IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs/Intervenor-Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs in Intervention, v. ASUSTeK COMPUTER INC. and ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL, Defendants, and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Intervenor-Plaintiff/ Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention, and MICROSOFT MOBILE INC., Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention.	)	C.A. No. 15-1125 (GMS)
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs, v. HTC CORP. and HTC AMERICA, Defendants.	)	C.A. No. 15-1126 (GMS)

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs/Intervenor-Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs in Intervention, v. VISUAL LAND, INC., Defendant, and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Intervenor-Plaintiff/ Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention, and MICROSOFT MOBILE INC., Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention.	)	C.A. No. 15-1127 (GMS)
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs, v. SOUTHERN TELECOM, INC., Defendant.	)	C.A. No. 15-1128 (GMS)

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs/Intervenor-Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs in Intervention, v. DOUBLE POWER TECHNOLOGY, INC., ZOWEE MARKETING CO., LTD., and SHENZEN ZOWEE TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., Defendants, and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Intervenor-Plaintiff/ Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention, and MICROSOFT MOBILE INC., Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention.	C.A. No. 15-1130 (GMS)
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs/Intervenor-Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs in Intervention, v. YIFANG USA, INC. D/B/A E-FUN, INC., Defendants,	C.A. No. 15-1131 (GMS)

and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Intervenor-Plaintiff/ Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention, and MICROSOFT MOBILE INC., Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention.	)	
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. and U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Plaintiffs/Intervenor-Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs in Intervention, v. ACER INC. and ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, Defendants, and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Intervenor-Plaintiff/ Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention, and MICROSOFT MOBILE INC., Counterclaim Defendant in Intervention.	)	C.A. No. 15-1170 (GMS)

[PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING RULE 16 SCHEDULING ORDER

WHEREAS, the Court held in-person discovery conferences in these matters on June 8 and June 20, 2017; and

WHEREAS, for the reasons discussed during those discovery conferences, the Court has decided to adjust and supplement certain dates set forth in the Joint Rule 16 Scheduling Order entered in these matters (*see, e.g.,* C.A. No. 15-1125, D.I. 45) as set forth below;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, for the reasons discussed during the June 20, 2017 discovery conference, that the dates set forth in the Joint Rule 16 Scheduling Order entered in these matters shall be amended and supplemented as follows:

Event	**Original Date**	**Proposed Date**
Plaintiffs Supplement Their Responses to Defendants' Common Interrogatory Nos. 8-9.	n/a	June 30, 2017
Defendants (except YiFang[1]) Complete Production of Source Code in their Possession, Custody or Control	n/a	June 30, 2017
Defendants (except Visual Land[2]) Each Supplement Their Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 1, 2, and 5, Including Production of All Underlying Documents	n/a	June 30, 2017
Supplemental Invalidity Contentions for Obviousness	n/a	July 21, 2017
Parties Exchange Privilege Logs	June 29, 2017	August 4, 2017
Reliance on Advice of Counsel; Defendants to Disclose Intent and Produce Documents and Opinions for Reliance Upon Advice of Counsel	August 4, 2017	August 4, 2017
Supplemental Infringement Contentions	n/a	September 29, 2017
Supplemental Invalidity Contentions	n/a	November 3, 2017

[1] Philips and YiFang have not yet reached agreement concerning the deadline for YiFang to produce source code in its possession, custody, or control. The parties are continuing to meet and confer and will jointly submit to the Court, by June 30, 2017, a proposed order amending the Rule 16 scheduling order with respect to YiFang that includes a deadline for this event.

[2] Philips and Visual Land have not yet reached agreement concerning the deadline for Visual Land to supplement its responses to these interrogatories and other discovery. The parties are continuing to meet and confer and will submit to the Court, by July 7, 2017, a status report regarding a discovery plan for Visual Land to catch up on its existing discovery obligations.

Event	Original Date	Proposed Date
Supplemental Non-Infringement Contentions[3]	n/a	November 3, 2017
Supplemental Validity Contentions (Defendants' Common Interrogatory Nos. 3-7)	n/a	December 8, 2017
Final Infringement Contentions	July 21, 2017	December 8, 2017
Final Invalidity Contentions	August 25, 2017	January 12, 2018
Final Non-Infringement Contentions	n/a	January 12, 2018
Final Validity Contentions	n/a	February 9, 2018
Close of Fact Discovery	September 25, 2017	February 16, 2018
Opening Expert Reports	October 20, 2017	March 16, 2018
Rebuttal Expert Reports	November 30, 2017	April 27, 2018
Close of Expert Discovery	January 26, 2018	June 22, 2018
Opening Summary Judgment Letter Briefs Seeking Permission to File Summary Judgment Motions	February 2, 2018	June 29, 2018
Answering Letter Briefs Seeking Permission to File Summary Judgment Motions	February 16, 2018	July 13, 2018
Reply Letter Briefs Seeking Permission to File Summary Judgment Motions	February 23, 2018	July 20, 2018
Summary Judgment – Opening Briefs (If Allowed by the Court)	14 Days After Order	14 Days After Order
Summary Judgment – Answering Briefs	By Local Rule	By Local Rule
Summary Judgment – Reply Briefs	By Local Rule	By Local Rule
Trial Planning Conference	April 10, 2018	September 7, 2018
First Pretrial Order	May 11, 2018	October __, 2018
First Pretrial Conference	June 4, 2018	November __, 2018
First Trial	June 18, 2018	November __, 2018

All other provisions of the Joint Rule 16 Scheduling Order shall remain in full force and effect.

_____
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[3] As to all Defendants: Philips' Interrogatory Nos. 4 and 6. In addition, as to Acer, ASUS, HTC, YiFang, and Visual Land, Interrogatory Nos. 7-8; as to Microsoft, Interrogatory No. 7; as to Southern Telecom, Interrogatory No. 7; and as to Double Power, Interrogatory Nos. 10-11.