

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
MIAMI DIVISION  
CASE NO. 12-20947-CRIMINAL-LENARD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Miami, Florida  
Plaintiff, July 21, 2014  
vs. 2:53 p.m. to 3:12 p.m.  
HENRY TARRIO, JR.,  
Defendant. Pages 1 to 13

---

RULE 35 MOTION HEARING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN A. LENARD,  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: VANESSA S. JOHANNES, ESQ.  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
SPECIAL PROSECUTIONS SECTION  
99 Northeast Fourth Street  
Miami, Florida 33132

FOR THE DEFENDANT: JEFFREY E. FEILER, ESQ.  
LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY E. FEILER  
7685 Southwest 104th Street  
Suite 200  
Miami, Florida 33156

REPORTED BY: LISA EDWARDS, RDR, CRR  
(305) 439-7168  
Reporterlisaedwards@gmail.com

1 THE COURT: United States of America versus Henry  
2 Tarrío, Case No. 12-20947.

3 Good afternoon, counsel. State your appearances,  
4 please, for the record.

5 MS. JOHANNES: Good afternoon, your Honor. Vanessa  
6 Johannes on behalf of the United States. With me at counsel  
7 table is the case agent in this matter, FBI Special Agent Rod  
8 Novales.

9 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

10 MR. FEILER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jeffrey  
11 Feiler on behalf of Henry Tarrío. I would waive his presence  
12 for this afternoon's proceedings.

13 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

14 We are here on the Government's motion for sentence  
15 reduction pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
16 Procedure.

17 Ms. Johannes, you may be heard.

18 MS. JOHANNES: Yes, your Honor.

19 The Government moves for a Rule 35 sentence reduction  
20 for Mr. Henry Tarrío based on the cooperation that he's given  
21 to the Government.

22 And, your Honor, just by way of background, just to let  
23 you know, this is a three-Defendant case. What this involves  
24 is the theft of diabetic test strips. The Defendants in this  
25 case, including Mr. Tarrío, wasn't responsible for the actual

1 theft. That occurred in Kentucky off of a freighter or truck.  
2 But once the diabetic test strips got here to Miami, he was  
3 part of the reselling of those diabetic test strips to end  
4 users. Part of that reselling included rebranding the test  
5 strips to make sure that the end users wouldn't realize that  
6 they were, in fact, part of a stolen lot.

7 From what we knew about Mr. Tarrío's role, he was kind  
8 of like the marketing person in this scheme. He was the most  
9 knowledgeable, I guess, about computers and how to sell things  
10 online and market things. He wasn't actually rebranding the  
11 product, but that was his role.

12 From the beginning, your Honor, since this case was  
13 taken down, Henry Tarrío was probably the most cooperative from  
14 day one. From day one, he was the one who wanted to talk to  
15 law enforcement, wanted to clear his name, wanted to straighten  
16 this out so that he could move on with his life. And he has in  
17 fact cooperated in a significant way.

18 He assisted law enforcement in prosecuting 13 other  
19 individuals. Those cases were all done federally. I'm not  
20 sure if it was done in one case or one indictment.

21 (Confers with Special Agent Novales privately.)

22 MR. JOHANNES: Two indictments. The case agent has  
23 informed me it's two indictments.

24 And I know that the AUSAs on those cases were Norman  
25 Hemming and Robert Watson. I've conferred with them, and they

1 did explain to me that Henry Tarrío was listed on the  
2 Government's witness list and that was conveyed to counsel in a  
3 inducing a plea for those 13 individuals.

4 I don't know the sentence those 13 individuals received  
5 because as of the time I conferred with them, sentencing had  
6 not occurred yet.

7 In addition to playing a role in that, Henry Tarrío did  
8 play a role with respect to the City of Miami's undercover  
9 operation into this illegal gambling ring. The Court has heard  
10 about that with respect to Adrian Marino. Now, with respect to  
11 Henry Tarrío, I don't know what exact role he played with that.  
12 If the Court is so inclined with respect to both the federal  
13 case that Henry Tarrío assisted on as well as the City of  
14 Miami, I can ask the case agent to provide us further  
15 information as to exactly what Henry Tarrío did with respect to  
16 both of those cases.

17 THE COURT: Did he testify before the grand jury?

18 MS. JOHANNES: My understanding is he did testify  
19 before the grand jury. If you would like me to ask the case  
20 agent which case that occurred on, I can.

21 THE COURT: That's fine.

22 MS. JOHANNES: Does your Honor want to hear from the  
23 case agent?

24 THE COURT: If the agent has any knowledge of the City  
25 of Miami.

1 SPECIAL AGENT NOVALES: Yes. Rod Novales, FBI Miami.

2 I do have information about the case regarding the City  
3 of Miami. I am aware that Henry Tarrío was working with a  
4 detective regarding the illegal gambling operation within that  
5 city. In fact, I had a drive-by surveillance with Henry Tarrío  
6 where he showed me the two locations that were engaged in  
7 illegal gambling.

8 I've made several attempts to contact that detective  
9 from the City of Miami, but I have never gotten any response  
10 since the investigation was initiated.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Feiler?

12 MR. FEILER: Good afternoon, your Honor.

13 Regarding Mr. Tarrío, first of all, I think, as the  
14 Court has heard and certainly the original sentencing  
15 reflected, that Mr. Tarrío was essentially the least culpable  
16 in this case to begin with. The test strips having been stolen  
17 in Kentucky and then brought here by Mr. Marino, ultimately,  
18 Mr. Tarrío became involved essentially as a salesman in the  
19 matter.

20 Mr. Tarrío was the first to cooperate in the case; and  
21 as a consequence of his cooperation, that led to the pleas of  
22 guilty by Adrian Marino and Roberto Marino and ultimately their  
23 cooperation as well.

24 Mr. Marino, as we heard, did appear before the grand  
25 jury on June 14th under the supervision of the agents. He

1 conducted an undercover operation at his own risk, whereby  
2 diabetic test strips were sold to a Mr. Macchetti for \$8,000.  
3 And Mr. Macchetti was one of the people who were closer to the  
4 original theft in the case and somebody that the Government was  
5 particularly interested in.

6 He then in August attempted another undercover  
7 operation with a second set of diabetic test strips.

8 Beyond that, in March, Mr. Tarrío was involved in  
9 another undercover operation involving anabolic steroids. The  
10 individual's name was Fabrizio. And ultimately, as a  
11 consequence of his undercover role in this, that individual was  
12 arrested and indicted.

13 Further, along with the Hialeah Police Department,  
14 Mr. Tarrío again was involved in undercover operations  
15 regarding wholesale prescription narcotics, resulting in two  
16 arrests.

17 Further, in conjunction with the City of Miami in July  
18 and working with the agent as a consequence of his information,  
19 they successfully raided a grow house and seized 60 pounds of  
20 marijuana.

21 In August, likewise, another grow house, resulting in  
22 the seizure of another 40 pounds of marijuana. And also again  
23 in July of another grow house in the city of Miami, which at  
24 that point was essentially in between cycles and was an  
25 inactive hydroponics lab.

1           Mr. Tarrío further worked in an undercover capacity in  
2 a case involving information pertaining to an illegal immigrant  
3 smuggling ring and, again at his own risk, in an undercover  
4 role met and negotiated to pay \$11,000 to members of that ring  
5 to bring in fictitious family members of his from another  
6 country.

7           And then of course in another situation, you've heard a  
8 little bit about the illegal gambling ring, which again  
9 Mr. Tarrío was involved.

10           In addition to all of these productive cooperations, he  
11 also assisted in approximately ten other attempts at being  
12 productive. And oftentimes, they may have failed because the  
13 local police department just didn't have the manpower. But  
14 these regarded in one instance anabolic steroids and in another  
15 instance cocaine, in another instance MDMA and in another  
16 instance credit card theft.

17           Your Honor, frankly, in all the years, which is now  
18 more than 30 that I've been doing this, I've never had a client  
19 as prolific in terms of cooperating in any respect.

20           I'm going to, if you would, ask the Court to reduce his  
21 sentence by 18 months. But since Mr. Tarrío received a  
22 sentence of 30 months in the first place, and he's done  
23 approximately going on about 12 right now, 18 months by  
24 percentage would be in the approximately 60 percent range.

25           But I think that under ordinary circumstances, that if

1 he were to have a higher sentence, given the range of  
2 cooperation that he gave, certainly in comparison to the other  
3 Co-Defendants in this case, that a reduction of five years or  
4 even seven years wouldn't be unusual in another case had he  
5 received a higher sentence.

6           Given that, for example, the Co-Defendant, Adrian  
7 Marino, received a reduction of 20 months and didn't do  
8 anywhere near as much as Mr. Tarrío, I think 18 months is  
9 certainly warranted in his case.

10           THE COURT: How much is the Government asking for in  
11 reduction?

12           MS. JOHANNES: Yes, your Honor. The Government's  
13 asking for a 33 percent reduction, which would bring  
14 Mr. Tarrío's sentence to 20 months by my calculation.

15           And, your Honor, I did want to respond a little bit to  
16 what Mr. Feiler had stated.

17           THE COURT: Yes.

18           MS. JOHANNES: It's true that the Defendant did  
19 cooperate with respect to grow houses. The agent never  
20 received information from those officers, detectives, about the  
21 status of that or what had occurred with that, although he  
22 tried to follow up. So that's why the Government did not put  
23 that as part of the basis for the Rule 35 reduction.

24           As well, with respect to the immigration and the INS  
25 smuggling ring, the agent has told me nothing came out of that.

1 He did attempt to cooperate as well as he attempted to  
2 cooperate in other respects, but nothing -- no arrests came out  
3 of any of those attempts and no cases were built from them.

4 Your Honor, I would say this: I know Mr. Feiler has  
5 stated that normally in federal court we see such high  
6 sentences that when you ask for 18 months at a Rule 35 hearing,  
7 it doesn't appear like a lot. And here it is appearing like a  
8 lot because Mr. Tarrío originally got 30 months.

9 The reason the Government's asking for 33 percent, your  
10 Honor, as opposed to a 60 percent reduction not just with this  
11 Defendant, but the other Defendants, is because the sentences  
12 actually have to mean something. They did start with  
13 relatively low sentences by what we see in the federal system.  
14 And that's because of the way the guidelines work with respect  
15 to pharmaceutical theft. And the guidelines are what they are.

16 But 30 months for reselling diabetic test strips on the  
17 market, you know, dealing in a case that actually had a  
18 significant monetary consequence is not something light. And  
19 30 months is a relatively low sentence when you start talking  
20 about the big picture of things.

21 So asking for 60 months -- a 60 percent sentence  
22 reduction is a lot. I will agree Henry Tarrío did a lot of  
23 work. He did more work than the other Defendants by far. And  
24 he was the most cooperative from day one.

25 But we're already starting off at a low place, if you

1 will, your Honor. That's why the Government is not asking for  
2 sentence reductions that are so high.

3 THE COURT: I'm going to grant the Government's motion  
4 for sentence reduction pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules  
5 of Criminal Procedure.

6 I find that the Defendant has provided substantial  
7 assistance in the investigation and prosecution of other  
8 persons involved in criminal conduct pursuant to Rule 35(b).

9 Under *United States versus McVay*, at 447 F.3d 1348, a  
10 2006 decision, the Court should include various factors in  
11 determining the appropriate reduction when granting a motion  
12 under Rule 35.

13 And the first factor is the Court's evaluation of the  
14 significance and usefulness of the Defendant's assistance,  
15 taking into consideration the Government's evaluation of the  
16 assistance.

17 And here, the Government has indicated that the  
18 Defendant cooperated in a significant way, allowing the  
19 Government to proceed with two indictments of 13 persons. He  
20 was listed on the witness list. He testified before a grand  
21 jury and he was the most cooperative Defendant from day one.

22 He was knowledgeable regarding the technological  
23 aspects of the underlying offense.

24 His counsel argues regarding his other cooperation.

25 Let me ask the Government, as far as him going

1 undercover regarding test strips, a similar offense, did that  
2 occur as part of his cooperation for the Federal Government?

3 SPECIAL AGENT NOVALES: Your Honor, he was assisting  
4 mainly Roberto Marino in that operation. But he was there. He  
5 was an effective part of the investigation.

6 THE COURT: Was he undercover as well?

7 SPECIAL AGENT NOVALES: No, ma'am.

8 THE COURT: So his counsel indicates a number of  
9 undercover assistance that he had regarding steroids, three  
10 grow houses, a smuggling ring and gambling as well as other  
11 attempts to cooperate.

12 The second factor is the truthfulness, completeness and  
13 reliability of the information or testimony provided by the  
14 Defendant. Implicit in both sides' arguments is that he was  
15 truthful, complete and reliable.

16 The third factor is the danger or risk of injury to the  
17 Defendant. As he participated in several other investigations  
18 undercover, implicit in that is a certain amount of risk.  
19 Also, the timeliness of the assistance. And here, he was the  
20 most cooperative Defendant from day one.

21 And the nature and extent of his assistance, which was  
22 providing testimony to the grand jury, information allowing the  
23 Government to indict 13 other persons and being prepared to  
24 testify as well as the other undercover.

25 MS. JOHANNES: Your Honor, the case agent wanted to

1 clarify something that he had stated to the Court.

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 SPECIAL AGENT NOVALES: Your Honor, regarding the  
4 undercover operations, I was referring to the federal  
5 investigation. When it came to the steroids and the diabetic  
6 test strips undercover operations, Henry Tarrío assisted  
7 Roberto Marino, but he was not the key player in those  
8 operations.

9 Henry Tarrío was the key component in the local police  
10 department investigations regarding the marijuana and the other  
11 illegal drug investigations, cocaine, MDMA and such. But those  
12 investigations -- again, I'm not -- I am aware of them. I know  
13 that he had an active role and he was a key component in them.  
14 But not -- no specific information was ever provided to me in  
15 writing by those agencies.

16 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

17 So he did provide information or assistance in the  
18 federal investigations regarding test strips and steroids and  
19 did some potential undercover work in state investigations  
20 regarding grow houses, smuggling and gambling.

21 SPECIAL AGENT NOVALES: Correct.

22 THE COURT: So I find that a 45 percent reduction is  
23 the appropriate reduction, reducing his sentence to 16 months.

24 And I find, after considering the 3553(a) factors,  
25 including the nature and circumstances of the offense, the

1 history and characteristics of the Defendant, the need for the  
2 sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to  
3 promote respect for the law and provide just punishment,  
4 supports that 45 percent reduction.

5 So I will enter an amended judgment and commitment  
6 order amending his sentence to 16 months. All other provisions  
7 of the original judgment and commitment order remain in full  
8 force and effect.

9 Anything further?

10 MS. JOHANNES: Nothing from the Government, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you.

12 MR. FEILER: Nothing, your Honor. Thank you.

13 THE COURT: We're in recess in this matter.

14 MS. JOHANNES: Have a good afternoon, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. You, too.

16 (Proceedings concluded.)

17  
18 C E R T I F I C A T E

19 I hereby certify that the foregoing is an  
20 accurate transcription of the proceedings in the  
21 above-entitled matter.

22

23

24

25

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

/s/Lisa Edwards  
LISA EDWARDS, RDR, CRR  
(305) 439-7168  
Reporterlisaedwards@gmail.com