IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MAM BABY ARTIKEL GMBH, and BAMED AG,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 07-608-***
LUV N' CARE, LTD., and MUNCHKIN, INC.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

SCHEDULING ORDER

This ___ day of _____, 200_, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on January 22, 2008, and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within five days of the date of this Order. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Discovery of Electronic Documents, which is posted at http://www.ded.uscourts.gov (see Orders, etc., Policies & Procedures, Ad Hoc Committee for Electronic Discovery), and is incorporated herein by reference. **[The Parties have agreed that Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures will be exchanged on March 11, 2008. The Parties have also agreed to meet and confer to agree upon E-Discovery requirements no later than February 4, 2008.]**

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before June 18, 2008.

3. Discovery.

a. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each side is limited to a total of 7 hours per deposition of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination.

b. Location of Depositions. Any party representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

c. Discovery Cut Off. All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before May 13, 2009. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed. **[The Parties may serve no more than fifty (50) Requests for Admission; for purposes of this proposal Plaintiffs will be treated as a single party. The Parties may seek leave of Court to serve additional Requests for Admission.]**

d. Disclosure of Expert Testimony. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before March 2, 2009. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before April 13, 2009. Along with the

submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1983), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

e. Discovery Matters. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery matter, the parties involved in the discovery matter(s) shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6173 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forth-eight (48) hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three (3) pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four (24) hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three (3) pages outlining that party's reason for its opposition. Should any document(s) be filed under seal, a copy of the sealed document(s) must be provided to the Magistrate Judge within one (1) hour of e-filing the document(s). Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders or motions for extension of time for briefing case dispositive motions which are related to discovery matters are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for this

disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel must first allow the provisions of Paragraph 3e above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

> Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. ADR Process. To be discussed during the Rule 16 conference.

7. Interim Status Report. On October 6, 2008, counsel shall submit a joint interim report to the Court on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference. On October 14, 2008, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (b) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at _____ _.m. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call. At the time of this conference, counsel shall also be prepared to discuss the progress, if any, of settlement discussions and shall be prepared to discuss the possibility of setting up a settlement conference with the Court, counsel and their clients.

If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they shall notify the Court in writing before the conference is scheduled to

occur, and the conference will be taken off the Court's calendar. **[If necessary, the Court will set another subsequent Scheduling Conference.]**

9. Tutorial Describing the Technology and Matters in Issue. The parties shall provide the Court by _____, 200_, a tutorial on the technology at issue. In that regard, each party may submit a videotape/CD of not more than 30 minutes. The parties may choose to present the tutorial in person. In either event, the tutorial should focus on the technology in issue and should not be used to argue the parties' claims construction contentions. If the parties choose to file videotapes/CDs, they should be filed under seal as part of the Court's file, subject to any protective order in effect. Each party may comment, in writing (in no more than 5 pages) on the opposing party's videotape/CD tutorial. Any such comment shall be filed within ten (10) days of submission of the videotapes/CDs. As to the format selected, the parties should confirm the Court's technical abilities to access the information contained in the tutorial. **[The Parties do not believe that a Tutorial will be necessary; the Parties, however, will be prepared to provide a Tutorial should the Court request one.]**

10. Case Dispositive Motions. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before June 15, 2009. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules. **[The Court has indicated that, while case dispositive motions may be filed at any time prior to June 15, 2009, responses and/or oppositions thereto will not be due until after June 15, 2009.]**

11. Claim Construction Issue Identification. If the Court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on May 22, 2008, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrases(s). This document will not be filed

with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be submitted on August 25, 2008. The parties Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon shall be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

12. Claim Construction. The parties shall contemporaneously submit initial briefs on claim construction issues on October 17, 2008. The parties' answering/responsive briefs shall be contemporaneously submitted on November 17, 2008. No reply briefs or supplemental papers on claim construction shall be submitted without leave of the Court. Local Rule 7.13(4) shall control the page limitation for initial (opening) and responsive (answering) briefs.

13. Hearing on Claim Construction. Beginning at 5:30 p.m. on December 10, 2008, the Court will hear evidence and argument on claim construction and summary judgment.

14. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

15. Pretrial Conference On _____, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at _____ _.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the

joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order on or before _____.

16. Motions *in Limine*. Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited in five *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three (3) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

17. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanies by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.

18. Trial. This matter is scheduled for a __ day __ trial beginning at 9:30 a.m. on _____ with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:00 a.m. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of __ hours to present their case.

_____
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

BEN T. CASTLE
SHELDON N. SANDLER
RICHARD A. LEVINE
RICHARD A. ZAPPA
FREDERICK W. IOBST
RICHARD H. MORSE
DAVID C. MCBRIDE
JOSEPH M. NICHOLSON
CRAIG A. KARSNITZ
BARRY M. WILLOUGHBY
JOSY W. INGERSOLL
ANTHONY G. FLYNN
JEROME K. GROSSMAN
EUGENE A. DIPRINZIO
JAMES L. PATTON, JR.
ROBERT L. THOMAS
WILLIAM D. JOHNSTON
TIMOTHY J. SNYDER
BRUCE L. SILVERSTEIN
WILLIAM W. BOWSER
LARRY J. TARABICOS
RICHARD A. DILIBERTO, JR.
MELANIE K. SHARP
CASSANDRA F. ROBERTS
RICHARD J.A. POPPER
TERESA A. CHEEK
NEILLI MULLEN WALSH

JANET Z. CHARLTON
ROBERT S. BRADY
JOEL A. WAITE
BRENT C. SHAFFER
DANIEL P. JOHNSON
CRAIG D. GREAR
TIMOTHY JAY HOUSEAL
MARTIN S. LESSNER
PAULINE K. MORGAN
C. BARR FLINN
NATALIE WOLF
LISA B. GOODMAN
JOHN W. SHAW
JAMES P. HUGHES, JR.
EDWIN J. HARRON
MICHAEL R. NESTOR
MAUREEN D. LUKE
ROLIN P. BISSELL
SCOTT A. HOLT
JOHN T. DORSEY
M. BLAKE CLEARY
CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
DANIELLE GIBBS
JOHN J. PASCHETTO
NORMAN M. POWELL
ELENA C. NORMAN

THE BRANDYWINE BUILDING
1000 WEST STREET, 17TH FLOOR
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801

P.O. BOX 391
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899-0391

(302) 571-6600
(800) 253-2234 (DE ONLY)
FAX: (302) 571-1253

GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE
MIDDLETOWN, DELAWARE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

WWW.YOUNGCONAWAY.COM

DIRECT DIAL: (302) 571-6642
DIRECT FAX: (302) 576-3326
apoff@ycst.com

JOSEPH M. BARRY
SEAN M. BEACH
SANJAY BHATNAGAR
DONALD J. BOWMAN, JR.
MICHELE SHERRETTA BUDICAK
JEFFREY T. CASTELLANO
KARA HAMMOND COYLE
KRISTEN SALVATORE DEPALMA
MARGARET M. DIBIANCA
MARY F. DUGAN
ERIN EDWARDS
KENNETH J. ENOS
IAN S. FREDERICKS
JAMES J. GALLAGHER
SEAN T. GREECHER
STEPHANIE L. HANSEN
PATRICK A. JACKSON
DAWN M. JONES
KAREN E. KELLER
JENNIFER M. KINKUS
EDWARD J. KOSMOWSKI
JOHN C. KUFFEL

SPECIAL COUNSEL
JOHN D. MCLAUGHLIN, JR.
KAREN L. PASCALE
PATRICIA A. WIDDOSS

TIMOTHY E. LENGKEEK
ANDREW A. LUNDGREN
MATTHEW B. LUNN
ADRIA B. MARTINELLI
KATHALEEN MCCORMICK
MICHAEL W. MCDERMOTT
TAMMY L. MERCER
MARIBETH L. MINELLA
EDMON L. MORTON
D. FON MUTTAMARA-WALKER
JENNIFER R. NOEL
ADAM W. POFF
SETH J. REIDENBERG
SARA BETH A. REYBURN
CHERYL A. SANTANIELLO
(NJ & PA ONLY)
MONTE T. SQUIRE
MICHAEL P. STAFFORD
CHAD S.C. STOVER
JOHN E. TRACEY
TRAVIS N. TURNER
MARGARET B. WHITEMAN
SHARON M. ZIEG

SENIOR COUNSEL
CURTIS J. CROWTHER

OF COUNSEL
BRUCE M. STARGATT
STUART B. YOUNG
EDWARD B. MAXWELL, 2ND

February 1, 2008

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Mary Pat Thynge
United States Magistrate Judge
United States District Court
District of Delaware
844 North King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: *MAM Baby Artikel GMBH, et al. v. Munchkin, Inc., et al.*
C.A. No. 07-608-***

Dear Judge Thynge:

Enclosed please find the parties' final Scheduling Order, as agreed upon during the Rule 16 conference of January 22, 2008.

I also write on behalf of all parties to report that there is no unanimous consent to Your Honor handling the above-captioned case in its entirety, and there is no longer unanimous consent to Your Honor resolving defendant Luv n'care, Ltd.'s pending motion to dismiss or transfer (D.I. 16).

Should Your Honor have any questions about any of the foregoing, counsel for all parties are available at the Court's convenience.

Respectfully,

Adam W. Poff (No. 3990)

AWP:kms
Encl.
cc: Clerk of the Court
Branko Pejic (by electronic mail)
David L. Finger (by electronic mail)
Morris Cohen (by electronic mail)
Jeffrey Moyer (by electronic mail)
Joe D. Guerriero (by electronic mail)
John Knoble (by electronic mail)