IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ARM LTD.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) C.A. No. 22-1146 (MN)
QUALCOMM INC., QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and NUVIA, INC.,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

## **VERDICT FORM**

In answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge. Your answer to each question must be unanimous.

## Arm's Claims for Breach of Contract

At m's Claims for Dicach of Contract				
Question 1:	Did Arm prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Nuvia breached Section 15.1(a) of the Nuvia ALA?			d Section
	YE <u>For A</u>		NO <u>For Nuvia</u>	
	YES	NO _		
Question 2:	Did Arm prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Qualcomm breache Section 15.1(a) of the Nuvia ALA?			eached
	YE	S	NO	
	For A	<u>rm</u> <u>F</u>	For Qualcomm	
	YES	NO NO		

## Qualcomm's Claim

Question 3: Did Qualcomm prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Qualcomm CPUs that include designs acquired in the Nuvia acquisition are licensed under the Qualcomm ALA?

For Qualcomm	NO <u>For Arm</u>
YES	NO

## **CONCLUSION**

You have reached the end of the verdict form. Review the completed form to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. All jurors should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict.

Date:	
	Foreperson
_	Juror
	Juror
	Juror
	Juror
	Juror
-	Juror

Juror