## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR Action No. 1:21-670

VS.

November 15, 2021 Washington, D.C.

STEPHEN K. BANNON, Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF RETURN ON ARREST WARRANT & INITIAL APPEARANCE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBIN M. MERIWEATHER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the U.S.: AMANDA ROSE VAUGHN

MOLLY GULLAND GASTON

J.P. COONEY

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFC. FOR D.C.

555 4th Street NW Washington, DC 20001

202-252-1793

For the Defendant: DAVID I. SCHOEN

DAVID I. SCHOEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW

2800 Zelda Road, Suite 100-6

Montgomery, AL 36106

334-395-6611

MATTHEW EVAN CORCORAN

SILVERMAN THOMPSON SLUTKIN WHITE 201 N. Charles Street, 25th Floor

Baltimore, MC 21201

Reported By: LORRAINE T. HERMAN, RPR, CRC

Official Court Reporter

U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts

333 Constitution Avenue, NW

Room 4700-C

Washington, DC 20001

lorraine herman@dcd.uscourts.gov

PROCEEDINGS

DEPUTY CLERK: Criminal case number 2021-670, The

United States of America versus Stephen K. Bannon.

Molly Gaston, Amanda Vaughn and J.P. Cooney,

representing the government; David Schoen, Matthew Corcoran

services officer. The defendant is participating in person

representing the defendant. Andre Sidbury is the pre-trial

for this hearing.

This case is called for a return on an arrest warrant, an initial appearance and an arraignment.

Mr. Bannon, would you please stand and raise your right hand?

THE DEFENDANT: (Complied.)

**DEPUTY CLERK:** Do you solemnly swear that you will well and truly answer the questions propounded to you by the Court, so help you God?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

**DEPUTY CLERK:** Thank you. You may be seated.

THE COURT: Mr. Bannon, could you please state your name for the record.

THE DEFENDANT: Stephen K. Bannon.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you have any health problems that would make it hard for you to understand what is happening in today's hearing?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not.

THE COURT: And in the last 24 hours, have you taken any medication or other substances that would affect your ability to understand today's hearing?

THE DEFENDANT: I have not.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You are here today for your initial appearance and your arraignment. In this hearing I will tell you what you have been charged with. I will advise you of your rights.

I will ensure that you have an attorney to represent you, and I will determine whether and under what conditions you will be released while waiting for your trial.

Let me begin by notifying you of the charges. You have been charged by indictment with one count of Contempt of Congress; that's an alleged violation of 2 United States Code, Section 192. If you are found guilty of that, you could face up to one year in prison and a fine of up to \$1,000.

You have also been charged with another count of Contempt of Congress, in violation of 2 United States Code, Section 192; that also carries a maximum term of imprisonment of up to one year and a maximum fine of up to \$1,000.

Those are the charges that bring you before the Court. At this time let me notify you of your rights. You

have the right to remain silent --

MS. VAUGHN: Excuse me, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** Yes?

MS. VAUGHN: We just wanted to clarify the maximum penalties on the offense. Title 2 U.S.C. Section 192 carries a minimum sentence of 30 days imprisonment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. VAUGHN: And also 18 U.S.C. 3571, a maximum fine of \$100,000.

**THE COURT:** For both of them?

MS. VAUGHN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you for that clarification. At this time I will go back to the notification of rights.

Mr. Bannon, you have the right to remain silent; that means are you not required to make any statements to law enforcement while these charges are pending against you. If you choose to make statements to law enforcement, your statements could be used against you in this proceeding or in a future proceeding.

You also have the right to be represented by an attorney in this case. If you cannot afford to retain your own attorneys, then I will appoint a lawyer to represent you at no cost to you.

You also have the right to a speedy and public jury trial, which your guilt would have to be proven beyond

a reasonable doubt, and you cannot be forced to testify 1 2 against yourself. Mr. Schoen and Mr. Corcoran, are you retained 3 4 counsel? MR. SCHOEN: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 MR. CORCORAN: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. SCHOEN: Your Honor, may I just raise one, 8 9 sort of, preliminary housekeeping issue? 10 I know the Court said we are here for an 11 arraignment. My understanding under Rule 58(b)(3) is that 12 there can't be an arraignment before the Magistrate Judge, 13 even on a misdemeanor, unless the defendant consents in 14 writing to a trial before the Magistrate Judge as well. 15 I just want to be clear that there is no implied 16 waiver here by going forward with an arraignment here. 17 Local Criminal Rule 58 goes to -- is tied, obviously, to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 58(b)(3); and that's 18 19 what 58(b)(3) says. It's also consistent with 18 U.S.C. 20 3401. 21 I just want to be clear that there is no waiver 22 here of any right to proceed to jury trial or otherwise 23 before the District Court judge. 24 THE COURT: No. I routinely conduct arraignments.

I know this appears to be a Class A misdemeanor.

25

MR. SCHOEN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I conduct felony arraignments all of time, obviously, even if there is no option of consent to proceed before me for felony. So, no, I'm not requesting — although, I do always ask in these cases if there will be consent, so I can add that part a little bit earlier.

This case has been assigned to Judge Nichols as District Judge. Any defendant charged with a Class A misdemeanor has the right to consent to a United States Magistrate Judge. And if you wish to do so, that can be done.

MR. SCHOEN: Yes, Your Honor.

And I completely understand the Court regularly conducts arraignments, especially on felonies. It shows the misdemeanor provision is different, it's under Rule 58 (b) (3), and that's the express provision.

In any event, as long as we are not waiving anything, the Court's going to proceed as the Court deems appropriate.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SCHOEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So the next question I was planning to ask on my outline is whether counsel wanted to proceed with the arraignment. Do you want to proceed with the arraignment before me? You can also ask to have that

arraignment before Judge Nichols.

MR. SCHOEN: In terms of the arraignment, Your Honor, Mr. Bannon was willing to waive arraignment in any event, but as long as there's no waiver and the Court's satisfied that Rule 58(b)(3) is consistent with that, we will certainly proceed with the arraignment. We want to, you know -- we want to move forward or we would waive the arraignment, frankly.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

(Brief pause.)

MS. VAUGHN: Your Honor, we are happy to do the arraignment in front of the District Judge, if that's what the defendant prefers.

MR. SCHOEN: Okay.

MS. VAUGHN: We understand that he is reserving the rights under the rule. Proceeding with an arraignment before the district judge is fine with the government, if that's what the defendant wishes to do.

MR. SCHOEN: We are willing to waive the arraignment in any event, which I think we are allowed to do under Rule 10.

 ${f MS.}$  VAUGHN: We may end up in the same spot anyway.

THE COURT: Thank.

I think based on my review of Rule 58, it would be

prudent not to do the arraignment. I do not want the affect 1 2 of anything I do to be something other than what I am 3 intending. 4 MR. SCHOEN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 5 6 My chambers will advise Judge Nichols' chambers 7 that the arraignment was not part of today's proceedings. MR. SCHOEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: At this time, I will advise an order 10 of the United States that it must, pursuant to Federal 11 Criminal Rule (5)(f) produce all exculpatory evidence to the 12 defense consistent with Brady v. Maryland and its progeny. 13 Failing to do so in a timely manner could lead to sanctions, 14 which could lead to exclusion of evidence, adverse jury 15 instructions, the dismissal of charges or contempt of 16 proceedings. 17 Ms. Kay, is this note the next date before Judge Nichols? 18 DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 I'll advise parties, Judge Nichols' next 22 availability for a status hearing in this case is Thursday, 23 November the 18th at 11 a.m. 24 And I believe -- Ms. Kay, does the location --

what is it their preference between in person or virtual?

25

**DEPUTY CLERK:** Whatever the parties prefer. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 2 3 And that can be virtual or in person, depending on 4 the parties' preference. Should they contact his courtroom 5 deputy to confirm that preference or tell us now? 6 DEPUTY CLERK: Tell us now. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. VAUGHN: The government has no preference, 8 9 Your Honor. We are happy to do whatever. 10 MR. SCHOEN: We would prefer virtual, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 So the next court date before Judge Nichols will 13 be November 18th at 11 a.m., and we will convey to his 14 courtroom deputy that the request will be a virtual hearing. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. SCHOEN: I assume, by the way, it's not going 17 to cause any additional inconvenience if we do it virtual? 18 **DEPUTY CLERK:** No, sir. MR. SCHOEN: Okay. 19 20 THE COURT: Does the United States have any 21 requests with respect to conditions of release pending trial 22 or any contention that detention is appropriate in this 23 matter? MS. VAUGHN: No, Your Honor. The government 24 25 agrees with the recommendation of Pretrial Services and

thinks that's sufficient in this case.

THE COURT: So I've reviewed the pretrial report.

Pretrial Services' recommendation is general supervision,

reporting to pretrial services weekly by telephone,

verifying the address with pretrial services immediately or

within the next business day, living at the address

indicated in the pretrial report, surrendering passports to

pretrial services, not obtaining a passport or other

international travel document and not applying for or

possess any -- possessing any passport. And then also to

notify pretrial services in advance of all travel outside of

this district; and that court approval would be necessary

for travel outside of the continental United States.

Mr. Sidbury, does that accurately summarize the recommendations of Pretrial Services?

PROBATION: Andre Sidbury for Pretrial Services.

Yes, Your Honor. And he has verified his address and

Pretrial Services is in possession of his passport.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Does the defense object to any of these recommended conditions of release?

MR. SCHOEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SCHOEN: Thank you.

THE COURT: I conclude that the conditions of

release recommended by Pretrial Services in which the United States concurs and to which the defense does not object are appropriate release conditions to govern Mr. Bannon pending trial.

Mr. Sidbury, do you -- (brief pause) -- I have a partially-completed form. I do need to pass this back to pretrial to be signed? Or completed? Sorry. Or I do fill in the rest?

PROBATION: Just fill in the rest, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Okay.

So the release conditions are as I had indicated. General supervision with weekly telephone reporting to Pretrial Services, continuing to reside at the address provided in the pretrial report. I understand the passport's already been surrendered.

An additional condition will be to not obtain another passport or international travel document and not apply for or possess a passport. And then as I indicated, providing notice to pretrial in advance of travel outside of D.C. and court approval being necessary for travel outside of the continental United States.

Mr. Schoen or Mr. Corcoran, are there any aspects of those conditions of release that I plan to set that you need me to further explain to your client?

MR. SCHOEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Bannon, can you confirm you heard and understood the release conditions? THE DEFENDANT: I did, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (Proceedings concluded at 2:18 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE

I, Lorraine T. Herman, Official Court

January 24, 2023

DATE

Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the audio-recorded proceedings in this matter, audio recorded on October 22, 2022, and transcribed from the audio recording to the best of my ability, and that said transcript has been completed with the audio recording.

/s/

Lorraine T. Herman