EXHIBIT A-83A

HO-13865

Brooks, Brian - Vol. I.20211213.365944-HQ

12/13/2021 11:21 AM

Full-size Transcript

Prepared by:

HO-13865

Tuesday, June 06, 2023

```
1
 1
      UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 2
      In the Matter of:
 3
                                    )
 4
                                    ) File No. HO-13865-A
 5
      BINANCE.US
                                    )
 6
 7
      WITNESS: Brian Brooks
               1 through 212
 8
      PAGES:
      PLACE:
                Securities and Exchange Commission
 9
10
                100 F Street N.E.
11
                Washington, D.C. 20549
               Monday, December 13, 2021
12
      DATE:
13
           The above-entitled matter came on for hearing,
14
      via WebEx, pursuant to notice, at 11:21 a.m.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                 Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
25
                            (202)467-9200
```

48 1 Trading accounts? 2 Digital asset transfers to BAM Trading 3 accounts? The company's trading accounts. 4 0 I -- I can't recall that happening in the time that I was there. 6 7 Okay. And was anyone at .com required to give 0 8 final signoff for digital asset transfers to third parties? 9 10 I -- I'm not even sure I understand the question. I mean, BAM Trading operated a matching 11 12 engine, right, that has buyers and sellers, so third 13 party buyers and sellers would come and that was the 14 source of liquidity, so we, you know, we were not 15 filling orders for customers, we were matching customer orders, so I don't -- I'm not sure I would even know how 16 17 to answer the question. So were sometimes BAM Trading paid for 18 19 services through digital assets? 2.0 Α So with only one caveat, I can't -- I can't 21 recall that ever happening during my tenure. 22 The one caveat is there was this group of 23 employees, basically the Shanghai based technology team, 24 who I learned right at the very end of my tenure, had

apparently received part of their compensation in BNB

```
49
 1
      tokens, which were provided by Binance.com, which was a
      source of real concern, you know, for the CFO and me
 2
 3
      when we learned about that. But -- and so if you
      consider them to be third parties, that would be the one
 4
 5
      caveat. Other than that, I'm not aware of that ever
      happening.
 6
 7
                Do you know who gave signoff to -- giving BNB
           0
      to these Shanghai based employees?
 8
 9
           Α
                That long predated my arrival. I have no
10
      idea.
11
                Okay. When did they receive the BNB?
12
           Α
                When did who receive the BNB?
13
                When did the Shanghai based employees receive
           0
14
      the BNB?
15
                Oh, I -- I don't know. I mean, it was part of
16
      their compensation. I don't know if it was a quarterly
17
      payment or an annual bonus payment or what that was. As
      I say, that came to my attention two or three weeks
18
19
      before I left the company, so I -- I mean, I wasn't even
20
      aware of it until the very end.
21
                Were the Shanghai based employees actual
           0
      employees of BAM Trading?
22
23
                Well, they were employees of an entity that
24
      had been set up for the purpose, called Boran. My
      understanding was that at one time they had been
25
```

```
1
      Binance.com employees and then when the Binance.US
      separation happened in 2019, the decision was made to
 2
 3
      hive off that group of 50 or so people and they would be
      allocated full-time to Binance.US, so the question was
 4
 5
      if you had a group of Shanghai based people working for
 6
      Binance.US, could they actually legally in China work
 7
      for Binance.US or did we have to set up a subsidiary
 8
      entity that would be where their employment was tied?
      And often in multinational corporations that's the way
 9
10
      it works, is that there's a local corporate entity to
      which those employees are assigned, so Boran was an
11
12
      entirely dedicated to Binance.US workforce, paid for by
13
      Binance.US, but housed within this entity called Boran.
14
                Where was Boran incorporated?
15
                I don't know. I don't know. But I mean, my
16
      assumption, it was a Chinese legal entity is my
      assumption, but I -- I don't know.
17
18
                Was Boran wholly owned by BAM Trading?
           0
19
           Α
                I'm not sure.
20
                Okay. Do you know who would know?
           0
21
                I don't.
           Α
22
                How did you learn about Boran's structure?
           0
23
                Well, as I think I've just indicated, I don't
24
      know very much about Boran's structure, other than to
25
      know, you know, sort of like there's Morgan Stanley,
```

Morgan Stanley Asia, Morgan Stanley BD Amsterdam, et cetera, et cetera, only to understand that its role in the family of companies was like that; it was an employee holding company set up for purposes of housing these Chinese employees.

My focus, as you know from the document that you have, was to migrate that entire function to an onshore workforce. Obviously, I wasn't there long enough to complete that task, but you know, that's essentially all I know about Boran.

Q Did you learn about Boran outside of any attorney/client communications or potential attorney/client communications?

A I mean, Boran was a commonly known thing at BAM Trading, so I certainly talked about it with non-lawyers, as well as with lawyers. I mean, certainly the CFO was aware that there was an entity called Boran. He was the person who brought to my attention this BNB arrangement, you know, late in my time there. But in terms of whatever I would know about the corporate structure or its rationale, that would have come from counsel.

- Q Okay. How many people work for Boran?
- A Fifty or 60, something like that.
- Q Okay. We're going to go back to my original

question before we went off on talking about Boran here, you know, which was the question about, you know, who gives final signoff on certain kinds of business decisions. I'm adjusting the question to ask the question of like if anyone at .com had to give final signoff for these activities? We were just talking about digital asset transfers.

Did anyone at .com have to give final signoff on new employee hiring decisions?

A Well, when you say anybody at Binance, there were certain executives, you know, for example, Manny Alvarez would be one, I think our chief people officer would be another, whereas at any company, at a certain C-Suite level those candidates will meet with the board in order that the board is comfortable with who the senior executives were.

CZ and Wei, and later Gin, were members of the board and so, you know, before those two got hired, they would have met with CZ and -- and I think Wei. But again, those -- they were not sitting in those capacities as Binance.com employees; they were sitting in the capacity as board members of BAM Trading or Bam Holdings or whatever the entity was.

Q Aside from CZ, Wei, and Gin, would anybody else at .com have to give final signoff on new employee

restate the question asked? I don't have a memory of that prior testimony. I think he made some comments about informal discussions with CZ and maybe someone else, but I want -- feel free to ask any questions, but --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry, I don't remember talking about informal reporting of BAM Trading to .com. I mean, the -- you know, there was one board meeting that I participated in in late July or early August of this year. There were weekly videoconferences with CZ, and some out of cycle conversations with me and CZ, and occasionally, you know, Wei or somebody else. I guess I don't regard that as reporting; that's just corporate communication. But beyond that, I'm not sure what you might be looking for.

BY MS. HITCHENS:

Q Was there ever a time that you learned of some more, sort of, informal reporting or communications occurring between BAM Trading personnel and .com that you hadn't previously been aware of?

A Well, I -- so I would make a distinction
between reporting and communicate, right. So Binance.US
had a series of dependencies on Binance.com, and so
there were -- there was really constant communication at
the operational level between people on Binance.US and

```
people on Binance.com because, for example, there was going to be a website upgrade and, you know, we copied and then customized a lot of their code pursuant to a services agreement that had been entered into at the time the companies were separated. So there would be a ton of integration communication around that.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Or you know, they would be -- I'm trying to think of good examples. There would be like a -- like a hacker or a system's attack of some kind, a DDoS attack or something, which would make the matching engine inaccessible or something else would happen, which would have an effect on us. That kind of operational interface, that happened every day involving large numbers of people, again because as I'm sure you know, under the licensing agreements and the services agreements, a lot of the operating functions had these interdependencies. That's one of the reasons why when I joined the company and saw that level of their connection, I said this is going to be a problem and we need to migrate the technology to full U.S. control for a whole bunch of reasons. Some of them being operational, others being, you know, compliance, privacy, et cetera. But there was plenty of communication.

something different. But in terms of communication, there was a lot of that.

Q Was there any sort of informal communication that you were surprised by during your tenure that you didn't originally know about and then subsequently learned?

A I -- I mean, I don't -- I guess I don't know how to answer that. I mean, certainly I was not privy to all of the communication happening and so I'm sure that some of it I learned about I would have been surprised by in the sense that I hadn't previously known about it and now I was learning about it for the first time.

You know, the things that rose to the level of surprise were things like when I learned that there were Binance.US technology employees who were getting paid in BNB tokens out of the Binance.com balance sheet. That's what I would consider a surprise.

Q Any other surprises like that?

A You know, I would say that there were a handful of occasions where I would learn that, you know, somebody at the company, sometimes in the U.S. but more typically in -- in the Boran team, you know, would be unhappy with some decision I had made or some initiative we had launched

```
1
      and -- and those people might go straight to CZ, and you
      know, that -- that obviously was something which when I
 2
 3
      learned about it, I would endeavor to shut it down on
      the theory that CZ's not the CEO of this company, this
 4
      is a U.S. company, you work for me, not him, this has to
      stop. That happened occasionally.
 6
 7
                The BNB token to me is -- rises to a different
      level of seriousness, but you know, there were things
 8
      like that.
 9
10
                Were there any other kinds of surprises,
      besides these sort of backdoor type communications you
11
12
      were describing?
13
           Α
                Yeah. I mean, look I -- I couldn't begin to
14
      just pull from my memory random examples of things. I
15
      mean, again, it was a -- it was a short tour of duty
16
      marked by, you know, certain pinnacle memories, and you
17
      know, the -- the random things I don't really remember
      all that well.
18
19
                Who at BAM Trading U.S. did you learn was
20
      making communications to CZ without your knowledge?
21
           Α
                It was not -- I will say it was not always
      that they were -- I mean, it's happened in both
22
23
      directions, right, so there would be sometimes that it
24
      came in one direction, sometimes in another. But you
25
      know, I think Rena Shah had a fairly regular line of
```

```
1
      communication into him, and to other people at
      Binance.com. You know, Chris Robins had fairly
 2
 3
      regularly -- regular communications there.
                On the inbound side, I think Josh Sroge, our
 4
 5
      CFO, got inquiries from CZ fairly frequently, which you
      know, in the early days weren't of great concern to me
 6
 7
      because I've worked at bigger companies where, you know,
 8
      the board chair would reach out to some senior executive
 9
      to say, hey, I need some piece of information for
10
      something, so I didn't regard that as particularly
11
      surprising. A little bit more surprising in the other
12
      direction.
13
                And then of course there were the guys who sat
14
      in Shanghai, who did more of that.
15
                What -- who were the guys in Shanghai that
16
      were making communications to CZ? Do you remember their
17
      names?
18
                Yeah, well, I mean there was Frank a lot, and
      Gerry somewhat. Frank being the technology person,
19
20
      Gerry being the product person.
21
                Okay. Do you know who Rena Shah would contact
           0
      at .com to have these communications that we've been
22
23
      talking about as, sort of the without your knowledge?
24
           Α
                Yeah, I mean, Rena was deeply connected with a
25
      lot of people over there. I mean, she -- she, I think,
```

- had actually been there before the COVID lockdowns and actually had spent time with those people, I think, so she had a lot of people there that she talked to. A lot. But CZ was one of them.
 - Q Okay. And what about Christopher Robins, do you know who he was speaking with at .com?

- A He -- I think his -- his outreach was more limited, but it did include CZ, and then it also included a guy named Han, who was a former Latham partner who is CZ's general counsel.
- Q When you say CZ's general counsel, are you saying he had personal counsel?
- A Well, it's a great question. I think that Han

 -- my understanding is, is he was formerly the

 Binance.com general counsel, but I think that their

 observance of those kinds of distinctions that you're

 making as between personal and corporate might be less

 formal than we would make in the United States.
 - Q What's an example of that?
- A I'm not sure I can think of a particular example, but I have an impressionistic memory that there were times when it was not clear who the client was.
- Q Is that because you would hear maybe, you know, something said and you didn't know if that was coming from CZ personally or CZ at .com or from .com?

71 1 Are those sort of the breakdowns of --2 Α No. 3 -- what you're thinking It's when -- no, I mean an example, I think, 4 Α would be -- and here I'm -- like this is impressionistic, okay, based on memory but when we were 6 7 doing our venture round, right, there were a number of 8 issues that related to CZ's personal share ownership of 9 Binance.US, which was not Binance -- like remember, the 10 Twitter and Square analogy -- Binance.com did not own a single share of any entity affiliated with Binance.US at 11 12 all, so in that sense the companies were as different as 13 Twitter and Square are, but there was a person who was 14 the majority shareholder of both companies, and that 15 person was CZ. So at a certain point in the fundraise 16 there was an issue having to do with a note that --17 MR. HOLSCHER: I'm just going to interrupt, I apologize. If there's any related disclose that was 18 19 discussed with counsel -- I don't know if that's where 20 your answer is going? 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, this I don't think was with counsel. 22 23 MR. HOLSCHER: Okay. I apologize to 24 interrupt. I just wanted to make sure you didn't

impinge on a privilege. Go ahead.

```
THE WITNESS: That's a totally great -- that's a great point.
```

So all I would say is there was an issue that was being discussed. This was just a business issue between our venture funds and the company having to do with what's going to happen with this note? CZ was, you know -- the company was the obligor, on the note CZ was the obligee, and the question in the negotiation are we going to buy him out? Is he going to let us buy him out? What's going to happen?

And I don't even remember what any lawyer said about that, but I do know that the lawyer who showed up on that was Han. And since that was not a Binance.com issue, that was a CZ issue, we -- we were sort of puzzled as to who the client was. Again, I have no idea what his position was, so I'm not disclosing any communication with counsel, I just know he was the person who was representing.

So it's just an example of, you know, there were different layers of -- of that kind of communication.

Q Okay. Do you know much about that note in general? Like why it was entered into? Or and what happened to it?

A My --

```
73
 1
                MR. HOLSCHER: I'll counsel you, if you
 2
      learned that from counsel --
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, I understand.
 3
                MR. HOLSCHER: -- I don't want you to impinge
 4
      upon any corporate attorney/client privilege.
 5
 6
                THE WITNESS: Right. Yeah. My knowledge of
 7
      it really doesn't have anything to do with that, it just
 8
      has to do with the way that the company got
 9
      bootstrapped. So you know, the way companies typically
10
      get started is somebody has to pay for it, so you know,
11
      Hewlett & Packard used their personal checking account
12
      in their mother's garage. What happened with Binance.US
13
      is CZ gave the company its first $10 million to get
14
      launched, and that was done in the form of a note.
15
                MS. HITCHENS: Okay.
16
                BY MS. HITCHENS:
17
                So I'm going to ask you about sort of making
      distinctions. Did you ever personality make any
18
      distinction when reporting to Changpeng Zhao in their
19
20
      capacity as a board director, as opposed -- his capacity
21
      as a board director, as opposed to reporting to him in
      other roles and capacities? Did you ever personally
22
23
      make a distinction?
24
                I mean, I was 100 percent clear in my own mind
      that -- I mean, until I quit, obviously, but I was 100
25
```

percent clear in my own mind that I worked for a board of directors. I personally, you know, very, very, very strongly held the conviction that I did not work for Binance.com, that nobody at Binance.com was my boss, and that my role was to complete and highlight the corporate separateness. So when I talked to CZ, I considered myself to be talking to him in his role as board chair BAM Trading. The same way that when I was, you know, working at Fannie Mae I spoke to our board chair all the time and I considered myself to be talking to the board chair, not to somebody else but the board chair.

Q Now, sitting here today and looking back on your time at the company, do you look back and think, oh, maybe there were distinctions when I was talking to him?

A Possibly not the distinction that you're thinking about. What -- what became clear to me at a certain point was CZ was the CEO of BAM Trading, not me. That's what became clear at a certain point. That wasn't because Binance.com somehow controlled us, but again, he owns the vast majority of Binance.com so I put that aside.

It was more of an issue of if I'm Steve
Ballmer and he's Bill Gates, who's really running this
company, right? So it was not that oh, gee, CZ's acting

in a different capacity, it is more of do I have the delegation and autonomy to carry out the mission of a CEO as outlined in the mission document that you've seen, right? And at a certain point I concluded that I did not.

Q Can you just elaborate more why you're saying now sitting here today you look back and think of him as the CEO of BAM Trading?

A Yeah. I mean, look, he's obviously not formally the CEO of BAM Trading, but in -- in my judgment, right, there's a distinction between management and governance. The role of the board is governance. The role of the CEO is management, which means day-to-day execution of a board approved strategy.

The time that the switch flipped in my mind was, right, so I had joined the company with knowledge of issues at the company and a belief that if those issues could be fixed the company could be very successful. And I spent the first, you know, 80 days or so working on those fixes, to the exclusion of almost everything else. The idea was we're going to get these core foundational things realigned.

That was all fine. And then at a certain day I was -- a certain day late in that tenure, I was

overruled on all of them. All of the things that we had previously agreed and had worked on for 80 days were suddenly repudiated with no further discussion, and on that day, I realized, huh, I'm not actually the one running this company, and the mission that I believe I signed up for isn't the mission. And as soon as I realized that, I left.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well, can you tell me a little bit about that day?

Sure. I would say -- you know, when I say it was a day, it was really the space of about eight to 10 days. It began with our regular weekly meeting, you know, which I described previously. And you know, we were going through the standard agenda items, how's the fundraise going? Who are the board members going to be? What about the tech migration? And in this particular call, the issue was why are doing a tech migration? And what I remember about that call is that it was late in the evening, and I said -- I said the tech migration is one of the most important risks in this company. It's the reason the people believe that, you know, that in fact the company's not an independent company, that in fact it's controlled by, you know, somebody sitting in China. This is a foundational strategy, and I went through all the reasons why we had agreed, and I

reminded him that we had agreed in this document. And the call ended with no good resolution, other than he wanted to have a follow-up call the next day. And I remember this because it was a Saturday was the next day. And so I went to bed thinking, yikes, this is the first time I felt we really had a misalignment here. I thought we agreed on what the direction was.

So the next morning, we reconvened on a video again. I was, you know, now worried. I had not been worried the night before until the end of the call, when I realized we were misaligned. Saturday morning, it was all smiles again and it was like, you know what, I -- I trust you. I hired you for a reason. Do what you think you need to do. And I thought okay, whew, great.

Then things developed over the coming week, and then we had that board meeting that I described.

And on the board meeting -- on the board call with these other people around, including my management team, that's when the decision was articulated that not only were not going to migrate the tech stack, but in fact, we weren't going to do this venture round because he didn't like the investors. And by the way, we wouldn't have any independent board members because he's the majority shareholder he's entitled to hire and fire all the board members.

That was when I said this really isn't going to work.

Q This is all occurring during the board meeting when these statements were made about the complete change of what you had been expecting from the Saturday conversation; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Well, you talked about having reached some kind of agreement before this -- these events that just transpired. What was that agreement?

A Well, so before I joined the company, you know, the company has a controversial reputation. It has issues. I mean, we know this, that's why you guys are here, and I knew that, too, and so my willingness to commit my time and reputation to this was really contingent on let's agree that we're going to do a certain set of things that will address some of the most important issues, okay, beginning with the question of who actually controlled this company? Is this actually a U.S. company subject to U.S. supervision, operating within a universe that only allows some products and not others, et cetera, et cetera, that was right, all of that.

Then there was the technology issue. Do we have a TikTok problem? Is all of the U.S. data

```
1
      accessible in China? Is it possible that China could
 2
      take this U.S. company and shut it down by shutting down
 3
      our servers or whatever? So that's what led to the
      creation of a document, I forget what the name of it is,
 4
 5
      but I mean, it's one of the documents you shared a
      couple of days ago that I assume you're going to show
 6
 7
           That -- that document was my distillation of I will
 8
      come if we agree that this is the plan. And if it's not
 9
      the plan, find somebody else. That will be fine.
10
                So you know, when I say agreement, that was a
      document that I said let's agree on this as our
11
12
      framework, and if we agree, great. And if not, you'll
      do fine. You'll find somebody else.
13
14
                So when did that agreement get reached? Was
      it prior to you starting at the company before you sort
15
16
      of signed your employment agreement?
17
           Α
                Yeah. Oh, yeah. Yes.
18
           0
                Okay.
                Yes. I mean, again, you have it. The date is
19
20
      whatever the date is. I don't remember what it is, but
21
      it was certainly before I joined the company.
                But in terms of the response that you got to,
22
23
      sort of, your list of priorities that you -- it was your
24
      proposal, but then when did you hear yes, we agree with
      you, we're behind you on that list?
25
```

```
The -- the matching engine sits in Japan,
 1
      okay. Other -- other code sits in Shanghai was my
 2
 3
      understanding.
                Okay. So how did you learn the location of
 4
           0
      these servers?
 5
                I refer to my earlier answer; I had these
 6
 7
      lengthy bootcamp sessions with all kinds of people who
 8
      were educating me on the business in my first three or
      four weeks at the company.
 9
10
                Do you recall any documentation about the
      servers specifically and their location?
11
12
           Α
                I don't.
13
                Okay. So do you know who actually owns the
14
      servers?
15
                Who owns the physical servers? I have no
           Α
16
      idea.
```

Q Okay. And then who actually pays for the

servers?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, I mean look, I'm not 100 percent sure which servers at this point we're even talking about. I mean, the servers on which our user data are housed will be owned by Amazon Web Services and paid for by us under contract. The Matchbox matching engine server would be owned by some affiliate of Binance Holdings for which we have access through a services agreement that we pay

for.

In terms of the servers where the, for example, you know, blockchain integrations for the next token that we might list might happen, I have no idea who owns that or how that gets paid for.

Q In the meetings that you had with potential investors and BAM Trading, did you recall those potential investors ever asking about the location of servers?

A Not specifically, no.

Q Did the location or servers ever come up during those meetings?

A I don't think so. I mean, I -- you know, technology in the meetings I was in wasn't discussed at level of granularity. You know, the way that I always described it to people was, you know, that we had three significant risk factors. We had a strong technology dependance on Binance.com through this set of contracts; that we had, you know, one shareholder, you know; and that we had this board structure, and what I said is the purpose of the round is to facilitate the fixing of those problems. So it was a very common trope in this - in this message that we need to migrate the tech stack, diversify the cap table, and add independent directors. That was sort of the mantra in these

```
meetings.
```

Q So for -- you were not the only one from BAM Trading who was participating in the investor meetings or even communications. Who at BAM Trading was responsible for providing potential investors with the details about the location of the servers or you know, question -- very technical questions?

A Well, again, it's beyond my knowledge that anybody was even asking those questions, so when you ask who was responsible for answering those questions, I'm not sure anyone was because I'm not sure the questions were asked.

To the extent that they were, you know, there was a data room that was established that was hosted by our outside law firm, Skatten, and the two people most responsible for managing the diligence process were Chris Robins, our general counsel, and Josh Sroge, our CFO.

- Q So you were --
- A So for example, that --
- Q -- previously --
 - A -- that document you showed me -- just to finish the answer, that document that you showed me a few minutes ago, that would have been prepared likely jointly by Chris and Josh.

114 1 0 Thank you. MR. HOLSCHER: Kathleen, when you get -- when 2 3 you get spot, just take another five minute break when you get a moment. Just let us know a good time. 4 5 MS. HITCHENS: Yeah, we'll try and move 6 through this and then take a break, okay? Thank you, 7 Mark. 8 MR. HOLSCHER: Okay. I was just politely asking if we could take a break in the next minute or 9 10 two, that's all. Next few minutes would be great. MS. HITCHENS: Sure, I just wanted to ask one 11 12 follow-up question based on what --13 MR. HOLSCHER: Great. 14 MS. HITCHENS: -- Brian was saying. 15 BY MS. HITCHENS: 16 So which is, you mentioned that .com personnel Q 17 managed the offshore servers; is that correct? .com managed the offshore servers? I -- I 18 19 think what I said is I don't have any firsthand 20 knowledge of exactly what entity managed these servers. 21 I know that it wasn't us. So you know, there was the 22 matching engine in Japan, presumably owned and 23 administered by some .com entity, but I have no idea 24 which one, and then there's other servers doing other 25 functions. But again, I assume you will have a

```
technology deposition but that's not going to be me. I don't know the answer to that.
```

- Q Did any of the Shanghai -- BAM Trading
 Shanghai employees and this Boran entity, did they help
 manage the offshore servers?
- A I mean, they were software engineers so managing servers, you know, which is a hardware and IT function, I I think would have been beyond their remit. These were guys who wrote code.
- Q Did they have access to those servers, do you know?
- A You mean like physical access to the rooms where the servers were? I have no idea.
- Q No, access to be able to load code onto them and their software and manage the server?
 - A Oh, yeah, well I mean, they would have hit like "control s" and that would have saved something to something. As sort of like, you know, right now, I guess we all have access to a server because we're interfacing on computer that runs over a server, but it's beyond my knowledge as to what that was or where that piece of hardware sat.
 - Q But they didn't have, you know, to your knowledge like higher clearance to sort of work with the servers in any way? You know, let's say you and I, you

116 know, we don't have the special permissions to, you 1 2 know, work with some of our technology but others do? 3 Α I --MR. HOLSCHER: Kathleen, I just -- I don't 4 5 want to make a formal objection, he's indicated it's a no, so I hate to have him just speculate on how the --6 7 how the various engineers work with the software and 8 hardware. He just doesn't know. I just worry --MS. HITCHENS: Sure. 9 10 MR. HOLSCHER: -- it's not right for him to 11 speculate. 12 MS. HITCHENS: Mark, there's no need to go on, 13 understood. I want to get us to the bathroom, so just 14 one more question. 15 BY MS. HITCHENS: 16 You know, where did the Boran employees sit in 17 Shanghai? Do you know where their offices were located? I -- I really have no idea. I'm sure at some 18 19 point I might have seen a street address, but I couldn't 20 tell you. 21 Did BAM Trading pay the lease for their office 22 space? 23 I -- I don't know. I mean, again, I assume 24 you've seen the services agreement that dictated the 25 various things that were shared and the accounting

```
117
 1
      offsets and the payments and debits and credits that
      occurred, that feels to me like a CFO question but I --
 2
 3
      I don't know the answer to that.
           O
 4
                Okay.
 5
                MS. HITCHENS: So let's go ahead and take a
      five minute break. We'll go off the record now at 1:50
 6
 7
      p.m., Eastern, and we'll be back at 1:55 p.m., Eastern,
 8
      thank you.
 9
      (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
10
                MS. HITCHENS: Well, let's go back on the
11
      record, it is 1:56 p.m., Eastern. Thank you very much,
12
      took a bathroom break.
13
                And I just want to confirm, Mr. Brooks, that
14
      we've not have any substantive conversations during the
15
      break; is that correct?
16
                THE WITNESS: Yeah.
17
                MS. HITCHENS: And apart from your attorney,
      you didn't speak with anyone during the break; correct?
18
19
                THE WITNESS: Did not.
2.0
                MS. HITCHENS: And is anyone --
21
                MR. HOLSCHER: It was only --
                MS. HITCHENS: -- physically with you?
22
23
                MR. HOLSCHER: -- five minutes. It's only
24
      five minutes. I think you can ask these each time, but
      I'll let you know. We're -- there's no one else here,
25
```

```
118
 1
      we're not consulting with anybody. But we're also being
 2
      very quick.
 3
                MS. HITCHENS: Thanks, Mark. I'm trying to
      belt and suspenders with this one. Just want to make
 4
 5
      sure no one's physically in the room with you or can
 6
      hear you?
 7
                MR. HOLSCHER: (No response.)
 8
                MS. HITCHENS: Okay. And Bob, is that true
 9
      for you, no one is physically with you, or no one can
10
      hear you; is that correct?
11
                MR. POMMER: That's correct.
12
                MS. HITCHENS: Great.
13
                BY MS. FILIPP:
                So Mr. Brooks, do you have any understanding
14
15
      as to what the code that runs Binance.US is? Is it what
16
      we've previously discussed with regards to the matching
      engine and other software? Or do you have a different
17
      understanding of what, sort of, the Binance.US code is?
18
19
                I -- I literally don't know how to answer that
20
      question. What the Binance.US code is, it's millions of
21
      lines of computer code.
22
           0
                Okay.
23
                I mean, I'm -- I'm not sure what you're
24
      looking for on that.
25
           Q
                Okay, that's fine. In your contacts with
```

potential investors, did potential -- did they ever ask you about who maintains the wallets for the Binance.US digital assets?

A Don't recall that.

Q Okay. Do you recall if potential investors ever asked about the Binance Holdings custodial agreement?

A I mean, I have no memory of that. Again, I mean, you showed me a few minutes ago the diligence questions that our lead investor put to us. They were the ones running the diligence process for the group of investors. I mean, those were -- those were the questions that they asked us.

Q They asked you questions about the custody agreement; is that what you're saying?

A No. I'm saying that there's a document which lists all the questions that they asked us, and you just showed that document to me.

Q Okay. Just wanted to clarify, okay. All right, so did you ever hear potential investors explicitly ask about who has access to Binance.US customer data?

A I mean, look the only memory I have of that kind of discussion is, you know, in part because many of these investors are people I had known for a long time,

as we opened the pitch by saying, "Let me tell you the biggest risk in this company. The biggest risk in this company is we are highly dependent on a bunch of technology that sits in Asia. One of the things we're going to do with the round proceeds is to migrate that tech to the United States. This is a giant risk factor." So to the extent they asked follow-up questions, those would be memorialized in the diligence questionnaire that you've already showed me, and our answers were whatever they were.

But to be super clear, it's not like we were running around representing that this technology is here in the U.S. and super safe. What we were saying is this is the biggest honking risk factor in this company, is the dependency on Chinese technology. We will not succeed unless we migrate it, we said to these guys, and what we need is your help doing that. And indeed, one of our two lead investors gave us the outside technology consultant who helped us develop our plan for migrating all of the technology. That was Red Point. So.

Q Was Red Point the investor who recommended the consultant? Or was Red Point the consultant?

A Red Point had a new partner who was the former CTO of GitHub and is one of the most famous computer scientists in the world, and he worked with us to help

- can Charles Schwab? I mean, I don't know. The real issue is the sort of clearing price, the -- what's the word that I'm looking for? The -- the marginal cost of an additional trade on an existing piece of software is basically zero, so you know, it's easy to offer low trading fees, it's hard to offer high trading fees in my judgment.
 - Q Did BAM Trading offer lower fees than Coinbase for trading fees?
 - A Yes.

- 11 Q And how was BAM Trading able to do that at a low cost?
 - A I -- I think I just answered that question, because the marginal costs of a marginal trade on a software platform is zero.
 - Q Was BAM Trading paying less for its, sort of, tech stack than if it had created it in-house by virtue of being able to license it from .com?
 - A Well, it is definitely true that unlike

 Coinbase, which had to build its own software, starting
 in 2012 from scratch and invest in hundreds and hundreds
 of engineers, we were able to basically launch as a
 going concern, you know, and license a piece of software
 that existed that somebody had built. And it's almost
 always true that when you're able to buy an existing

125 1 thing whose cost has already been fully amortized, that's going to be a lot cheaper than if you have to 2 3 bear the cost of the initial startup expense yourself. So were the ongoing maintenance costs for the 4 Q Binance.US platform sort of less than Coinbase would 5 6 pay? 7 No idea. Α 8 Okay. Who would know? 0 I don't know. 9 Α 10 0 Okay. Do you know if the cost would change 11 after the migration occurred? 12 Α The cost would likely go up. Okay. And why is that? 13 Q 14 Well, because the cost of operating one 15 platform is always lower than the cost of operating two 16 platforms, even if the two platforms are identical, 17 right. So we would have to hire a team of people in the United States to be the 50 people operating it. U.S. 18 19 labor costs are far higher than Chinese costs are, as 20 I'm sure that you know. And you know, from that point 21 on we would be responsible for our own design decisions, 22 and that would cost money. 23 Were the service level agreements going to 24 sort of continue to exist after the migration? 25 Α Who can say that never happens, so I don't

know.

Q Do you know whether they were contemplated to exist?

A I mean, we never got close to that, so I don't think that discussion was ever had.

Q Okay. So basically, under the service level agreements, it sounds like .com was able to sort of run with the same personnel the Binance.US platform and then the .com platform; is that correct?

A No. So let me just start over for a second. So first of all, you've now said service level agreement several times; service level agreements just refer to timetables and deliverables. That's not what these were. These were a services agreement and a licensing agreement, not a service level agreement. Just to create common language here.

We had 50 employees that were not Binance.com employees, these were the Boran people we previously talked about who were responsible for our technology.

One of the things that they would do is when Binance.com had a new release of the code base for this or that or the other, whatever the particular update was that they might be releasing, it was the Boran employees who then spend time, you know, essentially copying that code, making such minor adjustments as were needed for that

```
1
      code to operate on our U.S., you know, front end
 2
      platform, and then would push it out to our customers.
                So those were not Binance.com employees doing
 3
      that, they were Binance. US employees, Boran employees,
 4
 5
      receiving updates from .com and then making those
      changes for us.
 6
 7
                But those employees, though, did not work on
           0
      the matching engine; is that correct?
 8
 9
           Α
                They didn't work on the matching engine,
10
      that's right.
11
                So was .com using the -- you know, has its
12
      matching engine folks, and they were also helping -- not
13
      only helping to support the .com platform, ostensibly,
14
      but those matching engine folks were also supporting the
15
      .US platform?
16
           Α
                No. No, they were supporting the matching
      engine. We had a license --
17
18
           0
                Okay.
19
           Α
                -- that allowed us to use the matching engine.
20
                Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
           Q
21
                So during your tenure at BAM Trading, did any
      of the following happen: code changes occurred to the
22
23
      Binance.US platform that were not announced to trading
24
      personnel or to users?
25
                MR. HOLSCHER: I'm just going to counsel you
```