record
was read back by the reporter.)
MR. RIVERO: I am asserting on behalf of Craig Wright his common interest privilege with Mr. Nguyen.

MR. FREEDMAN: What is the common interest that's seeking to be protected?

MR. RIVERO: I've now answered your question. Please ask your next question. Let's move on.

MR. FREEDMAN: You're not answering what is the common interest question for the record?

MR. RIVERO: Sir, I've made an instruction. Your -- my role is to state an objection or instruction. I think your role is to ask the next question. I think that's what you should do next.

MR. FREEDMAN: You are declining to answer my question, Mr. Rivero?

MR. RIVERO: Sir, if you want to have a good faith conference about this, sir, I've already told you you can speak to me and I'll be glad to discuss it. We don't have to do it on the record, you know that. We already discussed this week so please move on to your next question.

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Rivero, respectfully your
client's breaches of protective orders or maybe at this point alleged or perceived breaches of protective order don't relate to this particular instance here.

Mr. Rivero, you need to let me finish my statement and then I'll give you an opportunity to respond.

MR. RIVERO: I didn't know you weren't finished. Go ahead.

MR. FREEDMAN: I am simply trying to make a record here so when we go back to the Court here we have a clear record of what your position is and I know what's happening. So I'm only asking what is the joint interest you're seeking to protect?

MR. RIVERO: Mr. Freedman, it does not work like that. We make objections and instructions. We don't litigate the objections or instructions. Go ahead and ask your next question. If you want to discuss this after this deposition is over I'll be glad to. That's a good faith conference, sir.

At that time you'll identify to me what your theory is why you get this answer. Then I'll respond to you in a good faith conference. That's how it works. Go ahead, continue with your deposition.

MR. FREEDMAN: We'll agree to disagree on how it works. Mr. Silverglate, are you also asserting a privilege to prevent the answer to this question or is it identical to the privilege that's been asserted by Mr. Rivero?

MR. SILVERGLATE: I think I've already voiced my objection. It's we've raised two objections, attorney-client privilege and joint interest agreement and we're making both of those objections. I'm making them on behalf of this witness, Mr. Nguyen.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, whose attorney-client privilege are you invoking?

MR. SILVERGLATE: For this particular question we're invoking Mr. Wright's attorney-client privilege because Mr . Nguyen is on his defense team.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Okay. Mr. Nguyen, when did you start in your role as a liaison?
A. When the lawsuit got filed.
Q. Are you still in a role as a liaison?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there an agreement memorializing your liaison, a written agreement memorializing this liaison
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Q. Mr. Nguyen, do you act as a liaison for anyone else between them and their lawyers besides Craig Wright?
A. No.
Q. Has your liaison role in this litigation been continuous?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you acting as a liaison then to Rivero, Mestre while we were attempting to serve process on you? MR. SILVERGLATE: Object to the form. MR. RIVERO: Join.

THE WITNESS: I was acting as a liaison to
Craig.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Between him and Rivero, Mestre?
A. Yes.
Q. Has Rivero, Mestre hired you or has Craig engaged you in this task?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Craig.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Does he pay you for this?
A. No.
Q. Can you walk me through without talking about I'm sure your lawyers will make sure so just make a beat
again but $I$ think this is a permissible question. Can you walk me through not the substance but the mechanics of how the liaisoning goes between you and Craig and his lawyers?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Obviously I'll object if it -- if you're asking for specific communications.

MR. RIVERO: Mr. Nguyen, I know you know this but there are occasions where the procedure could reveal confidences so answer to the extent you can without revealing confidences.

THE WITNESS: So just procedurally it began with me being the person to help find Craig counsel to represent him. So I am the one that sought out law firm options and helped Craig choose the Rivero, Mestre firm which represents Craig, not me.

And then after that $I$ was involved in communications to -- between Craig and his lawyers about subject matter in the case, helping understand things and helping understand Craig I guess most importantly because he is a difficult communicator.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So is the procedure Craig calls you and you call Rivero, Mestre or is it procedure you all get on a call together or how does it work?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Hang on. Andres, do you feel like that implicates your strategy, your defense strategy?

MR. RIVERO: From my perspective I would allow that question but Mr . Nguyen, you're on thin ice of the whole situation but you can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: I would say it varied. There were times where sometimes Craig asked me to deal with his lawyers about a particular topic.

Sometimes they asked me to interface with Craig on a topic. Sometimes it was all joint.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Are these via e-mail? So are there e-mail communications from you to Craig and then without his lawyers -- let's start there. Are there e-mail communications about litigation from you to Craig that his lawyers are not on?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Are there e-mail communications between you and Rivero, Mestre that Craig is not on?
A. I think so.
Q. Sorry?
A. I think so.
Q. Are there e-mails from Rivero, Mestre to Craig
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terminates this agreement?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Do you have any time -- do you have any document that terminates this agreement formally?
A. Not that one. I think as I said I think there was an updated one.
Q. I want to -- sorry, going to jump you back to the liaison role. I want to understand the bookends. I know the current bookend is in effect meaning you're still acting in that role. I want to go back what is the date that role started?
A. I don't remember the exact date. It was after Craig got -- after the lawsuit was filed. I don't remember if it was before or after he got served with the lawsuit.
Q. It's been -- I guess the first time -- would it be fair the first time Craig reached out to you about the lawsuit you immediately started in that liaison role?
A. Yes.
Q. It was sometime around the filing of the lawsuit?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you maintain any equity at all in nChain?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any financial interest that is in any way connected to nChain?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any financial interest in Pi-High Tech and PE Fund?
A. No.
Q. Who is Arthur Davis?
A. Arthur Davis is a firm called Nuovo Capital and he was brought on to help with the transaction.
Q. What does Nuovo Capital do?
A. I'm not certain. Similar services from that transaction they acted as financial advisor.
Q. Does Nuovo Capital still maintain an active interest in nChain companies, in the nChain companies?
A. I don't know what you mean by active interest. They don't do any work for nChain companies that I know about.
Q. Do they have any equity in nChain?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Do they have any equity in Hi-tech Private Equity Fund?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Do you know whether Calvin Ayre has invested in Hi-Tech Private Equity Fund?
A. Not that $I$ know of.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8 was
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, you produced a document to us I am going to share it on the screen with you. It is Nguyen 4 and I think we're on Exhibit 8 and in this e-mail Arthur Davis is e-mailing you and Stefan Matthews on February 14th 2017 at 5:33 p.m.; correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Then he opens the e-mail saying "Stefan, you and are completely agreement to focus 100 percent of closing the transaction." Is this transaction the acquisition of nChain's companies by Hi-Tech Private Equity Fund?
A. I assume that's what he was referring to.
Q. Mr. Nguyen, did you review all the documents you produced to us before they were produced?
A. Yes. I tried to. I tried to review them, yes.
Q. They were collected from your computers?
A. Correct.
Q. They are accurate records of what was located on your computers?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is Marco Bianchi?
A. Marco it's Bianchi $I$ think is how the name is pronounced.
Q. Thank you.
A. He is principal at a firm called Stairway Global some other words. Just call it Stairway Global.
Q. What was his involvement with the -- what was his involvement with this transaction?
A. Marco is a director of some of the nChain companies.
Q. Do you know why?
A. No, I don't. He was before I got involved.
Q. Do you know what his role was with any of the nChain companies?
A. Like I said he is director of some of the companies so he is one of the directors that $I$ would report to.
Q. Does Craig Wright have any equity in nChain?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Does Ramona Watts have any equity in nChain?
A. I can tell you what $I$ know as of the time $I$ was CEO and the answer to both of those questions is no.
Q. Did they ever have equity in any nChain companies?
A. Not that $I$ know of.
Q. What about Hi-Tech Private Equity Fund?

A. No. structure of Nuovo Capital. Squire Mining?
A. Yes.
Q. What about the Workshop Holdings?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. What about Nuovo Capital?
A. I don't know anything about the ownership
Q. Are you familiar with the company called


17
18
19
Q. Are you on the -- I apologize. Hold on, give me one second. Nevermind. Are you -- do you have a position in Squire Mining?
A. I was one of its advisory board members until last month.
Q. Was that a paid position?
A. I have to look at the stock option.
Q. Why did you step away from the advisory board?
A. I stepped away because my new role as Bitcoin
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Association president I'm responsible for, you know, being a leader in the building of the whole business ecosystem for Bitcoin SV around the world. There were concerns about whether in that role I would be perceived to have conflicts of interest if $I$ also had roles with other key companies in the Bitcoin SV space and so I resigned from that advisory board position as well as some others.
Q. Does Calvin Ayre own any interest in TAAL?
A. He is a shareholder.
Q. Do you know what percent his shareholding is?
A. I don't know.
Q. Does Craig Wright have any equity interest in TAAL?
A. I do not know that.
Q. As the president of the Bitcoin Association -sorry, you are the president of the Bitcoin Association?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that position paid?
A. Yes.
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job.
Q. Is there a difference between the Bitcoin Association and the B Com Association?
A. It's the same thing. We rebranded the name $B$ Com to Bitcoin Association.
Q. Do you know what Calais Holdings is?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever heard of Calais Holdings?
A. Don't think so.
Q. Have you ever heard of the Sterling Group?
A. I've heard of Sterling. I don't know that I've ever heard it referred to as Sterling Group.
Q. What is Sterling?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Do you know anything about it other than that you've heard of it?
A. I've heard of it. I've seen it somewhere. I don't know. About it.
Q. Before you left nChain as CEO was it making any revenue?
A. Very little.
Q. About how much?
A. I don't recall. I only know of -- I think it had received about 500,000 I don't know if it was British pounds in revenue from a source before you left.
Q. That was for licensing of technology?
A. It was for under an agreement to help another company build a more secure Bitcoin wallet.
Q. Could you explain to me what nChain's purpose was?
A. Not sure I know how to answer that question.
Q. Like every company has like a goal or mission statement. What was nChain's?
A. At what point in time?
Q. Why don't you start from when you joined until you left if it ever changed let me know.
A. That's a difficult question to answer because when $I$ first joined nChain $I$ think the mission was not that clear. That was part of my task trying to help bring clarity to it. When I joined nChain it was set up to research and development work, build an IP program, to basically based upon Bitcoin block chain technology. What to do with that next became less clear. I would say today from what $I$ understand of nChain that it's more clearly focused on enterprise level solutions and services that want to provide the enterprises to build block chain technology innovations and particular now focus on Bitcoin SV chain as the scalable big block Bitcoin which did not exist when $I$ first joined nChain. There was no split of the Bitcoin chain over

[^15]time. That's why the purpose of nChain has evolved over time given what's in the Bitcoin network.
Q. So would it be fair to say when you were first brought on board nChain it was sitting on this massive pot of intellectual property it had a required from the DeMorgan Group and you were tasked with essentially commercializing that asset?
A. I would not describe it that way.
Q. How would you describe it?
A. First I would not say that nChain was sitting on this pot of IP from the DeMorgan Group that could be monetized.
Q. Well, had -- why don't we break that down into it parts. Had nChain acquired -- when you were brought on board did you believe that nChain had been acquired -- sorry, let me restart that question. Strike that.

When you were brought on board to nChain did you understand and believe that nChain had acquired significant intellectual property assets from the DeMorgan Group?
A. I would say I knew they acquired assets from the DeMorgan Group. I could not say they were significant IP assets.
Q. So then your task was to review those
intellectual property assets and determine how to commercialize them?
A. No.
Q. What was your task?
A. My task was to get involved with the new IP program that nChain was developing and figuring out how to commercial those new IP assets in the nChain agreement.
Q. So then you had absolutely nothing to do with intellectual property that was acquired from the DeMorgan Group?
A. I just answered no. I don't know what you mean by nothing to do with intellectual property acquired from the DeMorgan Group.
Q. Well, if nChain -- is it your testimony that nChain did not develop any intellectual that it obtained from the DeMorgan Group?
A. I can't answer that question because I never reviewed in detail the assets that were acquired from DeMorgan.
Q. Do you know how much money Rob MacGregor paid to purchase those assets from the DeMorgan Group?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever get the intellectual property from -- that was purchased from The DeMorgan Group
valuated or appraised?
A. From the DeMorgan Group?
Q. "Uh-uh." So you never got an evaluation of intellectual property assets nChain had acquired from The DeMorgan Group?
A. I was not involved in The DeMorgan Group transaction. So I was not involved.
Q. I'm not talking about the time of the transaction. I'm saying at some point in time you became the CEO of nChain and even before that you were tasked with commercializing nchain's IP and I am trying to figure out whether or not you have ever and I think I know the answer to this because I think I've seen copies of it whether you had ever obtained or reviewed an appraisal of the intellectual property that resulted from -- that was obtained from The DeMorgan Group?
A. So the way you phrased the question. So I have never tried to get a valuation or analysis of the IP -- the value of the IP assets from the DeMorgan Group of companies. I have been involved with looking at valuation of nChain's IP assets which were newly created after the DeMorgan transaction.
Q. Did the assets that you had evaluated at nChain include assets that had been received from the DeMorgan Group?
A. I'll tell you what was valuated was looking at the patent program that was being developed at nChain which did not start until after the DeMorgan Group transaction.
Q. Were any of the patents that were in the patent portfolio of nChain focused on intellectual property obtained from the DeMorgan Group?
A. I don't know.
Q. Never looked at that as CEO of the company and former IP lawyer?
A. First of all, the patent program was started before $I$ got to nChain and was going. That's just a difficult question to answer anyway.
Q. Why?
A. Well, you have to look at each individual patent application and go back and compare it to anything the DeMorgan Group had done to be able to answer that question.
Q. You never conducted that analysis?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of whether or not lawyers have opined on whether -- where certain intellectual property -- strike that. Are you aware of whether lawyers have opined on whether or not certain IP or intellectual property currently owned by nChain was
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A. Oh, sorry, I thought you said Rob MacGregor. When did I first meet Craig Wright, 2016.
Q. Do you remember when in 2016?
A. I believe it was in September.
Q. Do you remember the circumstances of that meeting?
A. Yes. After I -- it was clear I don't know if I had signed the contract yet but after it was clear I was going to start working with nChain $I$ went to London for some meetings and Stefan introduced me to Craig.
Q. Do you remember what you talked about in that initial conversation with Craig?
A. We had dinner and I don't have any memory of what we talked about other than trying to get to know each other.
Q. Did Craig ever mention Satoshi Nakamoto to you?
A. I guess I don't know how to answer that. Had he ever used that phrase Satoshi Nakamoto with me?
Q. I'm trying to figure out. Let me take a step back. You were aware before meeting him he claimed to be Satoshi Nakamoto?
A. Yes.
Q. Who told you initially that they believed he was Satoshi Nakamoto?
A. Rob MacGregor.
Q. When was that?
A. The year before this so sometime in the summer of 2015 .
Q. Did you ask Rob MacGregor how he knew that, why he knew that, what his proof was?
A. I didn't ask him all those questions. We had a conversation about it.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said I think I found Satoshi Nakamoto.
Q. You knew who that was at the time?
A. The name didn't immediately trigger my memory. I had seen the name in the past about Bitcoin but my first response was who. He said you know, the creator of Bitcoin. I said oh, yes, that's right.
Q. And then in September of 2016 you come to meet -- let me take a step back. Going to meet Craig in September 2016 did you have an opinion one way or another whether he was or was not Satoshi Nakamoto?
A. No.
Q. So you come to meet the individual who is claiming to be Satoshi Nakamoto who your long time client thought was Satoshi and trying to figure out whether -- so you're Satoshi Nakamoto how did that work?
A. Not in that first time I met him.
Q. Do you remember the first time you had a discussion with Craig about him -- his Satoshiness?
A. It wasn't for a long time after that.
Q. Do you remember the context of that setting?
A. No, I don't. I mean it's obviously a topic that comes up a lot in my world with lots of people so I don't remember the first time $I$ spoke with Craig about it.
Q. I would imagine something you kind of needed to know about because you were taking a leading role in nChain or like it or not it was a big feature of nChain; right?
A. The answer to that is I needed to know about it but I didn't need to know for myself as I explained earlier. I made a decision personally that I would be willing to do this opportunity whether it was true or not.
Q. When you eventually got on to the topic of Craig being Satoshi Nakamoto what did he say?
A. I'm trying to remember how the first time I even talked to him about it.
Q. I mean would say tell me the story, did you never say tell me your story?
A. I know you might think I would but you know it's a sensitive topic. Obviously specially after that

[^16]year with the potential reveal and I at the time thought you know what, I'm not going to ask about it now.
Q. That's fair. So when eventually he got to know you well enough and you got to know him well enough when it came up what did he say?
A. Not really how it happened. It's not like one day I asked tell me whether you're Satoshi or not. It was part of general conversations and communications we had at the company about him being Satoshi.
Q. Tell me what you remember him telling you. Like tell me the narrative he told you.
A. I don't think I -- he's told me a number of times he is Satoshi Nakamoto, the creator of Bitcoin. I don't think he ever -- he told me any details about the story until gosh it might have been in maybe in 2018 we did like an interview at one of the Coin Geek conferences, G-E-E-K, conferences where he was going to talk about it for the first time publicly with me and I think that's the first time $I$ went into any detail with him about the story.
Q. What did he tell you in that preparation session?
A. It wasn't a preparation session.
Q. So you took -- let me ask it. Did you not prepare for that interview?
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A. I came up with some sample questions and sent them to him. We did not -- I sent him a list of sample questions to make sure he was comfortable talking about them but we did not meet or rehearse or go over any of them beyond him saying I'm comfortable answering these questions.
Q. And until that Coin Geek conference you never discussed him becoming Satoshi or being Satoshi?
A. I can't say we never discussed it. I just don't have any memory of the conversation where we went into any detail about the story of, you know, how he created Bitcoin or why. I think little bits of it would come out in regular communication such as when -- it became more of a work function.

For example, when we would talk about why does nChain need support, you know, the big block position in Bitcoin why is it so important that we oppose this thing at this time called Segregated Witness that the Bitcoin core people were going to add to Bitcoin which we thought was going to change Bitcoin and not make it no longer Bitcoin and be detrimental.

The topic of -- would come up where he would say well, that would change what I created. That's no longer a Bitcoin and he might sometimes talk about things he did at the beginning and why certain parts of

[^17]the technical design of Bitcoin are there. It came up more in that context as -- than going through all the details.
Q. Did he ever talk about -- when he was talking to you about the things he did at the beginning of Bitcoin did he discuss specifics of what he did?
A. Not really until that interview I did of him at Coin Geek Toronto.
Q. Prior to that Coin Geek interview in Toronto did Craig ever mention Dave Kleiman?
A. I think I heard the name before but I didn't know that much about their relationship.
Q. Did you ever ask Craig whether he was Satoshi alone or whether there was a team of people involved?
A. I didn't ask it that way.
Q. How did you ask it?
A. I think I asked him if he had help.
Q. What did he answer?
A. He said "I was the primary creator but some people helped me."
Q. Did you ask him who?
A. I don't remember if $I$ did at the time.
Q. Did you ever ask him how they helped?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Were you involved in preparing Craig for any
of the media prep sessions that led up to his 2016 reveal?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that there were media prep sessions?
A. I am aware now.
Q. Did you ever review any of the transcripts that were taken during those media prep sessions?
A. No.
Q. I'll represent to you that in those transcripts Craig gives a lot of credit to Dave Kleiman for --

MR. RIVERO: Sorry?
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. A lot of credit to Dave Kleiman for helping him create Bitcoin and I am surprised to hear that you don't recall hearing Dave Kleiman's name prior to that date although you said you recall hearing it but not prominently. So I guess my question is can you think hard and recall what it was he said about Dave Kleiman that causes you to remember the name?

MR. RIVERO: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: That's a hard -- I don't even know how to answer that question. I'm not sure I even heard of Dave Kleiman first from Craig.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Did you ever hear about Dave Kleiman from Craig?
A. From Craig?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, at different points in time, yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. Well, some of it I learned in the context of this litigation so I'm sure my lawyer would tell me not to talk about that.
Q. I'm sure he will. Why don't we hear that instruction from the lawyer.

MR. SILVERGLATE: You're instructed not to talk about things you learned during the course of the litigation that are privileged but outside of that you can answer the question.

MR. RIVERO: Join.
THE WITNESS: Well, in sum he told me that Dave was a very good friend of his. Probably his best friend. He doesn't have a lot of close friends and that Dave was one of the few people who he felt close to and that he told me some of Dave's life story $I$ think at one point that he was -- that Dave would tell Craig he was very ill at the end before Dave died and that Craig was very hurt and
sad when he found out that Dave died and that he had helped him with Bitcoin. I think he said at one point he helped Craig edit the white paper of Bitcoin and trying to think if there was anything else. That's the gist of it. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Did he ever refer to Dave Kleiman as his partner?
A. No. Not to me.
Q. You have a very clear recollection he never referred to Dave Kleiman as his partner?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I have never heard him use that word with almost anybody.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Did you ever ask Craig how much Bitcoin he mined as Satoshi and again given your lawyer's instruction that will stay outside -- obviously without prejudice to my right to get this later but I understand the instruction you've been given so for purposes of the deposition stay outside your liaison role?
A. No, I never asked the question.
Q. Did he ever tell you how much he mined?
A. No.
Q. When you had that Coin Geek conference where
you hosted an interview of Craig about him being Satoshi what was that date?
A. It was sometime in 2018.
Q. Had the lawsuit already been filed?
A. Yes.
Q. So you put Craig on a public stage, asked him about Dave Kleiman's participation in the Bitcoin and you had absolutely no preparation sessions with him about that?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did anybody have preparation sessions with him about it?
A. Not that I know of. Not how Craig works.
Q. Did you think that was advisable?
A. I told him we should be aware of what he said publicly. It would be viewed by opposing counsel.
Q. So there was --
A. I didn't have a session with him to prepare what he would say.
Q. But you admonished him to be careful?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is --
A. Not the right word. I obviously said, you know, be aware that not just in that interview, anything he says publicly, right, could be reviewed and since
that was a first big effort time where he sat down and talked about the creation of Bitcoin, you know, I want him to be extra mindful.
Q. Perfectly reasonable thing to do. Did -- what did he say?
A. What do you mean what did he say?
Q. When you told him be careful because opposing counsel can review what's being said and he just said okay? Did he comment? Did he not respond? What was his response?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Objection, it calls for a privilege.

MR. RIVERO: Join.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Did Craig Wright ever tell you that Dave Kleiman was not his partner?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Outside the scope of the privilege, Jimmy.

THE WITNESS: I can't answer the question. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Just so it's clear while obviously I want to know all the answers within that scope and your lawyers and I don't see eye to eye on that you've been instructed not to answer so you should assume for all of my questions that you are not -- unless you are
instructed otherwise by your lawyer you are not to give me answers for that time period, okay? We already have a record that you're not going to answer anything so don't want to waste our time to ask questions that you'll be instructed.

In the media sessions that I referenced earlier there are notes from the PR company about how to alter the tone of the message or tweak the message that's being given to make Craig more of a central focus of the Satoshi Nakamoto team or the driving force behind it. Was that concern carried over into your tenor at nChain?
A. I'm not familiar with the notes you're talking about. I have never seen them.
Q. So then let's -- let me rephrase that question. During your time at nChain was there a concern about making sure Craig spoke very prominently about his contribution to being Satoshi as opposed to giving credit out to anyone else?
A. No.
Q. Did you see that Craig had a tendency to give credit to other people when he talked about Satoshi -about being Satoshi?
A. I saw that he would acknowledge people often. From what I've seen in his public statements were fairly

[^18]consistent about that.
Q. Do you believe that Dave Kleiman participated in Bitcoin's early days?
A. I don't have any basis to answer that.
Q. Do you think that a lot of people will acknowledge that?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Object to the form.
MR. RIVERO: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on what people would acknowledge.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I am going to share with you Mr. Nguyen a news article. I think we're going to be marking now as Exhibit 9. You see that article and let me scroll to the top for you. It's an interview with you conducted by Finance Magnates and it was about April 9th of 2019. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember giving this interview?
A. Like I said I give a lot of interviews. I remember this happened but I don't remember the actual interview itself but I remember it happened.
Q. I want to point you to this quote where the
reporter -- can you read it for the record? Can you read this quote for the record?
A. "And more importantly if you look at the Court order even though Craig disagrees with it, the Judge decided to find that Craig was in a partnership with Dave Kleiman. A lot of people, even if they are detractors of Craig will acknowledge that Dave was a good person who participated in Bitcoin's early days."
Q. Do you remember giving that quote?
A. I don't remember saying it but it it's there and that's what it says.
Q. Do you doubt that you said it?
A. I don't have any reason to doubt it.
Q. So do you think you can agree with this statement that a lot of people acknowledge that Dave participated in Bitcoin's early days?
A. From what I hear I think what I was referencing there $I$ rely on looking at the Bitcoin block chain industry and there are people who believe Dave Kleiman participated in the -- in helping Craig with Bitcoin.
Q. Again outside of your role as a liaison did Craig ever tell you that he mined Bitcoin with Dave Kleiman?

MR. RIVERO: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Well, I can answer he's never told me that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I'm not sure if you're including the time you were as a liaison there but $I$ think your lawyers will let you answer the question. I just want to be clear as the timeframe you gave that answer for.

MR. RIVERO: Let me just be clear from our perspective Mr. Nguyen, which we already talked about we're instructing you not to answer in that time period at all so just be careful. That's the instruction.

THE WITNESS: No, he's never told me that. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. When you gave your answer before when you were limiting it to the permissible timeframe let's say?
A. I guess I wasn't thinking about the timeframe.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Well, we'll move to strike his testimony if it was within the impermissible timeframe.

MR. FREEDMAN: Why don't we just get a clear answer whether it was or wasn't. Was it in the -did your answer include the impermissible timeframe?

THE WITNESS: I didn't think about it so --

MR. RIVERO: You know what it is a negative -I don't want to have an argument about this later on. So if it's a negative it's a negative. THE WITNESS: I don't recall any time. MR. RIVERO: Exactly.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Let's stay within the timeframe that you're permitted to talk about. Did he -- did he ever tell you that they did not mine Bitcoin together?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I think to the time period which is covered by the privilege.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. No, just asking outside of that timeframe did he ever tell you "I did not mine Bitcoin with Dave Kleiman?"
A. I don't think so.
Q. Did Craig ever tell you within the permissible timeframe that he was holding backup files for Dave Kleiman?
A. No.
Q. When is the first time you heard of $W \& K$ Info Defense Research?
A. After this lawsuit was filed.
Q. So it's safe to say Craig never mentioned $W \& K$

```
prior to this lawsuit?
```

A. That's correct.
Q. You don't recall ever seeing $W \& K$ in any documents you reviewed prior to this document?
A. I do not recall seeing it before.
Q. You don't recall any documents showing potential concerns over ownership of intellectual property vis a vis nChain because of $W \& K$ ?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Has Craig ever expressed a concern to you about having to pay taxes on his Bitcoin?
A. He's not expressed a concern to me about that.
Q. Has he ever talked to you about strategically deploying his Bitcoin so as to avoid taxation?
A. No. He has not said that.
Q. Has he ever expressed a desire to become Antiguan before he accesses his Bitcoin in order to avoid a taxable event?
A. He's never said that to me.
Q. Has he ever expressed any kind of tax planning statement around accessing Bitcoin?
A. I have heard him generally say he needed to deal with paying tax on Bitcoin when his family accesses it one day. That's pretty much all I know.

MR. FREEDMAN: I think this is a good place
for me to stop. We're close enough to 12:00 your time that we had talked about having a lunch break. So if that works. Do we want to take like an hour 20 and then come back on the record?

MR. SILVERGLATE: I am not sure we even need that much time do you Andres or Jimmy?

MR. RIVERO: No. It may be Amanda when we resume but I don't think we need an hour 20 .

MR. SILVERGLATE: Want to say an hour?
MR. FREEDMAN: I need the hour 20. I will -if you want $I$ think there's an e-mail chain with all of us on it if we can finish up earlier but I need an hour 20.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Any idea when you intend to complete the deposition?

MR. FREEDMAN: I've got to go back through my notes see how your instruction has altered the timeframe. So let me figure that out and hopefully when we get back I'll have a better sense of that.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Okay. Before we break I'm going to join in designating the deposition as confidential.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. You have a copy of the protective order, there's timeframes you have to de-designate and that sort of thing. Great. So
thank you all see you back in about an hour 20. I'm terrible with math so 3:20 about?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record the time is 2:50 p.m.

MR. SILVERGLATE: 4:20.
MR. FREEDMAN: I gave the caveat I am terrible at math. I wish I wouldn't have said it on the record because now I look like an idiot. It is what it is. 4:20 it is. Thank you everyone. See you soon.
(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video record. The time is 4:26 p.m.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Nguyen, I hope your lunch was nice. That was a little broken up but I don't know if it was your connection or can you try to speak one more time?
A. Yes, thank you.
Q. I want to spend a little bit more time unpacking your liaison role. So cautionary word of caution wait a minute before -- after $I$ ask my question allow Spencer and Mr. Rivero to object if they feel necessary. Is there a joint defense agreement that you are a party to?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the date of that joint defense agreement?
A. There are two.
Q. Sorry, I can't hear you.
A. There are two. I'm individually a party to is the signed one in April of 2020 .
Q. So both joint defense agreements were signed in April of 2020?
A. No. There is a joint defense agreement -Spencer I'm assuming I can answer the question.

MR. SILVERGLATE: You can describe the other joint defense agreement, Jimmy.

THE WITNESS: This is the first joint defense agreement is between nChain and Craig Wright. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. What is the date of that agreement?
A. I don't remember the exact date. I don't have a copy of it. It would have been executed shortly after the lawsuit was filed after outside counsel was selected so early on in the case in 2018.
Q. And are you a party to that individually?
A. That I'm not a party to individually.
Q. There is a second joint defense agreement. What is the date on that one?
A. I don't know it has a specific date. It's signed in April 2020.
Q. That's between you personally and who?
A. Between Craig Wright, nChain and me personally.
Q. Did an amendment of the original or is it a brand new defense agreement?
A. Second joint defense agreement. Not an amendment. It's not called a joint defense agreement it's called interest agreement or common interest agreement.
Q. So the joint defense agreement from early 2018 between nChain and Craig Wright what is the basis of that joint defense agreement?
A. I guess I'm not sure I understand the question.
Q. What is the joint interest that is seeking to be protected?
A. I don't have the agreement in front of me. I know it discusses that. I can tell you assuming Spencer is allowing me to answer the question. Can I answer the question?

MR. SILVERGLATE: You can answer, yes.
THE WITNESS: The general feeling at the time was -- at the time I was nChain's CEO we heard the
lawsuit was filed. We knew the lawsuit was against Craig personally but the lawsuit I believe reading the complaint asserted claims to an interest in any intellectual property. I don't know how it was phrased but from or created by Craig in connection with Bitcoin.

We believed there was a chance that the Kleiman estate which you represent would either assert claims directly or indirectly against nChain or any of its assets and since Craig was an employee of nChain and chief scientist we felt it appropriate to enter into the agreement. NChain or any of its (inaudible) implicated whether it got sued directly or not.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. What is the basis or what is the common interest seeking to be protected in the new April 2020 agreement?
A. Again $I$ don't have it in front of me so $I$ know there's a description of it in there. I would say generally the -- I guess I have to be careful not to disclose privileged communications here but in general I left my role with nChain in March of 2020 just last year so my dealings and communications with respect to the lawsuit while I was at nChain would have been covered

[^19]under the first joint interest agreement between nChain and Craig and now that $I$ am not with nChain any more because the knowledge I have that relates to nChain lawsuit is obviously being examined in this case I thought it was appropriate to enter into a second agreement to add to the first one to cover going forward Craig Wright, nChain and me individually.
Q. What is your specific interest in this litigation that aligns with nChain and Craig Wright?
A. I don't have a -- Spencer, can I answer this question?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Well, I would say -- so I've already asserted what the interest is when you asked me the question and I've already explained he is a liaison to Craig in the litigation. So that's the interest. If Jimmy wants to expand on that that's fine but we've already plowed that ground I think.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you have anything to add to that,

Mr. Nguyen?
A. Not at this time.
Q. Are you aware of communications between Craig and nChain that are subject to the joint defense agreement?
A. I'm not sure how to answer that question. Obviously an employee of nChain as well as a separate individual capacity.
Q. So in your role as a liaison did you ever do your -- did you ever liaise in person?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever liaise through e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever liaise through text messages?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever liaise in any other form of communication?
A. I think that covers most of it.
Q. Does Craig have access to his nChain e-mail account?
A. I can't answer that question. I don't know.
Q. While you were CEO did Craig have access to his nChain e-mail account?
A. I would assume so, yes.
Q. Did you get e-mails from him from his nChain e-mail account while you were CEO?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you recently received an e-mail from Craig from his nChain e-mail account?
A. Probably. I haven't had that many e-mails
with Craig but yes, probably.
Q. In your role as liaison did you assist in the collection of documents in this litigation?
A. No. You mean for Craig? No.
Q. Prior to -- I think your testimony earlier was you began liaising before Rivero, Mestre was hired; is that correct? Sorry, I didn't hear the answer.
A. That is correct.
Q. I think there might be something up with your mic if you can just project a little louder.
A. That is correct. Again liaising with Craig before Rivero, Mestre was engaged to represent him.
Q. So I'm not sure what your lawyer is going to do here but pause a second allow Spencer. Prior to Rivero, Mestre being retained did you discuss this lawsuit with Dr. Wright?

MR. SILVERGLATE: So the answer to that
question is yes or no.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. And pause again, what were the substance of those communications?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Objection, instruct him not to answer, it's privileged.

MR. RIVERO: Join.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Again I'm going to ask you to give your lawyer a chance I'm not sure how they're going to come out on this. Did you ever discuss your subpoena with Rivero, Mestre?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Objection. Don't answer, it's privileged.

MR. FREEDMAN: Based on that instruction I'm going to skip all the subsets of that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. When did you first find out you had been subpoenaed or attempted to be subpoenaed in this litigation?
A. I found out through counsel.
Q. Who are you referring to when you say counsel?
A. I found out not through my counsel, through Craig's counsel.
Q. Do you recall the date?
A. I don't know the exact date. It would have been in --

MR. SILVERGLATE: Stop Jimmy, stop. I believe that this is privileged. It's your communications with Craig's counsel so it's subject both to the joint interest agreement and to the attorney-client privilege. So I'm going to assert the privilege
here.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Besides Rivero, Mestre that you've identified as part of your liaising duties do you liaise with any other lawyers for Craig Wright?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Asked and answered.
MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer unless he
instructs you not to.
MR. SILVERGLATE: You can answer.
THE WITNESS: Not on this case.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Are there any other members of the defense team that you're aware of that are similar -- are also act as a liaison?
A. No.
Q. In your role on the defense team do you act as a liaison between Craig and anyone that is not an attorney?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Did you ever liaise between Craig and nchain?
A. Not for this purpose.
Q. Did you ever act as a liaison between Craig Wright's lawyers and someone other than Craig Wright?
A. Yes.
Q. I am going to ask you but give your lawyer a
second I don't know what he is going to say who did you liaise in that capacity for?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Are we talking about in the litigation?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. SILVERGLATE: Okay, can you answer without encroaching on privileged communications?

THE WITNESS: I think so, yes. Steve Shadders.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Anyone else?
A. I can't recall anybody else.
Q. What about Calvin Ayre?
A. No.
Q. How about Ron Tarter?
A. No. Actually let me correct that. I do recall having communications with Ron Tarter in connection with explaining things that were happening with Craig in the lawsuit.
Q. So wait before you answer. Can you tell me the content of those communications?

MR. SILVERGLATE: So I'm not sure who this is.
MR. RIVERO: Let me step in. I'm going to assert the common interest privilege and if the question is about the substance of the conversation
we're going to assert the privilege.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Ron Tarter, is there a defense agreement between Craig Wright -- to your knowledge Mr. Nguyen is there a defense agreement between Craig Wright and any of Calvin Ayre's companies?
A. I do not know.
Q. And you understand Ron Tarter works for Calvin Ayre?
A. Correct.
Q. Is there a common interest between Calvin Ayre and Craig Wright?
A. I don't know if $I$ can answer that question. Certainly they have a common interest in, you know, Bitcoin and the growth of Bitcoin.
Q. But as it relates to this litigation?
A. I don't know how to answer. I don't have the basis to answer that question. I suppose you can say --
Q. Just to be clear what was the date of the communication between you and Ron Tarter?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Before he answers that I
think you cut off his last answer.
MR. FREEDMAN: I'm sorry, go ahead.
THE WITNESS: I was going to say Calvin is now
a shareholder of nChain as was announced sometime
last year and obviously nChain is -- I've explained
has a common interest with Craig about this
litigation.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. What was the date of your communication with Ron Tarter?
A. I don't recall. It would have been in $I$ would say summer of 2018. Later in the summer or fall of 2018 .
Q. That would be before Calvin Ayre became a shareholder of nChain?
A. I don't have the date -- I have the years wrong. 2019 .
Q. Still before Calvin Ayre became a shareholder of nChain?
A. I don't know the exact date Calvin became a shareholder. I know when it was announced. I don't know when it became effective.
Q. Did you ever liaise between Craig Wright and Stefan Matthew?
A. That was strange.
Q. Steven Matthews. Did you ever liaise between Craig Wright and Steven Matthews?
A. You mean Stefan?
Q. Yes.
A. Stefan is part of nChain. So I would get questions about what's going on with the lawsuit to provide him just general status of where the lawsuit is going or what's happening with it, status to people like Stefan.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Vel, I think we lost Andres.
MR. FREEDMAN: I see that. Zalman is on. Zalman -- I do see Andres is on. He's turned off his video but he is on. He has muted himself so we can't hear him. He has not muted himself. Somehow he's been muted. I'll try to undo that.

MR. RIVERO: I did take myself off video. I didn't mute myself. I don't know how that happens. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Have you ever acted as liaison between Craig Wright and Ramona Watts?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever participated in discussions about this lawsuit between -- that involved Craig Wright and Ramona Watts and yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. Give your lawyer a minute here but I'm going to ask what was the content of those communications?

MR. SILVERGLATE: I'm going to object if those communications were with Craig Wright and Ramona I
think it would all be subject to the privilege. MR. RIVERO: Join.

MR. FREEDMAN: You said object Spencer, I assume you're instructing him not to answer?

MR. SILVERGLATE: I instruct him not to answer.

THE WITNESS: I guess I have to rephrase my answer to the last question. I won't answer the substance of communications. You asked me did I act as a liaison between Craig and Ramona. My initial thought -- that's a hard question to answer.

My initial thought was to say no but I was asked to help facilitate understanding communication things related to the case with Craig and with Ramona involved and does that mean I was liaising with Craig to Ramona to some extent I guess it does.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Well, I'm going to repeat my question and you can take a beat there for Spencer to jump in. Can you tell me the content of those communications?

MR. SILVERGLATE: I'm going to object and assert the privilege instruction.

MR. RIVERO: Join.
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MR. FREEDMAN: Just so the record is clear which privilege are you invoking?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Attorney-client joint interest.

MR. FREEDMAN: Whose joint interest?
MR. SILVERGLATE: I'm asserting a joint interest privilege and I don't think I need to get into a colloquy or argument with you here.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. There's two different joint interest agreements, just trying to figure out which one you're invoking.

MR. SILVERGLATE: It depends which timeframe we're talking about.

MR. FREEDMAN: Fair question. What was the timeframe of these communications.

MR. SILVERGLATE: He's already described that.
MR. FREEDMAN: I don't think so. He just talked about their existence for the first time a few minutes ago.

MR. SILVERGLATE: You're right, I thought you were asking about the timeframe for the agreements. You're right, he didn't talk about the communications.

THE WITNESS: I can tell you it was during the timeframe covered by the first joint interest
agreement.
(Discussion held off the record.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you know if anyone else could fill the liaison role you're filling -- that you described?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Do I believe anybody else could?
In theory you know yes, there probably could be other people. I would answer that -- how I would answer that is Craig asked me because he felt I was uniquely situated to do it because not that many people understand Craig given his difficulty in communication and also $I$ was a former lawyer in the U.S. and also I understand, you know, Bitcoin from working with him and so that's a rare combination to find in one person especially the part about deciphering Craig as I would say. He's often difficult to understand and people who are new to him working with him have challenges understanding what he is trying to say or communicate.

So could someone else fill this role, you know, there's a lot of people in the world potentially. I am just -- I was the obvious choice for it given my working relationship with him and those other factors.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Have you heard -- had you heard of Dave Kleiman prior to January of 2017?
A. I think I might have seen the name somewhere but $I$ didn't really know much about him.
Q. Do you know where you saw that name from?
A. Before I reviewed documents that produced to you in response to the subpoena I didn't think I had even heard the name at all until much later but $I$ think there's an e-mail where Kleiman is mentioned and I don't even remember seeing the reference to Kleiman in the e-mail.

That may be the first time $I$ saw it but at the time I probably would not have even given it much thought. I think I did not really understand who Dave Kleiman was until late 2017, maybe early 2018.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I share with you what we're going to mark as Exhibit 10. It's Nguyen 642. Is this the e-mail you're referencing?
A. That's correct. I saw this e-mail in my review to produce documents to you and some of it had mentioned Dave Kleiman but when $I$ saw it I don't even

[^20]remember seeing the reference to Dave Kleiman at the time.
Q. You ask on the 27 th of December you say "who is Uyen" and then you get a response back from Stefan he says "long story she worked with CSW" which is Craig Steven Wright; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. "And Dave Kleiman professes love for CSW. Sees us destroying our involvement with the CSW." Did you follow up with this and say who the heck is Dave Kleiman?
A. Not at the time.
Q. Did you know who he was?
A. No. I think I had heard or read -- there's a lot of media coverage about Craig and Bitcoin and this question who is Satoshi Nakamoto. I mean in my process of understanding this world before $I$ left my legal practice to join nChain I remember surfing the internet trying to read what I can see and I think I remember seeing Dave Kleiman's name but $I$ didn't have much of $a$ background so I didn't understand it. This e-mail you're focusing on Uyen in fact when I saw Dave Kleiman's name like I said I can't even remember it triggering any reaction at the time.
Q. Glad you can help solve a little debate on our

[^21]side here which is how do you pronounce her name?
A. Well, again there's the Vietnamese pronunciation and Americanized pronunciation. Her last name is mine Nguyen and I actually have -- even though I am Vietnamese American I don't know that I've ever known another person with that name so how I pronounce in Vietnamese is I guess it would be Uyen Nguyen which sounds very weird. I'm not certain because I have never met another Vietnamese person with that name.
Q. I understand that the last name Nguyen is a very common last name from Vietnam. But I have to ask obviously is there any relationship between you and Ms. Nguyen?
A. No. And contrary to some internet rumors I am not her.
Q. I've seen pictures and I can attest to that unless you're a master of disguise. Prior to the time and your not being permitted to testify about had Craig ever mentioned about the trust to you?
A. No.
Q. Based on -- again prior to the time you're not being permitted to testify did Craig ever mention the Australian Tax Office investigation to you?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say about it?
A. Well, generally I got the, you know, short version of what $I$ assume is a much longer story that he had applied for tax credits for research and development tax credits in Australia, the Australian Tax Office denied those credits. That led to some big, you know, fight with the Australian Tax Office and that he felt that the credits were proper and they did not understand Bitcoin -- the work he was doing was a business. They thought it was a hobby which is why he said they denied the tax credits and that led to a big fight.
Q. Did he ever tell you that the Australian Tax Office accused him of forging documents?
A. I don't know if he told me that. I heard that somewhere.
Q. Did he ever tell you that his lawyers in Australia terminated their representation of his companies based on these forgeries?
A. No. I never heard that before today.
Q. Did he ever talk to you about Andrew Summer?
A. I don't think so. The name doesn't ring a bell.
Q. Did he ever tell you that he had meetings with the Tax Office?
A. I know he said he met with the Tax Office.
Q. Did he ever tell you that there were
transcripts made of his meetings with the Tax Office?
A. I don't know if he told me that. Somehow I became aware there are.
Q. Did he ever mention those transcripts to you?
A. Nope.
Q. Did he ever mention the name Mark Ferrier to you?
A. No.
Q. Prior -- again all these are prior to the time period you're permitted to testify about pursuant to your lawyer's instruction. Prior to the time -- prior to the time you're forbidden to talk about did Craig ever mention Uyen to you?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say about her?
A. I don't remember. What I remember him saying -- I had seen her name somewhere on the internet. I thought it was funny that she has another Vietnamese name and I asked who was she and he said, she used to work for me.
Q. You just left it at that?
A. Yes. Because this was at a point in my working relationship with Craig where I don't think I -we weren't -- I wouldn't call us friends at that point. We were just getting to know each other and he's a hard,
difficult person to get to know so I didn't feel comfortable, you know, asking, you know, more probing questions.
Q. Did you ever talk to him again about her prior to this time you're not permitted to testify about?
A. I think her name came up again at some point and I'm trying to remember when. I think sometime in 2017.
Q. Do you remember what that was about?
A. Yes, I think it was $I$ was at a conference with Craig and Ramona in the Netherlands and I think it was Ramona asked me if I knew that -- whether Uyen Nguyen might be there and something -- that's the only conversation I remember about it.
Q. When you started working at nChain you understood at that time that Satoshi Nakamoto had control over billions of dollars of Bitcoin; right?
A. I had read on the internet that there was this question of who is Satoshi Nakamoto and that there were all these coins that were mined, controlled by Satoshi that had never been moved.
Q. Did you make the connection to the extent Craig Wright was Satoshi Nakamoto he would have control over billions of dollars of Bitcoin?
A. I didn't necessarily make the connection that
he would have control over it because I didn't at the time know any details about how the coins were held or anything like that but did I think that yes, Craig Wright or his family might have access at some point one day to a lot of Bitcoin yes, I did -- that thought did occur to me.
Q. Why say one day, why wouldn't you think he didn't have access immediately?
A. Because I had read on the internet somewhere. This is Satoshi Nakamoto, you know, question raises a lot of internet stories and I think I read on some of the online stories about that these coins had never moved and that there were rumors. There were in some kind of trust or that they were locked up for some time and that one day they would become accessible.
Q. You never asked Craig about it?
A. No, I didn't feel comfortable.
Q. You exercised a lot of restraint?
A. Well --

MR. SILVERGLATE: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: He's a -- you know, here is what

I would say. When I first joined nChain that was after the proof attempt that did not go well so he was very sensitive at that time and volatile as a personality to anything -- talking about anything

[^22]about being Satoshi Nakamoto and when I joined it was my job to understand the work that was being done at the company, get to know him, just that he would be comfortable working with me and he is important.

I didn't want to push him because that -- he's got -- it's his personality where he is not like the normal person. He can blow up quickly so I felt it more important to focus on the work that we were doing than try to push what our sensitive topics to extract questions out of him that $I$ knew he wouldn't want to talk about.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. You said before that he was volatile after the proof failed. What do you mean by volatile?
A. Well, I wasn't at in London or nChain's office after the proof failed. I can only tell you about my experience or things I learned afterwards and after I joined nChain. He's had moments of being volatile with, you know, employees we had at the time at nChain in the office.

He resisted wanting to do any media for a long time. When people -- when he feels he is not understood he can, you know, get angry, blow up and what I've learned is he has a hard time being understood, you

[^23]know, what I say to you normal people might understand and he gets really frustrated and agitated often when he is trying to say something to me, people in our office lot of times and they're not getting it and there were a lot of times where there would be blow ups.
Q. Prior to this time that you start acting as liaison did Craig Wright ever mention Denis Mayaka?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say about him?
A. The first time I think I heard of Denis Mayaka is I got an e-mail I'm not sure if it was from Denis or someone who knew Denis about asking Craig to come speak at a conference somewhere in Africa and I did not know who this person was so I asked Craig who is Denis.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said he's someone who $I$ know in Africa and I don't know if he said he works for him but something to the extent, you know, he does work for me or my family, you know, $I$ don't know if he said companies. There was some professional relationship between them.
Q. Did he say he was his lawyer?
A. I don't remember. I remember him saying at some point he is a lawyer because it came up that I was a lawyer and he was a lawyer.
Q. Do you know if Mayaka is a lawyer?
A. I never asked the question I don't think. I was just told he is.
Q. Have you ever spoken to Mayaka?
A. No.
Q. Do you know Mayaka exists?
A. I think I've gotten a couple of e-mails over the course of time. That was about following up about trying to find a time to get Craig to come speak at a conference because that was part of the role $I$ filled while $I$ was at nChain was fielding, facilitating, speaking requests for Craig and so I got e-mails from him but I have never spoken to him. I got e-mails from who I was told was Denis.
Q. That was my next point which is you don't actually know who sent you those e-mails, do you?
A. No. I just know they were named -- they came from an e-mail account or person's name that was Denis.
Q. Have you ever liaised with Mayaka?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever mention Mayaka and trusts?
A. Only after the litigation was started.
Q. Prior to the -- your job as a liaison did Craig ever mention a bonded courier to you?
A. I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?
Q. Did Craig ever mention a bonded courier to
you?
MR. SILVERGLATE: Prior to your job as a liaison.

THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Prior to your job as a liaison did Craig ever mention Shameer's Secret Sharing Algorithm to you?
A. I don't know if he mentioned it. I know I was involved in meetings and discussions at nChain about the concept of Shameer's Secret Sharing Scheme in the context of the work being done at nChain by some of the researchers and I believe Craig may have been in the room for one or more of those meetings.
Q. Do you believe Satoshi Nakamoto was one person or a team of people?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know that I can answer that question. I can tell you, you know, based on what I know and my discussions with Craig, you know, that would not fall into the privileged area that he has consistently said he was the primary visionary, architect, creator of Bitcoin and drafter of the white paper.

He did the -- he coded most of the first client software for Bitcoin, first version of the
client software but that he had help. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. But he never expanded on what help meant?
A. I think I said earlier I believe at one point he said -- told me that Dave helped him edit the white paper and that conversation came up because I -- one of my early tasks in starting to work with Craig was to help him with his papers that he was trying to put out while he was at nChain and so $I$ helped review and edit some of his papers to the best I could and he -- that's how we got to somehow the conversation about he told me that Dave Kleiman had helped him with it, the Bitcoin white paper.
Q. Did you ask him any more details about that?
A. No. Like I said it was probably a good year in my working with nChain before I felt I could -- I knew Craig enough that we had a level of relationship where I can ask to -- to talk about the Satoshi topic without risk of him, you know, getting agitated.
Q. Do you believe Craig Wright is Satoshi Nakamoto?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. It's based on a collection of many things. Based on him telling me very consistently about it but
it's more based on through my work with him seeing the depth of knowledge he has about Bitcoin, the original protocol, what it is capable of doing as a technology platform. People think of it just as a digital currency.

A lot of the world that's all they know of Bitcoin but it's protocol rule set and technology system as I learned from Craig to be used for so many more powerful things and he has explained to me things he put in Bitcoin's early design and protocol and code that have all of these advanced uses and features that no one else would have been able to figure out or at least certainly other Bitcoin developers wondered why for example this certain thing in the code and it's because as I learned from him he's always had this grand vision that what it could be used for.

So that is a big part of why. My conversations with people like Steve Shadders, the СТО of nChain who is very technically knowledge about Bitcoin. In fact probably the most knowledgeable Bitcoin person I've met or worked with. He believes Craig is Satoshi. We've had that discussion and he knows more technically to be able to challenge Craig on a lot of things than $I$ do and also because people like Stefan Matthews have told me the reasons for their

[^24]belief. So it's a collection of a lot of things that lead me to the conclusion. It's not any one thing.
Q. Prior to the time you began acting as a liaison did Craig ever mention to you that he had been hacked?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. He told me that he's been the subject of many hack attempts. That that was an issue in his case with the Australian Tax Office that someone hacked his company computers and tried to change documents.

He believed it was former disgruntled employees, staff and that caused all kinds of problems in dealing obviously with defending himself before the Tax Office.
Q. Any other times?
A. We've had that conversation more than once and I'm trying to remember if there was anything to add to that. There was a former I guess I don't know if he was an employee. Someone who worked for Craig, his company unless Australia I think his name was Jamie Wilson, something to that effect who I got connected to because he -- after nChain went public, surfaced publicly we started getting all kinds of inquiries and e-mails and stuff and Jamie Wilson I don't know how he contacted us

[^25]but got somehow landed in my e-mail box wanting to sell some IP he claimed he owned to nchain and said he knew Craig from the past.

So I asked Craig who is this person and he told me be very careful with him because he didn't trust him and he thinks he was responsible for either altering company documents or somehow doing something to disturb the company computers and records and I think he was the CFO or some kind of financial role.
Q. Did Craig mention to you that the alterations to the documents in the Australian Tax Office supported the positions that he was taking in front of the Australian Tax Office?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Do you believe Craig's been hacked?
A. I have no basis to answer that question since I wasn't there at the time. I just know what he told me and he and I believe Ramona his wife also told me he had to get some kind of forensic $I$ don't know if you call it forensic audit, investigator to go back in and try and prove this. So I don't have any personal basis to be able to say yes or no.
Q. Do you believe Craig is a truthful person? MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Should I answer that question?

MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.
THE WITNESS: I believe Craig is a truthful person who has difficulty answering questions and communicating in ways to normal people so that people often think he is being less truthful than he is.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Has Craig ever lied to you?
A. Not that I know of but I had to -- sometimes he'll tell me something and it doesn't seem to make sense and then I have to ask like five more questions to extract out well, what do you mean that doesn't make sense I thought you said this the other day. Something slightly different and then I have to -- when I ask five follow-up questions then $I$ get to the answer. I said -I'll say why didn't you just tell me that in the first place and he'll say but that's not exactly the question you asked and what I've learned is he is very linear, right, in his way of thinking and answering questions where, you know, you might ask me, you know what time of day it is and I'll look at my clock I'll say okay it's 2:16 p.m. Pacific time. Then he'll say but you didn't ask me what part of the country or what part of the world and in the beginning it was frustrating to deal with him in that regard but I've learned that it's -- he

[^26]interprets questions and how he answers them in a very, you know, $I$ would say in his own head in a way that $I$ learned that it takes time to sometimes get from him the information you need.
Q. I am going to share with you what's been filed as Exhibit 15 to the second amended complaint. Have you ever seen this document before? I'm going to keep scrolling unless you tell me to stop.
A. I'm not sure I've seen this exact document but I've seen things that look like it.
Q. Have you seen this page before?
A. I have seen like -- as I recall there may be disputes about different versions of this. I've seen a page that looks like this.
Q. Have you ever asked Craig about it?
A. Only in the context of litigation.

MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry, that will be Exhibit 11
I think we're at to the deposition.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you understand that the judge in this -magistrate judge in this litigation has found that Craig submitted forged documents as evidence?

MR. RIVERO: Objection to form.

MR. SILVERGLATE: I'm going to object. If you learned as part of the litigation and as part of your privileged work in the litigation I'll instruct you not to answer.

MR. RIVERO: Join that as well.
MR. FREEDMAN: It's a publicly filed opinion.
MR. SILVERGLATE: Can you read back the question, please?
(Thereupon, a portion of the record
was read back by the reporter.)
MR. SILVERGLATE: If you learned from public records then fine. If you learned from the lawyers then I'm instructing you not to answer.

MR. RIVERO: I'll repeat my form objection. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I read the magistrate's order. I don't recall the exact phrasing of it but I got the order from counsel.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you have any opinion on that?

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
MR. SILVERGLATE: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Can I answer that question?
MR. RIVERO: Yes.
THE WITNESS: The only opinion I can draw upon
is based on what I've been told or know in the context of assisting Craig with the litigation under the joint interest arrangement.

MR. SILVERGLATE: I'm going to instruct him not to answer. MR. RIVERO: Join.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you understand that the magistrate in Florida has found that Craig Wright has committed perjury in his presence?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Same admonition, Jimmy. THE WITNESS: As I said I read the magistrate's -- I haven't read all the magistrate's orders so if there's more than one I don't know. I've read a magistrate order that discusses whether Craig committed perjury or not. I don't remember the exact phrasing.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So you testified earlier that you're aware we were trying to subpoena you in February of 2020; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you told the Court in Washington that you lost access -- actually why don't you tell me when did you lose access to your nChain e-mail address?
A. It was in March of 2020 sometime.
Q. So did you not collect documents from your nChain e-mail address in response to our subpoena?
A. The document request only -- the time period of your document request was only to May 2018 if I recall; is that correct?

So the e-mails I have on my work computer only go back one year, 2019. So I didn't have any e-mail communications from the time period that's responsive to your request.
Q. Where are the prior e-mails stored?
A. Probably would be on the nChain server, I assume.
Q. Do you have access to that server?
A. No, I do not.
Q. When did you lose access to that server?
A. Well, I don't control the server. It's nChain's information technology people who control the server.
Q. If you wanted an e-mail that was older than a year old while you were still CEO of nChain how would you get it?
A. If I was still CEO then yes, I would talk to one of the IT professionals.
Q. You had no ability to search for documents,
e-mails on your own that were over a year old?
A. I don't recall how that was set up.
Q. Did your access to nChain's e-mails change -let me strike that. When did you lose access to your nChain e-mail account?
A. I think it was around March 12th through 19th timeframe.
Q. And prior to March 12th through 19th did your ability to access your nChain e-mail account change from before you were CEO to after you were CEO and were the chair of the Strategic Advisory Board?
A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
Q. Yes, prior to March12th did your access to your nChain e-mail account change when you went from being nChain's CEO to the chair of nChain's Strategic Advisory Board?
A. No, but I can tell you something that I think will short circuit this subject matter which is I -- my first nChain e-mail account was using a domain called nChainHoldings.com since $I$ was working for the holding company and that was until I believe sometime in the summer or fall of 2018 when some of us who use nChainHoldings.com account such as me Stefan Matthews and some other people we decided to basically consolidate down at the time and I moved over to an

[^27]nChain.com e-mail account which was after the time period of -- covered by your subpoena request and so any access I would have to the nChainHoldings.com e-mail account is not in my work. In fact I didn't have that e-mail box on my computer.
Q. Remind me -- I know you said it. What was the date that you switched from nChain Holdings to the --
A. I believe it was summer or fall of 2018 .
Q. Did Craig also have an nChain Holdings e-mail account?
A. I don't think he ever did.

MR. FREEDMAN: I need to take -- we've been
going over an hour. I need to take a quick
restroom break. I don't know if anybody else does.
I can do five minutes or three minutes or even two
it's up to -- anybody have any preferences?
MR. RIVERO: Follow up on Spencer's question from earlier, any ETA on when we finish here?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Should we go off the video record?

MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record
5:26 p.m. eastern.
(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.

The time is 5:33 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, when did you -- when did you form the belief that Craig Wright was Satoshi Nakamoto?
A. That's a tough question to answer. I don't know that there was a specific date.
Q. Why don't we do it this way. Did that you have belief by the time you were CEO of nChain?
A. Yes, I would say by then, yes.
Q. So by December 2017 you were confident he was Satoshi?
A. Yes, by that point I had been working with him for over a year. It was -- I would say, you know, nine months to a year after working with him. It's a hard question to answer because, you know, my level of confidence grew over time in believing that he is Satoshi so at what point it gets past I feel confident enough to say it out loud.
Q. If by November of 2018 -- sorry, if by December of 2017 when you came CEO you were pretty confident about it. Safe to say by January of 2019 you were very sure about it or sufficiently sure that you had reached maximum assurance you were going to reach?
A. I wouldn't say I had reached maximum assurance by then. I would say just sufficiently confident.

[^28]Q. Do you recall in approximately January of 2019 being interviewed by SFOX?
A. SFOX, yes, I've done more than one interview with them so I don't remember the particular months but I remember being interviewed by SFOX.

MR. FREEDMAN: I am going to try and get this on the screen for you. This is going to be our Exhibit 12.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 12 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you see that video on the screen now?
A. I do.

MR. FREEDMAN: So bear with me. Let's listen to the 24 minute 43 second mark.

MR. NGUYEN: Disrupt that economic balance.
So while I again Satoshi's not sitting around publicly telling us this is what $I$ meant or didn't mean. So it was very hard to read early writings and know what is meant. That's why I say it doesn't mean there can't be basic improvements to fix bugs. You shouldn't be messing around with core principles. A good example is -BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Did you -- do you recall making that sentence?
A. Not specifically. I remember doing that interview.
Q. That's you and that's your statements; right?
A. Correct.
Q. At a time when you said you were very sure that Craig Wright was Satoshi you just said I get it Satoshi is not sitting around telling us what he meant. I don't understand how those two statements are consistent.
A. What I mean by that is first of all, not everybody -- in fact most of the digital currency world does not believe Craig is Satoshi and it's all over the internet. I was talking about whether we follow the original protocol of Bitcoin which is a big debate in the Bitcoin community and what $I$ was meaning is that there is not a person that everyone believes is Satoshi and he is saying this is what $I$ meant in section five of the white paper and this part the code and therefore people will follow him if there is a debate over what to do.

I'll give you an analogy. Ethereum which is a competing block chain project Vitalik Buterin is well known and recognized as founder of Ethereum. If he says we need to scale Ethereum with this new attempted technology feature people will believe him because they

[^29]believe he is the founder of Ethereum. When Craig Wright comes forward and says I am Satoshi many people do not believe him and that's why in the debates over the Bitcoin protocol and how to scale Bitcoin there's not a Satoshi people have consensus on that if he surfaces and says this is what we should do to grow Bitcoin and follow my original plan for Bitcoin no one believes it. I hope that makes sense.
Q. Would you say that nChain was set up to professionalize the research and work efforts that Craig Wright had been doing in Australia?
A. I would say nChain was set up to professionalize Craig and Craig's work and certainly, you know, professionalize his efforts to realize his visions of Bitcoin.

MR. FREEDMAN: I am going to share with you what is now going to be Exhibit 13.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you remember giving an interview to Bit Stocks Media in March of 2019?
A. I do.

MR. FREEDMAN: And I'm going to bring you to
the 43 minute mark and let's take a listen here.

VIDEO AUDIO VOICE: Good morning.
MR. NGUYEN: No, yes, no, yes, I did -- I thought about this whole effort. I worked with him sort of behind the scenes to help on certain things. Craig had moved his family from Australia to London, the nChain business was set up to sort of professionalize the research and work efforts he had been undergoing in Australia and I had got asked one day after just through conversations to take on a role because I think they knew -- I had close relationships with clients and I was looking to explore something else. I wasn't the obvious person to bring on board because I was in the United States, I didn't want to move to London but --

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I can keep going but the part -- so do you recall giving this interview?
A. I do recall the interview.
Q. That's you and an accurate recording?
A. Yes.
Q. So a couple questions. What did you mean when you said "I worked with them sort of behind the scenes." Who is them?
A. I was referring to the nChain company that we
discussed.
Q. You said "to help on certain things." Are those the things we've discussed in this deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And then I believe you gave kind of the quote what $I$ was saying before nChain business was set up to sort of the professionalize the research efforts he had you know been undergoing in Australia. Is that accurate?
A. Yes. It's accurate that it was set up to professionalize the research work efforts.
Q. But actually at this time you hadn't even had any communications with Craig Wright; correct?
A. That's not -- at the time of the interview you mean?
Q. Not at this time of the interview. At the time you came on board to help behind the scenes.
A. I had not had any communications with Craig. I had communications with Stefan Matthews about the work they were trying to do with nChain.
Q. So was the plan to move him from Australia to London and set up a business around him?
A. I can't tell you what happened in the very beginning because $I$ was not involved with the discussions with Craig which led to him moving to the

[^30]United Kingdom. I can tell you what I've learned since if that's what you're asking.

MR. FREEDMAN: So let me bring up another -it will become Exhibit 14.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I am going to share with you. All right. Do you see an interview you've done here with Vincent Everts?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall this interview?
A. I do.
Q. I'm going to bring you to the 16:43 minute mark or so. Okay. Let's listen here for a minute and I've got some questions for you if that's all right?
A. Sure.

MR. NGUYEN: Exploring digital currency and then Craig was -- the plan was set to have him move from Australia to London and reset the business.

He was running the business in Australia doing Bitcoin research. Essentially similar to what nChain is doing now but we needed more professional teams around him to elevate his process. So I was involved --

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. That's the part. So I guess first housekeeping accurate portrayal of your statements?
A. That is my interview.
Q. And so you just said the plan was to set -- to have him move from Australia to London and reset up a business around him. He was running businesses in Australia and doing Bitcoin research, block chain research there essentially similar to what nChain is doing now but needed more professional teams around him to elevate his process. Is that an accurate portrayal of your statement?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that a true statement?
A. Yes, as far as I understand I guess I should just explained what I mean by that. As I understand it Craig's Australian companies the work was to be, you know, to be succinct about it a big mess. It was messy. He has brilliant ideas but they were not directed. There was research being done. There was data being collected through I think like some super computer but he didn't have a particularly good vision of what to do with it all and also manage a team.

Craig is not and he has told me this many times himself that's why he didn't become CEO of nChain

[^31]when it was formed he didn't want to manage people on a business. He wanted to focus on his work. He is not good at managing teams. So I was told that what was, you know required from the DeMorgan Group of companies there was research but very just sort of undirected, not a clear vision and plan of what to do with things. A lot of things about Bitcoin block chain and no effort or even idea of patenting anything and nChain when it went up and when I helped to move this process along was to bring a professional team around him of both researchers and developers and have a more coherent plan for what the business was going to try to do and manage that and developing an actual patent program that the DeMorgan companies never even thought to do or tried to do.

Apparently Craig's told me several times while he was in Australia at the DeMorgan companies he never even thought about patenting anything. The idea was only raised by Rob MacGregor. He's told me that as well in the discussions to do the transaction that led to the acquisition of DeMorgan assets and moving Craig to the UK.

So it was putting a more professional team around him and more professionalizing just the purpose of the work because Craig is just not good at that.
Q. Would it be fair to say that the nchain

[^32]business in its origins was birthed by Craig?
A. That's a hard question for me to answer because I wasn't there. I can just go from what I've been told. You know, I understand it was birthed by Rob MacGregor. Obviously Craig is, you know, a proponent of it because he's the chief scientist and thinker but who birthed nChain that's a tougher question for me to answer.
Q. I mean you were at one point the CEO of the company. I mean --
A. Correct.
Q. Didn't you review its records, didn't you go through its contracts, didn't you get an understanding of where it came from?
A. I saw for example in one of the documents you showed me earlier the history of entities that were part of the group. They were formed before me and as I understood them and I was told they were formed by Rob or people who worked for Rob. The formation of the company was as I understood it not formed by Craig.
Q. But you saw that the assets were acquired and the business was moved to London?
A. Well, I would correct that statement. The DeMorgan businesses were not moved to London. They were as I understand them wound down. I think there maybe --

[^33]so what was moved to London is Craig assets acquired, right, from the DeMorgan Group were not technically moved to London. I think they're owned by the nChain Holdings company but the work -- the work process was moved to London so the entities were not moved to London.

I guess I hope that explains things. In Australia and/or wound down and nChain Holdings was created in Antigua and nChain Limited was created in the UK. New companies that did not exist before with Craig's DeMorgan Group of companies in Australia.
Q. It just sounds to me that you're getting technical about what exactly was moved but it seems to me that at the -- end of the day the assets were acquired and the business was moved to London with those assets?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Depends what you mean by the business moved to London.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Well, why don't we take a look at this interview you gave. Do you recall giving this interview introduction to nChain Jimmy Nguyen from ESILV?
A. I'm not sure this is an interview. It might be a speech.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, that works too. Let's go to the 2:32 mark.

MR. NGUYEN: Into huge wins. Let me tell you about nChain. The nChain business in its origins was birthed by Dr. Craig Wright our chief scientist with businesses in Australia.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Let me stop you there for a second. I asked you that before and you told me that's not what you said that's exactly you said exactly verbatim in the speech?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I would say that's probably not the most artfully phrased way of putting it. What I meant by that is look, the work Craig wants to do is about Bitcoin, block chain. It's work he started with research in Australia. Those assets were acquired. He moved to the United Kingdom to London and he wants to continue doing the same field of work.

So I think it is accurate to say aspects of his work were moved to London. I think probably why I didn't clarify because $I$ was giving a speech to students here, didn't want to get into all the
technical details entities were not moved to London and technically the assets of the DeMorgan Group companies were actually not owned by the new London company. They were owned by a company in another country.

This is me talking to this is a university in Paris talking to students in shorthand you know rather than getting into these more formal corporate and technical legal details. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. But at the end of the day the company that purchased the assets may have been formed in a foreign jurisdiction still part of the nChain Group of companies, right?
A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that question?
Q. Sure. You seem to be saying that it's not that the business was moved to London because the entity that purchased the DeMorgan Group assets was actually not incorporated in London, it was a foreign entity and my statement to you is at the end of the day it was a sister company that was a subsidiary of nChain Holdings; right?
A. I think at the time it was a subsidiary.
Q. All part of the nChain Group of companies?
A. Yes. I think it's fair to say -- yes, it's
part of the nChain group of companies.
Q. I just wanted to let's hear the next sentence here.

MR. NGUYEN: The assets were acquired and the business was moved to London.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Again you were quibbling with it before I understand you're explaining that you were talking to students I think you and I are now on the same page that while technically there might have been a foreign jurisdiction the entity was still part of nChain's group of companies, fair enough?
A. Yes, but $I$ think you may be misstating what I'm intending here. Craig's entities were not moved to London. They remained in Australia and were wound down. So if you're asking me whether Craig's businesses, the actual companies were moved to the United Kingdom or anywhere else in Australia that's not true as far as I understand.
Q. Go ahead. Sorry. I think you're 100 percent right and it's not my intention to say you took DeMorgan and moved it to the UK, not you but whoever orchestrated this, stripped out all the assets of the company, its intellectual property and moved those into another company?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. Is this a question?

MR. FREEDMAN: You didn't let me finish. I guess it's not yet. Why don't you let me finish my question. Let me restate that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I understand that the actual entity itself wasn't moved and DeMorgan was wound down. My point is somebody and it appears it was either orchestrated by Robert MacGregor took the assets of DeMorgan, its intellectual property assets and moved those to the nChain Group of companies?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry, you said that's correct. THE WITNESS: That is correct.

MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you know whether after that sale occurred if Craig retained any ownership interest in the intellectual property?
A. That was assigned to the nChain Group of companies from DeMorgan?
Q. Correct.
A. I don't believe so. I have had review of the transaction documents in the past that $I$ don't recall
when he retained any. Pretty sure they wanted -- when you're acquiring a business you want -- the assets you want to acquire what you can acquire.
Q. Do you think that part of the motivation for the sale was not to have challenges to any IP later on when nChain really started to get big?
A. I can't answer that question because $I$ was not involved in the transaction.
Q. Do you think any motivation from these transactions were about removing ownership from former directors because -- before they knew what it would become?

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
THE WITNESS: I have no basis to answer that question.

MR. FREEDMAN: I'm going to share with you Mr. Nguyen an exhibit document that's been produced by the defendant in this litigation. It's under the Bates label Defense AUS1585291 and that will become according to my list Exhibit 16 to the deposition.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 16 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Can you see that document there?
A. I can.
Q. Do you recognize this as a -- the as Calvin's e-mail address?
A. No.
Q. Do you Craig S. Wright Craig as Craig's?
A. I believe that's Craig's personal address.
Q. Have you e-mailed Craig at his personal e-mail address?
A. I think I have.
Q. Has he e-mailed you back from his personal e-mail address?
A. Yes.
Q. Also I see here that Sterling was mentioned. Is this potentially where you picked up Sterling?
A. No. Because I don't recognize that e-mail address. I'm not sure I've ever seen an e-mail address with the Sterling domain.
Q. Have you ever seen this e-mail before?
A. No.
Q. Show you this e-mail that was sent from Craig's personal e-mail address to which I'll represent to you is Calvin Ayre's e-mail address with CC to Jim Phillip who I don't know and Stefan Matthews who we both know. It's on June 19th 2015 and I want to bring you down to the bottom of the e-mail and I want
to -- if you can, can you read from this paragraph here that starts with so?
A. So I understand who is the person who supposedly sent this portion of the e-mail thread?
Q. Craig from his personal e-mail address. You see it there?
A. Okay.
Q. Go ahead. From "so" please for the record?
A. Portion you're asking me to read says "so, what I am seeking to do is have the entity as clean and polished as I can before we start going forth. I do not want to have challenges to any IP later on when things start to get big."
Q. Can you read the second -- the next paragraph underneath that that one that starts with I?
A. Yes, next paragraph says "I want to remove any ownership from former directors before they know what it could become so they cannot challenge anything later."
Q. Does this surprise you?
A. I have no reaction to it.
Q. I mean it seems to be and I'm not an IP lawyer like you it seems to be Craig saying he wants to get the assets out of the DeMorgan Group of companies before anybody realized they had value and he wanted to monetize them?
A. I don't interpret it that way.

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. How do you interpret it?

MR. RIVERO: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: Well, I wasn't involved at the time or -- with this e-mail thread so I don't know the full context of what they're talking about but it sounds like you know, when you are setting up a new company you don't want to have problems with, you know, past company relations. That's pretty normal with acquisitions.

Then he says at the bottom the last line you did not have me read concludes the e-mail "if you need anything else explained I am open and will answer honestly and completely."

That tells me that there wasn't anything untoward going on here. He is just saying he will answer honestly and completely.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Let's break that down a little bit. Who that -- he is e-mailing here Calvin Ayre and Stefan Matthews the people who are helping him strip the assets out, start a new company and monetize it so $I$ wouldn't say he is being open and honest here with the directors

[^34]he's seeking to remove their ownership from before they realize they actually had assets. He is saying he will be open and honest with his coconspirators?

MR. RIVERO: Objection, argumentative.
THE WITNESS: I disagree with many characterizations in your very long question. And as I said I was not involved in this e-mail thread or any of the discussions at the time of this e-mail so $I$ can't comment on it any further. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. As an IP lawyer who practiced for a long time did you regularly see people say they want to remove any ownership of former directors before they know what it could become so they cannot challenge it later?

MR. RIVERO: Objection, Mr. Nguyen is not appearing as an expert so object to the form of the question.

MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer Mr. Nguyen.
THE WITNESS: Have I heard that statement like that before in my legal practice obviously I cannot tell you about things that are protected by my years of attorney-client privileged relationships. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, when nChain was formed did it have any assets other than the assets that had been purchased

[^35]from DeMorgan?
A. I don't know because $I$ was not there when the nChain entities were formed.
Q. During your time as CEO of nChain did you identify any assets that were sourced from the beginning of nChain that did not come from DeMorgan group of companies?
A. Well, while I was working for nChain including while I was CEO nChain created many new assets.
Q. But I'm not talking about what they did later. I'm saying when nChain was formed did it have any assets outside -- let me make it a narrow question. When nChain was formed did it have any intellectual property assets outside of the ones it acquired from the DeMorgan Group?
A. I do not know.
Q. Are you aware of any assets, any intellectual property assets, it had at the time of its formation besides the DeMorgan Group?
A. Talking about nChain Holdings company or which entity?
Q. I realize I threw a word there that doesn't belong. Let me restate the question. Are you aware of nChain Holdings having any intellectual property outside of the DeMorgan intellectual property assets it acquired

[^36]when it acquired those assets?
A. NChain Holdings did not that I know of. I guess I'll say I don't know whether nChain Holdings had any other $I P$ assets at this time of its formation or acquisition of the DeMorgan assets.

Certain nChain Holdings subsidiaries, other companies in the nChain group did. I'll complete that sentence. Other subsidiaries in the nChain group of companies did have other IP assets apart from and unrelated to the DeMorgan Group assets.
Q. Okay. Mr. Nguyen, I'm going to share with you another document that's been produced in this litigation as Defense 1074241. Can you -- is it showing up on your screen?
A. It is.

MR. FREEDMAN: Can you take a look at that
document and let me know if you recognize it. It it's going to be marked as Exhibit 17 to your deposition.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: I have never seen this before. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. You have never seen this document before?
A. No.
Q. Do you know what ended up happening to the company called nCrypt Limited?
A. That's a little confusing. I have to go back and look at the history because I believe nCrypt Limited that is referenced here because it's referencing a UK address in London that is a prior name of nChain Limited UK entity. There was a name change.
Q. And you were brought on board nChain about a month after this was signed, right, less than a month. In September of 2016. So weeks after this was signed -after this power of attorney was made; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And during your entire stint at nChain including your time as its CEO you did not know that nChain held a power of attorney over the intellectual property that had been assigned to it by Craig Wright?
A. The purpose of these power of attorneys typically is part of the patent prosecution process where because Craig worked for the company for under its name nCrypt Limited and changed to nChain Limited when a patent lawyer files patent applications they need a power of attorney.

So I don't know what happened with this one but I'm assuming based on my experience with the patent prosecution process that's why this was executed.
Q. That's certainly one use for them I agree but isn't it also true that this would authorize nCrypt to manage any litigation over intellectual property created by Craig Wright?
A. I have to read this first.
Q. Why don't you go ahead. Tell me.
A. Yes, I think there's a mistake in the first paragraph. What this is meant to do is when employee typically intellectual property $I$ work for a company and I create work product, you know, inventions, things while doing my work at the company the company owns the intellectual property, not the employee. But when you file patent applications you have to name who the inventor is and the inventor is actually the individual employee.

So for example I work for Microsoft I invent something great and new at Microsoft. I'm personally the inventor but Microsoft under its employment agreement or conditions with me owns the invention to file a patent application for that patent lawyers still have to identify the individual who is the inventor and confirm that they have the power to file the patent application in the name of the company even though the inventor is the individual.

So that's why there is the explanation that
this is designed to give power of attorney to be able to file IP registration prosecution applications for the employee IP as you can see is a defined term where Craig is an employee of the company and therefore he needs to give power of attorney to the company and its lawyers to be able to prosecute, take action to protect the IP.
Q. Do you know whether Ira Kleiman or Dave's estate had any shares in Craig's former companies?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Do you know what the book value of any of those former shares were?
A. I said I don't know if they had any shares so I couldn't tell you what the value is.
Q. Let me refine that question. Do you know what the book value of any of the shares of Craig's former companies were worth?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of a WK ID software package that was owned by Craig's companies prior to nChain?
A. Could you repeat that?
Q. Sure. Are you aware of a WK ID software package owned by Craig's companies prior to nChain?
A. That does not ring a bell. MR. FREEDMAN: Why don't we take five. Let me see if $I$ can reorganize things in a way to get us
out of here a little quicker rather than sit and wait while I do it. Let's take five. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 6:13 p.m. eastern time.
(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video record. The time is 6:29 p.m. Eastern time. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, I want to -- let's introduce Exhibit 18 to your deposition which is another interview. You weren't kidding, you do a lot. I actually can't hear you. I think you might be -- either you're on mute -- you're not on mute but I can't hear you talking.
A. Can you hear me now?
Q. Yes.
A. I heard you play something. I heard an audio of me talking but didn't see anything.

MR. FREEDMAN: I realize it's not Exhibit 18.
I already introduced this video as Exhibit 13. I already confirmed that's an accurate video of you so we don't need to go back.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Is it accurate Jimmy -- Mr. Nguyen, to say nChain has one of the largest block chain portfolios in
the world?
A. Yes, from what $I$ understand, yes.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 18 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. And I am going to now introduce what I hope is going to work as Exhibit 18 and see if I can get that to share with you. Do you see that video up there?
A. I see something that says Risk Warning and Disclaimer.
Q. Underneath Jimmy Nguyen on Bitcoin SV from Trader Cobb.
A. Okay.
Q. I just want you -- do you recall this interview now that you've had a chance to see it? Happy to let it play longer if you want.
A. I recall being interviewed by Trader Cobb. I don't remember this specific one.
Q. Play it for a minute. You want to listen for a minute to see if it recalls your recollection?

MR. NGUYEN: I started working more with the nChain business in 2016 and then it merged publicly in 2016. Recently I took an executive role with dealing with IP portfolio. It is one of the largest block chain patent portfolios in the world.

Eventually took another role as CEO.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Again happy to let it play. You don't recall giving this interview?
A. I don't recall it sitting here but I'm sure I did because that's me.
Q. That's an accurate portrayal of your interview?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how valuable is nChain's IP portfolio?
A. I could not tell you.
Q. As nChain's IP portfolio grows in value so does nChain; right?
A. Yes. The enterprise value of nChain which is different than IP value.
Q. Have you ever contacted any prospective buyers regarding nChain's intellectual property pursuant -- let me just stop there. Have you ever tried to sell any of nChain's intellectual property or nChain itself?
A. No.
Q. Even though you were contracted to do that?
A. Correct.
Q. Why did you not end up doing that?
A. It was too early in nChain and each new IP
portfolio's life. Intellectual property especially patents take a long time to get through the system. When you file a patent application it can take two, three, sometimes even longer years for it to be granted. And before a patent -- when a patent is still just an application, right, you don't know if you're going to get it granted. You don't know what the scope of your patent is. You can get narrowed. You can get split into more than one.

So you know from my experience as a former IP lawyer in dealing with such things value is. Greater -first of all, there's not that much value in patent applications before they're granted. Once they're granted I think people would understand of course it adds more value because it's been granted. Then patents get even more value after they've been tested, challenged for example, right, just because you have a patent another company or person can still challenge it and say $I$ think it infringes mine or it's invalid or it gets tested in the litigation.

So patents have the most value after they have not just been applied for, granted but tested and then also commercialized. Just because you have a piece of intellectual property, a patent until companies use it, you know, in business, make money off of it, right, it's

[^37]very -- first of all, it's hard to show what its value is. You have a patent who an invention but you don't know what the market demand for it is. How many -- what types of businesses want to use it. What's the industry. Even though I was brought on board to commercialize and help monetize the IP portfolio one of the biggest, you know, pieces of advice I delivered early on was I think this is too early.
Q. So while you were doing your job of trying to commercialize that IP portfolio did you -- were you involved in the tracking the progress of these patents as they were moving forward toward patents?
A. I was involved.
Q. And did nChain have like a patent road map or a large Excel sheet of some kind where it would keep track of all its patents and its inventorship and where it was holding and what stage that sort of thing?
A. I believe our outside patent counsel kept that.
Q. I'm going to share with you another exhibit I think this is going to become. Hold on. There we are. Technology is great until it stops working on you.
A. I know that from block chain.
Q. Come up with a deposition solution for block chain.
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Baker \& McKenzie report to look at. Neither of us found it credible. So he said would you -- he asked me to try and find other valuation firms to take a look at the IP portfolio and get a second or even a third opinion. Like going to a doctor and not, you know, believing what the doctor says and you want to get a second or third opinion.

MR. FREEDMAN: Let me just give you an update. I've gone through my entire outline that I had here. I think I'm probably done. I want to go through it all, make sure there's nothing left. Then I'll turn it over. I don't know if Mr. Rivero has any questions or not.

So let's take ten this time and hopefully come back and either let you go right away or it will be very short guaranteed.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record 6:47 p.m. eastern time.
(Thereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 7:03 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Mr. Nguyen, did you ever discuss -- again during the time period that your lawyer's permitting you

[^38]to testify about did you ever discuss with Craig how he should explain his role and the development of Bitcoin?
A. No. I don't think I remember discussing that.
Q. Did you ever discuss with Craig how he should explain the role of others in the development of Bitcoin?
A. I heard him talk about it but I never talked to him about how he described anything.
Q. Did you ever convey to Craig he should emphasize his role in developing Bitcoin and de-emphasize the role of others?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge has anyone conveyed that sentiment to Craig?
A. I have never heard that.
Q. Did you ever convey to Craig that it was in nChain's best interest for Craig to be Satoshi alone?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge has anyone else tried to convey to Craig that that was in nChain's best interest?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Do you believe it's in nChain's best interest for Craig to have been Satoshi alone?
A. Right now I don't speak for nChain any more but I'll say what I say to media generally which is I
don't think it matters for nChain or for what is being built in the Bitcoin SV ecosystem. Both that company as well as Bitcoin SV after we're trying to build real value based on real utility and therefore that's more important than this question of whether Craig is Satoshi Nakamoto or not which $I$ know is a question of great interest out there but $I$ believe the company as well as what we're doing at Bitcoin SV has to succeed based on its technology, not on whether Craig is Satoshi.
Q. I understand that and I understand the argument you're making and that's fine but that's not quite what $I$ was asking. Let me phrase it this way. Do you really believe that nChain's value would not increase if Craig came out and conclusively proved to the crypto community that he was Satoshi Nakamoto?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I can't really answer that question because mostly because of the second part of it. I don't know that there's anything he could do given the history of the Satoshi question that would definitively prove to the cryptocurrency cryptography world that he is Satoshi Nakamoto. There are too many people who will always doubt it. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I mean sitting here today you do not believe
the value of nChain would increase if Craig Wright signed a message publicly with a private key to the Genesis block?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't have a basis to answer that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you really think the majority of the Bitcoin world would reject his claim of being Satoshi Nakamoto if he could sign with a private key to the Genesis block?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Object to the form. MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I can't speak for the majority of the Bitcoin community. I can tell you I've seen online posts, social media, you know, messages online, $I$ don't know if the media reports, lots of online chatter with people saying even if he did sign a transaction using a private key from one of the early Bitcoin blocks that people would believe it's Satoshi.

People wouldn't believe him. I've seen many people write that. They'll say he just, you know, got the keys from someone else, that they're not really his and they don't prove he is Satoshi.

Doesn't prove it.
Digital currency community has gone through this evolution of all the different ways where you could believe Craig is not Satoshi Nakamoto because there's such dislike for him among so many people in the cryptocurrency world. That's what I mean by there's almost nothing I think do that would conclusively prove to many of the cryptocurrency world.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. There will always be people on the fringes of any community Mr. Nguyen I understand that. There are some people that will never accept Craig Wright as Satoshi Nakamoto. Are you really testifying that it's your -- it's your testimony today that you think there wouldn't be a significant contingent of people who would accept his claim of Satoshi if he signed a public message with the private key of the Genesis block? MR. RIVERO: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I think if Craig did that it would lead more people to conclude that he is Satoshi Nakamoto. I still believe there would be many doubters. So I can't really -- have no basis to quantify whether an action like that would lead the consensus of the world to believe that he is

[^39]Satoshi. I just know that many people are saying even now they still wouldn't believe him. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. But many people would believe it. Sorry, let me finish the question. Don't you think that would lead to an increase in nChain's value?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Object to the form.
MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I think you're asking me to speculate on a number of different things as to how it would play out. I don't have a basis to do that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I mean Robert MacGregor clearly believed he would be able to sell the intellectual property for a lot of money if he could prove Craig was Satoshi; right?

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
MR. SILVERGLATE: Objection.
THE WITNESS: That's what was said to me. I don't know that it was just about selling the intellectual property but monetizing it.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you believe that Bitcoin's Satoshi Vision or BSV would increase in value if Craig were able to prove -- if Craig came out and signed with the key to
the Genesis block?
MR. RIVERO: Objection to this entire line of questioning.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: Again that would require me to speculate on what affects digital currency prices which SV -- if you've seen the digital currency world are very volatile and frankly very hard to explain.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. I understand I'm asking you to speculate.

Speculate for me do you think the price would go up?
MR. RIVERO: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: I've always been advised to not speculate in a deposition.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. That's true. That's good advice but I am specifically asking you to speculate so speculate for me.

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. What do you think would happen to the price? MR. SILVERGLATE: Form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I can't tell you, the event has not happened. So it's clearly been
as I recall when there was the proof efforts to try and prove Craig is Satoshi in early reports. I don't remember that Bitcoin price at the time but I don't recall that causing a significant change in price then or significant drop in the price when it appeared that Craig did not prove conclusively he was Satoshi back in May of 2016.

That's the only basis I would have to know about whether an event like that would affect the price of Bitcoin.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. There was no BSV when he failed to prove in 2016 that he was Satoshi; right?
A. That's true but there was BTC.
Q. Sure. But my point is BSV claims to be following Satoshi's original vision?
A. Yes.
Q. Craig is very important part of the BSV story, it's not the only part of BSV story but you and I can agree it's an important part of the BSV story; right?
A. It's certainly an important part of -- yes it's important part of the BSV. Exactly as you said I in particular as a leader of the ecosystem has worked very hard to make Bitcoin SV not about Craig. Bitcoin SV has to grow and grow in value and succeed not because
of any one person. It has to technically work.
We have to get away from a digital currency value that's tied to a personality. Who would want long term to own a digital asset and to use a block chain technology platform based upon the personality of one person? To me that doesn't give the coin value, real value. Sort of saying it's valuable because he is a person. That doesn't make sense.
Q. Craig has stated to you that for a period he sought to keep his involvement in Bitcoin a secret; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know why he sought to keep it a secret?
A. I don't know fully the reason in his head. At the time it it's been over a very long period of time before I knew Craig but as I understand from my talks with him over the years he wanted privacy. He likes to work. He wants to sit and work on his research and thinking. $H e$ is an academic.

He did not -- he is not comfortable or was not comfortable with attention. He's gotten more comfortable with it now. He was not comfortable with public attention. He wanted to protect his family from, you know, too much scrutiny and the other thing he's

[^40]told me is that, you know, some people -- a lot of people in the digital currency world want to try and try to treat Satoshi as like a god. Some mythic figure, right that has delivered Bitcoin to the world and he didn't want to be that. Be perceived that way he's told me.

Most importantly big debate that happens in the Bitcoin world is whether you should change the protocol, the technical rule set upon which Bitcoin works. There is an early Satoshi Nakamoto famous online post, famous in the digital currency world where Satoshi says the Bitcoin protocol needs to be set in stone after its client version $I$ believe 0.1 .0 and the reason that's important to why Craig didn't want to be out as Satoshi is he said his philosophy is like Craig rule set it's got to say frozen, not change like the internet protocol does not change very much therefore we can operate on a stable platform. And therefore there's nobody in charge.

There's no king of Bitcoin who can change the rules. It should just be left there and if in the beginning he told me if he was perceived to be Satoshi then everyone will just follow what Satoshi says to do and he wanted Bitcoin to be a system that was not susceptible to interference by government or businesses

[^41]who could change it in ways.
It was designed to provide light, prevent
fraud in the world. All these things he envisioned that required but not to be someone in charge of it or perceived to be in charge of it.
Q. I am going to keep asking questions. My screen I'm getting a little bit of interference. I am going to shut my video off to see if it helps with the feed. Still can you hear me?
A. Yes.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 20 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So I am going to share with you a video for time purposes I just want to verify that this is in fact an interview you recall. This is Dr. Craig Wright Jimmy Nguyen at the Oxford Union. Happy to play some of it for you so you can take a look.
A. I remember this.

VIDEO AUDIO VOICE: Everything it needs to do anything --

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you recall this interview or this recording, presentation?
A. Yes. It was not an interview more of I don't
know what you call it.
Q. Presentation of some kind? Is this video an accurate portrayal of that episode?
A. I haven't watched the whole video but I would assume so.
Q. Any reason to believe it's not an accurate video?
A. Not so far from what you've shown me so far.

MR. FREEDMAN: Well, then let's go
particularly to one particular portion.
MR. NGUYEN: It's been the internet's biggest mystery for long time who is Satoshi Nakamoto. For reasons we won't talk about here Craig chose to be private about his history in Bitcoin. As you might imagine, you know, this has an impact on one's family and one's personal life.

For various reasons he chose to be private about it. For various reasons that emerge including the battle over Bitcoin's future what we believe is vital to ensure that the network thrives and survives.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Is that an accurate statement you made?
A. Yes.
Q. And Craig was the one who told you he wanted
to keep his involvement in Bitcoin a secret?
A. He didn't say so in so many words. Talk about why he was private about it.
Q. He never told you he wanted to stay private about it?
A. No, I said he told me he wanted to stay private. I don't know that he used the word secret. We have had discussions where -- reasons why he wanted to stay private. He did not want to be known publicly.

MR. WRIGHT: Gizmodo were played by a
contrarian. Mr. Contrarian was sending documents
that were stolen from my company and payment we didn't talk about our employees and everyone else. As Jimmy knows we have 45 staff in 2013. In the dates when no one knew about Bitcoin I had 45 staff in Australia working on Bitcoin projects basically secretly under the radar.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. SO he has used the word "secret" involved in his discussions of Bitcoin; right?
A. There he is talking about secret team of employees in Australia working in secret. Wasn't talking about keeping Satoshi a secret. Not quibbling over the word secret. Certainly didn't want to be private about being Satoshi.
Q. You don't have to use the word secret. He definitely expressed the desire he didn't want people to know he was Satoshi; fair?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you now willing now that we've skipped around this are you willing to say this is an accurate video recording of your session at the Oxford Union?

MR. SILVERGLATE: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: Sorry?
MR. SILVERGLATE: I objected to the form.
MR. FREEDMAN: Jimmy, what was your answer?
MR. RIVERO: Join.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Obviously I hadn't watched the whole video. I never have. It looks -- I don't have any reason so far to believe it's not an accurate recording.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 21 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Okay. You've mentioned this other let's introduce Exhibit 21 to the deposition which is I believe you've talked about this particular presentation today with -- are you seeing the Bitcoin Association You Tube on the screen?
A. This is from Coin Geek's channel but has a
picture of me with any name and Bitcoin Association on it if that's the one.
Q. This is I believe the interview you were talking about earlier about interviewing Craig but why don't we watch a few minutes of it and you tell me if you recognize it?

VIDEO AUDIO VOICE: So did you create Bitcoin?
MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: I did not to see any more. This is the video. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. You see it's on Coin Geek's channel. Is this an accurate portrayal of your back and forth with Dr. Wright?
A. Yes.
Q. In this interview do you recall Craig telling you that he had help from Dave Kleiman?
A. Yes, I believe he said that.
Q. And do you recall Dave telling you that -sorry, strike that. Do you recall Craig telling you that Dave Kleiman responded from the Satoshi account?
A. I don't remember if he said that in the interview but it sounds familiar. It's something Craig has told me. Whether it's in this interview or not I don't recall.
Q. So Craig has told you previously that Dave interacted and had access to the Satoshi account?
A. He's told me that they both posted from Satoshi account sometimes.
Q. I just want to spend a little bit more time because $I$ would have thought that would have come out earlier did he say anything else to you about Dave's role as assisting him as Satoshi?
A. In this interview or generally?
Q. Generally.
A. Not much other than what I've told you.
Q. Did he tell you that Dave helped keep the system running in the beginning?
A. I don't remember if that quote was used.
Q. Let's take a look first at --
A. There's some familiarity to that --

MR. WRIGHT: Because I had a cattle ranch and ran up there with all the machines. The other aspect that followed that that I needed to address was Microsoft and patch Tuesday. Anyone remember patch Tuesday? I really hadn't thought about running a bunch of stand alone Windows XP machines until everything turned off at the same time.

So that was another reason Bitcoin before the current block chain turned off from crashing
literally on that Tuesday night everything updated, turned off and restarted and just caused a massive, massive problem because the two things split and didn't sync and I had not thought about that one. So that was the next part I had to do. I had to run around and I bought a whole bunch of Microsoft licenses at excessive prices and installed a domain in there and a work group and so I set up a forest between my two locations and ran out all these machines. Set up a WUSS server, W-U-S-S, many Australians we made fun of Microsoft calling it a Wuss but we set up a Wuss service and Dave actually helped on some of that because I couldn't get it all done fast enough. I had to set up a full -BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Does that help refresh your recollection?
A. Yes, I did not remember that part of the exchange but what you played for me I do remember that.
Q. Did Craig tell you that Dave was one of the first two users of Bitcoin?
A. I don't recall and it depends what you mean by users, user of Bitcoin.

MR. FREEDMAN: Take a look.
MR. WRIGHT: So that every node wouldn't go out and calculate their own and people say it's

[^42]wasting 50 Bitcoin but it's not wasting anything because there was no value at the time. We're talking no exchanges, no users. I mean for the first few days $I$ was the only user. Then hell, when Dave and a few other people jumped in. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you recall now him telling you that Dave was one of the first couple users or few users of Bitcoin?
A. Yes, I guess I interpret users of Bitcoin is differently. I think he is referring to running a version of the client software and mining.
Q. So right, that's -- you're right. Let me state that better. Do you recall Craig telling you that Dave Kleiman was one of the first two people to have ran a Bitcoin node and mined Bitcoin beside himself?
A. I think in that click you've just referred -shown me that's what he means. To the extent he didn't quite phrase it that way. My interpretation is that what that means.
Q. Did -- has he ever told you anything besides that -- sorry, strike that. Has he ever told you this in any other setting besides this one?
A. No.
Q. You said to me previously that you believe --
actually you know what let me ask you. Do you believe that Satoshi was a group of people or just one person? MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't have a basis to answer that because $I$ wasn't there at the creation of Bitcoin. Did not work with whoever created Bitcoin at the time.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So let's introduce -- if it will let me, Exhibit 22 to your deposition. I'm going to bring us to -- I think this is Exhibit 2 to your deposition already. Let's take a look at this time stamp.

MR. NGUYEN: Does that mean I believe he is Satoshi. First of all I think Satoshi Nakamoto was a group of people. BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Can you explain that?
A. Yes, I have to see I guess the rest of the answer.

MR. FREEDMAN: Sure. Hold on. That's fair. Let me play it for you.

MR. NGUYEN: And do I believe --
MR. FREEDMAN: I'll rewind it for you.
MR. NGUYEN: So does that mean I believe he is
Satoshi Nakamoto. First of all I think Satoshi

Nakamoto was a group of people. I don't think that's a shock to anyone out there. Do I believe he was part of that group, tune in May 30th, Coin Geek Toronto.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you want to hear more?
A. No, I think that's enough.
Q. Is that a true statement?
A. Yes, I think that's what $I$ was willing to say at the time. You can tell $I$ was trying to be coy to tease what was going to happen at this conference. I think it's consistent with what I've said before which is Craig was the -- as I understand is the primary creator of Bitcoin and he had help including help as you mentioned here responding from Satoshi online accounts.
Q. Right. But there's a difference between I am Satoshi and I had some help doing it and I believe Satoshi is a group of people.
A. Well, like I said it depends on was there a group of people who worked on helping Craig with the creation of Bitcoin including at least one I've been told responded from the Satoshi Nakamoto account, yes.
Q. And one of those individuals is Dave Kleiman?
A. Yes.
Q. And your reference here to that group of
people included a group that included Dave Kleiman?
A. What's the date here? Yes, it would include Dave Kleiman because I had understood at the time he, you know, was a friend of Craig and he helped Craig.
Q. Are you aware of an expert report that's been filed in this litigation by Amy Klinn?
A. No.
Q. Do you believe Craig is incapable of manipulating anyone?

MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question. I
don't have a basis to answer that question. I am not even sure what that means.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you believe Craig is incapable of lying? MR. RIVERO: Objection. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question. I don't know.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Do you think he can lie?

MR. RIVERO: Objection.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. You don't know if you think he can lie? MR. RIVERO: Objection.

MR. SILVERGLATE: Objection, asked and answered.

MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.
THE WITNESS: I don't have a basis to answer that because I have not had an occasion to think that he lied to me.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. Not asking if he is a liar or if you think he lied to you. I'm just asking if you think he is capable of telling a lie?
A. I am not sure what you're asking. To me?

MR. RIVERO: Standing objection. I've been listening to this gibberish for almost eight hours, seven hours. You've asked the same thing four times. It's an improper question. How long are you going to keep going on this -- when you told us 40 minutes ago you were taking a ten minute break because you were almost done?

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Rivero, you know you're limited to objection to form.

MR. RIVERO: What's your time estimate since once again you proved that $I$ can't rely on your word? What's your time estimate?

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Rivero, I have seven hours and since every time I try in good faith to tell
you how long I think it's going to take I told you I made a mistake I pointed out I had missed a module, that $I$ was trying to get you out of here. You can believe what you want to believe but please objection to form.

MR. RIVERO: Mr. Videographer, please count the time up because I know how this ends. I've been to this movie before with this judge.

MR. FREEDMAN: Do you want to take a break and let him count it up?

MR. RIVERO: No, sir, I want you to keep going. Ask your questions.

BY MR. FREEDMAN
Q. So before we were interrupted, Mr. Nguyen, I asked you yes, if he is mentally capable of telling a lie?
A. I have no basis to judge that. Mental health expert psychologist I don't know who would even be qualified -- what kind of qualifications you need to answer that question.
Q. Have you ever heard to have someone called Garreth Williams?
A. I feel like I've heard the name. I don't know who that is.
Q. Has Craig ever mentioned this person to you?
A. I don't recall. I feel like I've heard the name but not sure.
Q. Has Craig ever mentioned Wing Commander Don Linem to you?
A. Again I think that name I heard before but I'm not sure.
Q. Have you ever reviewed a document identifying potential red flags or competing claims to nChain's IP?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Has Calvin Ayre invested in BSV?
A. What does that mean invested in BSV?
Q. Do you think Calvin Ayre has assets invested in BSV?
A. I guess that's one question that does not make sense to me.
Q. I hear you. Let me refine it for you. Do you believe that Calvin Ayre holds large amounts BSV?
A. I know he mines BSV so I'm sure he holds BSV.
Q. Do you believe Stefan Matthews holds large amounts of BSV?
A. I don't know.

MR. FREEDMAN: That's all I have. Thank you so much Mr. Nguyen. I apologize for interrupting your day. We can go off the record but I wanted to have a meet and confer with Spencer. Doesn't need

[^43]to be on the record. As far as I'm concerned Mr. Nguyen you're free to go. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. SILVERGLATE: Questions, Andres?
MR. RIVERO: Thank you Jimmy, I don't. No, I don't.

MR. SILVERGLATE: We don't waive reading and we will read the deposition if it's ordered.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 7:35 p.m. and we're going off the video record.

MR. FREEDMAN: Can you give me the rough tonight, whatever condition it's in?
(Witness excused.)
(Deposition was concluded.)
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| 10,13,16,18, | $\begin{array}{ll} 186: 20 & 189: 6 \\ 190: 21 & 194: 9 \end{array}$ | difficult 7:9 | 114:15 115:4 |
| $21,22,2396: 7$ 97:2,7 98:7, | 196:7,9 | 11:20 39:7 | 182:24 183:1, |
| 97:2,7 98:7, |  | 63:11 71:20 | 4 |
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| discussed | 21 46:2,9 | 12,13 153:22 | 20 106:4,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:4,14 64:23 | 48:5,7 49:5, | 154:6,12,14, | 114:3 124:7, |
| 94:8,9 151:1, | 6,9,12,13,19 | 19 156:22 | 24 127:12 |
| 3 | 50:18 51:18, | 157:17 160:18 | 137:11 138:7, |
| discusses | 25 53:7 54:1, | 161:4,9 | 11 140:24 |
| 110:20 142:15 | 12,14 55:7 | 162:2,5 | 143:2,25 |
| discussing | 57:8 58:3,4 | 163:10,11 | 155:15 160:25 |
| 183:3 | 59:8,12 61:24 | 164:4 166:16 | 194:11 |
| discussion | 63:13 64:16, | 168:1 169:8 | does 21:4,5, |
| 43:24 59:10 | 22 67:24 68:7 | 170:7,10,14 | 15 23:14 |
| 92:2 123:2 | 70:1 72:1 | 171:2,11 | 24:2,9 26:24 |
| 136:22 | 73:5 75:3,24 | 172:8,14 | 28:17 51:11 |
| discussions | 76:1,4,10,16, | 173:22 176:9, | 65:15 70:22 |
| 20:19 52:14 | 18,20,23 | 11,12,14 | 71:25 76:10, |
| 120:18 134:9, | 78:10,12 | 178:2,10 | 13 78:17,19 |
| 19 151:25 | 79:10,18 | 179:5,15,21, | 80:9,13 89:19 |
| 154:19 165:8 | 80:11,15,21 | 22 183:22 | 94:15 113:14 |
| 194:8,20 | 81:10,23 | 184:12,20,25 | 121:16,18 |
| disgruntled | 82:6,15 83:18 | 185:8 186:7 | 132:18 145:14 |
| 137:12 | 85:9,13,21 | 187:11,23 | 148:12 163:19 |
| disguise | 88:6,8,12,14 | 188:12,22 | 170:23 173:14 |
| 126:17 | 89:2,5,13 | 190:13 191:23 | 191:17 198:16 |
| dislike 186:5 | 90:3,5,11 | 192:20,23 | 200:13,24 |
| dislike 186:5 | 92:1,4,16 | 196:16,19,20 | 205:11,14 |
| 140: | 100:4, | 198:5,18 | doesn't 22:5, |
|  | 103:9,12,14 | 200:1,22 | 40:18 48:3 |
|  | 106:5 107:3, | 201:2,6 | 59:13 97:20 |
|  |  | 202:8,15,20 | 127:20 |
| 19:21 | 114:14 115:18 | 204:9 205:12, | 139:10,12 |
| disturb 138:7 | 116:4,16 | 16,19 | 147:21 166:22 |
| do 8:12 9:1, | 117:16 118:7 | docket 50:11 | 186:1 190:6,8 |
| 18,21 12:11 | 120:8 123:4, | doctor 182:5, | 205:25 |
| 14:20 15:3,9 | 7,11 124:6 | 6 | doing 11:9 |
| 17:18 19:3,7, | 126:1 129:9 | document | 17:14 24:24 |
| 25 20:6,9,25 | 131:15,22 | 45:14,21 | 35:15 58:17 |
| 21:3 23:9,10 | 132:25 133:5, | 67:19 74:25 | 127:8 131:10 |
| 24:2,21 25:9 | 15 134:14 | 75:4 77:4 | 136:3 138:7 |
| 26:11 28:7 | 135:20 136:24 | 106:4 140:7,9 | 148:1 149:11 |
| 29:14,15,18, | 138:15,23 | 143:4,5 | 152:21,23 |
| 19,21 30:24 | 139:12 140:22 | 161:17,25 | 153:8,10 |
| 32:11,14,16, | 141:20 142:8 | 167:12,17,24 | 157:20 169:11 |
| 18 33:9 34:14 | 143:14,15 | 176:8,13 | 173:24 175:9 |
| 35:10,16,17 | 145:15 146:7 | 205:7 | 184:8 201:17 |
| 36:9,19,23 | 147:1,12,13, | documents | dollars 68:25 |
| 39:6 40:5 | 25 148:20 | 33:11 35:20 | 69:9 88:18 |
| 41:24 42:1, | 149:3,6,21,23 | 41:3 45:5,15 | 129:17,24 |
| 10,15,22 | 150:17,19 | 48:17 53:10 | 181:6 |
| 44:3,19 45:7, | 151:20 152:8, | 77:16 89:17, |  |
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| domain 144:19 | 23 103:10,13 | 200:4 201:1 | duly 6:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162:17 198:7 | 104:21 105:2, | 202:12,18,22, | during 96:8 |
| Don 205:3 | 4,17 106:3,6, | 24 203:4 | 97:14 101:16 |
| don't 7:20 | 9 107:8 | 204:18,23 | 122:24 166:4 |
| 8:13,17 11:20 | 108:16 109:18 | 205:1,21 | 168:13 182:25 |
| 13:20 15:11 | 110:1,19 | 206:5,6,7 | duties 12:18 |
| 17:12 18:17 | 111:4,19 | done 6:17 | 116:4 |
| 19:3 20:1,4, | 112:10 113:16 | 19:16 28:11, |  |
| 12,15,19 | 115:6,19 | 16,18,20 | E |
| 21:6,22 23:11 | 117:1 118:13, | 33:1,11 87:17 | E |
| 24:4,7,12,23 | 17 119:7,12, | 131:3 134:11 | e-mail 45:22 |
| 26:19, 21, 22 | 16,17 120:13 | 147:3 152:9 | $46: 3 \quad 47: 5$ |
| 28:22 29:2,16 | 122:7,17 | 153:20 180:4 | $48: 10,14,15$ |
| 31:23 32:9, | 124:10,25 | 182:10 198:14 | $49: 25 \quad 50: 9,22$ |
| 13,15,17 | 126:5 127:13, | 203:18 | $51: 12,16,22$ |
| 33:10 34:15 | 20 128:2,16, | dot 51:4,20 | 52:21 53:6,7 |
| 36:13,17 | 23 132:17,19, | doubt 6:21 | 54:10,25 |
| 37:14 38:2,10 | 22 133:1,14 $134: 8,17$ | 103:12,13 | 55:2,7,9,23 |
| 40:10 42:5, | 134:8,17 | 184:23 | 56:1,2 67:3 |
| 13,24 43:17, | 137:19,25 | doubters | 72:14,16,20 |
| 19 44:17 | 138:14,19,21 | 186:23 | 77:6,10 |
| 46:15 47: 10 , | 141:17 | down 9:7 | 107:11 113:7, |
| 15 48:11 | 142:14,16,24 | 11:18 13:11, | 14,18,21,23, |
| 50:8,14 53:2, | $143: 17144: 2$ $145: 11,14$ | 19 15:8,11 | 24 124:10,12, |
| 9 55:21,22, |  | 68:9 84:13 | 21,23 125:21 |
| 23,25 57:18 | $146: 5,7147: 4$ $148: 8156: 21$ | 100:1 144:25 | 132:11 133:17 |
| 58:2 59:15 | $148: 8,156: 21$ $160: 4,24,25$ | 155:25 156:8 | 138:1 142:25 |
| 60:23 62:15 | $160: 4,24,25$ $162: 15,23$ | 159:15 160:8 | 143:3,8,20 |
| 64:22 65:3,17 | $162: 15,23$ $164: 1,7,10$ | 162:25 164:21 | 144:5,9,14,19 |
| 67:13, 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 164: 1,7,10 \\ & 166: 2167: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 178:1,8 | 145:1,3,5,9 |
| 68:23 72:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 2 \quad 167: 3 \\ & 168: 23 \quad 169: 6 \end{aligned}$ | Dr 5:13,15 | 162:3,7,11, |
| $73: 10,16,18$, 24 74:15 | $168: 23,169: 6$ $170: 12,24$ | 114:16 157:5 | 15,16,18,20, |
| $24: 74: 15$ $75: 12,13$ | $170: 12,24$ $171: 22-172: 18$ | 192:16 196:13 | 21,22,25 |
| 75:12,13 | $171: 22,172: 18$ $173: 3,5$ | draft 176:16 | 163:4,5 |
| 76:15,16 $78: 11$ 79:5,14 | $173: 3,5$ $174: 6,7175: 2$ | 179:3 | 164:7,14 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 78: 11 & 79: 5,14 \\ 80: 12 & 81: 16 \end{array}$ | $174: 6,7175: 2$ $178: 20,22$ | drafter | 165:7,9 |
| 82:9,11,18, | 179:11 180:4 | 134:23 | e-mailed |
| 23,24 83:10 | 181:13 182:12 | drafting | 23:10 50:7 |
| 84:13 85:12 | 183:3,24 | 33:11 | 51:13,15 |
| 87:8 88:2,11, | 184:1,19 | draw 141:25 | 162:7,10 |
| 13,16 89:22 | 185:5,17,25 | drawback 15:2 | e-mailing |
| 90:7,13,18 | 187:5,11,20 | drink 9:11 | 52:3 77:7 |
| 92:5,7 93:12, | 188:24 189:3, | 43:21 | 164:22 |
| 14 94:10 | 4 190:15 | drive 59:3 | e-mails 33:24 |
| 95:22, 24 | 192:25 194:7 | driving | 55:10 72:25 |
| 96:17,23 | 195:1,15 | driving 101:10 | 73:3 113:20, |
| 97:11 100:23 | 196:5,22,25 | drop 189:5 | 25 133:6,11, |
| 101:4 102:4, | 197:14 198:21 | drop 189:5 | 12,15 137:24 |
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| 125:23 126:4 | 134:6 137:4 | example 26:15 | existence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145:15 151:12 | 139:8 140:7, | 35:5 94:15 | 122:18 |
| 154:8,14,17 | 15 145:11 | 136:14 147:23 | existing |
| 169:23 173:22 | 162:16,18 | 155:15 169:16 | 61:21,22 |
| 174:4,16 | 173:17,19 | 174:17 | exists 133:5 |
| 175:5 180:23 | 178:16,23 | exceeds 68:17 | expand 112:16 |
| 181:1 182:4 | 181:20 182:24 | Excel 175:15 | expanded |
| 185:18 187:2 | 183:1,4,9,16 | excessive | 135:3 |
| 202:13 204:18 | 199:21,22 | 198:7 | expect 51:8 |
| evening 176:9 | 204:21,25 | exchange | expected |
| event 106:18 | 205:3,7 | 198:18 | 11:22 57:8 |
| 188:25 189:9 | Everts 152:10 | exchanges | experience |
| eventually | every 7:19 | 199:3 | 8:23 131:18 |
| 12:17,20 | 29:16 83:7 | excused | 168:24 174:10 |
| 37:24 41:25 | 198:24 203:25 | 206:13 | 180:1 |
| 45:6 56:13,22 | everybody | executed | expert 165:16 |
| 61:25 92:18 | 148:11 | 109:19 168:25 | 179:25 202:5 |
| 93:3 173:1 | everyone | executive | 204:18 |
| 176:22 | 108:9 148:16 | 16:23 172:23 | explain 34:3 |
| ever 9:15 | 191:23 194:13 | executives | 39:17 83:4 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}17: 18 & 20: 2,5 \\ 33: 19 & 35: 20\end{array}$ | everything | 20:18 | 183:2,5 188:9 |
| $33: 19 ~ 35: 20$ $43: 4 \quad 48: 8,9$, | 38:19 58:20 | exercised | 200:17 |
| $43: 4,48: 8,9$, $12,13,20$ | 192:20 197:23 198:1 | 130:18 | explained |
| 50:21 58:4,6, | evidence | exhibit 14:25 | 60:16 92:14 |
| 9,11 60:16 | evidence | 15:5 24:16,19 | 112:14 119:1 |
| 78:22 82:8, | 140:24 | 29:5,9 45:16, | 136:9 153:16 |
| 10,12 83:11 | 29:22 | 20 53:19,20 | 164:15 180:5 |
| 85:24 86:12, | evolution | 54:20,24 | explaining |
| 14 88:5 | evolution | 67:15 77:1,6 | 117:18 159:8 |
| 90:16,19 |  | 102:11,16 | explains |
| 93:14 95:4, | evolved 84:1 | 124:17,21 | 156:7 |
| 10,13,23 96:7 | exact 14:4 | 140:6,17,19 | explanation |
| 97:2 98:7,16, | 16:9 17:12 | 147:8,9 | 169:25 |
| 23 100:15 | 67:13 68:23 | 149:17,18 | exploited |
| 103:23 105:8, | 69:22 75:12 | 152:4,5 157:7 | 180:9 |
| 15,18 106:3, | 109:18 115:19 | 161:17, 20, 22 | explore |
| 10,13,16,20 | 119:16 140:9 | 167:18,20 | 150:12 |
| 113:4,5,7,9, | 141:17 142:17 | 171:10,19,20 | exploring |
| 11 115:4 | exactly 31:6 | 172:3,7 | $35: 2,12$ |
| 116:20,22 | 40:4 54:15 | 175:20 176:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 2,12 \\ & 152: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 119:19,22 | 73:16 105:5 | 5 192:11 |  |
| 120:15,18 | 139:17 156:13 | 195:17,21 | expressed |
| 126:5,19,22 | 157:12 189:22 | 200:10,11 | 106:10,12,16, |
| 127:11,15,19, | EXAMINATION | exist 83:24 |  |
| 22,25 128:4, | 6:5 | 156:10 | xtend 61 |
| 6,13 129:4 | examined 6:4 | existed 37:21 | extent 52:8 |
| 132:7 133:3, | 112: 4 | 180:18 | 71:9 121:17 |
| 18,20,23,25 |  |  | 129:22 132:18 |
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| 199:18 | 178:3,4 | fielding | finding 62:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| extra 100:3 | 196:23 | 133:10 | fine 6:8 |
| extract | familiarity | fight 127:6, | 112:17 141:12 |
| 131:11 139:12 | 8:21 197:16 | 10 | 184:11 |
| eye 100:23 | family 20:17 | figure 12:11 | finish 6:17 |
|  | 35:9 106:23 | 22:5,16 43:21 | 65:5 107:12 |
| F | 130:4 132:19 | 54:2 86:12 | 145:18 160:3, |
| F | 150:5 190:24 | 90:20 91:23 | 4 187:5 |
| facilitate | 193:16 | 107:18 122:10 | finished 65:9 |
| $121: 14$ | famous | 136:12 180:12 | firm 10:13, |
| facilitating | 191:10,11 | 191:3 | 16,18 11:14, |
| facilitating | far 21:5 | figuring 85:6 | 15,23 12:1 |
| fact 125:22 | 44:12 153:15 | file 169:13, | 17:22 44:8 |
| 136:20 145:4 | 159:18 193:8 | 20,22 170:2 | 46:5 47:7 |
| 148:11 192:15 | 195:15 206:1 | 174:3 181:5 | 50:23 57:12 |
| factors | fast 35:3 | filed 62:19 | 71:14,15 |
| 123:25 | 198:14 | 66:21 75:13 | 74:21 76:8 |
| failed 42:10 | feature 92:11 | 99:4 105:24 | 78:4 176:16 |
| 49:18 54:6 | 148:25 | 109:20 111:1 | 181:18 |
| 131:15,17 | features | 140:5 141:6 | firms 10:3,7 |
| 189:12 | 136:11 | 202: 6 | 17:25 18:12 |
| failure | February | files 105:19 | 19:17 182:3 |
| 42:15,20 | 15:12,15,17 | 168:21 | first 6:3 |
| 55:17,18 | 77:8 142:20 | filing 75:21 | 12:22 17:8,10 |
| 56:18 58:1 | fee 35:6 | fill 123:4,21 | 25:25 26:7 |
| fair 8:15,16 | feed 192:9 | filled 133:9 | 27:9 32:25 |
| 23:18,21 | feel 72:2 | filling 123:5 |  |
| 24:12, 25 | 108:23 129:1 | final 35:17 | $55: 11 \quad 62: 4,19$ |
| 27:13 28:24 | 130:17 146:17 | 178:16 | 75:16,17 |
| 30:14,17 | 180:15 204:23 | finalized | 83:13,24 |
| 40:20 42:2 | 205:1 | 178:23 | 84:3,10 87:11 |
| 75:17 84:3 | feeling | Finance | 89:23 90:2 |
| 93:3 122:14 | 110:24 | 102:18 | 91:14,25 |
| 154:25 158:25 | feels 131:23 | financial | 92:1,7,20 |
| 159:12 195:3 | fees 181:2 | 19:21 20:12, | 93:18,19 |
| 200:20 | felt 63:12 | 23 21:15 22:3 | 96:25 100:1 |
| fairly 12:10 | 97:22 111:11 | 74:15 76:1,4, | 105:22 109:14 |
| 30:1 101:25 | 123:10 127:6 | 12 138:9 | 112:1, 6 |
| faith 64:20 | 131:9 135:16 | financially | 115:11 |
| 65:20,23 | 181:4 | 4:17 27:13 | 122:18,25 |
| 203:25 | Ferrier 128:6 | 29:1 30:8,16 | 124:13 130:22 |
| fall 119:8 | few 23:13 | find 19:23 | 132:10 |
| 134:20 144:22 | 50:20 97:21 | 24:10 28:13 | 134:24,25 |
| 145:8 | 122:19 196:5 | 38:16 46:25 | 139:16 144:19 |
| false 41:8 | 199:4,5,8 | 52:4,7 71:12 | 148:10 153:2 |
| familiar | field 19:18 | 103:5 115:11 | 169:5,7 |
| 29:16 50:3 | 157:21 | 123:16 133:8 | 174:12 175:1 |
| 79:7 101:13 |  | 182:3 | 177:12 197:15 |
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| $112: 6149: 2$ $175: 12$ | $116: 2,7,11$ $117: 10118: 2$, | friend $41: 23$ $97: 19,20$ | $144: 9,14$ $145: 7,18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| found 34:21 | 23 119:4 | 202:4 | 150:5 151:21 |
| 40:17 43:1 | 120:7,14 | friends 26:2 | 152:20 153:6 |
| 91:10 98:1 | 121:3,19 | 41:25 97:21 | 154:4 155:3, |
| 115:14,16 | 122:1,5,9,14, | 128:24 | 14 156:2,23 |
| 140:23 142:9 | 17 123:3 | fringes | 160:22 161:9, |
| 182:1 | 124:1,19 | 186:11 | 10 162:10,20 |
| founded 81:7 | 131:13 134:5 | from 10:3 | 163:1,5,8,17 |
| founder 21:8 | 135:2 139:1,7 | 12:1,3,7 | 165:1 166:1, |
| 148:23 149:1 | 140:17,21 | 13:24 15:12, | 5,6,14 167:9 |
| four 203:14 | 141:6,19 | 14 16:14 17:1 | 172:2,11 |
| fraction 89:3 | 142:7,18 | 18:25 19:2,12 | 174:10 175:23 |
| frankly 188:8 | 145:12,21 | 20:16 21:6,14 | 176:16 177:11 |
| fraud 192:3 | 11,14,24 | 22:13 23:7 | 185:19, 24 |
| free 206:2 | 149:16,20,24 | 30:13 34:12 | 190:2,17,24 |
| Freedman 5:11 | 150:16 152:3, | 35:7 38:9,19 | 193:8 194:12 |
| 6:6 7:1 14:25 | 7 153:1 | 23 39:3,19 | 195:25 |
| 15:7 24:18 | 156:20 157:1, | $40: 7$ 44:11 | 196:17,21 |
| 25:7,11 26:18 | 9 158:10 | 45:22 50:14, | 197:3,25 |
| 29:7 30:11 | 159:6 160:3, | 22,23 51:4, | 201:15,22 |
| 36:8,22 37:6 | 6,14,16,17 | 16,17,20 | front 46:14 |
| 38:4,14 42:9, | 161:16,24 | 54:25 55:25 | 110:19 111:19 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}14 & 43: 17 & 44: 2\end{array}$ | 164:3,20 | 56:12,20 57:3 | 138:12 |
| 45:18 53:16, | 165:10,18,23 | 63:3 69:9 | frozen 191:16 |
| 22 54:22 | 167:16,23 | 72:4,15,17,25 | frustrated |
| 59:23 60:7, | 170:24 171:8, | 73:1 76:11 | 132:2 |
| 15,21 61:2, | 19,23 172:5 | 77:20 79:24 | frustrating |
| 16,20 62:12 | 173:2 176:2,7 | 80:7 82:25 | 139:24 |
| 63:6,16 64:7, | 181:15 182:8, | 83:10,19 | full 81:24,25 |
| 11,17,25 | $23184: 24$ | 84:5,11,20,22 | 164:8 198:14 |
| 65:10,15 | 185:7 186:10 | 85:10,14,17, |  |
| 66:1,12,18 | 187:3,13,22 | 19,22,25 | $190: 15$ |
| 67:17 70:14, | 188:10,16,21 |  |  |
| 21 71:22 | 189:11 | $2487: 7 \quad 88: 1$ |  |
| 72:13 74:7, | 192:13,22 | 96:25 97:2,4, | function |
| 10,11 77:3 | 193:9,22 | $12 \text { 101:7,25 }$ | 94:14 |
| 81:4,19 96:14 | 194:18 | 103:17 104:8 | fund 39:2 |
| 97:1 98:6,15 | 195:11,19 | 110:12 111:5 | 47:20,25 |
| 100:14,20 | 196:11 | 113:20,23,24 | 48:1,2,3,4,5 |
| 102:13 104:3, | 198:15,23 | 123:14 124:6 | 76:5,21,24 |
| 14,21 105:6, | 199:6 200:8, | 125:4 126:11 | 77:14 78:25 |
| 13 106:25 | 16,20,23 | 132:11 | 89:14 |
| 107:10,16,23 | 201:5 202:14, | 133:11,12,17 | funded 28:21 |
| 108: 6,14 | 19,23 203:3, | 136:8,15 | 29:3 |
| 109:16 111:15 | 7,19,24 | 138:3 140:3 | funding 20:6, |
| 112:19 114:20 | 204:9,13 | 141:11,12,18 | 8,9,13,14 |
| 115:1,8,10 | 205:22 206:11 | 143:2,9 | 81:7 |
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| funds 22:24 | 186:18 188:1 | 102:22 115:2 | 206:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:15,24 | get 6:9 9:11 | 116:25 120:22 | goal 83:7 |
| 28:12 35:4 | 17:9 38:20 | 148:21 170:1, | goals 24:13 |
| funny 128:18 | 43:10,20 57:1 | 5 182:8 190:6 | god 191:3 |
| further 5:2 | 65:22 67:10 | 206:11 | goes 71:3 |
| 57:16 165:9 | 69:12 71:24 | given 8:10,22 | 74:2 81:4 |
| future 193:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 14,23 \\ & 80: 21,23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 25 \quad 84: 2 \\ & 98: 17,20 \end{aligned}$ | going 6:21 |
|  | 85:5,24 86:18 | 101:9 123:12, | 7:11 8:18,20 |
| G | 90:14 98:19 | 24 124:14 | 12:8 13:10 |
| G-E-E-K 93:17 | 104:21 107:19 | 184:20 | 24:19 25:3,15 |
| gaming 19:1, | 113:20 120:1 | gives 96:11 | 28:15 29:8 |
| 14 25:24 | 125:4 | giving 74:8 | 30:3,4,12 |
| $34: 14$ | 129:133:8 | 101:19 102:21 | 32:23 33:2 |
| gap 10:13 | 138:19 139:15 | $103: 9$ $150: 149$ $156: 21$ | 34:10 43:18, |
| Garreth | 140:3 143:22 | 157:24 173:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2245: 19 \\ & 52: 11,16, \end{aligned}$ |
| 204:22 | 147:6 148:6 | Gizmodo 49:4 | $54: 558: 23$ |
| gave 12:17 | 155:13 157:25 | 194:10 | 67:18,20 68:9 |
| 18:5 33:16 | 161:6 163:13, | glad 64:21 | 73:23 74:1 |
| 34:2 53:14 | 22 170:25 | 65:20 125:25 | 75:7 77:5 |
| 57:20 88:5 | 172:7 174:2, | glitching | 87:12 90:9 |
| $104: 7,15$ $108: 6151: 5$ | 7,8,16 178:3, | glith | 91:17 93:2,17 |
| $108: 6151: 5$ $156: 22$ | $4182: 4,6$ $190: 2$ 198:13 | Global 46:5 | 94:19, 20 95:2 |
| Geek 21:4,8 | 1904:3 | 78:5 | 101:3 102:14, |
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