IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ORACLE CORPORATION and ORACLE U.S.A. INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants,	)	C.A. No. 06-414-SLR
v.	)	**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
EPICREALM LICENSING, LP,	)	
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.	)	

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA *AD TESTIFICANDUM* AND *DUCES TECUM*

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a subpoena *ad testificandum* and *duces tecum* will be served on Dr. Shuang Chen. A true and correct copy of the subpoena is attached hereto.

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

OF COUNSEL:

Harry J. Roper
George S. Bosy
Aaron A. Barlow
Patrick L. Patras
David R. Bennett
Paul D. Margolis
Benjamin J. Bradford
JENNER & BLOCK
330 N. Wabash Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611-7603
Tel: (312) 923-8305

By: */s/ David E. Moore*
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
David E. Moore (#3983)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
Tel: (302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff epicRealm Licensing, LP

Dated: April 2, 2008
858386 / 31393 / Oracle

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ORACLE CORPORATION and
ORACLE U.S.A., Inc.,
Plaintiffs

v.

EPICREALM LICENSING, LP,
Defendant
And Related Counterclaims

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number:[1]
USDC, District of Delaware
Case No. 06-cv-414 (SLR)

TO: Dr. Shuang Chen
108 Village Square, Suite 208
Somers, NY 10589

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic, sound and video means.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
Vedder Price, 1633 Broadway, 47th Floor, New York, NY 10019, or at another mutually agreeable location	April 7, 2008 1:00 PM

☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):

See Exhibit A.

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
Jenner & Block LLP, 919 3rd Ave., 37th Floor, New York, NY 10022-3908, (212) 891-1600, or at another mutually agreeable location	April 4, 2008 9:00 AM

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE
Attorney for Defendant, EPICREALM LICENSING, LP	April 2, 2008

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

David R. Bennett, Jenner & Block LLP, 330 North Wabash Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611 (312) 222-9350

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

[1] If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE PLACE

SERVED

SERVED ON (PRINT NAME) MANNER OF SERVICE

SERVED BY (PRINT NAME) TITLE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on _____
DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

EXHIBIT A

DEFINITIONS

1. "Oracle" means Oracle Corporation and Oracle U.S.A. Inc., plaintiffs and counterdefendants in this action, and all predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, divisions, parents and/or affiliates thereof, past or present, and all past or present officers, directors, affiliates, agents, employees, attorneys, consultants, representatives and any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of Oracle.

2. "epicRealm" and "defendant" mean epicRealm, defendant and counterclaimant in this action, and all predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, divisions, parents and/or affiliates thereof, past or present, including Parallel Networks, LLC, and all past or present officers, directors, partners, affiliates, agents, employees, attorneys, consultants, representatives and any other person acting or purporting to act on behalf of epicRealm.

3. "The Oracle Action" means Civil Action No. 1:06-cv-00414-SLR pending in the District of Delaware captioned *Oracle Corporation et al. v. epicRealm Licensing, LP.*

4. "And" or "or" shall be construed conjunctively and disjunctively so as to acquire the broadest meaning possible.

5. "Any" shall be construed to include "any and all."

6. "Including" shall be construed broadly, to mean "including but not limited to" or "including without limitation."

7. "Communication" means any transmission of information from one person to another, including by personal meeting, telephone, facsimile, and electronic mail.

8. The term "document" or "documents" is to be interpreted in the broadest sense allowed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. "The '335 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,415,335, entitled "System and Method for Managing Dynamic Web Page Generation Requests."

10. "The '554 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 5,894,554, entitled "System for Managing Dynamic Web Page Generation Requests by Intercepting Request at Web Server and Routing to Page Server thereby Releasing Web Server to Process Other Requests."

11. "The epicRealm Patents" means the '554 patent and the '335 patent.

12. "Oracle Accused Products" means Oracle Web Cache, Oracle Application Server, and/or Oracle Database, including all related Oracle software containing Oracle Web Cache, Oracle Application Server, and/or Oracle Database.

13. "The QuinStreet Action" means Civil Action 1:06-cv-00495-SLR pending in the District of Delaware captioned *QuinStreet Inc. v. Parallel Networks, LLC.*

14. "Texas Actions" mean Civil Action No. 2:05-CV-163 (E.D. Tex. filed May 2, 2005), Civil Action No. 2:05-CV-356 (E.D. Tex. filed August 5, 2005) and Civil Action No. 2:07-CV-562-LED (E.D. Tex. filed December 28, 2007) and any other civil action filed in the Eastern District of Texas on behalf of epicRealm.

DOCUMENTS REQUESTED

1. All documents relating to epicRealm, including but not limited to any employment or consulting relationship, past or present, between you and one of epicRealm's predecessors or successors.

2. All documents relating to McGovern & Associates, including but not limited to any employment or consulting relationship and communications with David Westra.

3. All documents relating to any InfoSpinner or epicRealm product or service that practiced any claim of the epicRealm Patents, including but not limited to InfoSpinner's Foresite product.

4. All documents relating to any financial investments in the epicRealm Patents or in epicRealm, or epicRealm's predecessors or successors.

5. All communications with or among current or former officers, directors, partners, investors, or patent inventors of epicRealm and epicRealm's predecessors and successors.

6. All documents relating to the epicRealm Patents, including but not limited to any opinions regarding the validity or enforceability of one or both of the epicRealm Patents.

7. All documents relating to your report on the '554 patent dated December 11, 2003.

8. All documents relating to the Oracle Action.

9. All documents relating to the Oracle Accused Products.

10. All documents relating to any printed publication, public use, public knowledge, sale, offer for sale, or prior invention of any system or method for managing dynamic web page generation requests which occurred prior to April 23, 1996.

11. All documents relating to the Texas Actions, including but not limited to all documents relating to any interest you have or had in the outcome of any of those litigations.

12. All documents relating to the QuinStreet Action, including but not limited to all documents relating to any interest you have or had in the outcome of this litigation.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David E. Moore, hereby certify that on April 2, 2008, the attached document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification to the registered attorney(s) of record that the document has been filed and is available for viewing and downloading.

I further certify that on April 2, 2008, the attached document was Electronically Mailed to the following person(s):

Mary B. Graham
Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell LLP
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
MGraham@MNAT.com

James G. Gilliland
Theodore T. Herhold
Robert J. Artuz
Eric M. Hutchins
Eric A. Mercer
Joseph A. Greco
Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP
379 Lytton Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94301
OracleEpicrealm@townsend.com

Chad E. King
Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP
1200 Seventeenth Street
Suite 2700
Denver, CO 80202
OracleEpicrealm@townsend.com

/s/ David E. Moore
Richard L. Horwitz
David E. Moore
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza – Sixth Floor
1313 North Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
dmoore@potteranderson.com