IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FLASHPOINT TECHNOLOGY, INC., Plaintiff, v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, D/B/A CINGULAR WIRELESS, HTC AMERICA, INC., HTC CORP., KYOCERA WIRELESS CORP., KYOCERA CORP., LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC., LG ELECTRONICS, INC., MOTOROLA, INC., NOKIA, INC., NOKIA CORP., PALM, INC., RESEARCH IN MOTION CORP., RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD., SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., D/B/A SPRINT PCS, T-MOBILE USA, INC., and CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, Defendants	§ §	C.A. No. 08-140-GMS **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

STIPULATION REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED REPLY
TO RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between counsel for the plaintiff FlashPoint Technology, Inc. ("FlashPoint") and defendant Research in Motion Ltd. ("RIM Ltd."), pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a), that FlashPoint may amend paragraph 9 of its Reply to the Counterclaims of RIM Ltd. (D.I. 73) (the "Reply") to specify that it does not presently assert that RIM Ltd. infringes any claim of certain specified patents. Flashpoint's amended Reply is attached hereto as Exhibit A; a blackline version is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

The amended Reply is deemed filed and served as of today's date.

/s/ Evan O. Williford

David J. Margules (I.D. No. 2254)
Evan O. Williford (I.D. No. 4162)
BOUCHARD MARGULES & FRIEDLANDER, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 573-3500
dmargules@bmf-law.com
ewilliford@bmf-law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Flashpoint Technology, Inc.

Patrick J. Coughlin
Michael J. Dowd
Ray Arun Mandlekar
James R. Hail
Nathan R. Lindell
COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 231-1058

John F. Ward
John W. Olivo, Jr.
David M. Hill
Michael J. Zinna
WARD & OLIVO
380 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10017
(212) 697-6262

/s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (I.D. No. 2246)
David E. Moore (I.D. No. 3983)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899
Telephone: (302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
dmoore@potteranderson.com
Attorneys for Defendant Research in Motion Ltd.

Peter J. Chassman
Shane A. Nelson
Joshua S. Wyde
HOWREY LLP
1111 Louisiana, 25th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
(713) 787-1623

Dated: June 13, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Evan O. Williford, hereby certify that on June 13, 2008, I caused to be electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document – **Stipulation Regarding Plaintiff's Amended Reply to Research in Motion Ltd.'s Counterclaims** – with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following local counsel for defendants:

Jeffrey L. Moyer, Esquire
Steven J. Fineman, Esquire
Richards Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendants Nokia, Inc. and Nokia Corp.

Josy W. Ingersoll, Esquire
John W. Shaw, Esquire
Karen E. Keller, Esquire
Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant Kyocera Wireless Corp., AT&T Mobility LLC and Motorola, Inc.

Arthur G. Connolly, III, Esquire
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz
1007 North Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
Attorneys for Defendant T-Mobile USA Inc.

Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire
David E. Moore, Esquire
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza
1313 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendants HTC America, Inc., HTC Corp., Research in Motion Corp. and Research in Motion Ltd.

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire
Anne Shea Gaza, Esquire
Richards Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant Sprint Spectrum d/b/a Sprint PCS

Steven J. Balick, Esquire
John G. Day, Esquire
Lauren E. Maguire, Esquire
Ashby & Geddes
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless

Richard K. Herrmann, Esquire
Morris James LLP
500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant LG Electronics USA, Inc.

Rex A. Donnelly, IV, Esquire
Joanne Ceballos, Esquire
Ratner Prestia
1007 Orange Street, Suite 1100
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant Palm, Inc.

/s/ Evan O. Williford
David J. Margules (I.D. No. 2254)
Evan O. Williford (I.D. No. 4162)
BOUCHARD MARGULES & FRIEDLANDER, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 573-3500
dmargules@bmf-law.com
ewilliford@bmf-law.com
Attorneys for plaintiff Flashpoint Technology, Inc.

Exhibit

A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FLASHPOINT TECHNOLOGY, INC., Plaintiff, v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, D/B/A CINGULAR WIRELESS, HTC AMERICA, INC., HTC CORP., KYOCERA WIRELESS CORP., KYOCERA CORP., LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC., LG ELECTRONICS, INC., MOTOROLA, INC., NOKIA, INC., NOKIA CORP., PALM, INC., RESEARCH IN MOTION CORP., RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD., SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., D/B/A SPRINT PCS, T-MOBILE USA, INC., and CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, Defendants	§ § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §	C.A. No. 08-140-GMS **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED REPLY TO
RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff FlashPoint Technology, Inc. ("FlashPoint") hereby responds to each paragraph of Research In Motion Ltd.'s ("RIM Ltd.") Counterclaims as follows:

PARTIES

1. Upon information and belief, admitted.

2. Admitted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Admitted that RIM Ltd. purports to state a counterclaim for invalidity and non-infringement of the patents-in-suit, that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over RIM Ltd.'s counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202, 1331 and 1338, that a real, immediate and justiciable controversy exists between RIM Ltd. and FlashPoint relating to the validity of one or

more of the patents-in-suit and the infringement of one or more claims of the patents-in-suit by RIM Ltd., and that RIM Ltd. has infringed one or more claims of the patents-in-suit, but otherwise denied.

4. Admitted.

COUNT 1: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY

5. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth to Paragraphs 1-4 as if fully set forth herein.

6. Denied.

7. Admitted.

COUNT 2: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT

8. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth to Paragraphs 1-7 as if fully set forth herein.

9. Admitted that, although FlashPoint is still investigating the matter, it does not presently assert that RIM Ltd. infringes any claim of the ‘480, ‘956, ‘538, ‘316, ‘914, and ‘575 patents, but otherwise denied.

10. Admitted.

COUNT 3: ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

11. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth to Paragraphs 1-10 as if fully set forth herein.

12. Denied.

JURY DEMAND

13. No response to Paragraph 13 is required.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In addition to the relief requested in Plaintiff's Original Complaint, Plaintiff respectfully requests a judgment as follows against RIM Ltd. as follows:

A. That RIM Ltd. takes nothing by its Counterclaims;

B. That the Court award Plaintiff costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and

C. Any and all further relief for Plaintiff as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

Patrick J. Coughlin
Michael J. Dowd
Ray Arun Mandlekar
James R. Hail
Nathan R. Lindell
COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 231-1058

John F. Ward
John W. Olivo, Jr.
David M. Hill
Michael J. Zinna
WARD & OLIVO
380 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10017
(212) 697-6262

Dated: June 13, 2008

/s/ Evan O. Williford

David J. Margules (I.D. No. 2254)
Evan O. Williford (I.D. No. 4162)
BOUCHARD MARGULES & FRIEDLANDER, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 573-3500
dmargules@bmf-law.com
ewilliford@bmf-law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Flashpoint Technology, Inc.

Exhibit

B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FLASHPOINT TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	C.A. No. 08-140-GMS
	§	
AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, D/B/A CINGULAR WIRELESS, HTC AMERICA, INC., HTC CORP., KYOCERA WIRELESS CORP., KYOCERA CORP., LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC., LG ELECTRONICS, INC., MOTOROLA, INC., NOKIA, INC., NOKIA CORP., PALM, INC., RESEARCH IN MOTION CORP., RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD., SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., D/B/A SPRINT PCS, T-MOBILE USA, INC., and CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS,	§	**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
	§	
Defendants	§	

PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED REPLY TO
RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff FlashPoint Technology, Inc. ("FlashPoint") hereby responds to each paragraph of Research In Motion Ltd.'s ("RIM Ltd.") Counterclaims as follows:

PARTIES

1. Upon information and belief, admitted.

2. Admitted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Admitted that RIM Ltd. purports to state a counterclaim for invalidity and non-infringement of the patents-in-suit, that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over RIM Ltd.'s counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202, 1331 and 1338, that a real, immediate and justiciable controversy exists between RIM Ltd. and FlashPoint relating to the validity of one or

Deleted: {BMF-W0095354.}

more of the patents-in-suit and the infringement of one or more claims of the patents-in-suit by RIM Ltd., and that RIM Ltd. has infringed one or more claims of the patents-in-suit, but otherwise denied.

4. Admitted.

COUNT 1: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY

5. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth to Paragraphs 1-4 as if fully set forth herein.

6. Denied.

7. Admitted.

COUNT 2: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT

8. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth to Paragraphs 1-7 as if fully set forth herein.

9. Admitted that, although FlashPoint is still investigating the matter, it does not presently assert that RIM Ltd. infringes any claim of the '480, '956, '538, '316, '914, and '575 patents, but otherwise denied.

Deleted: 9. Denied.¶

10. Admitted.

COUNT 3: ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

11. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth to Paragraphs 1-10 as if fully set forth herein.

12. Denied.

JURY DEMAND

13. No response to Paragraph 13 is required.

Deleted: {BMF-W0095354.}

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In addition to the relief requested in Plaintiff's Original Complaint, Plaintiff respectfully requests a judgment as follows against RIM Ltd. as follows:

A. That RIM Ltd. takes nothing by its Counterclaims;

B. That the Court award Plaintiff costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and

C. Any and all further relief for Plaintiff as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

/s/ Evan O. Williford
David J. Margules (I.D. No. 2254)
Evan O. Williford (I.D. No. 4162)
BOUCHARD MARGULES & FRIEDLANDER, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 573-3500
dmargules@bmf-law.com
ewilliford@bmf-law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Flashpoint Technology, Inc.

Patrick J. Coughlin
Michael J. Dowd
Ray Arun Mandlekar
James R. Hail
Nathan R. Lindell
COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 231-1058

John F. Ward
John W. Olivo, Jr.
David M. Hill
Michael J. Zinna
WARD & OLIVO
380 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10017
(212) 697-6262

Dated: June 13, 2008

Deleted: May 20

Deleted: {BMF-W0095354.}