## **EXHIBIT A-86**

## **HO-13865**

## Coley, Catherine - Vol. I.20220127. 371307- HQ

1/27/2022 10:17 AM

**Full-size Transcript** 

Prepared by:

HO-13865

Tuesday, June 06, 2023

```
1
 1
      THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 2
      In the Matter of:
 3
                                 )
 4
                                  ) File No. HO-13865-A
 5
      BINANCE.US
                                  )
 6
 7
      WITNESS: Catherine Coley
               1 through 199
 8
      PAGES:
      PLACE:
               Securities and Exchange Commission
 9
10
                100 F Street, N.E.
                Washington, D.C. 20549
11
                Thursday, January 27, 2022
12
      DATE:
13
           The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, via
14
15
      WebEx, pursuant to notice, at 10:17 a.m.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
           Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
25
                    (202) 467-9200
```

1 this isn't coming from Mr. Zhou. I'm a little worried that I think the question we have or the -- the answer 2 3 to the question is that she had discussions with Mr. Zhao about it. And then asking about her understanding, 4 just to be clear that we're not sort of incorporating 6 things that Mr. Zhou had told or Mr. Robins after Mr. 7 Zhou. 8 MS. HITCHINS: I'll take you up on your suggestion. Jamie, thank you. 9 10 BY MS. HITCHINS: So, we were talking -- the first question was 11 12 asking what was your initial understanding about what 13

the service agreements were supposed to cover or intended to cover, and you gave a response. What was the basis for your understanding?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α As I kind of mentioned, the concept of licensing the technology was what I was aware of, and then I relied on legal counsel to properly paper and document what it was that we needed to document in order to allow that to happen and take place. So, that was purely legal crafting and putting together the proper documents required to allow us to operate a platform using technology of someone else. And I say technology loosely encompassing all four of the service agreements underneath that wing of technology.

Q In terms of what -- your understanding of what was intended by the agreement to not cover if you can answer without revealing the content of privileged communications?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anything in my opinion outside of the technology was for us to build out on my own and for BAM going forward, so initially I came from a serviceoriented background, and I understood that the way I treated my clients and the way that I interacted with U.S. persons would lead me to be putting a customer centric focus for our business. And so, I wanted our customer support and our client coverage to be BAM originated and built out, and as we built that out, we took some of those services which were originally outlined and no longer relied on dotcom's team to provide those services. That's an example of one of the areas where BAM was responsible for building those out, and that was something that I thought would be additive to our platform having a U.S. centric support and sales coverage team.

Other aspects that were kind of outside of the technology were the representation, the culture inside of the company, that Binance.US had among its employees. The employee kind of recruiting process and interview process of how we would conduct ourselves was outside of

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

137

the licensing agreements as well. Those are a couple of the examples, but there's -- it was really quite easy for me to see. And anything where we had to rely on someone that was outside of the team that I brought on, which initially was legal compliance, customer support, eventually HR and finance roles, and risk roles eventually, those were all initially part of the agreement that we could rely on. And we continued to kind of minimize the amount of services that we would need to rely on for sake of any company not wanting to have an enormous dependency. We would continue to push for independence from those resources overseas because it would make us a stronger business, and we'd be able to have more flexibility addressing our users that were based in the United States. So, something I want to pick up on in what you

Q So, something I want to pick up on in what you just said, you know you had mentioned sort of areas such as risk, HR. I believe finance, perhaps another category or two, as being covered by the agreements, the four service agreements, but that you wanted to minimize. Is that accurate over time?

A Right. So, the agreements covered -- I think it mentions the customer support functionality that we eventually brought over to be solely operated and managed by the U.S. team, and that was largely because

of the language discrepancies. The times to which our customers were meeting responses were different based on using the services that were initially covered in those agreements.

Q So, you're understanding was that risk, and HR, and finance were covered by the four service agreements?

A Correct.

2.0

Q Is that based on reading the agreements themselves or was that from conversations that you had with counsel or other people?

A Conversations with counsel and as well as reviewing the Master Services Agreement.

Q Okay. And then one thing you may have mentioned was that you considered outside or not covered by the four service agreements something with regulation. Is that something you were saying? Could you just elaborate on that?

A Right.

MR. MCDONALD: And just one more reminder if that's -- sometimes the question is asking for your understanding, and then the question is what's the basis for that. So, I think the last one was a good example where you offered an understanding, and the basis was at least in part counsel. We really need to separate the

Q So, when BAM Trading began opening user accounts for its platform in September of 2019, the four service agreements were unsigned then. Is that correct?

A I don't recall if there had been a prior version that had been signed. The one I recollect and the on that I had seen with you was the January 2020 signature.

Q Okay. So, to your knowledge, there weren't any signed versions that you signed?

A I don't recall if I had signed a prior version or if one had been signed by me but never was completed, so I don't recall if there had been a previous version of that.

Q When did BAM Trading start using any of Binance Holding's services?

A Upon my first week when I went to Shanghai and began corresponding with -- well, I should specify. I began workshopping with members of dotcom that would come and be underneath the service level agreements, and this was all conceptual. This was nothing yet where we were live with the technology, so that started early on kind of leveraging their resources and understanding of how they built dotcom and to interpret how that would impact running and launching Binance.US.

Q So, BAM did start using Binance Holding's

```
148
      services as early as June 2019 to your knowledge?
 1
                As services being discussions with the teams
 2
 3
      and beginning laying out of what it would take, but we
      hadn't used the matching engine until the trading
 4
      platform went live in September 2014 -- 24 of 2019.
 5
 6
                Were there any term sheets for the four
 7
      service agreements prior to the drafting of the
 8
      agreements? Are you aware of any?
                Not that I'm aware of. The first versions of
 9
10
      these SLAs I received from Harry, and that was early
11
      into us building this out.
12
                Do you ever understand if there was any
13
      impediment that prevented BAM Trading and Binance
14
      Holdings from signing the four service agreements until
15
      January 2020?
16
                MR. MCDONALD: Excluding anything that you
      would've -- excluding anything that would constitute
17
      legal advice.
18
19
                THE WITNESS: Right. I think any of the --
20
      the sequence of timing and signatures was conducted by
21
      our legal counsel and determined by them, so I don't
22
      think I can respond there.
23
                MS. ROSENFIELD: Ms. Coley, if I may,
24
      sometimes you say our legal counsel, and I'm not sure
```

who you're talking about. So, if it's Harry Zhou, can

25

149 1 you tell us? And if it's Chris Robins, can you tell us? So, now if you would kindly, you said you couldn't 2 3 respond because it involved your legal counsel. Can you tell us which one? 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you for asking me to 5 clarify. I understand our is confusing to understand. 6 7 So, Harry was counseling me on the initial months of 8 these SLAs. I wasn't going to sign them or complete them until I had Chris look over them and review them, 9 10 and then it was Chris's responsibility to determine when were ready to sign those. 11 12 BY MS. ROSENFIELD: 13 0 So, I have a few follow-up questions here. 14 So, when the platform went live in September, do you 15 remember having signed service agreements? 16 Α No. I don't remember having signed service agreements at that time, but I do remember having seen 17 the service agreements. And I don't know if they were 18 19 in their final form at that moment. 2.0 Okay. And you're aware that there were signed Q 21 service agreements later on in I believe January of 22 2020. 23 Yes. That's correct. 24 0 So, between the time that the company went live in January 2020, you can't tell us whether the 25

company had an executed service agreement with its counterparty?

A Correct. I don't know if we had an executed service agreement with our counterparty between the time.

MS. ROSENFIELD: Thank you.

BY MS. HITCHINS:

Q After the four service agreements were signed, were there any changes in the relationship or course of dealing between BAM Trading and Binance Holdings?

A I mentioned this a little bit when describing some of the services that we relied on initially that we over time operated solely out of the U.S. That would be a couple of the instances where those service agreements were broader than what we needed at that time. So, customer support is mentioned in the Master Services Agreement, and that eventually became solely operated by Binance.US and a team in the U.S. And other components we continued to try to rebuild on our own in the U.S. that were kind of chipping away at the service level agreement, but many of the components still remained intact until my departure such as matching engine.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with the enhancement work orders that were contemplated or their provisions for them under the Master Services Agreement?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

151 In reviewing those documents for this conversation or testimony with you, I did see the enhancement documents, but I did not recollect the nuances of those from prior. So, did BAM Trading during your tenure ever submit any enhancement work orders? I did not. I had direct contact with the members that I needed to enhance specific functions and so would so in a faster way of requests. I don't recall putting those enhancement orders through. Could someone else, one of your reports, have submitted those enhancement work orders without your knowledge? I wouldn't know if they did if they did it without my knowledge. Are you familiar with the Master Service Agreements provisions regarding access to confidential information and sharing of confidential information between parties?

A Did you say the Master Service or across all of them?

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$  I'm talking about the Master Services Agreement.

A I'd like to review the document and point out specifically what you're asking if that's the case.

```
routes. So the website that we designed was stripped of many of the pop-ups and moving parts and simplified and provided there. That's when introducing one-click buy/sell was obviously a very useful component for the U.S. and for the sophistication level of the U.S. participants.
```

2.0

I did feel like because those limits were quite small, a good portion of our users were looking for a purchase of digital assets around the 50,000 mark, that would size them out of the one-click buy/sell, and they wouldn't be sophisticated enough to use the order book. So that's where OTC made sense to incorporate.

But my Reliance was more on the consistency and making sure that we had, you know, a fast platform that worked for our users, and would have minimal downtime or bugs that would pop up. And that's what we kind of kept it simple as we could because the U.S. audience wasn't looking for a highly distracting interface.

Q When you're answering the question, were you thinking more of migrating personnel?

A No. I interpreted the question before as to what tech components I wanted to see built out in the U.S. product. The personnel that I worked with initially, and the personnel that I eventually brought

over to BAM's side and brought on as contractors, was a group that would be nimble enough to take on new tech build-outs that we were looking for, and be versatile enough to accommodate the new aspects of the business.

If there were technology advancements on the dot-com side that the knowledge base did not include those members of the BAM team, there may be some information shared from a tech lead to educate them on how to build it. But our engineers were specific to BAM at that time. And so we were --

O What --

2.0

A --limited in what we could build due to the technical knowledge of our team that we had on BAM's side.

Q In terms of you know your views about migration, were any sort of more components of the platform that were not user-facing contemplated as well for the migration that you viewed as important for the migration?

A Can you just clarify the migration that you're referencing?

Q Yeah, we were talking about the migration of some BAM Trading technology from overseas to the United States. Was any technology more backend or was -- in your view, was that, you know, something that you wanted

to accomplish?

A As we -- as I was building out my team in the U.S., and getting familiar with the technology components that we had, that we relied on dot-com for the support and maintenance of abroad, there was -- there were categories of elements that I wanted to have full independence from. I wanted full independence of everything, but that wouldn't necessarily be possible or be possible at that time, given our reliance on some of those components.

But the departments in the areas where we did want that independence as quickly as we could would -- it started off with customer support. We're looking to get as much finance and clearing and settlement in the U.S., given that's a 24/7 job that's required for our users. And we need that real-time ability to respond to users quickly.

The wallet and custody was one that I wanted to have, at least U.S. participation in so that we would have control over the custody of the tokens. And the data was one that I wanted custody of the data and ability to interact with the raw data in real-time, as to my directions, not waiting on someone else's approvals.

The components on the tech side product ideas,

there was an amount of innovation that we were allowed, but there was also an enormous amount of products that we hadn't incorporated yet. And so for us to make a net new technology advancement on the BAM side was not as well-received as just completing the list of products that we hadn't incorporated yet.

So I was looking to have an engineering team in the U.S. so that we could have net new advancements. For instance, a tax service and an easy tax procedure is very specific to the U.S. And so that would be something that we would need net built new. And so those were some of the kind of frictions I would have.

The other components outside of a tech team, the design team I wanted to be able to have real-time designs for either our marketing or updating of our website, updating of our app. And so we were able to bring over the design with Pascal. But then other elements being kind of the support functions for our DevOps.

So DevOps was a team that would be internal only-facing and critical for us to get bugs fixed and elements tuned to kind of a like the firemen of our team. So those are all the components that I sought to bring over. Some of those I accomplished. Some of those I didn't.

And every time that I would feel defeated on one, I would aim for another and continue to keep pushing for that level of independence such that we could operate in the vision that I had for the business. And from a business perspective side, I felt like I had the support I needed to grow and build the business and the success was apparent for that.

Q I can really hear your passion on this topic.

Not to cast any sort of negative light on it, but to

focus on where you were getting pushback, you know, what

were the areas of this plan and your vision, where were

you getting pushback, and who was giving you the

pushback?

A The kind of the major components of pushback outside of the -- I will back up. A couple of major pain points up at front, and then I will break them down as to how we were able to overcome or how we weren't able to overcome.

The codebase that was used and the engineers that were used to build out the platform, the majority of the codebase was in Chinese so that presented a high level of difficulty for a Western- and U.S.-based engineering team to come in and begin building and maintaining and updating the codebase.

Certainly, there is plenty of talent that is

bilingual. But the cultural combination is a huge part of building a team, so. And that is kind of -- that was an immediate difficulty for us bringing the engineering team over was just the language aspect of it, and it's how integrated that was to the tech.

I say that integrated to the tech, that's some parts of the tech. Other parts of the tech were -- I don't know the language that they were written in, other than Rust. But Rust is a computer language, not a country language. So that was a pushback initially on the engineering team was that was our difficult pain point.

The other aspects of the -- the data and the finance were the two most difficult ones to bring over to the U.S., and the data was -- had a significant opacity to it. And I did not get answers from CZ on why or how or what we would need to do to be able to bring the data over.

And then on the finance side, we were able to bring over the signatories of our bank accounts so that it was Josh and I. But the clearing and settlement teams we were not able to hire the adequate persons for operating those functions in the U.S. by the time that I had left. So we had job descriptions out and interviews conducted to be able to bring the clearing and