* THIS	S PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY B	LANK *
	SEALED MATTER ENCLOSED AUTHORIZED PERSONS ONLY	

	П			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
2				
3	IN RE: GRAND JURY SUBPOENA	Grand Jury No. 23-GJ-13		
4				
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	April 10, 2023		
6	Interested Party,			
7		Washington, D.C.		
8	Interested Party,			
9	DONALD J. TRUMP,			
10	Interested Party			
11				
12	SEALED PROCEEDING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES E. BOASBERG			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CHIEF JUDGE			
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	FOR THE UNITED STATES:	Esquire		
16		Esquire 1, Esquire		
17		pecial Counsel's Office		
18	R	50 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest oom B-206 ashington, D.C.20530		
19	FOR DONALD J. TRUMP:	Esquire		
20	FOR DONALD U. TROMP:	ESquire		
21	_			
22	REPORTED BY:			
2.2	0	fficial Court Reporter 33 Constitution Avenue Northwest		
23	11			
24		ashington, D.C. 20001		

```
The following proceedings began at 10:01 a.m.:
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.
 3
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We are here regarding Grand
 4
    Jury Subpoena
                      Interested Party, The United
 5
    States of America; Interested Party, former
 6
        and Interested Party, former President Donald Trump.
 7
             Beginning with counsel for the government, please
 8
    approach the lectern and identify yourself for the record.
 9
                         Good morning, Your Honor.
10
    for the United States. Also with me at counsel table are
11
12
             THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome, all.
13
                           Good morning, Your Honor.
14
             on behalf of President Donald J. Trump.
15
             THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning.
             Okay. We are here today on the former President's
16
17
    motion to stay. Let me just ask you, do you have a
    date yet for the testimony and are you
18
19
    willing to disclose that at this point?
                         What I am comfortable saying is
20
21
                        will not testify this week.
22
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
23
24
             THE COURT: Great. Thanks.
25
             Okay.
```

1 Your Honor, we will submit on the 2 papers. 3 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 4 All right. Again, we have been through this before, 5 and it won't be any surprise if my ruling is the same. 6 Although, I am also informed by the concurrence by Judge 7 Millett, I think, which bolsters certain points, and so I will 8 just make my ruling. 9 So I deny the stay. I find there is not a likelihood 10 of success on the merits here. This is a qualified privilege 11 which can be overcome, as I have said and Judge Millett also 12 points out, that s position isn't relevant. 13 As she said, the protection focuses on the content of 14 the confidential communications to be disclosed, not the job 15 title of the person being questioned. And so despite the insistence in the former President's papers that, given 16 17 s status, there should be a special privilege, I 18 don't believe that Judge Millett thinks so, and I don't think so either given that the question is the -- subject is the 19 topic of the communications, not the position held, and 20 particularly here where my ruling has said that he can refuse 21 22 to answer diplomatic and military secrets and come before me to 23 litigate those on a case-by-case basis. Given the topics that 24 the government wants to inquire on, it's unlikely or very

25

unlikely to include those.

The government has also shown the need to overcome a qualified privilege, both that this is important information and unavailable from other witnesses.

On the issue of whether there should be a

question-by-question review by the Court or whether the Court should preview the testimony in camera before permitting to testify, I find, despite what is said in the reply, I find that this argument was forfeited because it was not raised in the opposition of the motion to compel.

Even if it had been raised in the motion to compel, I don't believe it's workable for the Court to step into the government's shoes and conduct some inquiry about which it is far less knowledgeable than is the government.

Second, I don't find there is irreparable harm.

Again, the former President waited 11 days to file this, which has some relevance. But as Judge Millett points out, again, the injury would be to the presidency, not to Mr. Trump himself. And there's no injury to the presidency.

can refuse to answer on specific diplomatic and military questions. And, again, if there is a positive circuit decision, meaning a circuit decision in his favor, then the material would be excluded.

The current President did not assert a privilege.

And so the specter, as the former President argues in his motion, of transgressing

communication forever isn't applicable because if there is a 1 2 positive court of appeals ruling for the former President, then 3 that will be the law going forward, and there won't be any 4 chilling effect henceforth. 5 Last, I find it is in the public interest to not stay 6 this given the importance of the testimony and the 7 investigation to move forward. And given the current President has not exercised the privilege again speaks to the public 8 interest. So I will deny the motion. 9 10 given that isn't So, going to be testifying this week, I will give you a little bit 11 12 longer than I had before. 1.3 Let me ask you, is there any reason here 14 then not to give 48 hours to the former President to go to the court of appeals, in other words, that I would deny the motion 15 16 to stay, but I would stay my ruling for 48 hours? 17 No, that's fine. 48 hours is fine for 18 the government. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll say that I'll stay my 20 ruling until noon on Wednesday. 21 Appreciate that. 22 I just want to note for the record just because the 23 Court may not be aware of it, last week when it was essentially 2.4 a 24-hour turnaround, the Court correctly noted that you are 25 not involved in the scheduling by the D.C. Circuit, but what

they did, I think we got an order -- at least I saw an order 1 2 probably at 8:00 p.m. indicating that the government had until 3 4:00 a.m. in the morning to file their responsive papers, and 4 then we had until 9:00 a.m. after receiving them to file ours. 5 So there is this sort of compressed schedule, and it's helpful 6 to have a little more time here. 7 THE COURT: All right. I hope that will give a little 8 bit more. So although I'll note, that I quess 9 one of your colleagues asked -- called my chambers when we were discussing the schedule and asked was I aware that yesterday 10 11 The answer was, of course, but filing at was 12 5:45 on Friday evening doesn't necessarily 13 guarantee you a weekend off, so I'm sure you can let your colleagues know that. 14 It's good to learn. It's good to live 15 16 and learn. 17 The only last logistical thing that I would have is that the parties could have access to this transcript without 18 19 further action by the Court. THE COURT: Yes, so granted. Thanks for reminding me 20 of that. 21 22 Anything else from the government? 23 No, Your Honor. 24 Thanks, everybody. Talk to you soon, I'm THE COURT: 25 sure.

(The hearing concluded at 10:11 a.m.) $\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$ I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcription of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 4/10/23 Official Court Reporter 333 Constitution Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20001