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PROCEEDINGS

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. This begins Media Unit Number 1 in the deposition of Jamie Wilson.

This is in the matter of Ira Kleiman as the personal representative of the estate of David Kleiman and $W \& K$ Info Defense Research LLC, versus Craig Wright.

This is in the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida. Case Number 918 CV 80176 B/BR.

Today is November 8, 2019, and the time is 8:36 a.m.

This deposition is being taken at the Washington, D.C. Offices of Boies Schiller.

The videographer today is David Campbell of Magna Legal Services and the court reporter is Lori Goodin of Magna Legal Services.

and spoken a few times before.
This is your deposition. You have just told us earlier that you have never taken a deposition before. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. I will go through a little bit of the ground rules here for you and then we can get started. But before that, lets just take care of a little housekeeping.

Can you please state your full name and date of birth for the record?
A. Jamie Robert Wilson, 15th of June, 1980.
Q. And your home address?
A. 361 Westlake Drive, Queensland, Australia.
Q. And your work address?
A. 11420 George Street, Queensland, Brisbane.
Q. All in Australia?
A. All in Australia.
Q. So you understand that you are under

13

14

15

16 oath today and you are sworn to tell the truth? A. Yes.
Q. And your examination is now being recorded and may be shown to a jury at some point.
Along those lines of the ground
rules, the court reporter is taking down
everything you say. And so it might be
counterintuitive, but you need to try to audibly
respond. So yes or no. If you shake your head
!.

```
A. Okay.
Q. I will try to remind you to speak audibly, but try to do your best also.
A. I understand.
Q. Are you taking any medication today that might affect your ability to tell the truth? A. No, I'm not.
Q. Or to recollect anything?
A. No.
Q. Is there anything that would impair
your ability to tell the truth at this deposition today?
A. No.
Q. Okay. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, please ask me to explain or repeat it.
A. Will do.
Q. If you don't, I will assume that you understood the question, and \(I\) will rely on your answer, okay?
A. Okay.

MR. PASCHAL: Just one second, but mine is working, but --

MR. FREEDMAN: Go off the record.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 8:38.
(Whereupon, a discussion off the
```

    record took place.)
    ```

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 8:39.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. And finally, if you need a bathroom

1 break or you just need a break, stretch your
2 legs, take a second. Let me know. This is not a 3 marathon.

4
A. Will do, okay.
Q. Okay. So, Mr. Wilson, you were born in Australia?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go to college or university?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go?
A. Queensland University of Technology.
Q. Did you attain any degrees there?
A. Bachelor of Commerce.
Q. And what year did you get the Bachelor of Commerce?
A. 2006 .
Q. Did you ever become a CPA or go to graduate school?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Have you been an accountant?
A. Yes, but not a tax accountant.
Q. And did you take any training to

1
become an accountant?
A. Yes.
Q. Where?
A. At JW Schubert \& Co, located in Springwood in Logan, in Queensland.
Q. When did that program start?
A. It would have -- 2004 to 2008 .
Q. Okay. Was that your undergraduate
```

in commerce also?

```
A. It was.
Q. And then after, and you graduated in
A. Yes.
Q. And then did you begin working as an accountant?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. In 2008?
A. I started from 2004 .
Q. 2004 you were working as an accountant?
A. That's right.
Q. And how long did you continue
working as an accountant?
A. Up to 2010.
Q. Okay. Did you ever work as an accountant after 2010?
A. I, well only on the projects that \(I\) was looking after full-time.
Q. Got it. So you weren't --
A. So, not outside, where my interests weren't involved.
Q. Got it. When did you first learn about Bitcoin?
A. Bitcoin, 2011.
Q. Okay. And how did you learn about Bitcoin?
A. It was part of my travels around the world where \(I\) was cross-examining a whole lot of cybersecurity experts looking for a solution that I have built today in the market.
Q. Okay. So, can you tell me when you first met -- well, do you know Craig Wright?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you tell me when you first met

Craig Wright?
A. Craig Wright I would have met in 2012 .
Q. And how did you come to meet him?
A. Through working and cross examining a whole lot of cybersecurity experts to build a stronger solution.
Q. And tell me about the solution that you have now built.

Is that your digital file?
A. That's correct. So, our technology is called Cryptoloc which is a cryptographic solution and we've got global patents which have an escrow in a point of difference on a global stage.
Q. And you first spoke with Dr. Wright to help you build this technology?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Along with a bunch of other --
A. So, I already had started in 2012.
Q. Okay.
A. So, it would have been like '12,
early '13 that \(I\) actually physically met Craig.
Q. Okay.
A. And from there we did bring Craig in as a, the expert cybersecurity to be able to oversee the development of the product.
Q. Got it. And did, similarly did Craig involve you in his companies at that point?
A. No, no. It was after that period of time.
Q. Approximately when did Craig involve you in his companies?
A. It would be 2014. Around about June or July of 2014.
Q. Okay. Do you recall what capacity he, Dr. Wright involved you in his companies?
A. Because we were working on the Cryptoloc Solution for Your Digital File, he liked the way \(I\) was building the culture of the team. And, he said to me Jamie, I want to start a new project would you come on as a director. And also with my background you would become the CFO.
Q. Got it. So, he, Dr. Wright listed you as a director of various companies.
A. That's correct.
Q. Were you a director of Coin

Exchange?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you a director of Integers?
A. Yes.

9
10
11
12
A. No, I don't.
Q. Were you a director of
```

Interconnected Research Pty?

```
A. I would have to have a look at the ASX Register.
Q. Were you a director of Hotwire Preemptive Intelligence Pty?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you also a shareholder in some
of these companies?
    A. Craig did gift me shares.
    Q. Okay.
    A. But when I resigned, it was removed.
    Q. Okay. Were you, do you recall if
you became a shareholder of Coin Exchange Pty?
    A. I'm positive I did, yes.
    Q. Okay. And were you also the CFO for
    Coin Exchange?
    A. I was. So, for all companies I
    would have been the CFO.
    Q. Okay. And bear with me here.
Since, we had some printing trouble earlier so
    I'm trying to pull these up electronically.
    Let me e-mail these to you guys.
    I'm going to hand you what has been
        produced in this litigation as Defense Australia
        108905 through 108908 .
            And we will mark it as Plaintiffs
        Exhibit 1 .
        (Wilson Exhibit Number 1
        marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. If you could start at the top and go forward. You guys should have it in your e-mail.
A. The resignation letters.
Q. Correct. So, if you take a look at the date at the top for me, Mr. Wilson.
A. \(23 r d\) of October, 2013.
Q. So, does that help you potentially remember when you started working and when you ended working for Dr. Wright?
A. It would have been, so, Craig would have come in, \(I\) would have to have a look at my records. It would have been the end of 2011 and 2012 .
Q. Okay. And then you would have resigned in October of 2013?
A. Yes, I did, yes. After I returned from New York.
Q. Okay. And if you take a look for me at the page that is marked at the bottom 108907, it is the third down.
A. Yep.
Q. Do you see where it says--
A. 907, Interconnected Research Pty.
Q. Does that help you remember if you were the director of Interconnected Research Pty?
A. Yes, this one here was a company that \(I\) was set up when \(I\) was in New York which would have been September or October, which Craig signed me up as a director without my consent.
Q. Okay. Did you -- thank you, Mr. Wilson.

Did you ever help -- well, you know what, before \(I\) take these back from you, do you recall writing these resignation letters?
A. Absolutely. And \(I\) physically handed it to Craig as well.
Q. And these are the resignation letters that you handed to Craig?
A. That's right. And e-mailed.
Q. Okay. Did you ever help Craig set up a trust?
A. No. One of our lawyers, who was also on the board of Your Digital File, called

Diane Pinder, was the one who looked after the trust.
Q. Okay. And then as we have just seen you have resigned in October of 2013.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me why you resigned?
A. The reason for it is that \(I\) wasn't
feeling comfortable with the position of what was happening and not understanding everything behind the documentation and the accounts. That was the purpose of it.

And also at the same time my ex-wife had medical issues as well.
Q. Okay. Can you explain to me a little bit more what you meant, what you mean by you weren't comfortable with what was going on in the documentation.
A. Well, in, the lead up to my resignation, and it would have been over a 12-month period, Craig did set up these companies. And \(I\) was working with Craig, prior being on the board of white Ear and as an advisor

1 to us in regards to development.

2

3

4

5

But, my trouble was, and it was exciting moving in with him to start working on the new projects.

But, where I didn't feel comfortable is Craig's change of attitude from a developer that would be in hoodies and, you know, very low key and working with, to one that, that is it, I've got to be the man, I've got to be the CEO, new flash suits, ties, and it was just a massive change from where he was conservative to right out there.

Also I didn't agree on the employment of the staff and the buildup of these companies without understanding, the full understanding, of where the funding was coming from.

So, I know there was a lot of
Bitcoin there. However, when \(I\) started to have a look at the \(R \& D\) and the claiming of the \(R \& D\), research and development, for the Australia federal government \(I\) didn't feel comfortable when

I noticed that these amounts were from a U.S., and at that time and \(I\) am still not aware if the money actually was funded by the U.S. government. And I thought, well hang on, the Supreme Court of Australia has turned around and brought this IP into Australia, and now Craig is turning around and claiming the \(R \& D\) on this money, that was paid by the U.S. government.

And I thought, this to me looks
fraud. And, I want nothing to do with it.
Q. So, you resigned?
A. I resigned. And since then \(I\) have had many times with the Australia taxation office, coming and investigate where \(I\) had to give evidence and the breakdown of it, purely because of, they put me under a microscope, the ATO.
Q. And has the ATO found that you did anything wrong?
A. No, no.
Q. Okay. I am, and ATO is the

Australia Tax Office?
A. Taxation Office.
Q. Okay.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 2 marked for identification.)

MR. FREEDMAN: I have just sent e-mails to you, counsel.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. But Mr. Wilson, I'm going to hand you what has been produced in this litigation as Defense 45496. And if you can take a look at it for a second, specifically under the line is, it appears to be an e-mail from you to Craig. Do you see that?
A. Yep.
Q. Do you remember sending this e-mail? You can take a second to familiarize yourself with the document.

MR. PASCHAL: You going to be e-mailing us documents or do you have physicals.

MR. FREEDMAN: We are waiting for them to print. But \(I\) will e-mail them to you
in the meantime.
MR. PASCHAL: If you are e-mailing,
it takes a second to get to us, so let us
look at it before you show it to him.
THE WITNESS: That's correct.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. So, you recognize this document?
A. Yes. And I did send it.
Q. Okay. And, can you tell me a little bit about what happened in this e-mail?
A. Okay. So, the reason for it was that Craig wanted to increase his holdings within YDF, with --
Q. This is your company?
A. That's correct. It was called the Digital Filing Company Pty Ltd which no longer holds, in operation.

The reason, I wasn't aware that the transfer in these amounts had been done and, nor the capitalization of these accounts with the listed on ASIC, hence why I did do the e-mail just so that it was down on paper.
Q. Let me break this down for you. The capitalization of the accounts. Can you explain that a little bit to the jury?
A. This is what the issue was. I didn't see the physical cash in the bank; it was done by Bitcoin.
Q. There was a capitalization of, I'm looking at your e-mail, looking at a capitalization of eight and a half million dollars?
A. Correct.
Q. \(\quad \$ 40\) million?
A. That's right.
Q. \(\quad \$ 30\) million.
A. That's right.
Q. Suffice to say \(10 s\) and \(10 s\) of millions of Bitcoin?
A. Oh, absolutely. And "Craig I see you have issued shares to me personally and I'm not aware this was done already. This needs to be fully accounted for as we are dealing with a publically listed company." And that was Rubik

1 over in Australia.

2

They also were investigated by the Australia Taxation Office in regards to the matter with Craig.
Q. Got it. And did you ever sit down with Craig and discuss this e-mail with him?
A. I can't recall.
Q. Okay.
A. But the response is there from Craig, too, it doesn't allow this right now, but we have the following, oh, capitalization, that, why didn't Xero do it? Because it didn't have Bitcoin at that stage of the currency.
Q. Got it. What happened to all of your shares in these businesses after you resigned, Jamie?
A. Oh, they were just removed.
Q. Okay. During the time that you worked for, or with Dr. Wright, so that would be from about 2011 to 2000 -- October of 2013.
A. '13.
Q. Did you ever --

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did you ever talk or e-mail with Dave Kleiman?
A. No. Not me personally, no.
Q. Had you heard of Dave Kleiman?
A. Yes, I had.
Q. Okay. How did you hear of Dave Kleiman?
A. Through Craig, saying it was his best mate and that they had been working on projects together.
Q. Okay. Did he describe him as his partner?
A. He, no, a good mate.
Q. I don't mean a romantic partner, I mean like a business partner?
A. No, no, no. A business partner.
Q. Did he describe him as a business partner?
A. Yes.
Q. And somebody he was working with on

1 projects with?
A. That's right.
Q. Did you understand that to be intellectual property projects?
A. No.
Q. What type of projects did you understand it to be?
A. I only understood later on, when I was going through the paperwork to do the R\&D, that all of the material of the break up of the money that Dave Kleiman was actually on all of these applications, all of the grants for the federal, the U.S. government.

So, that is how I started to become aware of it.

Craig is not forthcoming with
information. It is a very slow feed. But, the longer I was there, the more I started to realize that this is not correct way of doing business.
Q. I see. So you don't have much details about what they worked on.

You just know that they worked on it
together. Is that fair?
A. That's correct.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. Do you have a lot of details about what they worked on together?
A. No.
Q. There may be times when opposing counsel lodges an objection to the way I'm asking you a question.

So you may hear me ask a question more than once.

If you could just answer it again.
A. Okay.
Q. Did Craig ever talk to you about Dave Kleiman's intent about anything?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Bear with me one second.

So, Mr. Wilson, I think you told me before that you were Director of Coin Exchange; is that correct?
A. Correct.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. You also were a shareholder of Coin Exchange or were you, were you or were you not a shareholder of Coin Exchange?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I was given shares.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Sorry, go ahead.
A. I was given shares by, gifted shares by Craig.
Q. Did you serve as its CFO?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Were you, did you handle the accounts for Coin Exchange?
A. No. And what is strange is I didn't actually handle the accounts for any of it. There was a bookkeeper that was involved.

So, although I had the title of the CFO, Chief Financial Officer, my capacity as an

1 accountant, \(I\) was not given full control, nor did
2 I even have access to zero.

3

4
Q. Okay. So, during the time you were director and shareholder and the CFO of Coin Exchange, did Craig ever tell you that Dave Kleiman was also a shareholder of Coin Exchange?
A. No.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 3
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what we are going to mark as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3? And it is a Document 83-5. It is an exhibit to the plaintiff's complaint.

Can you take a look at this document and let me know if you have ever seen it before?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Okay. Do you see -- if you go to Page 1 of 9 for me. Or 3 of 11 at the top.
A. 3 of 11 .
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It says The agreement is between Dave Kleiman of \(W \& K\) Info Defense Florida. Are you familiar with this Florida company \(W \& K\) Info Defense LLC?
A. I became aware of it when \(I\) was done the \(R \& D\) and realized that the \(W \& K\) Info Defense LLC was a part of it. That is why I started questioning a lot.
Q. Were you ever a director of \(W \& K\) Info Defense LLC?
A. No.
Q. Were you ever a shareholder of \(W \& K\)

Info Defense LLC?
A. No.
Q. Were you ever an officer of \(W \& K\) LDC?
A. No. I wasn't even aware of it.
Q. Did you ever have anything to do

18 with -- strike that.

20 top. You will see Paragraph 4B.
A. Yes.

If you turn to Page 5 of 11 at the
Q. And it says, "Except the vendor's"

1 and the vendor is on Page 3, the vendor is Dave
2 Kleiman of \(W \& K\) Defense Info Research, "So accept
3 Dave Kleiman's 323,000 remaining mined Bitcoin as
4 a 49.5 percent stake in a new venture to be
5 formed in Australia to be called Coin Exchange
6 Pty Ltd."
7

8

9
10 of the history of the directors of the company.

11
12

13
14

15
16
17

18
19

21

Do you see that?
A. I do. But if you have a look at the ASX registers it will turn around and advise you
Q. So you were never aware of this agreement or --
A. No, this is the first time I have seen it.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection to form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Were you ever aware of this
agreement?
A. No.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection to form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Were you ever aware that Dave had

1 reportedly bought an interest in Coin Exchange?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: No. This is
April 2013.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. So, you were the CFO, in April of 2013 -- strike that.

What positions did you hold in Coin
Exchange in April of 2013?
A. I'm not too sure when I, when it was kicked off and when \(I\) was added as a director. I would have to go through the ASX Register.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. That previous -- oh, not to worry.
Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, were you aware that Dave Kleiman died in April of 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you know that Dave died?
A. Craig said to me a good mate of his just passed away, and \(I\) believe he came over to Florida.
Q. Okay.
A. Or he did fly to the States.
Q. Did you notice a change in Craig from before and after Dave died?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. Can you expand on what that change was?
A. The change was from Craig, as I stated before, being a developer, security, hoodie, you know, very much --

MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry.
THE WITNESS: You know, wearing hoodies and things like that, when I would have meetings down in Sydney, he would turn up and we'd go to a little cafe shop and things like that where the train station was.

Once Dave had passed away and things started to get kicked off with these new companies, there was a matter of all of a sudden he had to dress in flash suits, you know, wear the best watches, shoes,
fascination with socks.
Even down to vehicles. He moved from his normal Subaru which was beaten up and went and got a brand new car. It was just a massive change in lifestyle. It wasn't the Craig I originally met.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. So, I want to drill down on that a little bit more.
Before Dave died you said Craig dressed in hoodies?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you expand a little bit more?
A. Yes, tee shirts, normal sort of developer sort of clothes. You could tell -- I mean Craig is a very bright, very smart man.
Q. Right.
A. As I have said before, one of the greater futurists \(I\) have actually come across.

But it was just disappointing to see the way the business was handled moving forward. And his arrogance, believing that he has got to

1
change himself, I think just caused him a lot of problems.
Q. Beyond the physical change of going from hoodies to suits and expensive watches, did you see a change in his attitude at all?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did he suddenly have confidence? What was the change?
A. Oh, the confidence went through the roof. It was a matter of I'm the man, I'm going to do this, this is the way I'm going to go about it.

Whereas a lot more humble prior to Dave's passing.
Q. And, how quickly after Dave's passing did this happen?
A. I would have said June/July.
Q. Did you understand, did you ever come to have an understanding of why there was this sudden shift in his personality?

2 I mean, tell me, did you see any -- strike that

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Did it have to do with money or any,

Did you ever come to have an
understanding of why there was a sudden, a massive shift in Craig's outward appearance?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q. Okay.
A. It was only when time has gone by.
Q. Beyond flashy watches, suits, new cars, did you see any other sudden displays of wealth?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Can you expand on that?
A. I did have a Christmas party and

Craig and Ramona joined us at the event that night.

I mean, Craig was very much, you know, the best of the Champagne, top shelf, and

1 out of a team of around about ten, there was done prior? Is that something you had seen Craig do prior to Dave's death?
A. No, absolutely not. I mean, prior to Dave's passing, I was the one buying the coffees and spending the money travelling back and forward.
Q. And then thereafter?
A. It was a matter of he would even fly his team up to Brisbane. We would all go out together. Craig would actually pay for everyone who came along.
Q. Did it appear to you that after Dave died, Craig had access to massive amounts of assets that he did not previously have before?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: The money came from somewhere.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Sorry, go ahead?
A. I believe that there was a change, and overnight he had a lot of wealth.
Q. Okay.
A. But, at the same time \(I\) know that he was in the very early stages of Bitcoin as well. MR. FREEDMAN: Can we take a, let's go off the record for a minute.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 9:07.
(Recess taken -- 9:07 a.m.)
(After recess -- 9:12 a.m.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 9:12.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has been marked as Wilson 4, and it is, it was produced in this litigation as Defense 28015.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 4 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, do you recognize this

1
e-mail as an e-mail from Craig to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall this e-mail?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And in it it says, "This is where this started. All of this started to move much faster now. Dave passed a couple of months ago so I'm no longer waiting for him to get better."
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever talk to Craig about what he meant by this e-mail?
A. No.
Q. Do you know why he sent it to you?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I think it was all mainly to deal with the attachments and all of the files.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay.
A. And it was more about let's get going.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 5
```

marked for identification.)

```

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you an exhibit marked as Wilson 5. It was marked in this litigation, it has been produced in this litigation as Defense 266797.

If you can take a look at this
e-mail. Do you recognize it as an e-mail from Craig with a cc: to you?
A. Yes.
Q. And in it, Craig says, "Hello Love." I'm assuming that is addressed to Ramona.
A. Correct.
Q. \(\quad\) Well I said \(I\) would be doing something with that money. And there is a link, Jamie is on board."

Do you know what money he is
```

referring to?

```

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Well, yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Which money?
A. It would be the money that he had from Bitcoin.
Q. Okay.

MR. PASCHAL: What was that marked as?

THE REPORTER: Five.
MR. ROCHE: This is Kyle Roche, is there any way we can move the mic closer to Mr. Wilson?

MR. FREEDMAN: Kyle, we are not sure how to work the system here, so ...

MR. ROCHE: Okay.
\[
\text { (Wilson Exhibit Number } 6
\]
marked for identification.)
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I am handing you what has been marked as Wilson 6. It has been produced in this litigation as Defense 25094.

Can you take a moment to review this
e-mail, Mr. Wilson, and let me know if you recognize it as an e-mail from Craig Wright to you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. This e-mail is also addressed to Michael Hardy at the Australia Tax Office; is that correct?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson?
A. I'm not sure if Michael was the one from the ATO.
Q. Okay. Do you remember getting this e-mail from Craig?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. In this e-mail, Mr. Wilson, Craig says that "The main addresses we control as a group include the following ones listed below." Do you see that?
A. It is in the middle.
Q. Yes, right at the top the first sentence, the main address that we control as a group?

MR. PASCHAL: Where are you reading from?

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. It says, "Hello Michael, as we noted there are a couple of recent transactions." At the end of that sentence, it says, "The main addresses we control as a group include the following ones listed below."

\section*{Do you see that?}
A. Yes.
Q. And then do you see, if you go to the paragraph that starts, "The addresses are in my control."
A. Yes.
Q. It says, "The addresses are in my control now."

Did, and then, sorry, "The addresses are in my control now as a matter of fate and other circumstances."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. "David Reese and David Kleiman have both been a central part of this project."
A. Yes.
Q. And then, right above the little graphic he says, "The addresses are," and there is a list of addresses. Do you see that?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Do you see where it says balance XBT?
A. Yes.
Q. That is the Bitcoin balance?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Do you recognize that as a Bitcoin balance?
A. Yes.
Q. And it says Australia dollars and there is figures underneath that?
A. Correct.
Q. And then there is some additional wallets, addresses listed below that under the title Coin and Other?
A. That's right.
Q. Did Craig lead you to believe that he had the ability to access this Bitcoin?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes. 100 percent.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did Craig ever tell you that these Bitcoin were locked in a file that he could not access?
A. No, he could access them.
Q. Okay. This was in -- so, let's go back here where it says, "These addresses are in my control through fate and other circumstances.
"David Reese and David Kleiman have both been central parts of this project.
"Both of these gentlemen, who I had the good fortune to call friends, passed away this year. David Reese was a friend of my grandfather before he died of Parkinson's. Dave Kleiman was my best friend."

Do you know what he means by fate and other circumstances?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Let me rephrase that. Did you ever come to understand what he meant by fate and other circumstances?
A. No.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did he ever tell you what fate and other circumstances meant?
A. No. And I didn't ask the question either.
Q.

Did he ever give you any more -- did Craig -- strike that.

Did Craig ever give you any more color or explanation on what he meant by Dave was a "an essential part of this project"?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes. In that they worked together.

Now, I believed that Dave was the original start. He started looking on the Blockchain. And then later on Craig joined it; it was a joint thing together, though.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. And did you form that belief through statements Craig made to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. When he refers to "this project," did you take that to mean the mining of all of this Bitcoin?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. How did you take the project to mean when he said Dave was an essential part of this, of the, of this project? How did you take "this project" to mean?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: It was the mining.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. The mining of?
A. Bitcoin.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Do you see if you turn the page over to Defense 25095, do you see there is a graphic


THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. FREEDMAN: -- try to let me finish.

MR. PASCHAL: And I object, form, to all of that.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. So, when you received this e-mail,
were you under the impression that this was a snapshot of Bitcoin wallets that Craig had access to?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Thank you.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 7 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you
what has been marked as Wilson 7. It has been produced in this litigation as Defense Australia 553926 .

Mr. Wilson, do you recognize this
e-mail as an e-mail Craig sent to you, with a cc: to you, rather?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was sent on October \(2 n d\), 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. And it is also sent to Jenna Spears at the Australia Tax Office and Michael Hardy at the Australia Tax Office?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall receiving this e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. In this e-mail do you see where Craig says in the second paragraph, "The group I head has a holding of over 100 million Australian dollars in XBT Bitcoin"?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever talk to Craig about this e-mail?
A. No. This was in regards to -- so, Michael Hardy, now it does -- I do remember. This was in regards to the tax returns and also

1 the R\&D, research and development.
Q. Did Craig lead you to believe
through this e-mail that he had the control over \(\$ 100\) million in Bitcoin?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did he ever tell you that this
\(\$ 100\) million in Bitcoin was locked in a file that he could not access?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did he ever tell you it was locked in a -- strike that.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 8 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I am handing you what has been marked as Wilson 8. It has been produced in this litigation as Defense 45457 .
A. Thank you.
Q. Take a look at this e-mail for me, Mr. Wilson, and tell me do you recognize this as an e-mail that Craig sent with a cc: to you?
A. Yes.
Q. This e-mail was also sent to Michael Hardy of the ATO; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And Mark Italia of the ATO?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see where he says, "I have also attached a stat dec." Is that a statutory declaration? "From our solicitors validating the control of a number of Bitcoin-based addresses."
A. Yes.
Q. "You can validate the amount held in these publicly. For instance," and there is a link with the block Explorer with the Bitcoin address that begins 1933?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the next paragraph. "With
a holding in excess of 111,114 Bitcoin. The above address we have confirmed control of is valued at market, on market at Australian \$16.4 million."
A. Yes.
Q. Did you take this e-mail, did you understand from this e-mail that Craig had control over a Bitcoin address with over 111,000 Bitcoin in it?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did you ask Craig what he meant by we have confirmed control over it?
A. No. I was aware that he did have control of it.
Q. Okay. Did he ever tell you that this Bitcoin was locked in a file that he could not access?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: When we talk about is it locked, all of them are locked and Craig,
my understanding, did have access to them. BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. So, let me rephrase that.

Did he ever tell you that it was locked in a file that Craig could not access himself?
A. No.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 9
```

marked for identification.)

```

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, I am handing you what has been marked as Wilson 9, it was produced in this litigation as Defense Force 46093.

Mr. Wilson, do you recognize this
e-mail as an e-mail that Craig sent with a cc: to you?
A. Yes.
Q. It was also sent to Mark Italia of the ATO?
A. Yes.
Q. And Michael Hardy of the ATO?
A. Yes.

9 e-mail? market? founder.
Q. With a cc: to Ramona Watts?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see where it says about midway through that e-mail, "Our funding comes as we are the group that controls 5 percent of the global Bitcoin market"?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember receiving this
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever ask Craig about how he came to control 5 percent of the global Bitcoin
A. My understanding is that he was the
Q. Okay. Did he ever tell you, did he lead you to believe that he had control over the 5 percent of the Bitcoin?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form. Mischaracterizes the document.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did he ever tell you that this

5 percent of Bitcoin that he had control of was locked in a file that he could not access?
A. No.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, mischaracterizes the document and his testimony.

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Paschal, I have an e-mail from Mr. Kass specifically asking me to limit my objections to form in depos.

I would request that you do the same.

MR. KASS: I would like to see that e-mail if you've got it. We've had discussions.

MR. FREEDMAN: Please keep your objection to form.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 10 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I am handing you what has been marked as defense, sorry, as Wilson

Exhibit 10. It was produced in this litigation as Defense Australia 113043.

If you take a look, do you see the e-mail at the top of the page, this is a -- do you recognize this as an e-mail that Craig sent to you?
A. Yes.
Q. It also went to Roger Manu of Rubik?
A. Correct.
Q. In it Craig says, "XBT Bitcoin has a currency. There are 11 million Bitcoin. Look at the exchange rate in XE.com." And then, "We control what is, all up, a little over 1 million Bitcoin."

> Do you see that?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you recall receiving this e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you believe by receiving this e-mail that Craig controlled over 1 million Bitcoin?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection to form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Was this statement that he controlled, all up, a little over 1 million Bitcoin consistent with other statements that Craig had told you?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did Craig ever tell you that this over 1 million Bitcoin was locked in a file that he could not access?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: No.

MR. FREEDMAN: As a mechanics issue, if \(I\) ask the question, take a beat before you answer and give Mr. Paschal a chance to object.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. PASCHAL: Otherwise we are talking over each other.

THE WITNESS: Done.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has been marked as Wilson 11.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 11 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. It was produced in this litigation as Defense 30127 .

Do you recognize this e-mail as an e-mail Craig sent to -- with a cc: to you?
A. Yes.
Q. It is also sent to Mr. Dempster at the ATO?
A. Yes.
Q. And the subject is Evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. And in it there is a listing of companies, a Coin Exchange and CSW Personal?
A. Yes.
Q. With the Bitcoin holdings of 438,000 and 57,000?
A. Yes.
Q. The value of those Bitcoin on

Mt. Gox which totals to 53.8 million.
A. Correct.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. And then, "My wallet is
listed below."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you take this e-mail to -- did you take this e-mail to tell you that -- sorry, strike that.

Did you understand from this e-mail
that Craig owned, controlled and owned and controlled over \(\$ 53\) million worth of Bitcoin?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. In fact, close to 500,000 Bitcoin?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember receiving this
e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever talk to Craig about this e-mail?
A. It was ongoing conversations.
Q. Okay. All consistent with the story that, what?
A. All of these Bitcoin holdings.
Q. Okay. Did he ever tell you that
this Bitcoin was locked in an inaccessible file to him?
A. No.
Q. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

So I can stop asking the question a million times, Mr. Wilson, did Craig ever tell you that Bitcoin he owned or controlled was locked in a file that he could not access?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: No.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 12
marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has
been marked as Wilson 12. It was produced in this litigation as Defense 46098 .

Do you recognize this as an e-mail Craig sent with a cc: to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember receiving it?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see about halfway down the sentence says, "We control 165 million in XBT Bitcoin"?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you understand this e-mail to tell you that Craig controlled over 165 -- sorry, strike that.

Did you take this e-mail -- strike that.

Did you understand this e-mail to say that Craig controlled \(\$ 165\) million worth of Bitcoin?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: Yes.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 13

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has been marked as Wilson 13.

It was produced in this litigation as Defense 467687.

Do you recognize, and if you look at the second e-mail on the page, do you recognize this as an e-mail from Craig addressed to you and other individuals at Hotwire?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember receiving it?
A. Yes.
Q. If you look at the last sentence of the first paragraph it says, "A \$5 million Bitcoin fund was world news and TV globally. We make this look like a mom and pop operation from the Winkly Bros."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you understand that sentence to say?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: That Craig's holding is a lot greater than the small amount that the Winkler Brothers was trying to set up the fund.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, when you finally did have access to some of the books in the company, did you see specific and identifiable wallet addresses?
A. Yes. Craig showed me, like on screen.
Q. Okay. Outside of the e-mails we have just looked at, did Craig lead you to believe that he had a massive amount of Bitcoin?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Did Craig lead you to believe that he was incredibly wealthy?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes. And that I was
extremely wealthy myself from the holdings and the gifting of the Bitcoin to myself.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 14 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has been marked as Wilson 14. It is Document 83-4.

If you open that up Mr. Wilson and
take a look, do you recognize this as an
affidavit Craig Wright submitted to the Supreme Court of New South Wales?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you turn to Paragraph 23?
A. Yes.
Q. In it Craig writes, "On first of August 2013 a shareholder's meeting was called for \(W \& K\) Info Defense LLC to be held on the 16 th of August 2013.
"The meeting was e-mailed to the company address as well as sent to the address of the shareholders and company.
"The shareholding of \(W \& K\) Info

1 Defense LLC was Craig S. Wright 50 percent; David
```

A. Kleiman 50 percent. "

```
    And in Paragraph 24, "The meeting
from Point 23, the meeting was held on the 16 th
    of August 2013 .
    "The following people were present.
Jamie Wilson, Craig S. Wright." Do you see that?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Did this meeting ever occur?
    A. Yes.
    Q. What happened at that meeting?
    A. Craig said to me that with David's
    passing that we needed to do the paperwork for
    him to turn around and take full control and
    resolve the issue of David being on the, as a
        shareholder.
    To be honest, at that stage I had
    no, I didn't have the knowledge or understanding
        of what it all meant, because the motions were
        already happening with the Supreme Court of New
        South Wales.
            Q. So, if you look at the next page,

22 remember the meeting happening, but you don't

1 remember being made a director of \(W \& K\) ?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Okay. So then you would agree with the characterization in 24, Paragraph 24 that there was a meeting. Present, Jamie Wilson and Craig S. Wright were there?
A. Yes.
Q. But is it accurate to say you would disagree with what happened in Paragraph 26?
A. Yes. This is my first that \(I\) am being made aware that \(I\) was a director of the LLC company.
Q. LLC is a Limited Liability Company. The LLC meaning \(W \& K\) Info Defense Research?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Wilson, can you talk to me about the type of intellectual property that Craig was developing in 2013?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
MR. FREEDMAN: What is the basis?
MR. PASCHAL: Well, you can't do it both ways. I'm going to give you every
single basis or do you want it just form.
MR. FREEDMAN: I can ask you the basis when I want.

MR. PASCHAL: You said keep it to form.

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, keep it to form unless I ask you. How am I supposed to know what your objection is? Normally I understand what your objection is. If I don't understand what it is, I will ask you. So what is the basis of your objection?

MR. PASCHAL: So, you have limited time in this deposition. Get through what you need to. Because \(I\) have questions.

MR. FREEDMAN: I have seven hours, I'm good.

MR. PASCHAL: No you have three and a half.

MR. FREEDMAN: Are you not going to state the basis of your objection? MR. PASCHAL: I said form.

MR. BRENNER: Mr. Paschal, you know better. Counsel is allowed to ask you -MR. PASCHAL: I'm not doing this. MR. BRENNER: You are not going to answer the form, okay. Good, then it is waived.

MR. PASCHAL: It is not waived.
MR. BRENNER: Okay, go ahead.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Can you talk to me about the type of intellectual property Craig was developing in 2013?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Please go ahead.
A. So, we set up Hotwire PE and it was all about setting up a banking platform, working with Rubik out of Sydney.

The challenges were that it went to a decimal six spaces instead of what we have today being four. So unfortunately it couldn't go ahead.

At the time of October, so we
started that around about June/July of 2013. And by October I actually had finished and resigned.

All projects were related to Bitcoin also setting up exchanges.
Q. Okay. So, was Craig involved in Bitcoin related intellectual property development in 2013?
A. Yes.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 15
marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has been marked as Wilson 15.

It was produced in this litigation
as Defense 43726 .
Take a moment. Do you recognize
this as an e-mail you sent to Craig and Ramona Watts?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain to me what this e-mail is?

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I'm handing you what has
(Wilson Exhibit Number 16 marked for identification.)
all of the intellectual property projects that Hotwire was working on?
A. It was Bitcoin.
\(\qquad\)
been marked as Wilson 16.
It was produced in this litigation as Defense 31588.

Do you recognize this as an e-mail Craig sent to you and Ramona Watts?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you take a moment to familiarize yourself with it.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what was happening in this e-mail? What is Craig telling you?
A. It was a purchase, a license agreement between the two companies.
Q. Which two companies?
A. And -- there was an agreement between, well it would have been the \(W \& K\) Defense, the U.S. company, and the sale for the license to the Australian company. And this here was a cost that they were picking up to be able to claim for the R\&D.
Q. And do you see the last sentence in the e-mail, "We just need to ensure that the
Q. If the court judgment wasn't completed by August 30 th, what would have happened?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: It would have had to
fall through to the following financial year.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. So, is it accurate to say Craig was
under a lot of time pressure to make sure the
judgment finished by August 30th?
MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Okay. When Craig told you that he

1 worked with Dave Kleiman, did you understand that
2 to mean that he worked -- in projects that were 3 based in Florida?
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Why don't we take ten minutes. Can we go off the record?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 9:49.
(Recess taken -- 9:49 a.m.)
(After recess -- 10:01 a.m.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:01.

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, earlier I asked you if the you were a shareholder of \(W \& K\) and you said no.

But, I recall that \(W \& K\) is an LLC, a limited liability company. It doesn't have shareholders, it has members.

So, I'm going to rephrase --

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
MR. FREEDMAN: I'm going to rephrase my question. Would you like to object?

MR. PASCHAL: Yes, objection, form. There was no question. BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, did you have any membership interest in W\&K?
A. No.
Q. Mr. Wilson, earlier you told me that the common theme of the intellectual property that Dr. Wright was working on in Hotwire was Bitcoin. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Dr. Wright had other companies that you were also the director and shareholder of.

Was there a common theme to those company's intellectual property development as well?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that common theme?
A. All related to Bitcoin.
Q. Okay. Mr. Wilson, did Craig ever tell you that his e-mails were hacked?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever tell you his company documents were hacked?
A. No.

7
(Wilson Exhibit Number 17 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Mr. Wilson, I am handing you what has been marked as Wilson 17. It was produced in this litigation as Defense 267325 .

Do you recognize the top e-mail as an e-mail Craig sent with a cc: to you?
A. Yes.
Q. In it Craig says, "I own both companies."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you take that as a reference to PanoptiCrypt and Hotwire in the e-mail below that?
A. Yes.

MR. FREEDMAN: Counsel, up until
this point every document Mr. Wilson has been handed he was a recipient of.

MR. PASCHAL: Actually, no. Your computer screen is still on that TV.

MR. FREEDMAN: This document he is not technically a recipient of it.

If you can get him to execute the confidentiality order, but \(I\) really don't think this is a confidential e-mail.

So, if you are willing to waive the confidentiality designation on it we can avoid that process.

MR. PASCHAL: We can discuss it after the depo.

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. So, can you --
MR. PASCHAL: Send me an e-mail with the document.

MR. FREEDMAN: I've got to hand it to the witness now.

MR. PASCHAL: That is fine. If we
keep it, we will mark this part confidential.
MR. FREEDMAN: If you hand it to
him. I'll give you the unmarked copy. MR. PASCHAL: Okay. (Wilson Exhibit Number 18 marked for identification.)

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. I have just handed you what has been marked as Wilson 18, it has been produced as Defense 262775 .

I'm not sure if you have ever seen this before Mr. Wilson, but if you could take a minute to familiarize yourself with it.

Are you familiar with what this is, Mr. Wilson?
A. It is a MYOB program. \(M-Y-O-B\). It is an accounting package. I am positive it was only Xero we were using as an accounting package. Q. So you don't ever recall using MYOB? A. No, not for Craig's work, no. Not at all.
Q. Okay. It references a company

1 Information Defense. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall, do you recall what that company was?
A. It was part of the group of companies that Craig had set up for \(R \& D\).
Q. And did you, were you involved with that company at all?

MR. PASCHAL: Objection, form.
BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Were you involved with that company?
A. I can't remember it.
Q. Okay.

MR. FREEDMAN: We have no further questions.

MR. PASCHAL: Let's take a break and we will have some questions.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:07.
(Recess taken -- 10:07 a.m.)
(After recess -- 10:14 a.m.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on

1

3 BY MR. PASCHAL: Mr. Freedman. room today?
A. Yes.
the same time.

Mr. Freedman?
Q.

Mr. Freedman?
the record at 10:14.
EXAMINATION
Q. Good morning, Mr. Wilson. I am

Bryan Paschal. I represent Dr. Craig Wright in the matter that you have just testified with
A. Good morning.
Q. Do you recall when you came in this
Q. Did you speak with that lawyer?
A. No. Well, when I came into this
```

room and you were here and present as well, at

```
Q. And that is Vel Freedman, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what did you say to
A. Good morning. Good morning to all.

Did you say anything else to
A. No. That was it. You were present when I walked in.
Q. Did you say, "Mr. Freedman, it is finally good to meet you in person"?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times have you spoken to Mr. Freedman?
A. Three, four times.
Q. When was the first time you spoke to Mr. Freedman?
A. Oh, maybe July.
Q. July of this year?
A. Or August of this year, maybe.
Q. How -- this year, right?
A. That's correct. It might have been August.
Q. Okay. And how did you speak with Mr. Freedman?
A. By phone. By phone call.
Q. You have never e-mailed

Mr. Freedman?
A. Originally I did an e-mail. And he

1 said Jamie, we have been looking for you, can you
2 give me a call.
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 you sent an e-mail to Mr. Freedman congratulating 10 him on this case?
e-mail.

Was there anything else other than
18 you congratulating him for this case, was there 19 anything else that you said to Mr. Freedman in 20 that e-mail?

22 of the e-mail.
Q. Yes, I would like a copy of the e-mail.
A. Sure.
Q. And what did Mr. Freedman respond to you and say?
A. Can we talk? It was a phone call after that.
Q. Was that all that Mr. Freedman said to you in that e-mail?
A. Yes there wasn't much in it at all.
Q. So, I just want to be clear. You congratulate him and he says \(I\) want to talk to you. That is it?
A. Yes, it was very simple with a reply.
Q. Okay. Are those the only e-mails that you had with Mr. Freedman?
A. Yes, I'm more than happy to supply the e-mails to you.
Q. Do you have any text messages with Mr. Freedman?
A. No, only that can you write or can

1 you give me a call. I want to book my flights.
2 I want to make sure that I don't stand him up and
3 to make sure that I'm here present.

4
5

6
7

10

11
12

13

14

15

16
17

18

19

20 America.
21

22
Q. Okay. Let me get into that. So you booked your flight to come here for what purpose?
A. No. So, I do have an office in New York, a physical office on Madison Avenue.
Q. Yes.
A. I travel back and forward out of the U.S. on a regular basis.

This, while I am here in Washington, was for the CINet Conference, which is a whole lot of leading CISOs nationally in the U.S. that we all to get together.
Q. So, if I were to say that you don't travel to the \(U S\) that frequently, would that be a false statement?
A. That's correct. And you can tell by my passport and the amount of time that \(I\) enter
Q. When did Mr. Freedman arrange for you to meet or have this deposition?
A. When he found out that \(I\) was coming to the States.
Q. When did he find out that you were coming to the States?
A. About two weeks ago. Or a week ago.
Q. Okay. And how did you, how did you communicate that?
A. E-mail.
Q. By e-mail?
A. Yep.
Q. Okay. So there is a third e-mail then?
A. Yes, there would be a couple of e-mails back and forth.
Q. There is a chain of e-mails.
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Did Mr. Freedman ask you to come or did you tell him that you were coming?
A. No, no. I was coming. I mean I have had my conference here for the last couple of days. Physically here.
Q. And when -- I'm sorry, when did you
tell him that you were coming?
A. About two weeks ago.
Q. About two weeks ago. Okay. Did

Mr. Freedman, when you reached out to him in July or August of this year, did he ever ask you to come here for any other reason?
A. No, not at all.
Q. Okay. Did you ever get any filings in this case? Did Mr. Freedman ever send you any filings in this case?
A. No, not at all.
Q. Did he ever send you any documents
```

in this case?

```
A. Absolutely zero.
Q. Did he ever ask you for any
documents?
A. Yes.
Q. You sent him documents.
A. Yes.
Q. How many documents did you send him?
A. Oh, my resignation letters.

Oh, there was an e-mail or a social

1 media from Craig Wright making out that \(I\) was a
2 liar and deceitful and things like that, which
3 was posted.

4
5

6
7

9 did share.
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20 \(C-R-Y-P-T-O-L-O-C . c o m\).
Q. And what is your phone number?
A. Plus 61-416-176-816.
Q. Is that a cell phone or a land line?
A. No, my mobile number. And I will give you my card as well.

MR. PASCHAL: I guess we have to mark this, can you mark this?
(Wilson Exhibit Number 19 marked for identification.)

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. And just, my colleague reminded me, what is your addresses for the offices in U.S.?
A. So the one in New York is 261

Madison Avenue, Level 9 .
Q. Okay. And then you said, do you have another one? I can't remember?
A. No, just the one.
Q. Okay. So I just, I don't want to mischaracterize anything you have said.

So, you reached out to Mr. Freedman to congratulate him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you also reached out to him two weeks ago to let him know you were coming
here, right?
A. Yes.
Q. He did not reach out to you, correct?
A. No. He did.
Q. He being Mr. Freedman?
A. Mr. Freedman asked me when was the next time I'm coming to the U.S.
Q. I'm sorry, did you ever receive a subpoena to show up at this deposition today?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever ask for a subpoena to be at this deposition today?
A. No.
Q. Are you here voluntarily?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now you also testified a moment ago with Mr. Freedman that -- you said things changed with Dr. Wright some time between, or after Dave's death, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And Dave died in April
```

of 2013, correct?

```
A. Yes.
Q. And you also testified that after Dave's death Craig showed some wealth, right?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact you were able to tell me the exact amount that Craig spent for champagne with his wife, correct?
A. Yes, for a Christmas party.
Q. For a Christmas party. And that Christmas party was in 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to break that down.

So --
A. I don't even know if it was a Christmas party. But it was a get together, anyway, a celebration.
Q. Was it around Christmastime?
A. I would have to go back and have a look. But it --
Q. So you remember the amount he spent for champagne, but you don't know --
A. No, it wasn't champagne; it was dinner. It was a 15,000; it was top end, not champagne, had a big top range wine as well.
Q. Were you responsible for the check for dinner?

MR. FREEDMAN: Let the witness
finish his answer, Mr. Paschal, before you continue.

THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. But you remember the exact amount for the bill?
A. Yes.
Q. Now I'm just going to address this in parts, so ...
A. Sure.
Q. You knew of Dave, right?
A. Yes. Of him.
Q. Dave Kleiman, I should clarify?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have heard people talk about Dave Kleiman, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know that Dave Kleiman lived in the VA Hospital for the last two years of his life?
A. No.
Q. Did you know that Mr. Dave Kleiman could not afford to pay his cell phone bill during that time, so his phone was disconnected?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever that his friends had to lend him money to pay his cell phone bill so that he could use his cell phone?
A. No.
Q. Did you know that Mr. Kleiman could not afford his internet or cable and XFINITY actually had to disconnect his account?
A. No.
Q. Did you know that the day that

Mr. Kleiman passed, or about the day that he passed or the day before he passed, his credit report showed that he had applied for a Payday loan and was denied?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever know Dave Kleiman to have a considerable amount of wealth?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever see Dave Kleiman develop any intellectual property?
A. I never met Dave Kleiman.
Q. But just answer my question: You never knew of him developing any intellectual property?
A. Only with Craig being Blockchain or Bitcoin.
Q. I'm going to get into that in a second. So that is the only way that you know that?
A. Absolutely yes.
Q. And did Craig tell you that?
A. Yes.
Q. And on how many occasions did Craig tell you that?
A. Well that is how he started Blockchain or Bitcoin. And it was a matter of \(I\)
did it with Dave Kleiman.
So, that is how I knew of Dave
Kleiman was purely because of the relationship between the two.
Q. Just to clarify, you said I did it with Dave Kleiman? You did it with --
A. No. No. Craig.
Q. So Craig told you that.
A. Yes.
Q. And that is how you formed your belief that Dave created intellectual property?
A. Together with Craig.
Q. Together with Craig?
A. That's right. But I had no understanding that --
Q. So, based on that statement -- -I'm sorry, go ahead.
A. That he was in financial ruin.
Q. Who was in financial ruin?
A. David Kleiman.
Q. Okay. Now, you said that there was
a change in Craig. He started wearing suits,


1

They are the smaller elements of the bigger picture.
Q. But this started in Dave's, after Dave died?
A. Yes.
Q. So, he didn't exhibit this before Dave died?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And Dave died in April of 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to show you --

MR. KASS: It will be Exhibit 20.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 20
```

marked for identification.)

```

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. So, I'm showing you an employee remuneration.
A. Yes.
Q. But you are familiar with this document, correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Have you done this -- how are you familiar with this document?
A. The reason for it is that \(I\) never got paid, never received a cent. And as I have stated to the Australia Taxation Office, I never received any money whatsoever from Craig Wright and why should I have to pay tax.
Q. Okay.
A. So, I got a tax bill for money I never received.
Q. So, going to my question, that is how you remember a document like this?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, I want to just go through this with you.

Your name is on here under Employee, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it does say Start Date: August 1, 2013, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then it says October 11, 2013,
Termination, right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. That is when you resigned?
    A. Yes.
    Q. You could take a second. But can
you look at Annual Salary?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And you could look at them all.
    Are you the second highest paid
    person for this company?
    MR. FREEDMAN: Objection, form.
    THE WITNESS: Yes.
    BY MR. PASCHAL:
    Q. And how many employees are there on
    that list? More than 12 ?
    A. Yes.
    Q. More than 14?
    A. Yes.
    Q. So it is a significant amount of
    employees for this company? Correct.
    A. Yes.
    Q. And you are the second highest paid

1 person?

2

3
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6 right?
7
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22 October?
A. Yes.

MR. FREEDMAN: Objection to form.
BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. And you started in August of 2013,
A. No, no. I didn't. If you have a look it was January, 8 of January, 2013.

So that Christmas party that we
asked before --
Q. Hold on, go ahead.
A. That would have been in December.

So that does work out.
Q. So, the date on this, this goes, the date then the month then the year?
A. Yes.
Q. So, why is your resignation say here then November 10, 2013, if you resigned in
A. That would have been Craig or who, or his bookkeeper who looked after the accounts. I would not have processed my own termination pay

1 because I never received the payment.
Q. Okay. But you were scheduled to be one of the highest employees, paid employees of this company, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So, it is January, you are saying that you were employed January 8th of 2013.
A. Yes.
Q. And how many people started the company, well \(I\) guess with you?
A. Well Ramona Watts. His wife. Myself and Craig.
Q. Okay.
A. And then \(I\) would live in Brisbane; Craig lives in Sydney. All of the business was done out of Sydney. I was flying back and forward. Craig was then on-boarding other people around him to start up Hotwire PE.
Q. Okay. So, for nine months -- strike that.

So in April Dave dies?
A. Yes.
Q. You stay on with Hotwire in May, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You stay on in June, right? You stay on in July. You stay on all of the way until October or November?
A. \(23 r d\) of October my resignation.
Q. Okay. And at no time -- well are there any documents where you express concern to Craig that his demeanor has suddenly changed?
A. No.
Q. Are there any documents that any of their employees where you say Craig suddenly changed, something is wrong?
A. But you -- the difference is I actually knew Craig prior to him on-boarding staff.

I worked with Craig for quite some period of time with the technology of YDF.

So, the advisory board that I had around me, and to one of them being our lawyer, Diane Pinder, would be one that would be able to

1 say, who is an attorney herself, that his
2 demeanor did change over that period of time as well as Dr. Allen, \(I\) can't remember his surname.
Q. I just want to go back to my question.

Are there any e-mails or communications where you expressed to anyone during this 9 month or 10 month or 11 month period that Craig's demeanor suddenly changed when Dave died?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever express any concern when you served as CFO of Hotwire?
A. No.
Q. As a CFO, and I guess there is a difference between CFO -- well does CFO involve tax duties?
A. It does. It can be, but we had a external party, BDO, who would look after the taxation part of it.
Q. What is BDO?
A. It is one of the larger, well middle
```

tier accounting firms in Australia, equivalent to
like an Ernst \& Young here.
Q. So it is a prestigious company?
A. Yes.
Q. And as CFO -- and your being an
accounting CFO role for the company of Hotwire,
right?
A. That's right.
Q. Not Craig Wright?
A. No.
Q. So, you owed some duties to the
company, correct?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And in this entire period there is

```
not a single e-mail or communication where you
    said I am concerned because Craig Wright's
demeanor has suddenly changed.
    A. No, because he had the money to turn
    around and back it, as per his Bitcoin wallets.
    So I knew, it was all a very new
    thing for me and to understand Bitcoin as well.
    And so I thought well, \(I\) can see why

1 he has got the money to be on board and he has
2 got the confidence to be able to bring these
3 people on.

4

5

6 is the first start. It was, I was leading Craig
7 and following to understand how do you turn
8 around and make something. For what he was
9 doing, wanting to achieve.
10
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This was very new for me. I had never had a background in technology. So, this

I mean some of the plans with the company were out of my depth with what he was wanting to achieve.

So, it was interesting for me. I mean, he's a great futurist, so I could understand where he was going and what he wanted to do.

But doing it the right way, and trying to put the right people around is where the challenge was for Craig.
Q. Okay. You mentioned that you were CFO but there was a bookkeeper?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was the bookkeeper?
A. I can't remember her name.
Q. Okay. You can't remember her name. But what were her duties?
A. She looked after the accounts on a daily basis and reported directly to Craig.
Q. You testified earlier that that was unusual for you?
A. To not do --
Q. To not do that as the CFO?
A. Normally I would have full access to the accounts and have a Xero access. Xero being the accounting package, that is.
Q. Okay.
A. In this case, no. But then we are at the early days before we were on-boarding a whole lot of staff.

So I knew that over a period of time, that the duties would increase. But, I didn't need to work in a full-time capacity. It was the early stages. It was a startup.
Q. So, for the nine months that you

1 were CFO, 9, 10, 11 months you were CFO, did you
2 ever express any concerns that there was a
3 bookkeeper?

4

5 bookkeeper. That makes total sense for the
6 everyday expenditure to be taken up by someone to 7 look after it.
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9 you?
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22 able to do it.
Q. Okay. I'm showing you -- what am I
```

on?

```

MR. KASS: You are on 21.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 21
            marked for identification.)

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. I am showing you what we are going to mark as 21?

What am I showing you?
A. My LinkedIn profile.
Q. Okay. When was the last time you updated this profile?
A. Recently.
Q. Did you draft this profile?
A. No, my marketing, but \(I\) approved it. My marketing staff would have drafted it and then I signed off on it.
Q. Who is your marketing staff?
A. Nadine.
Q. Could you spell her name, please?
A. \(\quad N-A-D-I-N-E\).
Q. What is her last name?
A. Scott.
Q. And can you spell that, too?
A. \(\quad \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{T}\).
Q. Is she here in your office in New York or Australia?
A. Australia.
Q. Okay. And if I wanted to get in contact with her, how would I do that?
A. I could pass you her contact details.
Q. What is her e-mail address?
A. If you let me know by e-mail, send me an e-mail, and then \(I\) would be able to put the two of you connected together.
Q. Okay. Now, if you turn to Page 3, can you look at the bottom where it says Education?
A. Education.
Q. Well let me ask you first --
A. Here, Queensland University of Technology.
Q. So, let me ask you. You have a

1 marketing person looking at your LinkedIn, you
2 take this seriously, right?

3

4
A. Yes, the LinkedIn.
Q. And you hold this out because people might use your company and want to look over information about you. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So you would want to make sure this is accurate. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. You wouldn't put anything false in here, right?
A. No.
Q. And you wouldn't leave out any important information, would you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Education, where it says Queensland University of Technology.
A. Yes, QUT.
Q. Accounting and finance was your degree?
A. Correct.
Q.

Did you take
any
classes in
cybersecurity?
A. No.
Q. Did you take any classes related to the Blockchain?
A. No.
Q. Did you take any classes related to Bitcoin?
A. No.
Q. Did you take any classes related to cryptocurrency?
A. No.
Q. Di cryptography?
A. No. And I never make out that \(I\) have an IT background.
Q. Okay. And then you go in from, I guess right after education you go into laboratory assisted patient accounts, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You didn't have any background
```

with --

```
A.

I have had no background whatsoever.
It was only after my dad passing that \(I\) started to take an increase and understanding in cyber security.

But even today, I do not read code.
I have experts there in my office, and I do have a CISO, with a great background in cyber security.
(Reporter requests clarification.)
THE WITNESS: Ah. CISO, chief information security officer.
Q. What is the name of your chief information security officer?
A. Mark McPherson.
Q. Is that the only person that you have in that capacity?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any computer scientists working for you?
A. Yes, I have a data scientist as well.
Q. What is that person's name?
A. Melissa Crossman.
Q. And do you know their e-mail addresses?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is their e-mail address?
A. Melissa.crossman@cryptoloc.com and mark.mcpherson@cryptoloc.com.
Q. Okay. Can you go to the first page. So you say, "I took a fierce interest in cyber security solutions after two devastating events in my life." Right?
A. Correct.
Q. Would that be when you first had a passion for cyber security?
A. After the loss of my father, yes.
Q. And your father passed in \(2011 ?\)
A. Ten, so in October 2010, my father passed away. And it was through this process when \(I\) was trying to find a solution in the event that one dies, that you can find the will, the life insurance superannuation.
Q. I'm sorry, I need to ask you

1 questions. So that was an important -- strike
2 that.

3

4
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You were able to get documents from him before he died because you had a window, right?
A. Yes, he was alive and we could use him to find the information.
Q. But you, were you able to find documents?
A. Yes, because he was alive.
Q. Would it strike you as odd if somebody were to destroy documents after a family member passed away?
A. Well, I suppose if they don't know the importance of it or a filing cabinet.

I mean, hence why I created YDF was purely in event that \(I\) drop dead that my family and my two children would know where the information was.

Being an accountant at that stage, I had a filing cabinet with a whole lot of strategies of clientele.
Q. Yes.
A. And I thought what a mess it would be that they would never receive their paperwork.

So I wanted to make sure that in the event that \(I\) passed away, that everyone receives

1 the information, and that it would stand up in 2 court.

3

4

So hence why I created the
technology and went on this road and journey to build it.
Q. That is a noble process. I mean, that is important for people that you are doing that for, right?
A. It is.
Q. Because it is important that if somebody passes they could get access to important documents. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. So, would it be odd to you if somebody were to throw away in the trash every piece of paper that their family member had? Without looking at them?
A. Probably not. It depends on who the individual is.
Q. Let's say it was your, I mean --
A. For me it is different. Like I would actually go through it.

It depends on the capability of the individual as well and the circumstances that they grew up in.
Q. So, if they erased hard drives and threw out papers, that would be --
A. That would be strange.
Q. Okay. Just to clarify, I'm going to show you a place on your -- actually, you said you became engaged in cyber security after your father passed in 2011, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. '10.
Q. On your LinkedIn, I don't know if it was just -- but it says 2010 is when you got involved with cyber security.
A. Correct. So dad passed away in October of 2010 .
Q. Okay.
A. And I even spoke to dad prior to him passing about it.
Q. Okay. I got it. I'm sorry, if you
```

wanted to keep --

```
A. No, go ahead. I understand.
Q. Can you take a look at what was Plaintiffs 15?
A. Yes, with the projects.
Q. Mr. Freedman asked you if there was a common theme between all of these things in this e-mail, right?
A. Yes.
Q. The live feed here says you took
```

    about 20 seconds to read this e-mail, right?
    ```
A. Yes.
Q. Was that, and this is a two-page single space e-mail, right? Or one page? It is two pages, right?
A. Yes.
Q. -- let me go through here.

Do you see the -- let's go to the fourth category.

MR. FREEDMAN: Excuse me, counsel. Could you tell me the Bates label on the bottom of the page?

6 BY MR. PASCHAL:
7

11 GoToMeeting. into an environment, be able to negotiate and 18 then you would be able to use Blockchain, pay in

MR. PASCHAL: Yes. It is 0043726 .
MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you.
MR. PASCHAL: Let me know when you have it.

MR. FREEDMAN: Go ahead.
Q. So, if you go down to the fourth where it says Video System.
A. Yes.
Q. Replacement for Adobe and
A. Yes.
Q. What does that have to do with

Bitcoin?
A. What he was trying to set up, Craig Wright, is the ability for, where you could go Bitcoin for the end of an agreement. So, of a party.

Mainly if there was a legal problem. And that as long as everyone was happy with the

1 outcome of it, then the money would be
2 transferred.
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If not it would stay as an escrow and then other legal professions would be able to come in and voice their opinion and the money would be dispersed at a certain percentage.
Q. Okay. But going back to my question, though.

How is that related to Adobe or GoToMeeting?
A. It was an improvement of what Adobe and GoToMeeting is.

It is the ability to be able to use Blockchain to be able to escrow or escrow the money with the Bitcoin and to be able to have a background so you could actually track it all back from those meetings.
Q. So, the process of Adobe and
A. Yes.
Q. Could be similar or the same as

GoToMeeting or Adobe?
A. It would be new technology. But, you would be able to put it on the Blockchain.
Q. Was that technology ever developed?
A. I left in October 2013. This is

August 2013. These were projects where he was scaling his staff to be able to deliver.
Q. Okay. So -- hold on. So, the Reputation Systems, think LinkedIn, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. But with the tracking against

\section*{training?}
A. Yes.
Q. That is related to Bitcoin?
A. Blockchain.
Q. Let's say Bitcoin first.
A. All of these projects here are Blockchain-related, which then could turn around and have Bitcoin as well.

So when you are looking at the LinkedIn, turning around and putting to

1 qualifications, et cetera, you run it off the

2

3

4

5

6
7 Blockchain.

However you are able to send
information and be able to be paid in
remuneration with the Bitcoin.
Q. So, I want to clarify this

Mr. Wilson, because earlier you said the common point is Bitcoin?
A. Secondary is Bitcoin.
Q. So, the common theme is Blockchain?
A. Blockchain is all of this. All of
it is Blockchain as we understand. Blockchain is the underlying technology of Bitcoin.

So, all of this does come back into receiving money and funds.
Q. Okay. But there is a lot of different technologies that stemmed from Blockchain, correct?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Is the technology used at YDF, is that Blockchain?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Do you think it is better than Blockchain?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Is that based on your cyber security experts, data scientists, and developers?
A. Yes.
Q. And that --
A. When we, the reason for it is in regards to privacy.

Blockchain doesn't give you the privacy, and that is, it is a public ledger, and that you can track all of the transactions, understand what an individual is doing, be able to do a 51 percent of tech.

There is plenty of information now from the MIT which has come out and said we realize that there is problems with scaling and also the privacy around Blockchain.
Q. Okay.
A. I have done a closed ledger, you know, with cryptography with an escrow being our point of difference which we have patents on a

1 global stage as well. as well. with Bitcoin?

We actually could run a digital
currency off our Cryptoloc technology if we wished and it would be a lot quicker and give the privacy. But we would work with law enforcement
Q. Would that put you in competition
A. Would it put us in competition? It would if I did want to run out a digital currency, but it is not on my agenda.
Q. Oh, and do you have any communications with Diane Pinder?
A. Not recently.
Q. Can you tell me the substance of your communication was Diane Pinder?
A. Diane Pinder was an advisory board member with \(Y D F\) in the early days. And since then, so, many years now.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Probably 2014, 2016 -- it would have

It is the same year after I resigned
Diane finished up at Lloyd solicitors in a capacity as one of the principal lawyers.

And then \(I\) haven't remained connected to Diane.
Q. Can you go back to your LinkedIn page?
A. Yes.
Q. Earlier you testified you would make sure that this information was accurate.

Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you would make sure that everything is in here that is necessary. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you wouldn't leave out anything that was important?
A. I could leave out something.
Q. Earlier you testified that you would not leave out anything important. I am clarifying your testimony.
A. Well, only sensitive information such as the clientele that \(I\) was looking after. It is not relevant to a LinkedIn profile.
Q. So it wasn't relevant that you were involved with Hotwire?
A. No, and I didn't turn around and add Hotwire \(P E\) or any of the group of companies at all to my LinkedIn profile.

Mind you, back in those days I really didn't use LinkedIn.
Q. You said you were CFO and shareholder of several companies with Craig Wright, right?
A. Yes. The group of his companies he appointed me as CFO and director.
Q. And none of these are on your LinkedIn. Are they?
A. No.
Q. And those companies had issues with the ATO, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were copied on e-mails with

1 the ATO?

2

3

4

8 matters.
A. Yes.
Q. And you left all of them off of your experience, correct?
A. Yes, because \(I\) wasn't -- Craig ran the matters himself.

It would be different if \(I\) ran the

I'm not going to turn around and put myself out as the CFO when he is looking after all of the matters.
Q. And again you were CFO for nine months, right?
A. Yes. Well --
Q. And during that \(n i n e\) months did you ever e-mail the ATO and say something is wrong? A. No, why would I?

Information was only supplied as we were doing the \(R \& D\) and the Australia Taxation Office trying to come up with the numbers.
Q. And earlier you said -- I just want to make sure \(I\) get it right. You said that after

1 Dave died, you were uncomfortable with the
2 documentations for the companies?

3

4

7 30th of June.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18
19

20

21

22

And then when \(I\) had to start putting the tax returns and the R\&D forms all together and Craig actually even did the numbers himself and then would hand them to me.

So, even down to legal work. Craig
looked after a lot of his legal work, he would look after his accounts and then it would be handed over to me and then I would have to go through them. And then \(I\) would ask questions and then we would try to work it out.

And then that is when I turned around and said I don't feel comfortable.
Q. Okay.
A. And that is why I never lodged them. And Craig actually lodged them himself.
Q. So, from January to July I guess there weren't any documents that you were looking at, because you didn't get them until July?
A. That's right.
Q. So, what were you doing from January to July with Hotwire?
A. Trying to have a look at okay, what is the best way moving forward. How do we turn around and increase the staffing, because things don't happen that quickly anyway.

And the staff, if you have a look, they were really only started in May, June, June. It was late. It wasn't --
Q. So, what were you doing to complete those tasks that you have just mentioned?
A. Well that was my issue. I didn't have enough information, nor a whole lot of task. It was all about the projects that Craig was involved in and his background with Bitcoin and understanding the knowledge of Blockchain.
Q. Okay. So from January to July you

1 had an issue, because you didn't have -- could
2 you clarify what was it that you didn't have? this to be able to move forward.
Q. So, Hotwire agreed to make you the
A. There was no work to be done. As in there was nothing from the accounting point because the bookkeeper was there. So a lot of mine was strategy with Craig and sitting and

1 second highest paid employee and you did nothing
2 for seven months?

3

4

5

6

7

8

22 made me aware of it. said no, that is an individual matter for Craig.

22 We are not paying you anything.

2 you spoke with other than Mr. Freedman?

3

4

9 income -reimbursed.
Q. And, were any other the lawyers that
A. No.
Q. You said the ATO is asking you to pay taxes on income that you would have made at Hotwire, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. But you are saying you did not make
A. I did not receive one cent. And you can go through all the bank accounts and you will notice that \(I\) never received one. It was only me sending money to Craig and never being
Q. And in January did you complain that you hadn't been paid your salary?
A. In January?
Q. Of 2013.
A. No.
Q. And in February of 2013, did you complain that you hadn't received your salary?
A. To be honest \(I\) didn't expect to

1 receive a salary. And I didn't even, wasn't
2 aware of the Document 20 , this here, that \(I\)
3 believe is what you received from the
4 administrators, of when they were looking after
5 and winding up the company.

6

7 knowledge of it as well. received money and \(I\) said no, I didn't.

But, that is how this documentation also was supplied to me.
Q. Okay.
A. So, no, I didn't expect to receive

15 any money. Otherwise it would be strange if \(I\)
16 turned around and said no I didn't take any
17 money.

18

19

20
21

22

That's the only way, reason I've got knowledge of it as well.

Prior to that it was with the payment summary that came in, and the ATO said I

12

13

14 your payment for being CFO? What was your expected payment? What, were you getting out of being CFO.
A. It was supposed to be an annual

1 salary of what is here, 150. But it never 2 commenced.

3

4

5

6
7
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But, I wasn't worried anyway.

The issue is, I wasn't concerned, because we were in the stages of doing a setup, a startup.
Q. Okay.
A. During that period of time, I didn't understand the whole, you know, the Bitcoin, the wallets, and how much money.

So, I had to get all of that training. So, I was happy to turn around and spend the time and learning, purely because of my history with Craig, and being an advisory board member of \(Y D F\) and the relationship there.

So that's why I was more than happy to turn around and wear it. Once the companies got money, then \(I\) would get paid but then my duties would increase. But we never got there.
Q. So, earlier, earlier Mr. Freedman asked you about whether or not you knew that Dr. Wright's Bitcoin was locked up in an

1 encrypted file. Do you recall that line of questioning?
A. Yes.
Q. And he asked you several times?
A. And was he able to get, and was he not able to get access to it.
Q. Let me break that down.

Do you know how Craig Wright obtained Bitcoin?
A. Through mining. With Dave Kleiman, that was my understanding.
Q. What is your understanding based on?
A. From Craig saying that it was working with a great mate who was in the U.S., Dave. And they set up Bitcoin and that it was Hitoshi --
Q. Were those the statements to you,
```

were there any other statements that you recall
right now?

```
A. No. No.
Q. So, from those statements, you -well, let me back up.

Craig Wright never told you that he mined with Dave?
A. Yes, he did.

MR. FREEDMAN: Object to form.
BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Well, when did he tell you that?
A. Well that is how \(I\) knew all about the Bitcoin and his wallets.
Q. Mr. Wilson, I asked you a second ago what were all of the statements that Mr. Craig Wright made to you to make you think that.

You did not say that Craig Wright said I mined Bitcoin with Dave.

MR. FREEDMAN: Object to form. You are mischaracterizing.

MR. PASCHAL: He did not say that.
That is why the live feed is helpful.
THE WITNESS: You know, Dave, not
Dave, Craig, had been mining it for quite some time.

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Well, let me ask you -- I'm sorry,

1 are you finished?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If Craig purchased Bitcoin, would you have known that he purchased it?
A. Well you could track it back, absolutely, through the ledger. I mean there is a history, there is an audit trail.
Q. Do you know if -- well, do you know if Craig Wright purchased Bitcoin?
A. No, I don't.
Q. So, if he purchases Bitcoin, you wouldn't know if it came, that could be his Bitcoin holding, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So, you are not sure if he mined that Bitcoin then, am I --
A. Oh, no, he definitely mined it. He even got new servers, et cetera, that ran in his garage.
Q. Okay. But let's say his garage was closed down and he removed all of his equipment. A. Right.

MR. FREEDMAN: Object to form.
BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Would he have been mining anywhere else.
A. Absolutely you can.
Q. Well not anyone can, he can?
A. He has the ability to be able to do it on a mobile device.

I mean, it might blow the phone up
but you still have many other options of being able to mine the Bitcoin.
Q. Do you think he was mining on a mobile device?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. But if your question was do you think that he had been doing -- mining Bitcoin, absolutely.
Q. But I'm going to go back to my question. Do you know, other than his garage, was he mining Bitcoin?
A. Yes.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. Because he told me so.
Q. Okay. And when he told you, was he saying that this is the Bitcoin that \(I\) mined in my garage, do you know?
A. No. But that is not relevant, is it?
Q. Well, I'm asking you --
A. Well, I don't think --
Q. Did he say he was mining in his

11 garage and you don't know? mined, exactly?
A. No. Because he already had a huge amount of Bitcoin as per the wallets.

And when Craig said to me this is where my wallets are and this is the amount of Bitcoin that \(I\) have mined it, well then, I mean
it was all new to me. So I would have to break it down.

But, the wallets are there. Craig
has e-mailed them through.
Q. Okay.
A. And I can't see Craig having that sort of wealth to buy the Bitcoin when he was driving around in hoodies and also, you know, in a, in a very cheap car. And a rental property.
Q. Did you have access to any of

Dr. Craig Wright's financial records when he had a hoodie?
A. No.
Q. Did you see his bank account

15

16
17

18
19 statements?
A. No.
Q. Did you see what he was spending to buy computers?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And let me ask you, when did you stop speaking to Craig Wright? Or did you stop speaking to Craig Wright?
A. It is years ago.
Q. Huh?
A. Years ago.
Q. Can you give me a date? A year?
A. Two, three.
Q. Two, three years ago?
A. Yes.
Q. And what made you stop speaking with Craig Wright?
A. I didn't like the way he went about business. The ethics and morals.
Q. Okay.
A. The way he treats people.
Q. Did he treat you bad?
A. No. No. He was pretty good with me.
Q. Okay. And when Craig Wright, you saw these Bitcoin wallets, did you ever see Craig Wright transfer Bitcoin to anyone?
A. No. See, I, I have never seen any Bitcoin transfer at all. All \(I\) have ever seen is the wallets on a screen, or the ones that he has
e-mailed through. That is it.
Q. So, if Craig Wright had his Bitcoin encrypted, and you never saw any transfers, how would you be able to say that they weren't encrypted? Is it just that he said he never told you that?
A. Craig would log into them. So, I know that he could access them.
Q. Okay. And when did you see Craig log into Bitcoin?
A. Oh, several times at his home address.
Q. Okay. And what would you see?
A. Oh, the accounts, his wallets, and then he showed me how it works.
Q. And what computer, was it a computer that he was showing you it on?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it like a laptop?
A. Oh, I can't remember.
Q. Okay.
A. It was at his home.
Q. And how many years ago was that?
A. Oh, that would have been 2013.
Q. And in what home was that?
A. At Howard Street at North Rye, I think it was.
Q. But you did not see him transfer Bitcoin or move Bitcoin?
A. No, but there was no reason for him to do that in front of me.
Q. Okay. So, if you could log into the screen, right, you could see Bitcoin.
A. Yes.
Q. But, if it was encrypted, then he couldn't move them. You wouldn't know that, right?
A. Well, once you log-in and you've got access to it, you would be able to send money, receive money.
Q. Did you see the private keys that Craig Wright had?
A. Only what was on the screen. But, they are not -- no, the wallets only.
Q. So you never saw a private key?
A. No.

MR. PASCHAL: We are going to take a break for a second.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:04.
(Recess taken -- 11:04 a.m.)
(After recess -- 11:20 a.m.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20.

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Mr. Wilson, what did you discuss on the phone with Mr. Freedman?
A. When \(I\) was travelling back into the U.S., would I be available to catch up.
Q. Well, how many phone conversations did you have with Mr. Freedman?
A. Around about three.
Q. Okay. And they were three separate e-mails, also?
A. Well, yes, there were e-mails going back and forward. But some of them are very

> 1 1 2 short. I am happy to supply them. Mr. Freedman?
A. In regards to the social media posts that Craig put up about myself, talking ill of me, did \(I\) have any supporting documents? And I said yes I would share them with you.
Q. What did you say about the posts that Dr. Wright said about you?
A. I wasn't aware of it. I actually had to go and search it myself.
Q. Okay.
A. I wasn't aware at all.

I actually wasn't even aware of the case until Steven Lipke made me aware.
Q. Who was Steven Lipke?
A. He was one of the operations managers for Craig Wright out of Sydney.
Q. Okay. For -- 18 pop up and, I mean, I haven't even caught up with 19 Steve in, well, for quite some time.
A. I mean, even now, for today's meeting, somehow it has been also on, there is an article about it, that \(I\) was coming in and he made me aware of it, too.
Q. Steven Lipke did?
A. Steven Lipke did, yes.
Q. And where does Steven Lipke work now?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. How does he communicate with you?
A. He will send me a phone call, he will send me an e-mail.
Q. What is his e-mail address?
A. I couldn't tell you. I would have to look it up. It is not someone I go back and forward.

Now and then he would just sort of
Q. And where does he live?
A. In Sydney.
Q. Do you know his phone number?
A. I would have to look it up for you, I don't.
Q. Where would you look up his phone number?
A. Out of my cell.
Q. Do you have your cell on you now?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please look at his number?
A. Yes.

MR. BRENNER: For the record,
Mr. Freedman is dealing with a personal issue so I just stepped in.

THE WITNESS: Okay he was the project manager.

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. And what is his phone number?
A. Plus 61-417-261-542.
Q. Does your contact info also show his e-mail address?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Can you please provide that tome?
A. Lipke_s@hotmail.com.

20 e-mail.

21
```

Kleiman?

```
Q. And have you ever spoken to Ira
A. No.
Q. Have you ever looked up any information about Ira Kleiman?
A. No. Oh, yes. And I had an e-mail
that she was originally involved right at the early days of it.
Q. What e-mail?
A. I would have to have a look.
Q. Who was the e-mail between?
A. Craig Wright.
Q. And who else?
A. Myself. And probably Ramona Watts.
Q. And it discussed Ira Kleiman?
A. Ira was involved in the e-mail.
Q. He was a recipient or was he discussed in the e-mail?
A. No, no, no, no. A recipient of the
Q. And what did the e-mail discuss?
A. It was just general information.

The only reason I'm aware of it, because it came up and said we have never met Ira. I actually thought it was a -- wait, is that Kleiman?
Q. Ira Kleiman.
A. No, no, I had no correspondence whatsoever.
Q. Okay.
A. There was another person that Craig was involved with, and it was a lady. I can't remember her name, though.
Q. But her name was Ira?
A. No, no. It was a Chinese name. Or an Asian name.
Q. Okay.
A. I have had no correspondence whatsoever from the Kleiman family.
Q. Could you just spell Lipke, the e-mail address that you had in your phone?
A. L-I-P-K-E_S@hotmail.com.
Q. And when did you start working with Craig Wright?

11 you start the process? 2012. with Craig Wright? the patents was already underway.
involved and said --
A. Because I -makes sense to me.
A. It would have been the end of 2011 ,
Q. Okay. And did you develop a patent
A. I already started the process because \(I\) came up with the concept in 2010. So,

And, then Craig, I got Craig
Q. Let me just ask you -- so, how did

MR. BRENNER: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Because when \(I\) was looking for the solution, and \(I\) couldn't find it. So I went to a patent attorney, from my commercial lawyers. He said go to a patent attorney and see if you can find it.

And they said Jamie, there is nothing out there on a global stage. And I said you've got to be kidding, I mean it

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Just to clarify, what was the stage, for what?
A. In the event of losing a loved one that between an escrow and a cloud provider that the information would be released.

So I already started the process.
Q. So when you say the process, do you refer to the idea?
A. The concept, yes.
Q. But you didn't actually start the whole programming and putting together and coding or anything?
A. Yeah, I did before Craig come along.
Q. But you didn't?
A. Physically, no. As I said, I don't write code. I don't have an IT background. I make it very clear, \(I\) do not have an IT background. I do not develop.
Q. Who did that for you?
A. Drew Nicholas.
Q. Can you spell that for me, please?
A. D-R-E-W, and Nicholas,
\(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}\).
Q. Okay. And how did you know Mr. Drew Nicholas?
A. I was looking for an IT company with developers to be able to develop it.
Q. And do you still talk to Mr. Nicholas today?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Did Mr. Nicholas meet Craig Wright?
A. Yes.
Q. Did they work together?
A. Craig was only advisor. Craig never got involved in code.
Q. Okay.
A. Craig wasn't involved in any of the coding or development of it.
(Wilson Exhibit Number 22
marked for identification.)
BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. I'm showing you what we are marking as 22.

2 you and Craig Wright put together?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And it was registered in 2011?
A. That's correct.
Q. October 28, 2011?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, when did Craig come on board with this patent?
A. Craig was only added as a name. I had to change all of the documentation.
Q. So, you were just using his name for the patent?
A. No, no, no. Because Craig said to me, well, I needed a local and I wanted to make sure that \(I\) had all of the I's dotted and the T's crossed.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. So, Craig was even paid handsomely for his time as well to oversee it, which was

So is this one of the patents that

So Craig came on around about 2012.

1 wrong, and I still had to get my patents
2 attorneys to go through it.

3

4

5 well.

6

7 was paid, it was my concept, the process already
8 started, he had to sign, make sure that we got a

9 signoff that he had no rights to it?
10

11 you as the inventor, is that incorrect?

12

13 advisor. Same with my lawyers and people like 14

15

16

17

18
19

20 listed.

21

22
A. No. Because Craig was also an that.
Q. Well, would your lawyers be listed as an inventor?
A. Well that was from the legal point. Craig being IT and that this documentation was in regards to the IT side, that is why it was
Q. Why isn't Mr. Drew, why isn't Drew listed on here as an inventor?
A. Drew was a developer. It was, well my concept. Originally, it was just my name on all of the documentation.

Then Craig wanted to get more involved in it and \(I\) said well you should be probably listed as an inventor as well. And that is how he got his name on it.
Q. But you said he did some advising to get this, right?
A. He was involved, we had already done all of the paperwork and all of the knowledge and how it worked system-wise.

And then Craig came on later on down the track.

And then \(I\) wanted Craig as a
director and an advisor to ensure that we were moving in the right direction.

So, his expertise is what I was
using to ensure that the I's were dotted and the T's were crossed.
Q. Good memory.

Was Dave involved, Dave Kleiman

1 involved in any way in this patent?
A. No. Not at all.
Q. Do you use any of this patent today?
A. This is my technology. Cryptoloc.
Q. This is your technology?
A. Yes. Cryptoloc, absolutely.
Q. And it involves cryptography?
A. That's correct.
Q. And would it be wrong to say that Dave is entitled to half of this?
A. How could he be entitled to half of it? How could Craig be entitled to half of it.
Q. I'm just asking. Would it be wrong to say that Dave Kleiman is entitled to half of this, your property right now, your intellectual property.
A. Absolutely not.

MR. FREEDMAN: Objection to form.
MR. PASCHAL: It would be correct?

THE WITNESS: No, he is not entitled to it at all.

MR. FREEDMAN: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: Nor is Craig Wright entitled to it.

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Okay. So, if you were to -- I just want to -- have you ever amended the information on this patent?
A. Why would I?
Q. To remove Craig Wright as inventor.
A. No, Craig Wright signed off all of his rights to be able to take any claim against it.
Q. Okay. And --
A. And that is all done under Davies Collison \& Cave; they are patent attorneys.
Q. Okay. And when did Craig Wright sign that document?
A. Oh, I'm not sure. Davies Collison \& Cave would have the date. It was at the beginning stages, because Craig was paid, physically paid money to it.
Q. It couldn't have been early 2011, right?
A. No, it wasn't. It was '12. I already started the process with the patents before Craig came along.
Q. Okay.
A. So, if you go and have a look at the history of it, you would notice that \(I\) was the only inventor.
Q. But, so you are saying that Craig wouldn't have interest in it because he signed over any of his rights?
A. That's correct. Well he didn't have the concept. It was me who came up with the concept.
Q. Okay. So I just want to clarify, though --
A. Craig --
Q. -- would you think that it would have been an accurate statement to say that Dave Kleiman, the estate of Dave Kleiman is entitled to half of this?

MR. FREEDMAN: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: Why would you think the patent. dotted?
that, though?
BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. I'm just asking the question.
A. Well if you think about it, I started the process. I had the concept. The patent was lodged prior to them coming on board. Well, prior to Craig even being involved in the

So, how could Dave, who I didn't even know, and Craig Wright, who I didn't even know at that stage, be involved or entitled to

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. Could Dave have been working on this with -- could Craig have been working on this with Dave without your knowledge? The task that you told them?
A. They had no access to them. They never had access to the source code.
Q. So, how was Craig making sure that all of your T's were crossed and your I's were

2 was just paperwork. It wasn't technology.
A. It was through the lawyers and it

It was documentation.
Q. So, you hired Craig Wright to be, to look at this?
A. Yes.
Q. As -- well, let me -- so, Craig Wright at this time he is wearing his hoodies?
A. Yes.
Q. He is into his IT?
A. Yes.
Q. He is into his developer?
A. Yes.
Q. So, you wouldn't hire him as a lawyer?
A. No, Craig Wright as an expert in, a cyber security expert.
Q. And that is why his name was on here as an inventor?
A. That's right.
Q. As inventor --
A. Okay then, as an inventor.
Q. Okay. And, there is a document, I haven't seen it, but he has signed, you said he waived his rights to this?
A. Absolutely.
Q. In 2012?
A. Before we even started, or I got Craig involved.

I mean naturally I'm not going to turn around and hand a concept over and have people like you look at me and try to take half of the technology.
Q. It wouldn't be me.
A. I know where you are trying to go, though.
Q. I don't think you do.

And so, just to be clear, if you
look on, if you look at the document on the right-hand side of application U.S. 14/354359 events, nowhere in here does it say that Craig Wright, or did you amend this patent to remove Craig Wright, correct?
A. Did I -- there was movement back and

1 forward with Davies Collison \& Cave. So, Davies
2 Collison \& Cave would have the history and the 3 documentation, et cetera, that was needed to be 4 done in the process. didn't tell you. Well first did Craig ever tell

11 you that?
A. No. It was all done in-house. I mean, Craig may have had conversations with many people about it.

But, it was all done in-house; it
16 wasn't --
17
Q. Did you ever get a document from

18 Dave Kleiman saying he would waive any of his
19 interests to this patent?
A. I don't see how Dave could be

21 involved in it.
Q. Let's assume that a court ordered

1 that that intellectual property belonged --
A. It wouldn't be involved.

MR. FREEDMAN: Objection to form.

BY MR. PASCHAL:
Q. You didn't get a waiver from Dave Kleiman, did you?
A. No. I don't need to.
Q. Okay. So, Mr. Freedman asked you about hacking earlier?
A. With Craig Wright, the e-mails being hacked.
Q. So, hacking can happen, do people know exactly when a hack happens?
A. Not -- no. Not thoroughly.
Q. I mean part of that, I mean the hacking could be something that, in fact, I don't know if \(I\) need to pull it, but on your website you expressed that hacking could be something you learn of much later. Right?
A. That's correct and it is public knowledge.
Q. And it is something even savvy

1 people need protection, because hacking can
2 happen?

3
A. And your e-mails are one of the weakest links.
Q. And so if Craig Wright were hacked, and he just, is there some foolproof way that he would know right away?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And if he learned many years later, is that something that you see as uncommon in your line of work?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And, I think I am pretty much -- just one second.

MR. PASCHAL: I think we are good. That is it.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. I have five questions for you, well maybe a little more, but we will get you out of here.

Did you ever respond to an e-mail

1 from Craig and receive back an e-mail, I don't
2 know what you are talking about, I didn't send
3 this e-mail?

4
5

6
7

8

9

10

11
12

13

14

15
A. I don't know what you mean.

MR. PASCHAL: Objection to form. BY MR. FREEDMAN:
Q. Well we were talking about whether or not the e-mails were hacked.

My question is, did you ever respond to an e-mail from Craig, either orally or via e-mail and receive a response from Craig saying I didn't send that e-mail. It is a hacked e-mail?
A. No, I didn't even know he was hacked.
Q. Mr. Wilson, can you take a look at Plaintiffs Exhibit 1 for me, or Wilson 1 for me.

And, those are the resignation
letters?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you read the companies you resigned from?
A. Coin Exchange, Hotwire Preemptive

Intelligence, Interconnect Research and Integers.
Q. I noticed that, and actually you noticed, Mr. Wilson, during a break you mentioned this to us, that there was missing \(W \& K\) was missing from the resignation.
A. That's correct.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because I didn't even know I was a director.

MR. FREEDMAN: No further questions. MR. PASCHAL: No further questions.

All right, \(I\) think we are done.
MR. FREEDMAN: You have a right to read your deposition and you can correct inaccuracies that you believe are there, or you can trust the court reporter did her job. Do you elect to read it or do you waive your right to read it.

THE WITNESS: I will waive my right. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right, if this is everything, we are off the record at November 8, 2019, at 11:39.
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(Whereupon, signature having been waived,
    the deposition concluded at 11:39 a.m.)
    * * *
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\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 95: 632: 19 \text { 57:8,12 } \\
& 92: 12106: 8110: 1 \\
& \mathbf{9 / 2 0 / 2 0 1 3} 4: 10
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 108905-108908 4:8 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { 4:9 } 24: 3 \text { 51:3 } \\
& \text { 2nd } 53: 4 \\
& \text { 20 6:14 } 100: 13,14
\end{aligned}
\]} & & & \\
\hline \(10890719: 20\)
\(10890818: 18\) & & & & \\
\hline 108908 18:18 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

