| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | Γ |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE | |

| ARM LTD., | LES DIRECTED BY BY BY BY | |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Plaintiff, | |
| v. |) | C.A. No. 22-1146 (MN) |
| QUALCOMM INC | | ANY AND TO I |
| TECHNOLOGIES | , INC. and NUVIA, INC.,) | |
| | Defendants. | |

VERDICT FORM

In answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge. Your answer to each question must be unanimous.

We, the jury in this case, find the following answers to the following questions:

Arm's Claims for Breach of Contract

Question 1: Did Arm prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Nuvia breached Section 15.1(a) of the Nuvia ALA?

| YES | NO | |
|---------|-----------|--|
| For Arm | For Nuvia | |
| YES | NO | |

Question 2: Did Arm prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Qualcomm breached Section 15.1(a) of the Nuvia ALA?

| YES | NO | |
|---------|--------------|--|
| For Arm | For Qualcomm | |
| YES | NO V | |

Qualcomm's Claim

Question 3: Did Qualcomm prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Qualcomm CPUs that include designs acquired in the Nuvia acquisition are licensed under the Qualcomm ALA?

| YES | NO | |
|--------------|---------|--|
| For Qualcomm | For Arm | |
| | | |
| YES V | NO | |
| IES W | NU | |

CONCLUSION

You have reached the end of the verdict form. Review the completed form to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. All jurors should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict.

Date: 12/20/24

