UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

TIN	VITED		TTC	α	A 7A		\sim
יוו		\sim 1 Δ	1 H 🔨	()H	$\Delta \setminus \Lambda$	инкі	(Δ
\mathbf{c}	\mathbf{v}	\mathcal{O} I \mathcal{A}	\mathbf{L}	\mathbf{v}	ΔIV	$I \cup I \setminus I$	\sim

v.		Case No. 1:23-cr-257-TSC
DONALD J. TRUMP,		
Defendant.	/	

DEFENDANT DONALD J. TRUMP'S MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF DISTRICT JUDGE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 455(a)

Ex. A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, CR No. 22-0242 (TSC)

.

CHRISTINE PRIOLA, . Washington, D.C.

. Friday, October 28, 2022

Defendant. . 10:06 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE TANYA S. CHUTKAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

v.

For the Government: JOLE ZIMMERMAN, AUSA

U.S. Attorney's Office

601 D Street NW

Washington, DC 20530

(202) 252-7566

For Defendant: CHARLES E LANGMACK II, ESQ

Langmack Law

38106 Third Street Willoughby, OH 44094

(440) 497-5068

Court Reporter: BRYAN A. WAYNE, RPR, CRR

U.S. Courthouse, Room 4704-A 333 Constitution Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 354-3186

Proceedings reported by stenotype shorthand. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

Excerpted Pages

and love one another despite our differences. I just -- I want to be a good example in the world of how to help families not be divided and coworkers and friends not be divided and our nation not be divided. I just really want to try to do that. And whatever sentence you give me, I hope it just brings justice and peace -- some peace to the people I have hurt. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Priola.

After calculating the sentencing guidelines and any applicable departures, and hearing the statements made by counsel and Ms. Priola, I have to now -- I have the difficult job, as I do in every case -- and it is a difficult one -- to consider the relevant factors set out by Congress in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and ensure that I impose a sentence sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purpose was sentencing.

The government has stated these purposes, and Mr. Langmack has mentioned them. I don't need to list them all again. But I've considered all the purposes of sentencing and the factors that I have to consider, and I'll discuss some of them now. Some of them weigh more heavily than others in this case, as they do in every case.

We have had, by my last count, close to 900 prosecutions, all brought in this court. This is a small court. All the

judges are taking their fair share of these cases, myself included; and every case presents a different defendant and a different situation, and I know I and my other colleagues endeavor to treat each defendant as an individual and not as part of a mob. But we have to be mindful of what happened that day.

Every single time that I see videotape or hear recordings of what happened that day, I am struck anew with both the horror of what was going on that day and how close we came — how close we came to not fulfilling one of the basic functions of our democracy, which is a peaceful transfer of power, which we lecture other governments all over the world on and we're supposed to be an example of, and we were not that day.

And so while Mr. Langmack is correct in that your background didn't involve any criminal activity, the events of that day and the seriousness of those events cannot be understated. This was nothing less than an attempt to violently overthrow the government, the legally, lawfully, peacefully elected government by individuals who were mad that their guy lost.

I see the videotapes. I see the footage of the flags and the signs that people were carrying and the hats they were wearing and the garb. And the people who mobbed that Capitol were there in fealty, in loyalty, to one man -- not to the Constitution, of which most of the people who come before me

seem woefully ignorant; not to the ideals of this country; and not to the principles of democracy. It's a blind loyalty to one person who, by the way, remains free to this day.

There is no mob without the members of the mob, as I've said before. So Mr. Langmack made a point in his sentencing memorandum, that if we were to take your participation out of that group, that everything would have still happened; your actions did not materially contribute.

But they did, because you were there. And people act in ways that they would never act alone when they're with a group, or when they're with a mob, and when emotions are involved.

But in terms of the seriousness of the offense and the nature of the offense, it cannot be understated how serious this was and how horrifying it was to the country and the fact that the divisions that were already existing in this country were worsened, that this country is more divided than ever, and it was exacerbated by the actions of that day.

And you're one of the few defendants, Ms. Priola -- and maybe it's because I have talked about it at every single sentencing I've done -- to at least acknowledge the harm that was done to the people there.

I hear a lot about rioters calling themselves and their fellow protesters "patriots." Nothing could be further from the truth. The people who stormed the Capitol that day were

Excerpted Pages

* * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I, BRYAN A. WAYNE, Official Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing pages are a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

<u>/s/ Bryan A. Wayne</u> Bryan A. Wayne