UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

RAYMOND ANKNER, CJA AND ASSOCIATES, INC., RMC PROPERTY & CASUALTY, LTD., and RMC CONSULTANTS, LTD.,

Plaintiffs,

v. Case No: 2:21-cv-330-JES-NPM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

CJA AND ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 2:21-cv-331-JES-NPM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

RMC PROPERTY & CASUALTY, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

V. Case No: 2:21-cv-333-JES-NPM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

RMC CONSULTANTS, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

V. Case No: 2:21-cv-334-JES-NPM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant.
JURY VERDICT FORM
WE THE JURY FIND:
1. Raymond Ankner
(a) The United States proved by a preponderance of the
evidence each element of Internal Revenue Code Section
6700 as to Raymond Ankner for the following tax years:
2014: Yes or No
2015: Yes or No
If you answered $\underline{\text{YES}}$ to a particular tax year, proceed to answer
question 1(b) as to that tax year. If you answered $\underline{\text{NO}}$ to a
particular tax year, leave that tax year blank in questions 1(b)

and 1(c) and proceed to question 2(a).

(b)	Raymond Ankner proved by a preponderance of the			
	evidence that his penalty liability is less than:			
	\$51,995.50 for the 2014 tax year:			
	Yes or No			
	\$61,723.53 for the 2015 tax year:			
	Yes or No			
If you answered $\underline{ ext{YES}}$ to a particular tax year, proceed to question				
L(c) as to that tax year. If you answered $\underline{\mathtt{NO}}$ to a particular tax				
year, leave that tax year blank in question 1(c) and proceed to				
question 2(a).				
(c)	Enter the amount of penalties for which Raymond Ankner is liable for each tax year:			
	2014: \$			

	2015: \$		
Proceed to	question 2(a).		
2. CJA & .	Associates, Inc.		
(a)	The United States proved b	y a p	reponderance of the
	evidence each element of Int	ernal	Revenue Code Section
	6700 as to CJA & Associate	s, Inc	. for the following
	tax years:		
	2010: Yes	or	No
	2011: Yes	or	No
	2012: Yes	or	No
	2014: Yes	or	No
	2015: Yes	or	No
	2016: Yes	or	No

If you answered \underline{YES} to a particular tax year, proceed to question 2(b) as to that tax year. If you answered \underline{NO} to a particular tax year, leave that tax year blank in questions 2(b) and 2(c) and proceed to question 3(a).

(b)	CJA & Associates, Inc. proved by a preponderance of
	the evidence that its penalty liability is less than:
	\$155,787.50 for the 2010 tax year:
	Yes or No
	\$391,042.90 for the 2011 tax year:
	Yes or No
	\$147,171.72 for the 2012 tax year:

Yes_____ or No____

\$13,617.32	for the	2014 tax	year:	
Yes		or	No	
\$53,756.14	for the	2015 tax	year:	
Yes		or	No	
\$54,322.30	for the	2016 tax	year:	
Yes		or	No	

If you answered \underline{YES} to a particular tax year, proceed to answer question 2(c) as to that tax year. If you answered \underline{NO} to a particular tax year, leave that tax year blank in question 2(c) and proceed to question 3(a).

(c) Enter the amount of penalties for which CJA &

Associates, Inc. is liable:
2010: \$
2011: \$
2012: \$
2014: \$
2015: \$
2016: \$
Proceed to question 3(a).
3. RMC Property & Casualty, Ltd.
(a) The United States proved by a preponderance of the
evidence each element of Internal Revenue Code Section

following tax years:

6700 as to RMC Property & Casualty, Ltd. for the

2010: Yes	or	No
2011: Yes	or	No
2012: Yes	or	No
2013: Yes	or	No
2014: Yes	or	No
2015: Yes	or	No
2016: Yes	or	No

Proceed to question 4(a).

4. RMC Consultants, Ltd.

(a) The United States proved by a preponderance of the evidence each element of Internal Revenue Code Section 6700 as to RMC Consultants, Ltd. for the following tax years:

2011:	Yes	or	No	

2012: Yes	or	No
2013: Yes	or	No
2014: Yes	or	No
2015: Yes	or	No
2016: Yes	or	No_

You have finished your deliberations. Please sign and date the document below and return the form to the Court.

SO SAY WE ALL.

JURY FOREPERSON