IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	: CRIMINAL NO. 22-cr-15 (APM)
v.	
(1) ROBERTO MINUTA,	: :
(2) JOSEPH HACKETT,	:
(3) DAVID MOERSCHEL, and	
(4) EDWARD VALLEJO	: :
Defendants.	•
VERI	DICT FORM
Count One: Seditious Conspiracy	
A. Verdict as to each defendant:	
(1) Roberto Minuta	100
Guilty Not Guilty	
i. If guilty of the conspi you unanimously find	oiracy charged in Count One, which object(s) do not the defendant conspired to achieve:
To oppose by f	force the authority of the Government of the United
To use force to the United State	o prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of

(2) Joseph Hackett
Guilty Not Guilty
i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count One, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:
To oppose by force the authority of the Government of the United States
To use force to prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of the United States
(3) David Moerschel
Guilty Not Guilty Not Guilty
i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count One, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:
To oppose by force the authority of the Government of the United States
To use force to prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of the United States
(4) Edward Vallejo
Guilty Not Guilty
 i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count One, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:
To oppose by force the authority of the Government of the United States
To use force to prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of the United States

Count Two: Conspiracy to Obstruct an Official Proceeding		
A. vero	lict as to each defendant:	
	(1) Roberto Minuta	
	Guilty Not Guilty	
	(2) Joseph Hackett	
	Guilty Not Guilty	
	(3) David Moerschel	
	Guilty Not Guilty	
	(4) Edward Vallejo	
	Guilty Not Guilty	
Count Thre	ee: Obstruction of an Official Proceeding	S.
	(1) Roberto Minuta	98. 2
	Guilty Not Guilty	
	(2) Joseph Hackett	
	Guilty Not Guilty	
	(3) David Moerschel	- 1
	Guilty Not Guilty	
	(4) Edward Vallejo	
	Guilty Not Guilty	, q.,

Count Four: Conspiracy to Prevent Members of Congress from Discharging Their Duties

A. Verdict as to each defendant:		
(1) Roberto Minuta		
Guilty Not Guilty		
i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count Four, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:		
To prevent a Member of Congress from discharging a duty as a Member of Congress		
To induce a Member of Congress to leave the place where the Member of Congress's duties are required to be performed		
(2) Joseph Hackett		
Guilty Not Guilty		
i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count Four, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:		
To prevent a Member of Congress from discharging a duty as a Member of Congress		
To induce a Member of Congress to leave the place where the Member of Congress's duties are required to be performed		
(3) David Moerschel		
Guilty Not Guilty		
i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count Four, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:		
To prevent a Member of Congress from discharging a duty as a Member of Congress		
To induce a Member of Congress to leave the place where the Member of Congress's duties are required to be performed		

_		
i. If guilty of the conspiracy charged in Count Four, which object(s) do you unanimously find the defendant conspired to achieve:		
Member of Congress from discharging a duty as a agress		
fember of Congress to leave the place where the ngress's duties are required to be performed		
roperty		
<u>.</u>		
Tampering with Documents or Proceedings		
_		
or Proceedings		
_		

(3) David Moerschel

Guilty ____ Not Guilty X

Date: January 23, 2023