IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KING PHARMACEUTICALS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC., ASTELLAS US LLC, and ASTELLAS PHARMA US, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Civil Action No.: 05-0337-SLR
v.	)	
SICOR INC. and SICOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

SCHEDULING ORDER

At Wilmington this _____ day of _____ 2005, the parties having satisfied their obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), and the court having conducted a pretrial scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and D. Del. LR 16.2(a) and (b),

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. **Pre-Discovery Disclosures**. The parties, on September 23, 2005, were to exchange the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and D. Del. LR 16.2.

2. **Discovery.**

(a) The parties anticipate requiring discovery from each other and third parties to support their claims and/or affirmative defenses, including discovery regarding King's and Astellas' claims of infringement and willfulness and regarding Sicor's defenses of

_____.

(b) All fact discovery shall be commenced in time to be completed by April 21, 2006. For purposes of interrogatories and requests for admission, Astellas US LLC and Astellas Pharma US, Inc. shall be deemed one party; Sicor Inc. and Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

shall be deemed one party; and King Pharmaceuticals Research and Development Inc. shall be deemed one party.

(1) Document production shall be completed on or before January 27, 2006.

(2) Maximum of 25 interrogatories per party to any other party.

(3) The parties have agreed to exchange contentions without requiring interrogatories as follows:

Plaintiffs shall produce preliminary infringement contentions October 28, 2005;

Defendants shall produce preliminary non-infringement contentions November 18, 2005;

Defendants shall produce preliminary invalidity contentions February 3, 2006;

Plaintiffs shall produce preliminary validity contentions February 24, 2006;

Plaintiffs shall produce final infringement contentions April 25, 2006;

Defendants to produce final invalidity and non-infringement contentions April 28, 2006;

Plaintiffs produce final validity contentions May 5, 2006.

The adequacy of all contentions shall be judged by the level of detail each party provides; i.e., the more detail a party provides, the more detail a party shall receive.

(4) Maximum of 50 requests for admission per party to any other party.

(5) In the absence of agreement among the parties or by order of the court, no deposition (other than those noticed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6)) shall be scheduled prior to the completion of document production.

(6) The parties have agreed, with respect to fact depositions, to coordinate discovery in this case with the co-pending case captioned *Item Development AB,*

Astellas US LLC, and Astellas Pharma, Inc. v. Sicor Inc. and Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Civil Action No. 05-336 (D. Del.) (SLR). In particular, the parties have agreed that for fact depositions Astellas US LLC, Astellas Pharma US, Inc., King Pharmaceuticals Research and Development Inc., and Item Development AB shall be deemed one side, and Sicor Inc. and Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc. shall be deemed the other side. The parties have agreed to a maximum of 157 hours per side for fact depositions, including any deposition of a third party. No fact deposition shall exceed 7 hours, except as follows:

a) **PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSAL:** Defendants may take up to 14 hours (over two (2) consecutive days) for each inventor and Plaintiffs may designate up to three (3) fact deponents for depositions that may take up to 14 hours (over two (2) consecutive days).

b) **DEFENDANTS' PROPOSAL:**

(c) Expert discovery shall be commenced in time to be completed by August 4, 2006.

(1) Expert reports on issues for which the parties have the burden of proof shall be due May 12, 2006. Rebuttal expert reports shall be due June 9, 2006.

(2) Expert depositions to be limited to a maximum of 7 hours unless extended by agreement of the parties.

(3) All Daubert motions shall be filed on or before December 15, 2006.

(d) **PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSAL:** Sicor must inform King and Astellas as to whether it intends to rely on advice of counsel by December 20, 2005. If the decision is to rely

on such advice, the scope of discovery shall include the materials provided by Sicor to its counsel and whatever other materials related to the issues in dispute that Sicor had in its possession at the time the advice was sought.

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSAL:

(e) Supplementations under Rule 26(e) due March 17, 2006.

(f) **Discovery Disputes**.

(1) The court shall conduct in-person discovery status conferences on October __, 2006 from _____ .m. to ____ .m; February ___, 2006 from _____ .m. to ____ .m., and on May ___, 2006 from _____ .m. to ____ .m., the time to be allocated equally among the parties.

(2) The court shall remain available to resolve by telephone conference disputes that arise during the course of a deposition and disputes over the terms of a protective order.

(3) Absent express approval of the court following a discovery conference, no motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 shall be filed.

(g) **Fact Witnesses to be Called at Trial**. Within one (1) month following the close of expert discovery, each party shall serve on the other parties a list of each fact witness (including any expert witness who is also expected to give fact testimony), who has previously been disclosed during discovery and that it intends to call at trial. Within one (1) month of receipt of such fact witness list, each party shall serve a list of each rebuttal fact witness that it intends to call at trial. The parties shall have the right to depose any such fact witnesses who have not previously been deposed in this case. Such deposition shall be held within one (1)

month after service of the list of rebuttal fact witnesses and shall be limited to twenty (20) hours per side in the aggregate unless extended by agreement of the parties or upon order of the court upon good cause shown.

3. **Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings**. All motions to join other parties and amend the pleadings shall be filed on or before March 30, 2006.

4. **Settlement Conference**. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge Thynge for the purposes of exploring ADR.

5. **Claim Construction Issue Identification**. If the court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on March 17, 2006, the parties shall exchange lists of those claim terms that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those terms. This document will not be filed with the court. Subsequent to exchanging such lists, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Statement to be submitted pursuant to paragraph 7 below.

6. **Summary Judgment Motions**. All summary judgment motions shall be served and filed with an opening brief on or before August 25, 2006. Summary Judgment Answering Briefs shall be served and filed on or before September 15, 2006. Summary Judgment Reply Briefs shall be served and filed on or before September 25, 2006. In all other respects, the parties will follow D. Del. LR 7.1.2. No summary judgment motion may be filed more than ten (10) days from the above date without leave of the court.

7. **Claim Construction**. The parties shall agree upon and file the Joint Claim Construction Statement on August 11, 2006, with the claim chart separately docketed. The parties will file simultaneous opening claim construction briefs on August 18, 2006. Simultaneous response briefs should be filed by September 8, 2006. Issues of claim construction

shall be considered by the court in conjunction with the summary judgment motion(s). The hearing on the claim construction and motion(s) for summary judgment will be heard on _____ (late October/early November) at _____ .m.

8. **Applications by Motion.** Any application to the court shall be by written motion filed with the clerk. **The court will not consider applications and requests submitted by letter or in a form other than a motion,** absent express approval by the court.

(a) **Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by D. Del. LR 7.1.1.**

(b) No telephone calls shall be made to chambers.

(c) Any party with an **emergency** matter requiring the assistance of the court shall e-mail chambers at: slr_civil@ded.uscourts.gov. The e-mail shall provide a short statement describing the emergency. NO ATTACHMENTS shall be submitted in connection with said e-mails.

9. **Motions in Limine.** No motions in limine shall be filed; instead the parties shall be prepared to address their evidentiary issues at the pretrial conference and during trial (before and after the trial day).

10. **Pretrial Conference**. A pretrial conference will be held on January , 2007 at ____ .m. in courtroom 6B, sixth floor Federal Building, 844 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and D. Del. LR 16.4 shall govern the pretrial conference.

11. **Trial.** This matter is scheduled for a bench trial commencing on February , 2007 in courtroom 6B, sixth floor Federal Building, 844 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware.

For purposes of completing pretrial preparations, the parties should plan on being allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.

PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSAL: Trial will be scheduled for 7 days immediately following the conclusion of trial in the matter of *Item Development AB, Astellas US LLC, and Astellas Pharma, Inc. v. Sicor Inc. and Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 05-336 (D. Del.) (SLR).

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSAL

_____
United States District Judge

Appendix A

(case schedule for *King Pharmaceuticals v. Sicor Inc., et al*., Civil Action No. 05-337 SLR)

Action/Event	**Date**
Initial Disclosures	September 23, 2005
Rule 16(b) Conference	September 27, 2005 9:00 a.m.
First of the Court's in-person discovery status conferences	November __, 2005
Plaintiffs shall produce preliminary infringement contentions	October 28, 2005
Defendants shall produce preliminary non-infringement contentions	November 18, 2005
PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSAL: Notification by Defendants of Reliance on Advice of Counsel **DEFENDANTS' PROPOSAL:** No date for notification is needed because Plaintiffs' claim for willfulness is not a claim for which relief can be granted in this ANDA case.	December 20, 2005
Document production completed	January 27, 2006
Second of the Court's in-person discovery status conferences	February 2006
Defendants shall produce preliminary invalidity contentions	February 3, 2006
Plaintiffs shall produce preliminary validity contentions	February 24, 2006
Supplementation under Rule 26(e)	March 17, 2006
Pre-*Markman* exchange of list of claim terms that need construction and proposed constructions	March 17, 2006
Deadline for joinder of parties and amendment of pleadings	March 30, 2006
Fact discovery completed	April 21, 2006
Plaintiffs shall produce final infringement contentions	April 25, 2006
Defendants to produce final invalidity and non-infringement contentions	April 28, 2006
Third of the Court's in-person discovery status conferences	May 2006

Action/Event	**Date**
Plaintiffs produce final validity contentions	May 5, 2006
Parties to serve expert reports on issues on which they have the burden of proof	May 12, 2006
Parties to serve rebuttal expert reports	June 9, 2006
Close of expert discovery	August 4, 2006
Joint claim construction statement filed	August 11, 2006
Simultaneous filing of opening claim construction briefs	August 18, 2006
Fact Witness Identification and Additional Deposition Period	
Exchange of Fact Witness Lists (within 1 month of close of expert discovery)	September 1, 2006
Exchange of Rebuttal Fact Witness Lists (within 1 month of receipt of fact witness list)	October 2, 2006
Depositions of Fact Witnesses Not Previously Deposed (within 1 month of receipt of rebuttal fact witness list)	November 1, 2006
Summary Judgment Briefs	August 25, 2006
Simultaneous filing of response claim construction briefs	September 8, 2006
Summary Judgment Opposition Brief(s)	September 15, 2006
Summary Judgment Reply Brief(s)	September 25, 2006
Summary judgment and claim construction hearing	Late October/early November 2006
Daubert Motions	December 15, 2006
Pretrial conference	January 2007
Trial	February 2007