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## PROCEEDINGS

DEPUTY CLERK: Good morning, Your Honor. This is criminal case year 2021-670, United States of America versus Stephen K. Bannon.

Counsel, please come forward and introduce yourselves for the record beginning with the government.

MS. VAUGHN: Good morning, Your Honor. Amanda Vaughn and Molly Gaston for the United States. Paralegal specialist Quiana Dunn-Gordon and FBI Special Agent D'Amico are also at counsel table.

MR. SCHOEN: Good morning, Your Honor. David Schoen, Mr. Bannon, along with Evan Corcoran. Mr. Bannon is at the defense table and Attorney Riane White, also, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
MR. SCHOEN: Good morning. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I just want the record to reflect that I received some objections from Mr. Bannon to the final or close-to-final jury instructions that I had circulated to the parties yesterday.

I emailed the final, final version, to the parties about half an hour ago, which made some relatively modest edits to the Instruction 24, and I sent that to the parties in redline, so they should have exactly the changes I've made.

I think we have provided the parties with hard copies of the instructions, as I intended to use them. And then, of course, I will be reading them right now, and this is the copy -- the one I am holding now that will be provided to the jury.

MR. CORCORAN: Your Honor, you just mean that you previously provided the hard copies. Correct?

THE COURT: Well, I have the version -- I have a hard-copy version that is clean -- all right. There we go --

MR. CORCORAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- of the exact version I intend to give to the jury now.

So with that, Ms. Lesley, could you please bring in the jury.

MS. VAUGHN: Your Honor, could we clarify one thing?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. VAUGHN: Or two things, I guess.

First, we just want to confirm that the verdict form that the parties had jointly offered is going to be the one that is used.

THE COURT: Yes, it is. Thank you.

And I believe we sent that out perhaps this morning as well. But, yes, my understanding is the parties
jointly agreed on it and there is no objections to it, and so we will use the one that the parties proposed.

MS. VAUGHN: And we also want to confirm that the indictment, in the first sentence says, "the dates are on or about." So we just want to confirm that that should not be -- or whether we can include that when the Court says, "As of the dates charged" to reflect the indictment, "As of on or about the dates charged."

THE COURT: Point me to exactly the language.
MS. VAUGHN: In the instructions or the indictment?

THE COURT: I'm asking you where you would like me to include that language that you are identifying.

MS. VAUGHN: In Instruction 24 --
THE COURT: Yes.

MS. VAUGHN: -- where the Court has added "As of the dates charged" in the second paragraph.

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. VAUGHN: Given the indictment's allegations, we think it would be appropriate to say "as of on or about the dates charged".

THE COURT: I agree with that given the language in the indictment.

MS. VAUGHN: Thank you.
MR. SCHOEN: We object to that.

THE COURT: Objection preserved, yes. Thank you for that.

MR. CORCORAN: Slightly different issue, Your Honor, which is, in the proposed instructions, I don't know if there's an "on or about" instruction in the current version of the instructions, but that was not in play. So we would like an opportunity to look at -- there's a pattern jury instruction on "on or about," and we'd like a moment just to look at that.

THE COURT: Okay. We can take a moment.
MS. VAUGHN: We have no objection to giving that instruction.

```
(Brief pause.)
```

MR. CORCORAN: Your Honor, we would just ask for the addition of Redbook Instruction 3.103. I think both parties are agreeable to that.

MS. VAUGHN: No objection.
THE COURT: Okay. So we will include that instruction.

I would propose to make it Instruction 24 before we get to the elements. It would just be the new instruction before the elements, Instruction 24 . I just need a copy now.

MR. SCHOEN: Your Honor, I just want to be sure that the record is clear. Our objection is Count 1 uses the
term "on," not "on or about." We believe it's a variance from the indictment if the Court charges "on or about." That's the objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. SCHOEN: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: So the problem, Ms. Vaughn, is the indictment uses the words "on" in Count 1 and "by" in Count 2.

MS. VAUGHN: Your Honor, on Page 1 of the indictment, it says "all dates are on or about."

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to include then "on or about," and we'll include the "on or about" instruction, which is 3.103. I just need that instruction.

Perhaps I can pull it up.
(Brief pause.)
MS. VAUGHN: Your Honor, we have it. We can email it to your chamber's email address now.

THE COURT: Am I right that it needs to include -that it should include the alleged dates of the offense and Instruction 3.103, on or about, proof of, says, The indictment --

MS. VAUGHN: Yes. So it would say, The indictment charges that the offense in Count 1 was committed on or about October 14, 2021. The offense in Count 2 was committed on or about -- or by on or about October 18th.

THE COURT: Okay. We will work on that.

Okay. We're ready now.
Ms. Lesley, please bring the jury in.
(Jury entered the courtroom at 9:25 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
JURORS: Good morning.

THE COURT: Before we begin, I just want to give you a very brief summary of the order of operations for this morning.

So I will be reading to you the jury instructions that will apply to your deliberations this morning. After I do that -- and I will do that orally. I'll also mention in my first instruction that you will also be getting a copy of the instructions when you go deliberate. So you should listen, of course, but you will also get a copy of this when you deliberate.

After that, the parties will then do their closing arguments. And once their closing arguments have been done, I will then excuse you to begin your deliberations with one or two final instructions about that. So that's really just an overview of what we're going to do this morning.

So as to the instructions, and these are my instructions to you: Instruction 1 is the use of the instructions.

As I said, I will provide you with a copy of my
instructions. During your deliberations you may, if you want, refer to these instructions. While you may refer to any particular portion of the instructions, you are to consider the instructions as a whole and may not follow some and ignore others.

If you have any questions about the instructions, you should feel free to send me a note. Please return your instructions to me when your verdict is rendered.

Instruction 2 concerns the role of the Court. My function is and has been to conduct this trial in an orderly, fair and efficient manner, to rule on questions of law and to instruct you on the law that applies in this case.

It is your duty to accept the law as I instruct you. You should consider all the instructions as a whole. You may not ignore or refuse to follow any of them.

The next instruction is the role of the jury. Your function as the jury is to determine what the facts are in this case. You are the sole judges of the facts. While it is my responsibility to decide what is admitted as evidence during the trial, you alone decide what weight, if any, to give to that evidence. You alone decide the credibility or believability of the witnesses.

As human beings, we all have personal likes and dislikes, opinions, prejudices and biases. Generally we are
aware of these things, but you should also consider the possibility that you have implicit biases, that is, biases of which you may not be consciously aware. Personal prejudices, preferences or biases have no place in a courtroom where our goal is to arrive at a just and impartial verdict.

All people deserve fair treatment in our system of justice regardless of any personal characteristic such as race, national or ethnic origin, religion, age, disability, sex, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, education or income level.

You should determine the facts solely from a fair consideration of the evidence. You should decide the case without prejudice, fear, sympathy, favoritism or consideration of public opinion. You may not take anything I may have said or done as indicating how I think you should decide this case.

If you believe that I have expressed or indicated any such opinion, you should ignore it. The verdict in this case is your sole and exclusive responsibility.

Instruction 4 is about recollection. If any
reference by me or the attorneys to the evidence is different from your own memory of the evidence, it is your memory that should control during your deliberations.

Instruction 5 concerns statements of counsel. The
statements and arguments of the lawyers are not evidence. They are only intended to assist you in understanding the evidence. Similarly, the questions of the lawyers are not evidence.

Instruction 6 is about the indictment. It is not evidence. The indictment is merely the formal way of accusing a person of a crime. You must not consider the indictment as evidence of any kind. You may not consider it as any evidence of the defendant's guilt or draw any inference of guilt from it.

Instruction 7 concerns the burden of proof and presumption of innocence. Every defendant in a criminal case is presumed to be innocent. This presumption of innocence remains with the defendant throughout the trial unless and until the government has proven he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden never shifts throughout the trial. The law does not require the defendant to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all.

If you find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt every element of a particular offense with which the defendant is charged, it is your duty to find him guilty of that offense.

On the other hand, if you find that the government has failed to prove any element of a particular offense
beyond a reasonable doubt, it is your duty to find the defendant not guilty of that offense.

Instruction 8 concerns reasonable doubt. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt as to each count or charge against him. Some of you may have served as jurors in civil cases where you were told that it is only necessary to prove that a fact is more likely than not true, which we call the preponderance of the evidence.

In criminal cases, the government's proof must be more powerful than that. It must be beyond a reasonable doubt. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt. There are very few things in this world that we know with absolute certainty.

In criminal cases, the law does not require proof that overcomes every possible doubt. If, based on your consideration of the evidence, you are firmly convinced that the defendant is guilty of the crime charged, you must find him guilty.

If, on the other hand, you think there is a real possibility that a defendant is not guilty, you must give him the benefit of the doubt and find him not guilty.

Instruction 9 concerns direct and circumstantial
evidence. There are two types of evidence from which you
may determine what the facts are in this case: Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

When a witness, such as an eyewitness, asserts actual knowledge of a fact, that witness's testimony is direct evidence. On the other hand, evidence of facts and circumstances from which reasonable inferences may be drawn is circumstantial evidence.

Let me give you an example. Assume a person looked out a window and saw that snow was falling. If that person later testified in court about what he had seen, his testimony would be direct evidence that snow was falling at the time he saw it happen.

Assume, however, that he looked out a window and saw no snow on the ground and then went to sleep and saw snow on the ground after he woke up. His testimony about what he had seen would be circumstantial evidence; that it had snowed while he was asleep.

The law says that both direct and circumstantial evidence are acceptable as a means of proving a fact. The law does not favor one form of evidence over another. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any particular evidence, whether it is direct or circumstantial. You are permitted to give equal weight to both.

Circumstantial evidence does not require a greater degree of certainty than direct evidence. In reaching a
verdict in this case, you should consider all of the evidence presented, both direct and circumstantial.

Instruction 10 is nature of charges not to be considered. One of the questions you were asked when we were selecting this jury was whether the nature of the charges itself would affect your ability to reach a fair and impartial verdict. We asked you that question because you must not allow the nature of a charge to affect your verdict. You must consider only the evidence that has been presented in this case in reaching a fair and impartial verdict.

Instruction 11 concerns inadmissible and stricken evidence. The lawyers in this case sometimes objected when the other side asked a question, made an argument or offered evidence that the objecting lawyer believed was not proper. You must not hold such objections against the lawyer who made them or the party he or she represents. It is the lawyer's responsibility to object to evidence that they believe is not admissible.

If, during the course of the trial, I sustained an objection to a lawyer's question, you should ignore the question and you must not speculate as to what the answer would have been.

If after a witness answered a question, I ruled that the answer should be stricken, you should ignore both
the question and the answer, and they should play no part in your deliberations.

Instruction 12 concerns the credibility of
witnesses. In determining whether the government has proved the charges against the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you must consider the testimony of all the witnesses who have testified. You are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses.

You alone determine whether to believe any witness and the extent to which a witness should be believed. Judging a witness's credibility means evaluating whether the witness has testified truthfully and also whether the witness accurately observed, recalled and described the matters about which the witness testified.

You may consider anything that mere judgment affects the credibility of any witness. For example, you may consider the demeanor and the behavior of the witness on the witness stand, the witness's manner of testifying, whether the witness impresses you as a truthful person, whether the witness impresses you as having an accurate memory, whether the witness has any reason for not telling the truth, whether the witness had a meaningful opportunity to observe the matters about which he or she testified, whether the witness has any interest in the outcome of this case, stands to gain anything by testifying or has
friendship or hostility toward other people concerned with this case.

In evaluating the accuracy of a witness's memory, you may consider the circumstances surrounding the event, including the time that elapsed between the event and any later recollections of the event and the circumstances under which the witness was asked to recall details of the event. You may consider whether there are any consistencies or inconsistencies in a witness's testimony or between the witness's testimony and any previous statements made by the witness. You may also consider any consistencies or inconsistencies between the witness's testimony and any other evidence that you credit. You may consider whether any inconsistencies are the result of lapses in memory, mistake, misunderstanding, intentional falsehood or differences in perception.

You may consider the reasonableness or unreasonableness, the probability or improbability of the testimony of a witness in determining whether to accept it as true and accurate. You may consider whether the witness has been contradicted or supported by other evidence that you credit.

If you believe that any witness has shown him or herself to be biased or prejudiced, for or against either side in this trial, or motivated by self-interest, you may
consider and determine whether such bias or prejudice has colored the testimony of the witness so as to affect the desire and capability of that witness to tell the truth. You should give the testimony of each witness such weight as in your judgment it is fairly entitled to receive.

Instruction 13 concerns the right of a defendant not to testify. Every defendant in a criminal case has an absolute right not to testify. The defendant here has chosen to exercise this right. You must not hold this decision against him, and it would be improper for you to speculate as to the reason or reasons for his decision. You must not assume the defendant is guilty because he chose not to testify.

Instruction 14 concerns motive. Motive is not an element of the offenses charged and the government is not required to prove motive in this case. You may, however, consider evidence of motive or lack of evidence of motive in deciding whether or not the government has proved the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

Instruction 15 concerns multiple counts. Each count of the indictment charges a separate offense. You should consider each offense and the evidence which applies to it separately and you should return separate verdicts as to each count. The fact that you may find the defendant guilty or not guilty on any one count of the indictment
should not influence your verdict with respect to any other count of the indictment.

Instruction 16 is about unanimity. A verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror, and in order to return a verdict, each juror must agree on the verdict. In other words, your verdict on each count must be unanimous.

Instruction 17 is about the verdict form and explanation. You will be provided with a verdict form for use when you have concluded your deliberations. The form is not evidence in this case, and nothing in it should be taken to suggest or convey any opinion by me as to what the verdict should be.

Nothing in the form replaces the instructions of law I've already given you, and nothing in it replaces or modifies the instructions about the elements which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt. The form is meant only to assist you in recording your verdict.

Instruction 18 concerns exhibits during deliberations. I will be sending to the jury room with you the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence. You may examine any or all of them as you consider your verdicts. Please keep in mind that exhibits that were only marked for identification but were not admitted into evidence will not be given to you to examine or consider in reaching your
verdict.
Instruction 19 is about the selection of a foreperson. When you return to the jury room, you should first select a foreperson to preside over your deliberations and to be your spokesperson here in court. There are no specific rules regarding how you should select a foreperson; that is up to you.

However, as you go about the task, be mindful of your mission, to reach a fair and just verdict based on the evidence. Consider selecting a foreperson who will be able to facilitate your discussions, who can help you organize the evidence, who will encourage civility and mutual respect among all of you, who invite each juror to speak up regarding his or her views about the evidence, and who will promote a full and fair consideration of that evidence.

Instruction 20 is another instruction to repeat in some ways what I've said before about publicity, communications and research. I'd like to remind you that, in some cases, and this may be one again, there may be reports in the newspaper or on the radio, internet or television concerning this case. If there should be such media coverage in this case, you may be tempted to read, listen to or watch it.

You must not read, listen to or watch such reports because, again, you must decide this case solely on the
evidence presented in this courtroom. If any publicity about this trial inadvertently comes to your attention, do not discuss it with any other jurors or anyone else. Just let me or my clerk know as soon as it -- after it happens, if you can, and I will briefly discuss it with you.

As you retire to the jury room to deliberate, I also want to wish -- when you do this later, I wish to remind you of an instruction I gave you at the beginning of the trial. During deliberations, you may not communicate with anyone not on the jury, about this case. This includes electronic communications such as emails or texts or any blogging about the case.

In addition, you may not conduct any independent investigation during deliberations. This means you may not conduct any research in person or electronically via the internet or in any other way.

Instruction 21 is notetaking by jurors. During the trial, as you know, I've permitted those jurors who wanted to do so to take notes. You may take your notebooks with you to the jury room and use them during your deliberations, if you wish.

As I told you at the beginning of the trial, your notes are only to be an aid to your memory. They are not evidence in the case, and they should not replace your own memory of the evidence.

Those jurors who have not taken notes should rely on their own memory of the evidence. The notes are intended to be for the notetaker's own personal use.

The next instruction concerns communications between you, the jury and me during your deliberations. If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note by the clerk or marshal, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury.

No member of the jury should try to communicate with me except by such a signed note, and I will never communicate with any member of the jury on any matter concerning the merits of this case except in writing or orally here in open court.

Bear in mind also that you are never, under any circumstances, to reveal to any person, not the clerk, the marshal or me, how jurors are voting until after you have reached a unanimous verdict. This means that you should never tell me, in writing or in open court or otherwise, how the jury is divided on any matter. For example, 6/6, 7/5, or $11 / 1$ or in any other fashion whether the vote is for conviction or acquittal or on any other in the case.

Instruction 23 concerns proof of state of mind. Someone's state of mind ordinarily cannot be proved directly because there is no way of knowing what a person is actually
thinking, but you may infer someone's state of mind from their surrounding circumstances. You may consider any statement made or acts done or omitted by the defendant and all other facts and circumstances received in evidence, which indicate the state of mind.

It is entirely up to you, however, to decide what facts to find from the evidence received during this trial. You should consider all of the circumstances in evidence that you think are relevant in determining whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted with the necessary state of mind.

Instruction 24 concerns the use of the phrase "on or about". The indictment charges that the offense of Count 1 was committed on or about October 14th, 2021; and the offense of Count 2 was committed by or on or about October 18th, 2021.

The proof need not establish with certainty the exact date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient if the evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt if the offense was committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged.

Instruction 25, this is about the elements of the offense of contempt of Congress charged here. Counts 1 and 2 of the indictment charge the defendant with contempt of Congress for willfully not providing testimony and
information to the U.S. House Select Committee to investigate the January 6th attack on the United States Capitol.

Count 1 charges the defendant with the willful failure to provide testimony on October 14th, 2021. Count 2 charges the defendant with the willful failure to produce records by October 18, 2021.

As I've already mentioned, the burden of proof in the case lies on the government alone. It must prove each element beyond a reasonable doubt. Thus, to find the defendant guilty of contempt of Congress, you must find that as of on or about the dates charged, the government has proved each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant was subpoenaed by the Select Committee to provide testimony or produce papers. Second, that the subpoena sought testimony or information pertinent to the investigation that the Select Committee was authorized to conduct.

Third, that the defendant failed to comply or refused to comply with the subpoena. And, fourth, that the defendant's failure or refusal to comply was willful. Some clarifications about the elements are necessary.

For the second element, the testimony or
information sought by the subpoena must be pertinent. In
order for you to find that the information was pertinent, the government must prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that at the time the Select Committee issued the subpoena, the testimony or information sought could have related to the Select Committee's investigation in some way.

Phrased differently, the government must prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that there was, at the time the subpoena was issued, some connective reasoning between the topic under inquiry and the information sought. It does not matter whether the information the defendant allegedly had would have been pertinent to the authorized investigation. All that matters is that it could have been pertinent at the time that the Select Committee sought the information.

For the fourth element, the government must prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted willfully. The term willful in this context does not mean that the defendant's failure or refusal to comply with the Select Committee's subpoena was for an evil or bad purpose.

The reason or purpose of the failure or refusal to comply is immaterial so long as the failure or refusal was deliberate and intentional. To be deliberate or intentional means that the failure to comply was not the result of inadvertence, accident or mistake, including a mistake regarding the operative dates or date.

It is not a defense to contempt of Congress that the defendant did not comply with the subpoena because of the legal advice he received from his attorney or someone else, because of his understanding or belief of what the law required or allowed or because of his understanding or belief that he had a legal privilege, such as executive privilege, that excused him from complying.

Finally, if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant deliberately and intentionally failed or refused to comply with a subpoena at the time alleged by the government, it is not a defense to contempt of Congress that that defendant later offered to comply or that the Committee later agreed to accept documents or testimony from him.

Finally, Instruction 26 is to consider only the crime charged. You are here to determine whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crimes charged. The defendant is not on trial for any act, conduct or crime that is not charged in the indictment.

As I said, I will have a few short instructions after closing arguments. But it is now time for closing arguments.

So, Ms. Vaughn or Ms. Gaston.
MS. GASTON: Good morning.
JURORS: Good morning.

MS. GASTON: This case is not complicated but it is important.

As Ms. Vaughn told you on Tuesday, this is a simple case about a man, that man, Steve Bannon, who didn't show up.

Why didn't he show up? He didn't show up because he did not want to provide the January 6 Committee with documents. He did not want to answer its questions. And when it really comes down to it, he did not want to recognize Congress' authority or play by the government's rules.

So why is this case important? It is important because our government only works if people show up. It only works if people play by the rules. And it only works if people are held accountable when they do not. And in this particular case, when the defendant deliberately chose to defy a congressional subpoena, that was a crime. Let's talk about that crime.

First, let's talk about the Committee. You saw it laid out in House Resolution 503, which created the Committee.

$$
\text { January 6th, } 2021 \text { was a dark day in our nation's }
$$

history. A mob attacked the United States Capitol, the seat of our federal government. Rioters did violence to law enforcement officers attempting to protect members of

Congress, to the historic building in which our lawmakers meet and pass legislation and to one of the most prized and unbroken features of democracy in the United States, the peaceful transfer of power. The handing over of the government from one President to the next.

In the wake of that devastating event, Congress sought to make sense of it, learn from it and make sure that it never happens again through legislation and funding. And for those purposes, it created the Select Committee to investigate the January 6th attack on the United States Capitol.

And the Committee got to work. It did research. It made voluntary requests for information and interviews. And in some circumstances, it issued subpoenas, as is its right under the authority of the Constitution, to require witnesses to produce documents and give sworn answers to the Committee's questions; and that's where the defendant comes in.

As you know, years ago, the defendant had worked for Donald Trump in the White House, and the Committee had reason to believe that the defendant was still connected to President Trump at the time of January 6th, 2021.

Furthermore, the Committee had reason to believe that the defendant might have information about the events leading up to and on January 6th. And as you recall from
this cover letter to the subpoena, the Committee sought information about, among other topics, efforts the defendant was said to have engaged in to block the certification of the election. To block the peaceful transfer of power.

Here, the Committee asks about the defendant's potential presence at meetings at the Willard Hotel on January 5th, 2021, during an effort to persuade members of Congress to block the certification. And it also asked about a public statement he made on January 5th, the day before January 6th, in which he said, "All hell is going to break loose tomorrow."

And on September 23rd, 2021, the Committee sent the defendant a subpoena. This document is not hard to understand. It tells the defendant what he is required to do and when he is required to do it. One, produce documents to the Committee on October 7 th at 10 a.m. Two, appear for a deposition at the Committee's offices on October 14 th at 10 a.m.

But the defendant did not want to comply and produce documents, so he didn't. Between when the defendant accepted the subpoena on September 24 th and the document deadline on October 7th at 10 a.m., the defendant and his attorney had no contact with the Committee. The deadline came and went. The defendant did not produce a single document.

Was that a mistake? No. That was intentional. That night on October 7th, through his attorney, the defendant sent a letter to the Committee claiming that a privilege completely exempted him from complying with the subpoena.

As you heard from Agent Hart, up to that point, the defendant had not even bothered to collect documents responsive to the subpoena. That was so even though the privilege he was claiming could not possibly cover everything he had.

And the next day the defendant made his feelings about the Committee and its subpoena clear when he posted on Gettr that he was defying the Committee to, "stand with Trump."

This is the defendant celebrating his defiance, issuing a public statement to reporters, and this shows you that the defendant knew that the subpoena had required him to produce documents; and that he knew he had refused. This is not a mistake.

The Committee wrote back on October 8th, the next day. And it was clear, his claimed privilege was rejected. First, it reminded him about the document deadline he had missed. It wrote: "Regardless of any purported privilege assertion by Mr. Trump, Mr. Bannon has an ongoing obligation to produce documents to the Select Committee."

Next, it reminded him that the subpoena required him to attend the upcoming deposition. The Committee wrote: "Finally, the Select Committee expects Mr. Bannon's appearance at the time and place designated in the subpoena for a deposition and respond fully to questions by the Select Committee."

And finally, the Committee advised the defendant of the consequences if he continued to defy the subpoena. It told him, "His willful noncompliance with the subpoena would force the Select Committee to consider invoking the contempt of Congress procedures in 2 United States Code Sections 192, 194, which could result in a referral from the House to the Department of Justice for criminal charges."

And you know that the defendant knew about this, he was on notice about this, because as his lawyer told Agent Hart, the defendant was receiving the communications from the Committee, and he was fully engaged throughout the entire process.

On October 13th, the night before the defendant was required to appear for a deposition, his attorney wrote back to the Committee. He again refused to comply with the subpoena or the Committee's rules, declaring that he would not produce documents or testify until the Committee went to court or coordinated with former President Trump.

Let's talk about that for a minute. The defendant
was not in a position to issue an ultimatum to the Committee. He was under a legal order to comply with the Committee's rules.

Imagine if someone gets a parking ticket here in the District. He gets to his car and there's a pink piece of paper tucked under the windshield wiper. He takes the ticket, he reviews the reason he got it. Well, at that point he has a decision to make. He can go ahead and comply; that is, pay it. Or if he thinks he has an excuse, he can give an explanation to the Office of Parking Enforcement.

But if the D.C. government rejects his excuse, that is it. That is the end. If he doesn't -- he has to pay. If he doesn't, the fine doubles, his car gets booted or towed. What he doesn't get to do is just ignore the order to pay it. He does not get to reject the authority of the D.C. government to order him to do something.

But on the day of the deposition, October 14th, that is exactly what the defendant did. He ignored Congress' lawful order that he appear to answer questions. The defendant refused to show up.

One quick aside. In his opening statement, Mr. Corcoran said that you would not hear evidence that the defendant ignored the subpoena. And I guess that that is technically true in the sense that he responded to the
subpoena with letters saying that he would ignore its requirements. But when it comes down to it, he ignored what he was being told to do.

Back to October 14th. At that point, the defendant had defaulted -- and that is a fancy word for "refused to comply" -- twice. He had defaulted on October 7th by ignoring the subpoena's demand for documents and he had defaulted on October 14th by skipping the deposition as the subpoena required, and on October 15th, the Committee told him so.

The Committee reminded him that the subpoena had demanded that the defendant produce documents by October 7th, 2021 and appear on October 14th, 2021 for a deposition. And the Committee told the defendant that he had already broken the law. It wrote, "Mr. Bannon has now willfully failed to both produce a single document and to appear for his scheduled deposition. The Select Committee believes that this willful refusal to comply with the subpoena constitutes a violation of federal law."

And the Committee told him that it was going to consider referring him for contempt of Congress, that crime; and that if he wanted to clarify anything before the Committee did so, he needed to do so before October 18th at 6 p.m.

But you know what happened. October 18th at

6 p.m. came and went, and all the defendant did was send a letter, again referencing the same privilege, trying to stall.

And let me just say here, in this opening,
Mr. Corcoran promised you that you would see evidence of negotiation of the defendant's lawyer, Mr. Costello, going back and forth with Ms. Amerling and the Committee. You saw no such thing.

You saw for yourself the defendant's attorney's letters to the Committee. This is the sum total of them. On October 7th, the defendant wrote to the Committee, "We are unable to respond to your request for documents and testimony" and "Mr. Bannon is legally unable to comply with your subpoena requests for documents and testimony."

On October 13th he wrote, "Mr. Bannon will not be producing documents or testifying." And on October 18th he wrote and asked for a one-week adjournment because of an unrelated civil lawsuit.

So, in essence, what the defendant told the Committee was, on October 7th, We won't comply. On October 13th, We won't comply. On October 18th, Can we have more time to tell you that we still won't comply? That is not negotiation.

What the defendant did instead, defy a subpoena and refuse to comply with the rules that Congress has
created for responding to it, that is a crime. That is contempt of Congress; and that's what the defendant is charged with, two counts of contempt of Congress, one for the documents and one for the testimony.

Let's go over the elements of contempt of Congress. You heard them from Judge Nichols just a few minutes ago, and you'll need to find each of these in order to conclude that the defendant is guilty. And as we'll discuss, the evidence here supports each and every one of them beyond a reasonable doubt.

The elements are, first, that the defendant was subpoenaed by the Select Committee to provide testimony or produce papers.

Second, the subpoena sought testimony or information pertinent to the investigation that the Select Committee was authorized to conduct.

Third, that the defendant failed to comply or refused to comply with the subpoena.

And fourth, that the defendant's failure or refusal to comply was willful.

We can check off numbers one and three easily. For number one, you know that the defendant was subpoenaed by the Committee to provide both testimony and documents. You have seen the subpoena. You have heard testimony and seen evidence about the defendant's attorney accepting
service of it on his behalf, and you have heard that his attorney provided the subpoena to him. Check.

And for number three, you know that the defendant failed to comply. That is, he provided neither testimony nor documents. You know by now that the defendant had defaulted by 10 a.m. on October 7 th as to the documents, and by $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on October 14 th as to the testimony. And everything else, everything after those dates, that is just more evidence of the defendant's default.

So you know, as to Count 1, testimony, the defendant defaulted. And you know, as to count 2, the documents, the defendant defaulted. Check.

So for number two, the second element, let's break it down in plain English. All that means is, was the subpoena asking for information related to the subject matter that the Committee was supposed to be looking at and how do you know that here?

Well, the subject matter the Committee was supposed to be looking at is spelled out in its name, the Select Committee to investigate the January 6th attack on the United States Capitol.

And then there is House Resolution 503, the legislation through which the Committee was created. You saw that and know that the Committee was created to look broadly at the events leading up to and occurring on

January 6th. And you know from Ms. Amerling's testimony, from the letters that the Committee sent to the defendant, and from the subpoena itself, those 17 items, that the Committee believed that the defendant had information pertinent to or related to that mission.

And as Judge Nichols also described to you, all that matters here is that the information could have been pertinent at the time that the Select Committee sought it; that's the second element. Check.

And that brings us to the fourth and final element, willfulness. Judge Nichols just instructed you and told you that it means that the defendant's noncompliance with the subpoena was deliberate and intentional, in other words, on purpose.

It means that he knew he was commanded to appear and he chose not to, for whatever reason. It just means that the defendant's noncompliance was not the result of an accident or a mistake, like if he got the date wrong or the time wrong or the location wrong.

A person acts willfully when he makes a choice to do or not do something. And as Judge Nichols told you, the reason for that choice does not matter. Here, it does not matter if the defendant refused to comply because his lawyer advised him so or if he believed that former President Trump had asserted executive privilege.

Let me repeat that. As long as the defendant knew that he had been commanded by the Committee's subpoena to produce documents and give testimony and chose not to, his belief that he had a good excuse not to comply does not matter; that is not a defense to contempt.

And let me just say, it may seem strict that the defendant had to comply with the subpoena no matter what and assert any privileges in the way Congress has set forth. It is strict for a reason. Because, in order for the government to function, citizens need to follow its rules and, yes, recognize the government's authority. It may not always be fun. Think back to the example of the parking ticket. But it is how we all live together in society. If people could just ignore parking tickets without consequences, we wouldn't be able to get anywhere because of illegally-parked cars in the way. If people, like the defendant, can choose to ignore the government's subpoenas, the important work of government to serve its people cannot get done. The Committee would be stalled in its efforts to use legislation and funding to stop something like January 6th from ever happening again.

As you know from all of the evidence in this case, the defendant chose to refuse to produce documents and to skip the deposition. This was no mistake. He did not go to the wrong room in the House Office Building or send the
documents to the wrong email address. Instead, the defendant made a deliberate decision not to comply, and that, ladies and gentlemen, is contempt. That is a crime.

You know that the defendant acted willfully because he told the Committee so repeatedly in letters. In all of his letters in front of you, you see the reason he chose not to produce a single document or appear for testimony, a privilege that he claimed the former President gave him. There is no accident there.

And the defendant chose to persist in that alleged privilege, even after being told by the Committee that it did not apply and after being warned that he could be charged with a crime. The defendant chose allegiance to Donald Trump over compliance with the law.

He never changed course; not when the Committee rejected his claimed privilege on October 8th; not when the Committee warned him about contempt; not when the Committee followed through and voted him in contempt; and not when the full House referred him for prosecution.

If the defendant's default were because of a mistake or accident, if we were talking about confusion about a deadline, don't you think he would have spoken up the moment he realized it? That he would have informed the Committee that he would have rushed in to clear things up and comply?

Look at letter after letter that the Committee sent advising the defendant that, because he had missed the dates, he might and ultimately would be referred for prosecution. You don't ignore those by mistake. This was no accident.

And let's talk for one last minute about what else is not a mistake or an accident. It is not a mistake or accident to default on a subpoena because you don't take it seriously or consider the subpoena's demands to be optional. It is not a mistake or an accident to default on a subpoena because you failed to anticipate that the Congress would reject your stalling tactics.

So if the defense is trying to tell you that the defendant thought that the dates on the subpoena, October 7th and October 14th, the ones in black and white on the face of that document, were not hard deadlines, please, don't fall for that.

And if the defense is trying to blame the Congress for refusing to negotiate with the defendant, whose idea of negotiation is that the defendant gets to refuse and Congress has to accept it, you can give that exactly as much consideration as it is worth. None.

We are here because the defendant had contempt for Congress. This is a situation in which the name of the crime tells you everything you need to know. Contempt. The
dictionary tells us that contempt is the feeling that a person or thing is beneath consideration, worthless or deserving scorn.

The defendant here disdained Congress. He thought it was beneath him and, as a result, he chose to defy the Committee. He did not show up.

Lastly, in his opening and through his questioning of witnesses, Mr. Corcoran has tried to tell you that this case is about politics. But the only person who is making this case about politics is the defendant, and he is doing it to distract and confuse you. Don't let him.

There is nothing political about finding out why January 6th happened and how to make sure that it never happens again. And there is nothing political about enforcing the law against someone who, like the defendant, flouts it.

You may feel that you have been asked to spend your time during jury selection and these proceedings on something that is small, a technical violation, something that is not important. That could not be further from the truth.

Your decision to fulfill your civic jury duty, to come to this courthouse and listen attentively to the evidence and the Court's instructions and momentarily to engage in thoughtful deliberations, that is an act of
service to your country, and it is foundational to the rule of law.

In his opening, Mr. Corcoran said that we're all in this together in our country. He is right. We are all participants in shared democracy. But the defendant does not agree. He has contempt for our system of government, and he does not think he has to play by its rules.

He had contempt for the Committee's need for information about January 6th. And when faced with a clear decision whether to comply with the congressional subpoena seeking information about January 6th and to ensure that nothing like it happens again, the defendant chose defiance. Find him guilty.

THE COURT: Is the defense ready?
MR. CORCORAN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Please.

MR. CORCORAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good morning.
JURORS: Good morning.
MR. CORCORAN: None of us will soon forget January
6, 2021. It's part of our collective memory. But there's no evidence in this case that Steve Bannon was involved at all. For purposes of this case, we have to put out of our thoughts January 6th, because it's a case about a subpoena and whether a man committed a crime in his actions with
regard to that subpoena.
Steve Bannon is innocent of the crimes with which he is charged. I told you that at the very beginning and now you've seen the evidence. And I'm going to explain why the evidence shows that he is innocent.

Ms. Gaston spoke a little bit about the beginning of the case and a letter that accompanied the subpoena and the interest to speak to Mr . Bannon. You heard the witness who testified, Ms. Amerling, and she spoke about the newspaper article she read and the book that she read and the idea that, on his show, he said the day before January 6th that he predicted that all hell would break loose. What she also said, of course, is that every major media outlet in the country, every show on January 5th predicted the same thing.

A subpoena, nonetheless, was prepared eight months later. I think it's important, at the very outset, for all of us to understand that, even if you think in hindsight that the path that Mr. Bannon took and the path that his lawyer took, Mr. Costello, turned out to be a mistake, it was not a crime. It was not a crime.

You'll see on Page 27 of the instructions when you get to them, there is a key sentence. And it says, "If you made a mistake, it's not a crime." Essentially that's the case.

I know you're going to find that the government has not proved its case, and it has to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. What is a reasonable doubt? It's a doubt based on a reason. If there is a reasonable doubt, you must find Steve Bannon not guilty.

What are some examples of things -- of evidence in the case that will give you pause for which you'll have a reason to doubt that the government has proved its case?

Well, one of the things is this: Ms. Amerling
testified that these are the rules that govern -- the regulations that govern the use of deposition authority in the 117th Congress, which is this Congress.

And, of course, there's a lot of legalese in this document, but important to our consideration is this, Paragraph 11, which says, "A witness shall not be required to testify unless the witness has been provided with a copy of Section 3(b)" --

MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. CORCORAN: -- "of H.Res. 8, 117th Congress, and these regulations."

What did Ms. Amerling say? She said to her knowledge, Steve Bannon was not provided with Section 3 (b).

MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Corcoran, I'd like to tie this to
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an issue that's actually in dispute.
MR. CORCORAN: Yes, Your Honor.
That is a reason for you to doubt the prosecutor's
case. You must find Steve Bannon -- you must give Steve
Bannon --
MS. GASTON: Objection.
MR. CORCORAN: -- the benefit of the doubt.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. CORCORAN: You must give Steve Bannon -- I'm
sorry, Your Honor.
MS. GASTON: Your Honor, we request a sidebar
please.

THE COURT: Yes.
(Sidebar discussion.)



(Sidebar discussion concluded.)
MR. CORCORAN: Let me talk about another issue on which I believe you can doubt the government's case. Ms. Amerling, who you spoke with, testified that a subpoena is not valid unless it was signed by the Chairman of the Committee. This should raise a doubt about the prosecution's case. Let me show you.
(Brief pause.)
This is Government's Exhibit 5, which is a letter purportedly from Chairman Thompson to Bob Costello. If you look at the last page of that letter, that is Chairman Thompson's signature.

This is Government's Exhibit No. 7, a letter from Chairman Thompson to Bob Costello, Mr. Bannon's lawyer.
(Brief pause.)

That is the last page of the letter with

Chairman Thompson's signature.
(Brief pause.)

This is a one-page letter, Government's Exhibit No. 9, and that is Chairman Thompson's signature.
(Brief pause.)

This is a subpoena in the case, the one that Ms. Amerling testified had to be signed by the Chairman, Mr. Thompson; that is the signature on the subpoena.

And you can ask yourself if one of those things is different than the other. Because that could be a doubt, a doubt as to the government's case. A reasonable doubt as to whether Chairman Thompson signed the subpoena.

And if you wonder whether the signature is legit --

MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sidebar again.
(Sidebar discussion.)



(Sidebar discussion concluded.)

MR. CORCORAN: Thank you, very much, Your Honor.

Now, when you're considering whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt, one of the key things that you'll have to think about is the testimony of the witnesses that you heard and their credibility.

You heard the instructions and you'll have them with you back when you deliberate, and the instructions say that in determining whether the government has proved the charges against the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you must consider the testimony of all the witnesses who have testified.

You're the sole judges of the credibility of witnesses. You alone determine whether to believe any witness and the extent to which a witness should be believed.

One of the key things to get and to consider -and, again, I'm just referencing what has been instructed to you and what you'll have back in the jury box -- but for this case, you need to consider whether a witness has an
interest in the outcome of the case. And you need to consider whether a witness has a friendship or hostility towards anybody connected with the case; that's something that you need to consider when you're thinking about that testimony.

Does Ms. Amerling -- does Ms. Amerling have an interest in the outcome of the case? Of course she does. She has been doing Committee work for democratic members of Congress for 20 years. Does she seem like somebody who is used to getting their way? We've all encountered people in positions of power who demand that you do things their way. We've all encountered that.

She testified that the Select Committee had interviewed more than 1,000 witnesses. So there's a question, Why? Why was Steve Bannon singled out?

In her 20 years, Ms. Amerling had never been a witness in a criminal contempt of Congress case. Twenty years. This is the only time, when she testified yesterday and the day before, in 20 years that she had ever been the witness in a criminal contempt of Congress case.

Are we to believe that no subpoena recipient in 20 years has raised a legal objection? Are we to believe that no lawyers involved in the scheduling or the scope of a deposition have ever come to loggerheads so they couldn't resolve it? She testified that she's been involved with
every single subpoena issued by a Select Committee, dozens and dozens of them.

The letters back and forth in this case between the Committee and Bob Costello, a handful of letters that you'll have back in the jury room. The government wants you to believe that that's a paper trail to a crime. Look at those letters. That's not a paper trail to a crime. It's two lawyers communicating and trying to negotiate over a legal issue.

The key is that the negotiations over the dates of the subpoena, October 7 th and October 14 th, those dates were placeholders. Placeholders.

Politics. I asked you at the start of the case, just as you listen to the evidence, as you look at the documents, ask yourself, Is it in any way affected by politics; and that's your decision. Because there's a question, Why did Ms. Amerling rush to judgment on Steve Bannon? Why?

We know there was no need for a rush. She said again and again, there was an urgency. But what do we know? That was back in October of 2021. The Committee is still doing its work and will continue its work until the end of this year. More than a year and several months were available to work through the privilege objection that was raised so that he could testify.

How do we know she rushed to judgment? Well, she told you -- she told you herself that she filled out the proof of service of the subpoena. It's Government's Exhibit Page 2, and the second page. She told you that she filled it out.

And if you look, if you look at the date -- first look at the top where it says Proof of Service and then that's her signature, as she testified, and the date. So she filled out the proof of service before it was served. And I asked her that, I said, And the proof of service is the page that is intended to prove when service of the subpoena is accomplished. Correct?

Answer: That's correct.
Question. Okay. You filled out this proof of service before service was accepted. Correct?

That's correct.
Why fill out the proof of service before it's even accomplished? That's a reason, that's a reason for you to doubt the government's case. And you must give Steve Bannon the benefit of the doubt and find him not guilty.

You might ask yourself, Why? Why did Ms. Amerling want to make an example of Steve Bannon? Well, what does the evidence show? He was a top advisor to President Trump. He has a show, podcast on political topics that has a very large following. And it's an election year.

What do we know? You're going to judge the credibility of the witnesses.

What do we know about Ms. Amerling's testimony based upon her being on the stand here in court? Her demeanor on the stand captures the essence of this case. Did she listen with an open mind or did she just want things to go her way?

Her testimony in court precisely mirrored the interactions that she had with Bob Costello, Mr. Bannon's lawyer. The way that she conducted herself in the courtroom, in a nutshell, were exactly the way, exactly the way, she conducted herself in dealing with Mr. Bannon's lawyer, Bob Costello. Exactly. That explains why we're here today. She didn't listen to Steve Bannon's reasonable request. Can you try to reach an agreement with President Trump, and I'll testify before the Committee like I've done before other congressional committees?

Can you bring the privilege issue in a civil case to a judge and get it resolved? I will abide by the Judge's rules.

Can we take a week to consider new -- a new thing that just happened, the filing of a lawsuit on executive privilege? Can we just have a week?

This case is not, as the prosecutor said, about the need for people to play by the rules. This is about

Ms. Amerling saying, People need to play by her rules.
And the real question $I$ think -- or one question anyway is, did Chairman Thompson even get to consider these questions, the reasonable ideas that were put forth so that Mr. Bannon could testify?

When I asked Ms. Amerling about it, I said, "Did Chairman Thompson communicate with President Trump or his representatives to try to explore getting rid of executive privilege so Mr. Bannon could testify?"

There was an objection. There was a ruling.
"The witness: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor."
The answer is, No. He didn't even consider that option.

What other parts of Ms. Amerling's testimony raised questions? Well, you may remember I talked to her about the subpoena and the 17 boilerplate topics. And I said, Look, is that boilerplate included with the subpoenas that go out to all the other recipients? And she said -she responded like it was a national secret. She said, "I can't give you a roadmap to that."

If a witness is evasive, you've got to use that in assessing her credibility, and you've got to question her testimony. And it took cross-examination for her to admit that she had no personal knowledge at all. No direct personal knowledge at all that Steve Bannon had even one
single document that was responsive to this case, and yet she wants him charged with a crime.

I asked again and again about the subpoena and the different letters back and forth. Who wrote this? What human being picked the dates of October 7th and 14 th? Why? Why those dates? It is a straightforward question which she didn't want to answer.

On cross-examination $I$ asked her the most basic question about the October 14 th date for testimony. The government says it's a firm date and that's why Mr. Bannon committed a crime. I asked her the most basic question: "Do you have direct knowledge sitting here today who selected October 14th, 2021 as the date for Mr. Bannon to testify? Who?" What was her answer?
"To the best of my recollection, because of the multiple roles that we understood Mr. Bannon potentially had with respect to the events of January 6th, at the time that we put the subpoena together, there was a general interest in obtaining information from him expeditiously because we believed that this information could potentially lead us to other relevant witnesses or other relevant documents or perhaps inform the Committee about avenues that it shouldn't go down. So to the best of my recollection there was a general interest in including deadlines that required expeditious response."

I just asked who. The entire foundation for the government's case rests on Ms. Amerling. We have been here for a week and there were two witnesses. If her testimony gives you a reason to doubt whether the government has proved its case, you have to give Steve Bannon the benefit of the doubt.

Now, another thing about Ms. Amerling is her relationship with the prosecutor. It raises questions. She has a relationship -- Ms. Amerling has a relationship with one of the prosecutors in the case, Ms. Gaston. It goes back 15 years.

Ms. Amerling's been, throughout her career, a staff member aligned with one political party. She's served democratic members of Congress her entire career, and she's contributed her own money to democratic causes. You heard that Ms. Gaston, the prosecutor, and Ms. Amerling have known each other for 15 years. You've heard that they worked together under a democratic member of Congress.

You heard that their relationship goes beyond that, that they socialized outside of work, that they were members in a book club, and the book club consisted of people who all worked, at one time or another, for a democratic member of Congress.

Make no mistake, I'm not against book clubs. I'm not against book clubs. But why did Ms. Amerling try to
downplay her connection to the prosecutor?
You heard Agent Hart. You heard him testify. He was an FBI agent investigating the case. And you heard him say that it was important to him to know whether, when he's investigating a crime and he's talking to somebody who says, Hey, a crime was committed, whether that person has any bias.

And you heard what she did not tell in her November 2nd, 2021 interview with Agent Hart, where the prosecutors were present that she had a personal relationship with the prosecutor.

Why? Why is she trying to hide her personal connection to the prosecutor from the FBI? That's a serious question. And she acknowledged that sometimes the book club members discussed political events of the day. And it's not surprising. I mean, they all had a shared background in that they worked under a democratic Congress.

The thing about bias is that sometimes people become blind to it. As the Court said just a moment ago, there could be a bias in somebody that they're not aware of.

Ms. Amerling worked for 20 years for one political party in a political arena, Congress, where there are constant fights, political fights. Over time, drop by drop, it builds up. It may be that Ms. Amerling, herself, can no longer see how her long-time career for one political party
affects her.
But you've got to see it, and that's why I ask you to consider whether any testimony or evidence was affected by politics. Why is it important? Fairness and neutrality.

Let me give you an example. God forbid a family member dies and you have to figure out somebody who's going to help settle the estate. You decide, all right, let's get a neutral mediator to look at this and we'll decide how to split things up, whatever it is, and see who gets what fairly and neutrally. And it goes on for months and months and months, and everybody involved, or almost everybody, thinks that it's a fair process.

Then you learn that one of the people who stands to gain under that estate and the person you thought was the neutral mediator had known each other for 15 years and were in the book club together. That's going to cause you to have a real doubt about the fairness and the neutrality. That would make you question whether they're impartial, and that's a reasonable doubt.

If this issue involving the relationship between the prosecutor, as it should, gives you pause, gives you a doubt, you must give Steve Bannon the benefit of the doubt.

There are no second chances when we're dealing with a criminal case. There are no second chances. If you have a doubt a year from now, one year from now, and you say
to yourself, Wait a minute, I sat on a jury that voted to convict a defendant in a criminal case where the chief witness and the prosecutor had known each other for 15 years, you might have a doubt. A year from now will be too late. You've got to consider the doubts it could have today. And if you've got a doubt in your mind, you have to give Steve Bannon the benefit of that doubt.

What's another thing about Ms. Amerling's
testimony that raises questions, serious questions? Why did she play down her political affiliations when she spoke to the FBI? Why did she play them down? She was asked in her November 2nd, 2020 -- I'm sorry, 2021 interview about the Committee. And she said that she was non-partisan. That's what she told the FBI.

Here's the question: "Now, when you were interviewed by the FBI on November 2nd, 2021, you told the FBI agent that you and other members of the staff were non-partisan, didn't you?
"Answer: That's correct."
That's what she said, non-partisan. What does non-partisan mean? Well, it means free from party affiliation. Why would she downplay her party affiliation? Wait a minute. She's worked for 20 years for only one political party. She's contributed her own money to political candidates of one party. That's the definition of
being affiliated with a party. That's not non-partisan. Did Steve Bannon commit a crime? He didn't intentionally refuse to comply with the subpoena. Absolutely not. He didn't refuse to comply with anything. He clearly and repeatedly, through his lawyer, Bob Costello, said, Let's remove the obstacle to my coming and testifying. Let's get rid of the obstacle of executive privilege and I'll testify as I've done on several occasions before Congress.

Let me show you just one example of a letter. This is a letter -- and it's Government's Exhibit 6, from -it's to the Chairman of the Committee, Mr. Thompson. The date is 13th of October, 2021, the day before the government says Mr. Bannon committed a crime.

Then look at this paragraph that's highlighted. This is Government's Exhibit 6. It said, "Mr. Bannon has testified on three prior occasions before the Mueller Investigation, the House Intelligence Committee and the Senate Intelligence Committee. In each of those instances, when President Trump waived his invocation of the executive privileges, Mr. Bannon testified."

What does Mr. Costello suggest? Two different tracks. Either -- you can read this letter when you get back in the jury box. And this is, again, Government's Exhibit 6.

It offers two solutions: Number one, talk to President Trump and get him to waive executive privilege as he's done before, and I've testified before when he's done so, or take it to a judge and have it resolved in a civil context and I'll comply.

That's what Steve Bannon said. Is that a refusal? Is that contemptuous? Of course not. It's saying, Remove an obstacle and I'll testify.

The dates in the subpoena were placeholders, essentially. You heard that, in these instances where a subpoena is sent to a recipient and dates are provided for documents or testimony, there's a lot of negotiation that follows; and that often the person testifies long after the dates in the subpoena. In other words, there's no magic to those dates.

And you know when I asked Ms. Amerling again and again, Why those dates? Why those dates? All she could say was -- well, she didn't know really. She really didn't know or who picked the dates. The fact of the matter is the dates on the subpoena are still on the subpoena. They're still under negotiation.

Now, you're going to have in the jury room the instructions and you've heard the Judge instruct you on the law. You'll see that, when the instruction talks about the elements of the offense, it says, this is not a defense,
this is not a defense, et cetera, et cetera.
Just to be clear, we didn't put on a defense in this case. It's the government's burden of proof. So we're not putting any letter or anything on as a defense in the case. So that language about this defense doesn't apply, et cetera, et cetera, we've been here for a week and we heard two witnesses. We didn't feel a need to put on a defense.

But the letters, the recent letters from President Trump to Bob Costello for the Committee, saying, Look, President Trump has agreed to withdraw executive privilege. Let's talk about when we can have him testify.

You'll see that there is this response back. This is Defense Exhibit 32. We were the ones who had to bring this to your attention, not the government.

But on July 14th, not long ago, for certain, Bob Costello writes to the Chairman -- I'm sorry, the Chairman writes to Bob Costello responding to a letter that he sent on January 9th. And he says, essentially, Great. Now you're willing to comply. I understand that executive privilege has been waived. And what does he say? Give us the documents, and then we'll schedule the deposition.

What did it say right here, this sentence? "We anticipate that the deposition will occur in the near future." What does that tell you? This is the very same
subpoena that we're talking about. The very same subpoena that we're talking about is still under negotiation.

The prosecutor says these letters don't matter because Steve Bannon should have come back, should have come in to testify even though we charged him with a crime.

The prosecutor in questioning yesterday said, Hey, wait a minute. Didn't Mr. Bannon try to dismiss the charges against him? Well, of course, when a criminal case is pending against you, you've got to deal with that case. You've got to deal with that case.

And, of course, Mr. Bannon was not in a position to testify. He could not have testified until he received and learned that President Trump had agreed --

MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. CORCORAN: And this is Defendant's Exhibit 30.

Again, it was the defense who showed you these
letters and introduced these letters, but this is a July 9th letter from President Donald J. Trump to Steve Bannon. It says, "I write about the subpoena that you received."

He says, "When you first received the subpoena to testify and provide documents, I invoked executive privilege."

And he says, "Therefore, if you reach an agreement on a time and a place of your testimony, I will waive
executive privilege for you, which allows you to go in and testify truthfully and fairly."

The prosecutor says, Why didn't he come in in October, November, December, et cetera, et cetera? Well, this letter removed the obstacle in July. And it's an important question to consider.

Look, you're being asked to find somebody guilty of a crime. You are being asked to find somebody guilty of a crime of contempt of Congress with respect to a subpoena, when his testimony on that subpoena may occur in the near future. That's not me saying it. It's Chairman Thompson.

Again, Defendant's Exhibit 32, which is a letter to Bob Costello from Chairman Thompson. What does it say? Right here. "We anticipate that the deposition will occur in the near future."
"We anticipate that the deposition will occur in the near future." The prosecutors are asking you to find Mr. Bannon guilty of a crime when he may testify in the near future on the subpoena that they're trying to say that he committed a crime on.

Look, the prosecutors are basically saying, You've got no choice. But you do have a choice. Our system gives you the power to decide the evidence, not the prosecutors. And they can't order you to do anything.

How can you find that Steve Bannon intentionally
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Think about this example. Some time ago, you received in the mail a letter asking you to come to court for your jury service. Imagine --

MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. CORCORAN: Imagine, when you received the letter, you realized you couldn't appear in court on that date. Just impossible. Got a lawyer. The lawyer presented your objection. Perhaps the lawyer just asked for an additional week. What was your intent at that date? What was your intent? Because that's what's really being asked of you, What was Steve Bannon's intent?

In your mind, you're not refusing to comply.
MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Gaston, you presented a
hypothetical about a parking ticket. He's presenting a hypothetical about a summons.

MS. GASTON: Sidebar, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
(Sidebar discussion.)
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his privilege, but --
MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. CORCORAN: The Court will instruct you about the law on intent, already has, and you'll have that back in the jury room. And what you'll have are the instructions on Page 27. And I want to focus you on one sentence. One sentence from that instruction, which is critical. It says, "To be deliberate or intentional means that the failure to comply was not the result of inadvertence, accident or mistake."

If you find that Mr. Bannon made a mistake in the path he chose, he's not guilty. You cannot find him guilty.

Most mornings before work I have a cup of coffee and I listen to the radio. A bit old-fashioned. But through the years, I have heard reports from other countries about their elections. The people in power try to take steps to put -- to silence the opposition. When I hear that, I think to myself, Thank God it doesn't happen in this country.

Right now the Presidency, the Senate and the Congress are in the hands of one party, the Democrats --

MS. GASTON: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. CORCORAN: That's okay. It's okay to have
political views that are different from others. We come to our views, each of us, honestly. Some of the things that control those views we have no control over: Where we're born. When we're born. What family our family is, and what we're exposed to over life. We come to our political views honestly.

But no one in this country should face a criminal prosecution --

MS. GASTON: Objection.
MR. CORCORAN: -- that's based in any way on politics.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. CORCORAN: That's why I asked you at the start of the case to listen carefully to the evidence.

Five or ten years from now, you'll look back and you'll know that you upheld an essential principle in our country; prosecution has to be based on unbiased, neutral evidence. Politics can play no role. It's important. We are all in this together.

And Steve Bannon is innocent.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. VAUGHN: Your Honor, can we have a 15-minute break?

THE COURT: Yes, I think that would be useful for
various reasons. Why don't we say 10 minutes. Two minutes is pretty quick. It's 11:04. Let's say 11:15. We are in recess.
(Recess was taken from 11:04 a.m. to 11:15 a.m.)
THE COURT: Is the government ready?
MS. VAUGHN: Yes, Your Honor.
(Jury entered the courtroom.)
MS. VAUGHN: Ladies and gentlemen, the defense wants to make this hard, difficult, confusing. They want you to wonder, What am I missing here? When a subpoena says October 7th, does it really say October 7th?

You're not missing anything. This is not
difficult. This is not hard. There were two witnesses because it's as simple as it seems.

Your eyes aren't deceiving you about what is in black and white on paper. How much clearer could that subpoena have been? "You are hereby commanded under the authority of the U.S. government to tell us what you know about January 6th." How much clearer could the Committee's letters have been? Your excuse is no excuse. You must comply.

The defendant didn't fail to comply because he thought there was play in the joints. He chose not to comply. He made a deliberate decision not to comply. Because he didn't want to.

How much clearer could he have been than this. On his social media on October 8th, he yelled it from the mountaintop. I don't see anywhere in here where it says, I think the Committee gave me a couple more weeks. I think the Committee is going to give me a pass because of this privilege my friend, former President Donald Trump, has given me. It says he will not comply. That is not a negotiation.

So let's talk about what's really going on here. You saw that subpoena and the documents and topics it required the defendant to turn over. You'll be able to look at it again in the deliberation room. How many of those items require the defendant to tell the Select Committee what he might know about former President Trump and January 6th. The former President, he proclaimed right here, that he stood with in refusing to comply with the subpoena.

How convenient that the former President tried to give the defendant an excuse for his defiance?

The defendant stood with Donald Trump all right. And that choice, the deliberate decision to stand with former President Trump, that is a choice, a deliberate decision to defy the subpoena.

This wasn't a negotiation. Robert Costello was doing exactly what the defendant wanted him to do. He told
the government, in his effort to get his client off, that he -- that the defendant was engaged the entire time. He knew exactly what was going on.

All Mr. Costello was doing was serving his client's wishes. What is a negotiation about hiding behind a privilege that Ms. Amerling explained, in response to Mr. Corcoran's questions, was not available for total defiance and noncompliance? What is a negotiation about that?

That is like a child continuing to argue with their parent after they've been told they're grounded. That kid knows they're grounded. They can argue all they want. It doesn't change the fact that the decision has been made.

The Committee, the government, made the decision here. We need to know what you know. We're time limited. This is urgent. We only have a few months. What you're claiming as an excuse is not. Now you need to comply.

The defendant didn't comply not because he was mistaken. Not because he thought the dates might be continued. He chose to comply -- he chose not to comply because he didn't want to.

And let me say one more thing on Mr. Costello.
You heard from Special Agent Hart that he came in on his own to try to convince the government that there was no crime here. But he didn't mention anything when he was doing that
that this was a mistake? Wouldn't he have said all the facts he could to convince the government that there was no crime?

You heard Special Agent Hart. All Mr. Costello kept saying was he had the same privilege that the Committee had already rejected. He didn't say they thought the dates were malleable. He didn't say that the defendant made a mistake. It's because he didn't. This is a last-minute excuse. Another excuse.

And let me comment for a minute on this claim of privilege, Mr. Corcoran's comment that he just made a mistake on the path he chose in relying on this privilege. Judge Nichols just instructed you earlier this morning that the defendant's belief that executive privilege excused him does not provide a defense to his deliberate choice.

But you know what it does tell you? It tells you he made a choice. He made a choice to hide behind a privilege claim, even after he was told it didn't excuse him. That letter from just a few days ago that the defendant showed you where he says, Just kidding. Now the former President said he's going to waive, and I'm going to comply. That shows you his choice. He's continuing to make the choice, the deliberate decision that he first made in October of 2021. This is not a mistake. It's a choice. It's contempt and it's a crime.

The defendant thinks that he didn't -- his choice can't be deliberate because the Committee didn't take him up on the options he provided them. I think Mr. Corcoran referred to it as the Committee -- all he wanted was the Committee to remove an obstacle for him; that the Committee had some obligation to go to a court or go to former President Trump himself and get their permission to exercise their own authority to get to the bottom of January 6th.

That's like saying that the referee on a soccer field can't make calls on plays unless they go over to the baseball diamond next door and get the umpire's opinion first.

You heard Ms. Amerling. The courts are a completely different part of our government. And you heard her, the Committee doesn't answer to former President Trump.

By making this argument to you, the defendant just confirms his contempt. He is still trying to dictate to the Committee the terms of his compliance. He thinks his authority as one man is greater than our government's, the one which we have all consented to.

That's not the way this works. He doesn't get to tell the Committee that it's beneath his compliance; and they need to appeal to a different power or person. That's the definition of contempt.

He doesn't get to tell the Committee that they
need to wait nine months or more for information that Ms. Amerling told you was essential for them finding new information, new witnesses, new leads. They're time-limited. Instead of 14 months, now at most, because he still hasn't complied, they have 5. That was not the defendant's choice to make, but he made it deliberately. He committed contempt.

And the defendant claims that his sudden offer to comply a week or so ago somehow is evidence that he hasn't been unlawfully brushing off the Committee for nine months.

But again, as Judge Nichols instructed you earlier this morning, later attempts to comply, the Committee refusing to give up on trying to get to the bottom of this, they don't erase the defendant's earlier crimes.

He willfully, deliberately failed to comply in
October 2021. He can't change that by claiming he's willing to give the Committee what it was entitled to nine months ago now, which, by the way, he still hasn't done.

And, ladies and gentlemen, when it comes to the defendant's sudden effort to comply, you know what's really going on there. A few days before his criminal trial, after refusing to comply for months, after he couldn't convince the government that he hadn't committed a crime, after being charged with federal crimes, after he couldn't get the charges tossed, and even after the lawsuit he claimed was so
essential to his response, even after that was resolved in favor of the Committee, of its right to get the information, after all that, he and his friend, former President Trump, suddenly decide he's going to comply? Give me a break.

The only purpose of those letters was so that the defendant could come in here and use it to try to convince you that a deadline is not a deadline. Don't be fooled by that. He thinks he can convince you it's no harm no foul. That's not what the evidence in this case shows.

That sudden decision to comply is nothing but a ploy. And it's not even a good one because the defendant forgot to tell the Committee he would provide them documents. To this day, he hasn't said he would.

And by the way, when you go back into that deliberation room, compare the name-calling and rants in the letter from former President Trump sent to the defendant a few days ago to the serious career investigator Ms. Amerling who testified in this trial. That will tell you all you need to know about how seriously you should take the defendant's sudden change of heart.

That letter, which they provided to you, they put it into evidence, tells you everything you need to know about the defendant and the former President's contempt for the work of the Committee.

The defendant willfully defied the subpoena when
he didn't provide documents on October 7th. He willfully defied the subpoena when he didn't come to his deposition on October 14th. His haphazard attempt to get out of his contempt by pretending to comply now is a waste of everyone's time.

You know that, by October 7th, the defendant didn't intend to comply. Mr. Corcoran spent a lot of time talking about, There's all these people that have worked with the Committee and none of them have been charged. Right.

Ms. Amerling told you, if there's a real problem with the date, people call the Committee and they work it out. The Committee hadn't heard a word from him on October 7th. And you know that wasn't a mistake because the letter that night his attorney sent made that clear. It said, We're not complying. His attorney admitted to the government, we haven't even gathered a single document.

They were claiming a privilege over something they didn't even know whether they had because they didn't care to look, because he didn't care to comply. He was in contempt at $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on October 7th. And he certainly was in contempt at 10 a.m. on October 14th. He got that letter from the Committee on October 8th saying, You better come or else. We're rejecting your excuse. And he didn't.

These are the hard facts. These are the hard
facts in black and white in this case on paper. You don't even need Ms. Amerling's testimony or Special Agent Hart's testimony to know that.

The defendant keeps trying to distract you from it, distract you from what's in black and white. He wants to talk about electronic signatures versus handwritten signatures. They want to talk about that because they don't want to talk about the clear dates in the subpoena. They want to talk about proof of service, which --

By the way, let's look at that for a minute. Proof of service, date 9/23/21, Ms. Amerling's email sending the subpoena to Mr. Costello, 9/23/21, and what does Mr. Costello say the next day? "Confirmed with my client. Got it."

What are we talking about here? They want to talk about proof of service because they don't want to talk about the Committee making it clear that the defendant's excuse had been rejected. They want to talk about what human picked the date on the subpoena. What human? This is a committee. It's a body.

Ms. Amerling testified they worked collaboratively together. By the way, across party lines. We all live in the modern world. Organizations act as a body. Why are we talking about which person suggested the date first? The date was the date. It's on the subpoena. It was
authorized. It went out. It was delivered.
They just want to keep talking about what human was in the room because they don't want to talk about how clear the defendant's refusals were. They want to talk about book club. I don't know what courtroom Mr. Corcoran was in, but all I learned from that testimony was that Ms. Amerling and Ms. Gaston are book club dropouts and that they have no personal relationship.

Why are we talking about a book club? We're talking about a book club because the defendant doesn't want to talk about the fact that he was happy to proclaim in public that he had no regard for the Committee and he did not intend to comply.

And politics, why are we talking about politics? What is political about a violent attack on the seat of our government? What is political about trying to understand why that happened and how we stop it from happening again?

The only person injecting politics into this case is seated over there. It's the defendant. And the only reason they want to talk about politics is because they want to distract you from the fact that the defendant is undermining our system of government by refusing to recognize its authority. The only reason they want to talk about any of these things is because they don't want to talk about his contempt.

Mr. Corcoran said that our system gives you the power as the jury to decide this case and he's right. But I can't help but recognize the irony that he now wants to rely on how our system is supposed to work. That, again, just shows how deliberate the defendant's choice was to ignore it before.

Folks, when you come to this courtroom and when you go into your deliberation room, you don't leave your common sense at the door. You know, we all know, from everyday life, that a deadline is a deadline. And it was a deadline here. The Committee told the defendant so many times, defiance is a crime.

But he didn't listen because he didn't care. He had contempt for them and the public service they are trying to perform. He had contempt for the people's effort to find out the who, the what and the why of the day when our government was attacked. When the foundational processes of our democracy to transfer power from one to another was attacked.

The defendant is not above the law. He is not the decider of the law. He is guilty.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you have now heard the closings. I have really just a few last remarks for you. One is an instruction $I$ want to give you about the closings that you just heard.

This will be Instruction 27, Statements and Closing. Ladies and gentlemen, during closings, I sustained objections to certain statements by counsel. You should not consider those statements.

You also heard about a purported rules violation by the Committee in not providing the defendant with a copy of certain rules and about certain inconsistencies and signatures. You may not consider these issues as a defense in this case. Instead, you should comply with my prior instructions regarding the elements that must be proven here beyond a reasonable doubt.

The last thing I have to do before you begin your deliberations is to excuse the alternate juror. As I told you before, the selection of alternates was an entirely random process. It's nothing personal. We selected two seats to be the alternate seats before any of you entered the courtroom.

We have already, as everyone knows, excused one juror for health reasons. Since the rest of you have remained healthy and attentive, I can now excuse the juror in seat 12 .

Juror in seat 12 , before you leave, I'm going to ask you to tear out a page from your notebook and to write down your name and daytime phone number and hand this to Ms. Lesley. I do this because it is possible, though
unlikely, that we will need to summon you back to rejoin the jury in case something happens to one of the other 12 jurors. Since that possibility exists, I'm also going to instruct you not to discuss the case with anyone until we call you.

My earlier instructions regarding use of the internet, reading about the case and the like still applies. Don't research this case or communicate about it on the internet.

In all likelihood, we will be calling you to tell you there is a verdict and you are now free to discuss the case. There is, however, the small chance that we will need to bring you back on to the jury.

Thank you very much for your service. And please report back to the jury office, after you provide Ms. Lesley with this information, to turn in your badge on the way out.

With that, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you are free to return to your deliberation room, really the courtroom. We will finalize the written jury instructions and bring copies by. We will also be bringing the admitted exhibits by, together with the verdict form.

I want to thank you again, as I likely will later, but certainly thank you again for your time this week and your service in this very important duty.

With that, we are adjourned.

DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
(Jury exited the courtroom at 11:38 a.m.)
(Recess.)
(Jury entered the courtroom at 2:47 p.m.)
THE COURT: So, good afternoon, everyone.
Just a little bit ago I received a note from the jury dated today's date, July 22nd, 2022, at 2:15. And the note signed by the foreperson says, "We have reached a verdict on both counts."

Ms. Lesley, as a result, could you please take the verdict?

DEPUTY CLERK: (Complied.)
THE COURT: Will the foreperson of the jury please rise.

DEPUTY CLERK: Has the jury agreed upon a verdict? THE FOREPERSON: We have.

DEPUTY CLERK: Count 1, Contempt of Congress, willful failure to appear for testimony. As to Count 1, how do you unanimously find the defendant?

THE FOREPERSON: We find the defendant guilty.
DEPUTY CLERK: Count 2, Contempt of Congress, willful failure to provide records. As to Count 2, how do you unanimously find the defendant?

THE FOREPERSON: We find the defendant guilty.
DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, is the verdict just announced the verdict of each and every jury?

JURORS: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Lesley.
Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I want to thank you for your service.

As I think you know from my instructions, from how I attempted at least to conduct this trial and from other things that were said during the trial, jury service is critically important. You invested an entire week in this, and I think you did so both seriously and recognizing the important role that you all played.

I very much appreciate your service. I know we all do, and we thank you for it. And you are now discharged.

Thank you.
MS. VAUGHN: Your Honor, can we go on the line just one second?
(Sidebar discussion.)


(Sidebar discussion concluded.)
THE COURT: All right. So we obviously need to talk, at a minimum, about a sentencing schedule. Is the government asking for anything in between now and sentencing?

MS. VAUGHN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
It is my practice in all cases to have a
presentence investigation report prepared. The current practice right now or the current timeline for that is -for various reasons, including COVID and January 6th cases and the like is that takes approximately 90 days, which would have us sometime in the late October timeframe.

Now I'm simply looking at my calendar. So I would like to do the sentencing in this matter, if available to the parties, on October 21st, at 3 p.m.

MS. VAUGHN: That's fine for the government, Your Honor.

MR. CORCORAN: That works for us, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. So obviously there will be a presentence investigation report prepared. You obviously
know how those things work.
What I would ask is, Mr. Corcoran, that the
parties submit sentencing memoranda no later than
October 14, 2022 at the close of business that day.
And for the purpose of facilitating that I would
ask that Mr. Bannon, with counsel, report to the Probation
Office today before leaving, if possible.
So, again, 3 p.m., October 21st. I think in this
courtroom.
Anything from the government's perspective we
should address otherwise?
MS. VAUGHN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Corcoran? Mr. Schoen?
MR. CORCORAN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all. Thank you all
for your professionalism this week. And we will see you all
in October.
(Proceedings adjourned at 2:54 p.m.)
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| THE COURT: [69] 1019/15 1019/17 | 1059/20 | 2021 [20] 1023/24 | 4700-C [1] 1017/23 |


| 4 Case 1 | 7PO44/22 2059469 ¢0才 |  | 909f/44099/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th [1] 1017/12 | 1087/4 1087/4 1094/21 | addition [2] 1022/15 | 1099/23 1103/8 |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 503 [2] 1042/20 | ability [1] 1030/6 | address [3] 1023/17 | 1032/24 1033/10 |
| 1051/22 | able [3] 1035/10 | 1054/1 1103/11 | 1056/15 1065/15 |
| 555 [1] 1017/12 | 1053/15 1088/11 | adjourned [2] 1099/25 | 1072/24 1072/25 |
| 5th [3] 1044/7 1044/9 | about [129] | 1103/18 | 1079/8 1079/9 |
| 1058/14 | above [2] 1097/20 | adjournment [1] | age [1] 1026/9 |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 6 p.m [2] 1048/24 | 1104/5 | 1030/19 | 1073/3 1073/9 107 |
| 1049/1 | absolute [2] 1028/14 | admit [1] 1070/23 | 1089/23 1090/4 1095 |
| 6/6 [1] 1037/20 | 1033/8 | admitted [5] 1025/20 | Agent Hart [2] 1045/6 |
| 6611 [1] 1017/16 | Absolutely [1] 1076/4 | 1034/21 1034/24 | 1046/16 |
| 670 [2] 1017/3 1019/3 | accept [4] 1025/14 | 1094/16 1099/20 | ago [11] 1019/22 |
| 6th [19] 1039/2 | 1032/19 1041/13 | advice [1] 1041/3 | 1043/19 1050/7 |
| 1042/22 1043/10 | 1055/21 | advised [2] 1046/7 | 1073/19 1078/16 |
| 1043/22 1043/25 | acceptable [1] | 1052/24 | 1081/3 1090/19 1092/9 |
| 1044/10 1051/20 | 1029/19 | advising [1] 1055/2 | 1092/18 1093/17 |
| 1052/1 1053/21 | accepted [2] 1044/21 | advisor [1] 1068/23 | 1100/6 |
| 1056/13 1057/9 | 1068/15 | affect [3] 1030/6 | agree [3] 1021/22 |
| 1057/11 1057/24 | accepting [1] 1050/25 | 1030/8 1033/2 | 1034/5 1057/6 |
| 1058/12 1071/17 | accident [9] 1040/24 | affected [2] 1067/15 | agreeable [1] 1022/16 |
| 1087/19 1088/15 | 1052/18 1054/9 | 1074/3 | agreed [5] 1021/1 |
| 1091/8 1102/15 | 1054/21 1055/5 1055/7 | affects [2] 1031/16 | 1041/13 1078/11 |
| 7 | 1055/8 1055/10 | 1074/1 | 1079/13 1100/15 |
| 7/5 [1] 1037/20 | accompanied [1] | affiliation [2] 1075/22 | $1079 / 24$ |
| 7th [17] 1044/16 | 1058/7 | 1075/22 | ahead [1] 1047/8 |
| 1044/22 1045/2 1048/7 | accomplished [2] | affiliations [1] 1075/10 | aid [1] 1036/23 |
| 1048/13 1049/11 | 1068/12 1068/18 | after [22] 1024/11 | AL [1] 1017/16 |
| 1049/20 1051/6 | accountable [1] | 1024/17 1029/15 | aligned [1] 1072/13 |
| 1055/15 1067/11 | 1042/15 | 1030/24 1036/4 | all [58] 1020/9 1023/10 |
| 1071/5 1087/11 | accuracy [1] 1032/3 | 1037/17 1041/21 | 1025/15 1025/24 |
| 1087/11 1094/1 1094/6 | accurate [2] 1031/20 | 1051/8 1054/11 | 1026/7 1027/19 1030/1 |
| 1094/14 1094/21 | 1032/20 | 1054/12 1055/1 | 1031/6 1034/22 |
| 8 | accurately [1] 1031/13 | 1077/13 1089/11 | 1035/13 1038/4 1038/8 |
| 8th [4] 1045/20 | accusing [1] 1027/7 | 1090/18 1092/21 | 1040/12 1044/10 |
| 1054/16 1088/2 |  |  |  |
| 1094/23 | acquittal [1] 1037/22 | 1093/1 1093/3 1099/15 | 1054/6 1057/3 1057/4 |
| 9 | across [1] 1095/22 | afternoon [1] 1100/5 | 1057/23 1058/12 |
|  | act [3] 1041/ | again [26] 1035/19 | 1058/17 1065/16 |
| $1095 / 12$ | 1056/25 1095/23 | 1035/25 1043/8 | 1066/10 1066/12 |
|  | acted [4] 1038/11 | 1046/21 1049/2 | 1070/18 1070/24 |
| 9:14[1] 1017/6 | 1040/16 1054/4 1081/1 | 1053/21 1056/14 | 1070/25 1072/22 |
| 9:25 [1] 1024/4 | Action [1] 1017/3 | 1057/12 1063/17 | 1073/16 1074/7 |
|  | actions [1] 1057/25 | 1065/23 1067/20 | 1077/17 1086/19 |
| $1079 / 18$ | acts [2] 1038/3 | 1067/20 1071/3 1071/3 | 1088/20 1089/4 |
| A | actual [1] 1029/4 | 1077/17 1079/17 | 1091/4 1091/20 1093/3 |
|  | actually [2] 1037/25 | 1080/12 1088/12 | 1093/18 1094/8 |
| 1044/16 1044/18 | 1060/1 | 1092/11 1096/17 | 1095/22 1096/6 1097/9 |


| A | - | 1089/254/ioler Page | 910才/747 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all... [9] 1099/10 | 1069/3 1070/14 | 1103/10 | aren't [1] 1087 |
| 1100/1 1101/12 | 1072/12 1075/8 1095/2 | anyway [1] 1070/3 | arena [1] 1073/22 |
| 1101/14 1102/6 | 1095/11 | anywhere [2] 1053/15 | argue [2] 1089/10 |
| 1102/12 1103/15 | among [2] 1035/13 | 1088/3 | 1089/12 |
| 1103/15 1103/16 | 1044/2 | appeal [1] 1091/23 | argument [2] 1030/1 |
| allegations [1] | announced | appear [10] 1044/1 | 109 |
| 1021/19 | another [8] 1029/20 | 1046/20 1047/20 | arguments [8] 1018/4 |
| alleged [5] 1023/19 | 1035/16 1062/12 | 1048/13 1048/ | 1018/5 1018/6 1024/18 |
| 1038/18 1038/21 | 1072/7 1072/22 1075/8 | 1052/15 1054/7 1081/9 | 1024/18 1027/ |
| 1041/10 1054/10 | 1090/9 1097/18 | 1084/17 1100/18 | 1041/21 1041/22 |
| allegedly [1] 1040/10 | answer [11] 1030/22 | appearance [1] 1046/4 | arrive [1] 1026/5 |
| allegiance [1] 1054/13 | 1030/25 1031/1 1042/8 | APPEARANCES [1] | article [1] 1058/10 |
| allow [1] 1030/8 | 10 |  |  |
| allowed [1] 1041/5 | 1070/12 1071/7 | applies [3] 1025/1 | aside [1] 1047/22 |
| allows [1] 1080/1 | 1071/14 1075/1 | 1033/22 1099/7 | ask [8] 10 |
| almost [1] 1074/11 | 1091/15 | apply [3] 1024/1 | 1063/10 1067/1 |
| alone [5] 1025/21 | answered [1] 1030/24 | 1054/12 1078/5 | 1068/21 1074/2 |
| 1025/22 1031/9 1039/9 | answers [1] 1043/16 | appreciate [1] 1101/13 | 1098/23 1103/2 1103/6 |
| 1065/19 | anticipate [4] 1055/11 | appropriate [1] | asked [21] 1030 |
| along [1] 1019/12 | 8/24 1080/14 | 1021/20 | 1030/7 1030/14 103 |
| already [6] 1034/15 | 1080/16 | approximately [1] | 1044/8 1049/17 |
| 1039/8 1048/15 1085/5 | any [53] 1025/3 1025/6 | 1102/16 | 1056/17 1067/13 |
| 1090/6 1098/18 | 1025/16 1025/22 | are [67] 1019/10 | 1068/10 1070/6 1071/3 |
| 1090/6 1098/18 | 1026/8 1026/19 | 1021/4 1021/13 | 1071/8 1071/11 1072/1 |
| 1019/13 1021/3 | 1026/21 1027/8 1027/9 | 1022/16 1023/10 | 1075/11 1077/16 |
| 1024/12 1024/13 | 1027/9 1027/18 | 1024/22 1025/3 | 1080/7 1080/8 1081/ |
| 1024/15 1026/1 | 1027/25 1029/21 | 1025/18 1025/19 | 1081/13 1086/13 |
| 1031/12 1032/11 | 1031/9 1031/16 | 1025/25 1027/1 1027/2 | asking [5] 1021/12 |
| 1036/7 1037/15 10 | 1031/21 1031/24 | 1027/3 1028/13 | 1051/15 1080/17 |
| 1052/6 1058/13 1098/5 | 1032/5 1032/8 1032/10 | 1028/18 1028/25 | 1081/4 1102/8 |
| 1099/3 1099/20 | 1032/11 1032/13 | 1029/1 1029/19 | asks [2] 1044/5 |
| alternate [2] 1098/13 | 1032/14 1032/23 | 1029/22 1031/7 1032/8 | 1084/17 |
| 1098/16 | 1033/25 1034 | 1032/14 1035 | asleep [1] |
| alternates [1] 1098/14 | 1034/12 1034/22 | 1036/23 1036/23 | assert [1] 1053/8 |
| always [1] 1053/12 | 1036/1 1036/3 1036/11 | 1037/2 1037/15 | asserted [1] 1052/25 |
| am [3] 1020/4 1023/18 | 1036/13 1036/15 | 1037/17 1038/9 | assertion [1] 1045/24 |
| 1087/10 | 1036/16 1037/12 | 1039/23 1041/15 | asserts [1] 1029/3 |
| AMANDA [2] 1017/10 | 1037/12 1037/15 | 1042/15 1049/12 | assessing [1] 1070/22 |
| 1019/7 | 1037/16 1037/20 | 1050/11 1055/23 | assist [2] 1027/2 |
|  | 1037/21 1037/22 | 1057/4 1059/6 1059/10 | 1034/18 |
| $1019 / 3$ | 1038/2 1041/18 | 1066/21 1066/22 | assume [3] 1029/8 |
| Amerling [28] 1049/7 | 1045/23 1053/8 | 1073/22 1074/23 | 1029/13 1033/12 |
| 1058/9 1059/9 1059/22 | 1065/19 1067/15 | 1074/24 1077/11 | attack [4] 1039/2 |
| 1062/14 1063/8 1066/6 | 1073/6 1074/3 1078/4 | 1077/20 1080/8 | 1043/10 1051/20 |
| 1066/6 1066/16 | 1086/10 1096/24 | 1080/17 1080/21 | 1096/15 |
| 1067/17 1068/21 | 1098/16 | 1085/6 1085/22 1086/1 | attacked [3] 1042/23 |
| 1070/1 1070/6 1072/2 | anybody [1] 1066/3 | 1086/19 1087/3 | 1097/17 1097/19 |
| 1072/7 1072/9 1072/16 | anyone [3] 1036/3 | 1087/17 1091/13 | attempt [1] 1094/3 |
| 1072/25 1073/21 | 1036/10 1099/4 | 1094/25 1094/25 | attempted [1] 1101 |
| 1073/24 1077/16 | anything [11] 1026/15 | 1095/15 1095/23 | attempting [1] |
| 1089/6 1091/13 1092/2 | 1031/15 1031/25 | 1096/7 1096/9 1096/14 | 1042/25 |
| 1093/17 1094/11 | 1048/22 1076/4 1078/4 1080/24 1087/12 | 1097/14 1099/11 1099/18 1099/25 | attempts [1] 1092/12 <br> attend [1] 1046/2 |
| 1095/21 1096/7 | 1080/24 1087/1 | 1099/18 1099/25 | attend [1] 1046/2 |


| A Case 1 |  | 10889143689129 Page | 9209/7771076/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| attention [2] 1036/2 | 1060/5 1060/9 1066/15 | 1091/2 1093/7 1098/1 | 1076/13 1076/17 |
| 1078/15 | 1067/18 1068/19 | 1098/10 1098/16 | 1077/3 1077/3 1085/14 |
| attentive [1] 1098/20 | 1068/22 1070/5 1070/9 | 1099/10 1099/20 | 1092/21 1097/6 |
| attentively [1] 1056/23 | 1070/25 1071/10 | 1102/24 | 1098/12 1098/14 |
| attorney [10] 1017/15 | 1071/13 1071/16 | Bear [1] 1037/15 | 1098/16 1098/22 |
| 1019/13 1041/3 | 1072/5 1074/22 1075/7 | because [49] 1030/7 | 1103/7 |
| 1044/23 1045/2 | 1076/2 1076/14 | 1033/12 1035/25 | begin [3] 1024/7 |
| 1046/20 1050/25 | 1076/16 1076/21 | 1037/25 1041/2 1041/4 | 1024/19 1098/12 |
| 1051/2 1094/15 | 1077/6 1079/4 1079/7 | 1041/5 1042/6 1042/13 | beginning [5] 101 |
| 1094/16 | 1079/11 1079/19 | 1046/15 1049/17 | 1036/8 1036/22 1058/3 |
| attorney's [1] 1049/9 | 1080/18 1080/25 | 1052/23 1053/9 | 1058/6 |
| attorneys [2] 1017/11 | 1084/22 1085/12 | 1053/16 1054/5 | behalf [1] 1051/1 |
| 1026/22 | 1086/20 1103/6 | 1054/20 1055/2 1055/8 | behavior [1] 1031/17 |
| authority [9] 1042/10 | Bannon's [6] 1046/3 | 1055/11 1055/23 | behind [2] 1089/5 |
| 1043/15 1047/16 | 1062/24 1069/9 | 1057/24 1063/11 | 1090/17 |
| 1053/11 1059/11 | 1069/12 1069/14 | 1067/16 1071/15 | being [10] 1048/3 |
| 1087/18 1091/8 | 1081/14 | 1071/19 1079/4 | 1054/11 1054/12 |
| 1091/19 1096/23 | baseball [1] 1091/11 | 1081/13 1087/14 | 1069/4 1071/5 107 |
| authorized [4] 1039/19 | based [6] 1028/17 | 1087/22 1087/25 | 1080/7 1080/8 1081/13 |
| 1040/11 1050/16 | 1035/9 1059/4 1069/4 | 1088/5 1089/18 | 1092/23 |
| 1096/1 | 1086/10 1086/17 | 1089/19 1089/21 | beings [1] 1025/24 |
| available [3] 1067/24 | basic [2] 1071/8 | 1090/8 1091/2 1092 | belief [4] 1041/4 |
| 1089/7 1102/19 | 1071/11 | 1093/11 1094/14 | 1041/6 1053/4 1090/14 |
| Avenue [1] 1017/23 | basically [1] 1080/21 | 1094/19 1094/20 | believability [1] |
| avenues [1] 1071/22 | be [72] 1020/3 1020/4 | 1095/7 1095/16 1096/3 | 1025/23 |
| aware [3] 1026/1 | 1020/21 1021/6 | 1096/10 1096/20 | believe [13] 1020/24 |
| 1026/3 1073/20 | 1/20 1022/21 | 1096/24 1097/13 | 1023/1 102 |
| B | 1024/13 1026/3 | become [1] 1073/19 | 1032/23 1043/21 |
| back [21] 1045/20 | 1027/13 1028/10 | becomes [1] 1037/6 | 1043/23 1062/13 |
| 1046/21 1048/4 1049/7 | 1028/11 1029/6 | been [29] 1024/18 | 1065/19 1066/21 |
| 1053/12 1065/13 | 1029/11 1029/16 | 1025/10 1030/9 | 1066/22 1067/6 |
| 1065/24 1067/3 1067/5 | 1030/3 1030/25 | 1030/23 1032/21 | believed [6] 1030/15 |
| 1067/21 1071/4 | 1031/10 1032/24 | 1034/21 1040/11 | 1031/10 1052/4 |
| 1072/11 1076/24 | 1033/10 1034/6 1034/9 | 1040/12 1052/7 1053/2 | 1052/24 1065/21 |
| 1078/13 1079/4 1085/5 | 1034/11 1034/13 | 1056/17 1059/16 | 1071/20 |
| 1086/15 1093/14 | 1034/20 1034/25 | 1065/23 1066/8 | believes [1] 1048/18 |
| 1099/1 1099/13 | 1035/5 1035/8 1035/10 | 1066/16 1066/19 | beneath [3] 1056/2 |
| 1099/15 | 1035/19 1035/19 | 1066/25 1072/2 | 1056/5 1091/22 |
| background [1] | 1035/21 1035/22 | 1072/12 1078/6 | benefit [6] 1028/23 |
| 1073/16 | 1036/23 1037/3 | 1078/21 1087/17 | 1060/7 1068/20 1072/5 |
| bad [1] 1040/19 | 1037/24 1039/25 | 1087/20 1088/1 | 1074/22 1075/7 |
| badge [1] 1099/16 | 1040/22 1049/15 | 1089/11 1089/13 | best [2] 1071/15 |
| Baltimore [1] 1017/19 | 1051/16 1051/19 | 1092/10 1094/9 | 1071/23 |
| Bankruptcy [1] | 1053/12 1053/15 | 1095/18 | better [1] 1094/23 |
| 1017/22 | 1053/19 1054/12 | before [29] 1017/8 | between [9] 1032/5 |
| BANNON [47] 1017/5 | 1055/3 1055/9 1056/20 | 1022/20 1022/22 | 1032/10 1032/12 |
| 1019/4 1019/12 | 1058/20 1059/15 | 1024/7 1035/17 | 1037/5 1040/8 1044/20 |
| 1019/12 1019/18 | 1063/8 1063/11 | 1044/10 1046/19 | 1067/3 1074/20 1102/8 |
| 1042/4 1045/24 | 1065/20 1073/20 | 1048/22 1048/23 | beyond [24] 1027/16 |
| 1048/15 1049/13 | 1073/24 1075/4 1078/2 | 1058/11 1066/19 | 1027/20 1028/1 1028/5 |
| 1049/15 1057/22 | 1084/17 1085/9 | 1068/9 1068/15 | 1028/11 1028/12 |
| 1058/2 1058/8 1058/19 | 1086/17 1086/25 | 1068/17 1069/16 | 1031/5 1033/19 |


| B Case 1:21-cr-006 | 71023/45 | Caplail $14437039 / 3$ Pag | causes [f1 1072/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ond. | 1062/18 1062/25 | 3/11 | celebrating [1] |
| 8/10 |  |  |  |
| 1039/10 1039/13 | [6] | 104 | 1098/3 109 |
| 40/2 1040/7 1040/ | 1024/310 | care [3] 1094/19 | certainly [2] 1094/21 |
| 1050/10 1059/2 1065/8 | 1078/14 1099/13 | 1094/20 1097/13 | 1099/23 |
| 1065/15 1072/19 | 1099/20 | ${ }_{\text {career [4] }}^{1072 / 112}$ | certa |
| 1098/11 | bringing [1] 1099/20 |  |  |
| bias [4] 1033/1 10737 | broadly [1] 1051/25 | carefully [1] 1086/14 | 1044/3 1044/8 |
| 1073/18 1073/20 | broken [1] 1048/15 | CARL [1] 1017/8 | certify [1] 1104/4 |
| biased [1] 1032/24 biases [4] 1025/25 | brushing [1] 1092/10 | cars [1] 1053/16 | cetera [6] 1078/1 |
| 1026/2 1026/2 1026/4 | building [2] 1043/1 | case [83] 1019/3 | 1078/1 1078/6 10 |
| bit [3] 1058/6 1085/15 |  | 1026/13 1026/17 | 15 |
| black [4] 1055/15 | burden [5] 1027/11 | 1026/20 1027/13 | 1062 |
|  | 1027/16 1028/4 1039 | 1029/1 1030/1 1030 | 106 |
| 10877/16 1095/1 1095/5 blame [1] 1055/18 |  | 1030/13 1031/25 | 1063/2 1063/5 10 |
| blame [1] 1055/18 blind [1] 1073/19 | business [1] 1103/4 | 1032/2 1033/7 1 | 1063/13 1070/3 1010 |
| block [3] 1044/3 | C |  | 1076/12 1078/17 |
| 4/4 1044/8 | cale | 1036/12 |  |
| 03 | call [3] 1028/8 1094/12 | 103 | Cha |
| Bob [10] 1062/20 |  | 1037/22 1038/19 | 7] 1062/20 1062/2 |
| 26/24 1067/4 | calling [2] 1093/15 | 1039/9 1042/1 1042/4 | 1063/13 1070/3 10 |
| 87/10 1078/16 |  | 1042/12 1042/16 | 1080/11 |
| 8/18 1080/13 |  | 1053/22 1056/9 | Cha |
| body [2] 1095/20 | came ${ }^{\text {case }}$ 1049/1 10894/23 |  | Tho |
|  | can [28] 1021/6 | $71058 / 251059$ | chamber's [1] |
| boilerplate [2] 1070/16 | 102314 | 1059/7 1059/8 | chance [1] 1099/12 |
|  | 3/16 1035/11 | 1060/4 1062/13 | chan |
| book [11] 1058/10 | 1036/5 1047/8 1047/10 | 1062/17 1063/7 | 1074/24 |
| 10722121 1072121 | 1049/21 1050/21 | 1063/12 1065/8 | change [3] 1089/ |
|  | 1053/17 | 1065/25 1066/1 106 | 1092 |
| 6/5 1096/7 1096/9 |  |  |  |
| 1096/10 | 1069/21 1069/2 | 1066 |  |
| booted [1] 1047/14 | 1073/24 1076/23 | 1069/5 1069/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Characte } \\ & 1026 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| born [2] 1086/4 1 | 1078/12 1080/25 | 1069/24 1071/1 107 | charge [3] 1028 |
| both [9] 1022/15 | 1086/18 1086/23 | 1072/5 1072/10 1073/3 | 1030/8 1038/24 |
|  | 1089/12 1093/8 | 1074/24 1075/2 1078 | charged [19] 102 |
|  | 1098/20 1101/17 | 1078/5 1079 | 102 |
| 1100/9 1101/11 | can't [6] 1070/2 | 1089 | 1021/21 1027/22 |
| bo | 1080/24 1091/2 | 1093/9 1095/1 1096/18 | 1028/19 1033/15 |
| bottom [2] 1091/8 | 1091/10 |  | 1038/23 10 |
|  |  | 1099/12 | 1041/19 1050 |
| 1065/24 |  |  | 1054/13 1058/3 1071/2 |
|  |  |  | 1079/5 1092/24 |
|  |  | 1035/19 1102 | [14] 102 |
|  | 1085/13 | 1102 | 030/3 1030 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}1086 / 241093 / 4 \\ \text { brief [7] } & 1022 / 13\end{array}$ | capability [1] 1033/3 | cause [1] 1074/16 | 1031/5 1033/19 |


| C | 7Po39/23 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Document 17 |  | 968イ/7G71085/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8] 1033/21 | clarify [2] 1020/16 | commit [1] 1076/2 | 1087/21 1087/22 |
| 3 1039/4 1039/6 | 1048/22 | committed [13] | 1087/24 1087/24 |
| 1046/13 1065/15 | clean [1] 1020/9 | 1023/23 1023/25 | 1088/7 1088/1 |
| 1079/7 1092/25 | clear [10] 1022/25 | 1038/14 1038/15 | 1089/17 1089/18 |
| Charles [1] 1017/19 | 1045/12 1045/21 | 1038/20 1057/25 | 1089/20 1089/2 |
| check [4] 1050/21 | 1054/24 1057/9 1078 | 1071/11 1073 | 1090/22 1092 |
| 1051/2 1051/12 1052 | 1094/15 1095/8 | 1076/14 1080/20 | 1092/12 1092/15 |
| chief [1] 1075/2 | 1095/17 1096/ | 1081/1 1092/7 1092/23 | 1092/20 1092 |
| hild [1] 1089/10 | clearer [3] 1087/16 | committee [103] | 1093/4 1093/10 1094 |
| choice [15] 1052/20 | 1087/19 1088/1 | Committee's [9] | 1094/7 1094/20 |
| 1052/22 1080/22 | clearly [1] 1076/5 | 1040/5 1040/19 | 1096/13 1098/9 |
| 1080/22 1088/21 | clerk [4] 1036/4 | 1043/17 1044/17 | complying [3] 1041 |
| 1088/22 1090/15 | 1037/7 1037/16 | 1046/22 1047/3 1053/2 | 1045/4 1094/16 |
| 1090/17 1090/17 | 1100/15 | 1057/8 1087/19 | concerned [1] 1032/1 |
| 1090/22 1090/23 | client [2] 1089/1 | committees [ | concerning [2] |
| 1090/24 1091/1 1092/6 | 1095/13 | 1069/17 | 1035/21 1037/13 |
| 1097/5 | client's [1] 1089/5 | committing [1] | concerns [14] 1025/9 |
| choose [1] | close [2] 1019/19 | 1084/19 | 1026/25 102 |
| chose [15] 1033/12 | 1103/4 | common [1] 1097 | 1028/3 1028/2 |
| 1042/16 1052/16 | closing [8] 1018/4 | communicate [6] | 1030/12 103 |
| 1053/3 1053/23 1054/7 | 1018/5 1018/6 1024/17 | 1036/9 1037/7 1037/ | 1033/14 1033/20 |
| 1054/10 1054/13 | 1024/18 1041/21 | 1037/12 1070/7 1099/8 | 1034/19 1037/4 |
| 1056/5 1057/12 | 1041/21 1098/2 | communicating [1] | 1037/23 1038/12 |
| 1085/13 1087/23 | closings [3] 1097/23 | 1067/8 | conclude [1] 1050/8 |
| 1089/20 1089/20 | 1097/25 1098/2 | communications [4] | concluded [5] 1034/1 |
| 990/12 | club [8] 1072/21 | 1035/18 1036/11 | 1062/11 1065/5 |
| chosen [1] | 1072/21 1073/14 | 1037/4 1046/16 | 1084/13 1102/5 |
| circulated [1] 1019/19 | 1074/16 1096/5 1096/7 | compare [1] 1093/15 | conduct [7] 1025/1 |
| circumstances [8] | 1096/9 1096/10 | completely [2] 1045/4 | 1036/13 1036/15 |
| 1029/6 1032/4 1032/6 | clubs [2] 1072/24 | 1091/14 | 1039/19 1041/18 |
| 1037/16 1038/2 1038/4 | 1072/25 | compliance [3] | 1050/16 1101/8 |
| 1038/8 1043/14 | Code [1] 10 | 1054/14 1091/18 | conducted [2] 1069/1 |
| circumstantial [8] | coffee [1] 1085/14 | 1091/22 | 106 |
| 1028/24 1029/2 1029/7 | collaboratively [1] | complicated [1] | confirm [3] 1020/20 |
| 1029/16 1029/18 | 1095/21 | 1042/1 | 1021/3 1021/5 |
| 1029/22 1029/24 | collect [1] 1045/7 | complied [2] 1092/5 | Confirmed [1] 1095/1 |
| 1030/2 | collective [1] 1057/21 | 1100/12 | confirms [1] 1091/17 |
| citizens [1] 1053/10 | colored [1] 1033/2 | comply [59] 1039/2 | confuse [1] 1056/11 |
| civic [1] 1056/22 | COLUMBIA [1] 1017/1 | 1039/21 1039/22 | confusing [1] 1087/9 |
| civil [4] 1028/6 | come [13] 1019/5 | 1040/18 1040 | confusion [1] 1054/ |
| 1049/18 1069/18 | 1056/23 1066/24 | 1040/23 1041/2 | Congress [36] |
| 1077/4 | 1079/4 1079/4 1080/3 | 1041/10 1041/12 | 1038/23 1038/25 |
|  | 1081/4 1086/1 1086/5 | 1044/19 1046/21 | 1039/11 1041/1 |
| laim [2] 1090/10 | 1093/6 1094/2 1094/23 | 1047/2 1047/9 1048/ | 1041/11 1043/1 1043/6 |
| 1090/18 | 1097/7 | 1048/18 1049/13 | 1044/8 1046/11 |
| claimed [4] 10 | comes [5] 1036/2 | 1049/20 1049/21 | 1048/21 1049/25 |
| 1054/8 1054/16 | 1042/9 1043/17 1048/2 | 1049/22 1049/25 | 1050/2 1050/3 1050/6 |
| $1092 / 25$ | 1092/19 | 1050/17 1050/18 | 1053/8 1055/11 |
| laiming [5] 1045/3 | coming [1] 1076/6 | 1050/20 1051/4 | 1055/18 1055/21 |
| 1045/9 1089/17 | commanded [3] | 1052/23 1053/4 1053 | 1055/24 1056/4 |
| 1092/16 1094/18 | 1052/15 1053/2 | 1054/2 1054/25 | 1059/12 1059/12 |
| claims [1] 1092/8 | 1087/17 | 1057/10 1076/3 1076/4 | 1059/20 106 |
| clarifications [1] | comment [2] 1090/10 | 1077/5 1078/20 | 1066/17 1066/20 |


| C Cas | 7P048/\9 Document 179 | 1-g)8/6 12/31/22 Page | 958お退71086/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10] | Constitution [2] | CORCORAN [13] | 1086 |
| 1072/14 1072/18 | 1017/23 1043/15 | 1017/17 1019/12 | counts [4] 1033/20 |
| 1072/23 1073/17 | contact [1] 1044/2 | 1047/23 1049/5 1056/8 | 1038/23 1050/3 1100/9 |
| 1073/22 1076/9 1080/9 | contempt [35] 1038/23 | 1057/3 1059/25 1091/3 | couple [1] 1088/4 |
| 1085/22 1100/17 | 1038/24 1039/11 | 1094/7 1096/5 1097/1 | course [10] 1020/3 |
| 1100/21 | 1041/1 1041/1 | 1103/2 1103/13 | 1024/15 1030/20 |
| Congress' [2] 1042/10 | 1046/11 1048/2 | Corcoran's [2] 1089/7 | 1054/15 1058 |
| 1047/20 | 1050/2 1050/3 1050/5 | 1090/11 | 1059/13 1066/7 1077/7 |
| congressional [3] | 1053/5 1054/3 1054/17 | correct [7] 1020/7 | 1079/8 1079/1 |
| 1042/17 1057/10 | 1054/18 1055/23 | 1068/12 1068/13 | court [20] 1017/1 |
| 1069/17 | 1055/25 1056/1 1057 | 1068/15 1068/1 | 1017/22 1021 |
| connected [2] 104 | 1057/8 1066/17 | 1075/19 1104/4 | 1021/16 1023/2 1025/9 |
| 1066/3 | 1066/20 1080/9 | Costello [19] 1049/6 | 1029/10 1035/5 |
| connectio | 1090/25 1091/17 | 1058/20 1062/20 | 1037/14 1037/19 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { connectI } \\ 1073 / 13 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 1091/24 1092/7 | 1062/24 1067/4 1069/9 | 1046/24 1069/4 1069/8 |
| connective [1] 1040/8 | 1093/23 1094/4 | 1069/13 1076/5 | 1073/19 1081/4 1081/9 |
| consciously [1] | 1094/21 1094/22 | 1076/22 1078/10 | 1085/4 1091/6 1101 |
| 1026/3 | 1096/25 1097/1 | 1078/17 1078/18 | 1104/3 |
| consented [1] 1091/20 | 1097/15 1100/17 | 1080/13 1088/24 | Court's [2] 1018/3 |
| consequences [2] | 1100/21 | 1089/4 1089/22 1090/4 | 1056/24 |
| 1046/8 1053/15 | co |  | us |
| consider [41] 1025/4 | context [2] 10 | 1020/16 1040/4 |  |
| 1025/15 1026/1 1027/7 | 1077/5 | 1040/12 1045/9 | 1026/5 1036/1 1069/1 |
| 1027/8 1030/1 1030/9 | continue [1] 1067/22 | 1046/12 1052/7 | 1087/7 1096/5 1097/7 |
| 1031/17 1032/4 1032/8 | continued [2] 1046/8 | 1053/14 1054/12 | 1098/17 1099/19 |
| 1032/11 1032/14 | 1089/20 | 1056/20 1063/11 | 1100/2 1100/4 1103/9 |
| 1032/17 1032/20 | continuing [2] | 1067/25 1070/5 1070/9 | courts [2] 1017/22 |
| 1033/1 1033/17 | 1089/10 1090/2 | 1071/20 1073/20 | 1091/13 |
| 1033/22 1034/22 | contradicted [1] | 1075/5 1077/1 | cover [2] 1044/1 |
| 1034/25 1035/10 | 1032/21 | 1079/12 1087/ | 10 |
| 1038/2 1038/8 1041/14 | contributed [2] | 1087/19 1088/1 1090/2 | coverage [1] 1035/22 |
| 1046/10 1048/21 | 1072/15 1075/24 | 1093/6 1100/10 | COVID [1] 1102/15 |
| 1055/9 1065/16 | control [3] 1026/24 | couldn't [4] 1066/24 | CR [1] 1017/3 |
| 1065/22 1065/25 | 1086/3 1086/3 | 1081/9 1092/22 | CRC [1] 1017/2 |
| 1066/2 1066/4 1069/21 | convenient [1] | 1092/24 | created [5] 1042/20 |
| 1070/3 1070/12 107 | 1088/18 | counsel [5] 1019/5 | 1043/9 1050/1 1051/2 |
| 1075/5 1080/6 1098/4 | convey [1] | 1019/10 1026/25 | 1051/24 |
|  | convict [1] 1075/2 | 1098/3 1103/6 | credibility [9] 1025/23 |
|  | conviction [1] 1037/22 | count [21] 1022/25 | 1031/3 1031/7 1031/11 |
| 1026/13 1026/15 | convince [5] 1089/24 | 1023/7 1023/8 1023/23 | 1031/16 1065/11 |
| 1028/18 1035/15 | 1090/2 1092/22 1093/6 | 1023/24 1028/5 | 1065/18 1069/2 |
| 1055/22 1056/2 | 1093/8 | 1033/21 1033/24 | 1070/22 |
| 1059/14 | convinced [2] 1028/13 | 1033/25 1034/2 1034/6 | credit [2] 1032/13 |
| considered [2] 1030/4 | 1028/18 | 1038/13 1038/15 | 1032/22 |
|  | coordinated [1] | 1039/4 1039/5 1051/10 | crime [35] 1027/7 |
|  | 1046/24 | 1051/11 1100/17 | 1028/19 1041/15 |
|  | copies [3] 1020/2 | 1100/18 1100/21 | 1041/18 1042 |
|  | 1020/7 1099/20 | 1100/22 | 1042/18 1048/2 |
| consistencies [2] | copy [8] 1020/4 | Count 2 [1] 1023/ | 1050/1 1054/3 1054/13 |
| $1032 / 91032 / 12$ | 1020/9 1022/23 | countries [1] 1085/16 | 1055/25 1057/25 |
| constant [1] 1073/23 | 1024/13 1024/15 | country [6] 1057/1 | 1058/21 1058/21 |
| constitutes [1] | 1024/25 1059/16 | 1057/4 1058/14 | 1058/24 1067/6 1067/7 |


| C C | , | deak | 9634/7671034/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crime... [18] 1071/2 | 1077/17 1077/17 | 1048/6 1048/8 1051/6 | 1035/4 1036/9 1036/14 |
| 1071/11 1073/5 1073/6 | 1077/19 1077/20 | 1051/11 1051/12 | 1036/21 1037/5 1037/6 |
| 1076/2 1076/14 1079/5 | 1089/19 1090/6 1095/8 | defendant [125] | 1056/25 1098/13 |
| 1080/8 1080/9 1080/18 | DAVID [3] 1017/14 | defendant's [23] | delivered [1] 1096/1 |
| 1080/20 1081/1 | 1017/15 1019/11 | 1027/9 1028/13 | demand [2] 1048/7 |
| 1084/19 1089/24 | day [14] 1017/7 | 1039/22 1040/18 | 1066/1 |
| 1090/3 1090/25 | 1042/22 1044/9 | 1044/5 1049/6 1049/9 | demanded [1] 1048/12 |
| 1092/23 1097/12 | 1045/11 1045/21 | 1050/19 1050/25 | demands [1] 1055 |
| crimes [4] 1041/17 | 1047/18 1058/11 | 1051/9 1052/12 | demeanor [2] 1031/17 |
| 1058/2 1092/14 | 1066/19 1073/15 | 1052/17 1054/20 | 1069/5 |
| 1092/24 | 1076/13 1093/13 | 1079/16 1080/12 | democracy [3] 1043/3 |
| criminal [13] 1019/3 | 1095/13 1097/16 | 1090/14 1092/6 | 1057/5 1097/18 |
| 1027/12 1028/10 | 1103/ | 1092/14 1092/20 | democratic [6] 1066/8 |
| 1028/16 1033/7 | days [4] 1090/19 | 1093/20 1095/17 | 1072/14 1072/15 |
| 1046/13 1066/17 | 1092/21 1093/17 | 1096/4 1097/5 | 1072/18 1072/23 |
| 1066/20 1074/24 | 1102/16 | defense [19] 1018/5 | 1073/17 |
| 1075/2 1079/8 1086/7 | daytime [1] 1098/24 | 1019/13 1041/1 | Democrats [1] |
| 1092/21 | DC [3] 1017/4 1017/12 | 1041/11 1053/5 | 1085/22 |
| critical [1] | 1017/24 | 1055/13 1055/18 | Department [1] |
| critically [1] 1101/1 | dcd.uscourts.gov [1] | 1057/14 1077/25 | 1046/13 |
| cross [2] 1070/23 | 1017/24 | 1078/1 1078/2 1078 | deposition [16] |
| 1071/8 | deadline [9] 1044/22 | 1078/5 1078/8 1078 | 1044/17 1046/2 10 |
| 10718 | 1044/23 1045/22 | 1079/17 1087/8 | 1046/20 1047/18 |
| 1070/23 1071/8 | 1054/22 1093/7 1093/7 | 1090/15 1098/8 | 1048/9 1048/14 |
| cup [1] 1085/14 | 1097/10 1097/10 | defiance [5] 1045/15 | 1048/17 1053/24 |
| current [3] 1022/5 | 1097/11 | 1057/12 1088/19 | 1059/11 1066/24 |
| 1102/13 1102/14 | deadlines [2] 1055/16 | 1089/8 1097/12 | 1078/22 1078/24 |
| D | deal [2] 1079/ | 1094/2 | 1094/2 |
| D'Amico [1] 1019/9 | 1079/10 | definition [2] 1075/25 | DEPUTY [1] 1100/15 |
| D.C [3] 1017/11 | dealing [2] 1069/12 | 1091/24 | described [2] 1031/13 |
| 1047/12 1047/17 | 1074/23 | defy [5] 1042/17 | 1052/6 |
| dark [1] 1042/22 | deceiving [1] 1087/15 | 1046/8 1049/24 1056/5 | deserve [1] 1026/7 |
| date [22] 1038/18 | December [1] 1080/4 | 1088/23 | deserving [1] 1056/3 |
| 1038/20 1038/21 | decide [13] 1025/20 | defying [1] 1045/13 | designated [1] 1046/4 |
| 1040/25 1052/18 | 1025/21 1025/22 | degree [1] 1029/25 | desire [1] 1033/3 |
| 1068/6 1068/8 1071/9 | 1026/13 1026/17 | deliberate [16] | details [1] 1032/7 |
| 1071/10 1071/13 | 1029/21 1035/25 | 1024/14 1024/16 | determine [7] 1025/18 |
| 1076/13 1081/10 | 1038/6 1074/7 1074/8 | 1036/6 1040/22 | 1026/12 1029/1 1031/9 |
| 1081/12 1084/17 | 1080/23 1093/4 1097/2 | 1040/22 1052/13 | 1033/1 1041/15 |
| 1094/12 1095/11 | decider [1] 1097/21 | 1054/2 1065/13 1085/9 | 1065/19 |
| 1095/19 1095/24 | deciding [1] 1033/18 | 1087/24 1088/21 | determining [4] |
| 1095/25 1095/25 | decision [14] 1033/10 | 1088/22 1090/15 | 1031/4 1032/19 1038/9 |
| 1100/7 1104/9 | 1033/11 1047/8 1054/2 | 1090/23 1091/2 1097/5 | 1065/14 |
| dated [1] 1100/7 | 1056/22 1057/10 | deliberately [4] 1041/9 | devastating [1] 1043/6 |
| dates [27] 1021/4 | 1067/16 1087/24 | 1042/16 1092/6 | diamond [1] 1091/11 |
| 1021/7 1021/8 1021/17 | 1088/21 1088/23 | 1092/15 | dictate [1] 1091/17 |
| 1021/21 1023/10 | 1089/13 1089/14 | deliberation [4] | dictionary [1] 1056/1 |
| 1023/19 1039/12 | 1090/23 1093/10 | 1088/12 1093/15 | did [30] 1041/2 1042/7 |
| 1040/25 1051/8 1055/3 | declaring [1] 1046/22 | 1097/8 1099/18 | 1042/8 1042/9 1042/24 |
| 1055/14 1067/10 | default [4] 1051/9 | deliberations [15] | 1043/12 1044/19 |
| 1067/11 1071/5 1071/6 | 1054/20 1055/8 | 1024/11 1024/19 | 1044/24 1047/19 |
| 1077/9 1077/11 | 1055/10 | 1025/1 1026/24 1031/2 | 1048/23 1049/1 |


| D | Ufseustionctylent 17 |  | 970f/44072/6 1074/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9] 1049/24 |  | 1066/6 1066/6 1066/7 | 1074/19 1074/22 |
| 1053/24 1054/12 | disdained [1] 1056/4 | 1066/9 1068/22 | 1074/22 1074/25 |
| 1056/6 1059/22 | dislikes [1] 1025/25 | 1075/20 1076/22 | 1075/4 1075/6 1075/7 |
| 1067/17 1068/21 | dismiss [1] 1079/7 | 1078/21 1078/25 | 1098/11 |
| 1069/6 1069/6 1070/3 | dispute [1] 1060/1 | 1080/13 1087/11 | doubts [1] 1075/5 |
| 1070/6 1072/25 1073/8 | distract [4] 1056/11 | 1090/15 1090/16 | down [7] 1042/9 |
| 1075/9 1075/11 1076/2 | 1095/4 1095/5 1096/21 | 1095/12 | 1048/2 1051/14 |
| 1078/23 1096/12 | DISTRICT [5] 1017/1 | doesn't [10] 1047/13 | 1071/23 1075/10 |
| 1101/11 | 1017/1 1017/8 1017/22 | 1047/14 1047/15 | 1075/11 1098/24 |
| didn't [36] 1042/4 | 1047/5 | 1078/5 1085/1 | downplay [2] 1073 |
| 1042/6 1042/6 1044/20 | divided [1] 1037/20 | 108 | 1075/22 |
| 1069/14 1070/12 | do [32] 1024/12 | 1091/21 1091/25 | dozens [2] 1067/ |
| 1071/7 1075/18 1076/2 | 1024/12 1024/17 | 1096/10 | 1067/2 |
| 1076/4 1077/18 | 1024/21 1036/2 1036/7 | doing [6] 1056/10 | draw [1] 1027/9 |
| 1077/18 1078/2 1078/7 | 1036/19 1042/15 | 1066/8 1067/22 | drawn [1] 1029/6 |
| 1079/7 1080/3 1087/22 | 51044 | 1088/25 10 | drop [2] 1073/23 |
| 1087/25 1089/18 | 1047/15 1047/17 | 1089/25 | 1073/23 |
| 1089/21 1089/25 | 1048/3 1048 | don't [19] 102 | dropouts [1] 10 |
| 1090/6 1090/7 1090/8 | 1051/17 1052/21 | 1054/22 1055/4 1055/8 | Dunn [1] 1019/9 |
| 1090/18 1091/1 1091/2 | 1052/21 1066/11 | 1055/17 1056/11 | Dunn-Gordon [1] |
| 1094/1 1094/2 1094/7 | 1067/20 1068/1 1069/1 | 1079/3 1087/1 1088/3 | 1019/9 |
| 1094/19 1094/19 | 1069/3 1071/12 | 1092/14 1093/7 1095/1 | during [16] 1025 |
| 1094/20 1094/24 | 1080/22 1080/24 | 1095/7 1095/16 1096/3 | 1025/21 1026/24 |
| 1097/13 1097/13 | 1088/25 1098/12 | 1096/5 1096/24 1097/8 | 1030/20 1034/19 |
| dies [1] 1074/6 | 1098/25 1100/19 | 1099/8 | 1036/9 1036/14 |
| differences [1] | 1100/22 1101/14 | Donald [5] 1043/20 | 1036/17 1036/20 |
| 1032/16 | 1102/19 | 1054/14 1079/19 | 1037/5 1037/6 1038 |
| different [9] 1022/3 | document [10] | 1088/6 1088/20 | 1044/7 1056/18 1098/2 |
| 1026/23 1063/11 | 1044/13 1044/21 | done [9] 1024/1 | 1101/9 |
| 1071/4 1076/22 | 044/25 1045/22 | 26/16 103 | duty [5] 1025/14 |
| 1084/22 1086/1 | 1048/16 1054/7 | 1053/19 1069/16 | 1027/22 1028/ |
| 1091/14 1091/23 | 1055/16 1059/ | 1076/8 1077/3 107 | 1056/22 1099/24 |
| differently [1] 1040/6 | 1071/1 1094/17 documents [30] | door [2] 10 | E |
| difficult [2] 1087/9 | 1041/13 1042/8 | 1097/9 | each [19] 1028/5 |
|  | 1043/16 1044/15 | doubles [1] 1047/14 | 1033/4 1033/20 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { direct [10] 1028/24 } \\ \text { 1029/1 1029/5 1029/11 } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 1044/20 1045/7 | doubt [49] 1027/16 | 1033/22 1033/24 |
| 1029/18 1029/22 | 1045/18 1045/25 | 1027/21 1028/1 1028/3 | 1034/4 1034/5 1034 |
| 1029/25 1030/2 | 1046/23 1048/7 | 1028/5 1028/12 | 1035/13 1039/9 |
| 1070/24 1071/12 | 1048/12 1049/12 | 1028/12 1028/17 | 1039/13 1050/7 1050/9 |
| directly [1] 1037/24 | 1049/14 1049/16 | 1028/23 1031/5 | 1072/17 1074/15 |
| disability [1] 1026/9 | 1050/4 1050/23 1051/5 | 1033/19 1034/17 | 1075/3 1076/19 1086/2 |
| discharged [1] | 1051/6 1051/12 1053/3 | 1038/10 1038/19 | 101/2 |
| 1101/15 | 1053/23 1054/1 | 1039/10 1039/14 | earlier [4] 1090/13 |
| [5] 1036/3 | 1067/15 1071/21 | 1040/2 1040/7 1040/16 | 1092/11 1092/14 |
| 1036/5 1050/9 1099/4 | 1077/12 1078/22 | 1041/8 1041/16 | 1099/6 |
| 1099/11 | 1079/22 1088/10 | 1050/10 1059/3 1059/3 | easily [1] 1050/21 |
| discussed [1] 1073/15 | 1093/13 1094/1 | 1059/4 1059/4 1059/8 | edits [1] 1019/23 |
| discussion [8] | does [26] 1027/17 | 1060/3 1060/7 1062/13 | education [1] 102 |
| 1060/14 1062/11 | 1028/16 1029/20 | 1062/16 1063/11 | ficient [1] 1025/ |
| 1063/18 1065/5 | 1029/24 1040/9 | 1063/12 1063/12 | effort [4] 1044/7 |
| 1081/22 1084/13 | 1040/17 1047/16 | 1065/8 1065/15 | 1089/1 1092/20 |
| 1101/19 1102/5 | 1052/22 1052/22 | 1068/19 1068/20 | 1097/15 |


| E | ] | 8 | excqusize [1] 1026/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| efforts [2] 1044/2 | erase [1] 1092/14 | 1093/22 | excuse [15] 1024/19 |
| $1053 / 20$ | essence [2] 1049/19 | evidence [73] 1025/21 | 1047/9 1047/12 1053/4 |
| eight [1] 1058/16 | 1069/5 | 1025/22 1026/13 | 1087/20 1087/2 |
| $\text { either [2] } 1032 / 24$ | essential [3] 1086/16 | 1026/22 1026/23 | 1088/19 1089/17 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { enter [<] } \\ 1076 / 23 \end{gathered}$ | 1092/2 1093/1 | 1027/1 1027/3 1027 | 1090/9 1090/9 1090/18 |
| elapsed [1] 1032/5 | essentially [3] | 1027/6 1027/8 1027/9 | 1094/24 1095/17 |
| election [2] 1044/4 | 1058/24 1077/10 | 1027/18 1028/9 | 1098/13 1098/20 |
| 1068/25 | 1078/19 | 1028/18 1028 | excused [3] 104 |
| elections [1] 1085/17 | establish [1] 1038/1 | 1028/25 1029/2 1029/2 | 1090/14 1098/18 |
| electronic [2] 1036/11 | establishes [1] $1038 / 19$ | $1029 \text { 1029/5 }$ <br> 1029/11 1029/16 | executive [12] 1041/6 1052/25 1069/22 |
| 1095/6 | estate [2] | 1029/19 1029/20 | 1052/25 1069/22 |
| electronically [1] | $1074 / 14$ | 1029/22 1029/24 | 1077/2 1078/11 |
| 1036/15 | et [6] 1078/1 107 | 1029/25 1030/2 1030/9 | 1078/20 1079/22 |
| element [9] 1027 1027/25 1033/15 | 1078/6 1078/6 1080/4 | 1030/13 1030/15 | 1080/1 1090/14 |
| $39 / 101039 / 24$ | 1080/4 | 1030/18 1032/13 | exempted [1] 1045/4 |
|  | et cetera [6] 1078/1 | 1032/21 1033/17 | exercise [2] 1033/9 |
| 1052/9 1052/11 | 1078/1 1078/6 1078/6 | 1033/17 1033/22 | 1091/7 |
| elements [10] 10 | 1080/4 1080/4 | 1034/11 1034/21 | Exhibit [10] 1062/19 |
| 1022/22 1034/16 | ethnic [1] 1026/9 | 1034/24 1035/10 | 1062/23 1063/4 1068/3 |
| 1038/22 1039/13 | evaluating [2] 1031/11 | 1035/12 1035/14 | 1076/11 1076/16 |
| 1039/23 1050/5 | 1032/3 | 1035/15 1036/1 | 1076/25 1078/14 |
| 1050/11 1077/25 | EVAN [2] 1017/17 | 1036/24 1036/25 | 1079/16 1080/12 |
| 1098/10 | 1019/12 | 1037/2 1038/4 1038/7 | exhibits [4] 1034/19 |
| else [5] 1036/3 1041/4 | evasive [1] 1070/21 | 1038/8 1038/19 | 1034/21 1034/23 |
| 1051/8 1055/6 1094/24 | even [16] 1045/7 | 1047/23 1049/5 1050/9 | 1099/21 |
| email [4] 1023/16 | 1045/8 1054/11 | 1050/25 1051/9 | exists [1] 1099/3 |
| 1023/17 1054/1 | 1058/18 1068/17 | 1053/22 1056/24 | exited [1] 1100/2 |
|  | 1070/3 1070/12 | 1057/22 1058/4 1058/5 | expects [1] 1046/3 |
|  | 1070/25 1079/5 | 1059/6 1067 | expeditious [1] |
|  | 1090/18 1092/25 | 1068/23 1074/3 | 1071/25 |
|  | 1093/1 1093/11 | 1080/23 1086/14 | expeditiously [1] |
| $1066 / 101066 / 12$ | 1094/17 1094/19 | 1086/18 1092/9 1093/9 | 1071/19 |
| encourage [1] | 1095/2 | 1093/22 | explain [1] 1058/4 |
| end [2] 1047/13 | event [5] 1032/4 | evil [1] 1040/1 | explained [1] 1089/6 |
| 1067/22 | 1032/5 1032/6 1032/7 | exact [2] 1020/12 | explains [1] 1069/13 |
| enforcement [2] | 1043/6 | 1038/18 | explanation [2] 1034/9 |
| 1042/25 1047/11 | events [4] 1043/2 | exactly [9] 1019 | 1047/10 |
| enforcing [1] 1056/15 | 1051/25 1071/17 | 1021/9 1047/19 | xplore [1] 1070/8 |
| engage [1] 1056/25 | 1073/15 | 1 1069/ | exposed [1] 1086/5 |
| engaged [3] 1044/3 | ever [3] 1053/21 | 1069/11 1069/13 | expressed [1] 1026/18 |
| 1046/17 1089/2 | 1066/19 1066/24 | 1088/25 1089/3 | expression [1] |
| English [1] 1051/14 | every [9] 1027/12 | examination [2] | 1026/10 |
| ensure [1] 1057/11 | 1027/21 1028/17 | 1070/23 1071/8 | extent [2] 1031/10 |
| entered [4] 1024/4 | 1033/7 1050/9 1058/ | examine [2] 1034/22 | 1065/20 |
| 1087/7 1098/16 1100/4 | 1058/14 1067/1 1101/2 | 1034/25 | eyes [1] 1087/15 |
| entire [5] 1046/18 | everybody [2] 1074/11 | example [8] 1029/8 | eyewitness [1] 1029/3 |
| 1072/1 1072/14 1089/2 | 1074/11 | 1031/16 1037/20 | F |
| 1101/10 |  |  |  |
| entirely [2] 1038/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { everyone [3] } 10 \\ & 1098 / 18 \text { 1100/5 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left[\begin{array}{r} \text { race [2] } \\ 1086 / 7 \end{array}\right.$ |
| entitled [3] 1033/5 1092/17 1104/5 | everyone's [1] 1094/5 everything [5] 1045/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { except [2] } 1037 / 11 \\ & 1037 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | faced [1] 1057/9 facilitate [1] 1035/11 |


| C | 边 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ng [1] 1103/5 | few [8] 1028/14 | 1025/16 1053/10 | further [1] 1056/20 |
| fact [8] 1028/8 1029/4 | 1041/20 1050/6 | followed [1] 1054/18 | Furthermore [1] |
| 1029/19 1033/24 | 1089/16 1090/19 | following [2] 1039/13 | 1043/23 |
| 1077/19 1089/13 | 1092/21 1093/17 | 1068/25 | future [5] 107 |
| 1096/11 1096/21 | 1097/23 | follows [1] 1077/13 | 1080/11 1080 |
| facts [10] 1025/18 | field [1] 1091/10 | fooled [1] 1093/7 | 1080/17 1080/19 |
| 1025/19 1026/12 | fights [2] 1073/23 1073/23 | forbid [1] 1074/5 force [1] 1046/10 | G |
| 1029/1 1029/5 1038/4 | figure [1] 1074/6 | foregoing [1] 1104/4 | gain [2] 1031/25 |
| 1038/7 1090/2 1094/25 | filing [1] 1069/22 | foreperson [7] 1035/3 | 1074/14 |
| 1095/1 <br> fail [1] 1087/22 | fill [1] 1068/17 | 1035/4 1035/6 1035/10 | GASTON [8] 1017 |
| ed [8] 1027/25 | filled [4] 1068/2 | 1037/8 1100/8 1100/13 | 1019/8 1041/23 1058/6 |
|  | 1068/4 1068/9 1068/14 | forget [1] 1057/20 | 1072/10 107 |
| 1048/16 1050/17 | final [6] 1019/18 | forgot [1] 1093/12 | 1081/17 1096/7 |
| 1048/16 1050/17 | 1019/19 1019/21 | form [8] 1020/21 | gathered [1] 10 |
| 1092/15 | 1019/21 1024/20 | 1029/20 1034/8 1034/9 | gave [3] 1036/8 1054 |
| failure [11] 1 | 1052/10 | 1034/10 1034/14 | 1088 |
| 1039/6 1039/22 | finalize [1] 1099/19 | 1034/17 1099/21 | gender [1] 1026/10 |
| 1040/18 1040/20 | finally [4] 1041/8 | formal [1] 1027/6 | general [2] 1071/18 |
| 1040/21 1040/23 | 1041/14 1046/3 1046/7 | former [14] 1046/24 |  |
| 1050/19 1085/9 | find [29] 1027/20 | 1052/24 1054/8 1088/6 | Generally |
| 100/18 1100/22 | 1027/22 1027/24 | 1088/14 1088/15 | gentlemen [8] |
| 8] 1025/11 10 | 1028/1 1028/19 | 1088/18 1088/22 | 1054/3 1087/8 1092/19 |
| /12 | 1028/23 1033/24 | 1090/21 1091/6 | 1097/22 1098/2 |
| 1030/10 1035/9 | 1038/7 1039/10 | 1091/15 1093 | 1099/17 1101/5 |
| 1035/15 1074/12 | 1039/11 1040/1 1041/8 | 1093/16 1093/23 | get [24] 1022/21 |
| fairly [3] 1033/5 | 1050/7 1057/13 1059/1 | forth [5] 1049/7 1053/8 | 1024/15 1047/15 |
| 1074/10 1080/2 | 1059/5 1060/4 1068/20 | 1067/3 1070/4 1071/4 | 1047/16 1053/15 |
| fairness [2] 10 | 1080/7 1080/8 1080/17 | forward [1] 1019/5 | 1053/19 1058/23 |
| 1074/17 | 1080/25 1085/12 | foul [1] 1093/8 | 1065/22 1069/19 |
| fall [1] 1055/17 | 1085/13 1097/15 | foundation [1] 1072/1 | 1070/3 1074/7 10 |
| falling [2] 1029/ | 1100/19 1100/20 | foundational [2] | 1076/23 1077/2 1089/1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { raining } \\ 1029 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | 1100/23 1100/24 | 1057/1 1097/17 | 1091/7 1091/8 1091/11 |
| falsehood [1] 1032/16 | finding [2] 1056/12 | fourth [4] 1039/21 | 1091/21 1091/25 |
| family [3] 1074/5 | 1092/2 | 1040/15 1050/19 | 1092/13 1092/24 |
| 1086/4 1086/4 | fine [2] 1047/14 | 1052/10 | 1093/2 1094/3 |
| fancy [1] 1048/5 | 1102/21 | free [4] 1025/7 | gets [5] 1047/4 $1047 / 5$ <br> 1047/14 1055/20 |
| fashion [1] 1037/21 | $\text { firm [1] } 107$ | 1075/21 1099/11 | $1074 / 9$ |
| fashioned [1] 1085/15 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { firmly [2] } \\ \text { 1028/18 } \end{gathered}\right.$ | friend [2] 1088/6 | getting [3] 1024/13 |
| favor [2] 1029/20 | first [13] 1020/20 | 1093/3 | 1066/10 1070/8 |
| favoritism [1] 1026/14 | 1021/4 1024/13 1035/4 | friendship [2] 1032/1 | Gettr [1] 1045/13 |
| favoritism | 1039/15 1042/19 | 1066/2 | give [28] 1020/13 |
| 3/13 1075/11 | 1045/22 1050/11 | front [1] 1054/6 | 1024/7 1025/22 |
| $5 / 141075 / 16$ | 1068/6 1079/21 | fulfill [1] 1056/22 | 1028/22 1029/8 |
| 1075/17 | 1090/23 1091/12 | full [2] 1035/15 | 1029/21 1029/23 |
|  | 1095/24 | 1054/19 | 1033/4 1043/16 |
| features [1] | FIVE [2] 1017/7 | fully [2] 1046/5 | 1047/10 1053/3 |
| federal [3] 1042/24 | 1086/15 | 1046/17 | 1055/21 1059/7 1060/4 |
| $1048 / 191092 / 24$ | Floor [1] 1017/19 | fun [1] 1053/12 | 1060/9 1068/19 |
| feel [3] 1025/7 1056/17 | flouts [1] 1056/16 | function [3] 1025/10 | 1070/20 1072/5 1074/5 |
| 1078/7 | focus [1] 1085/7 | 1025/18 1053/10 | 1074/22 1075/7 |
| feeling [1] 1056/1 | Folks [1] 1097/7 | funding [2] 1043/8 | 1078/21 1088/5 |


| G C | 903bldo P039/9ent 179 | $H^{\text {Filed 12/31/22 }}$ Page | 1PO94/2517 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| give... [5] 1088/19 | 1039/12 1040/2 1040/6 | H.Res [1] 1059/20 | $\text { 1] } 1020$ |
| 1092/13 1092/17 |  | had [53] 1019/19 |  |
| 1093/4 1097/24 | 1041/16 1042/13 | 1020/21 1029/10 | Hart [6] 1045 |
| given [5] 1021/19 | 10 | 1029/16 1029/17 | 046/1 |
| 1021/22 1034/15 | 1047/12 1047/17 | 1031/22 1040/11 | 1089/23 1090/4 |
| 1034/25 1088/7 | 1053/10 1053/18 | 1041/6 1043/19 | Hart's [1] 1095/2 |
| gives [5] 1072/4 | 1057/6 1059/1 1059/8 | 1043/20 1043/23 | has [58] 1021/16 |
| 1074/21 1074/21 | 1065/8 1065/14 1067/5 | 1044/23 1045/7 | 1025/10 1027/15 |
| 1080/22 1097/1 |  | 1045/10 1045/17 | 1027/25 |
| giving [1] 1022/11 | 1076/13 1078/15 | 1045/18 1045/22 | 1028/4 1030/9 1031 |
| go [16] 1020/10 | 108 | 1048/5 1048/6 1048/8 | 1031/12 1031/21 |
| 1024/14 1035/8 1047/8 | 1089/14 1089/24 | 1048/11 1048/15 |  |
| 1050/5 1053/24 1069/7 | 1090/2 1091/14 | 1051/5 1052/4 1052/25 | 10 |
| 1070/18 1071/23 | 1092/23 1094/17 | 1053/2 1053/4 1053/7 |  |
| 1080/1 1091/6 1091/6 | 1096/16 1096/22 | 1055/2 1055/23 1057/8 | 033/18 103 |
| 1091/10 1093/14 |  | 1063/8 1066/13 | 1041/16 |
| 1097/8 1101/17 | 1102/ | 1066/16 1066/19 | 1045/24 1047/8 1047/9 |
| goal [1] 1026/5 | gov | 1069/9 1070/24 |  |
| God [2] 1074/5 |  | 1070/25 1071/16 |  |
| 1085/19 | 1053/11 1053/17 | 1073/10 1073/16 | 1055/21 1056/8 1057/6 |
| goes [3] 1072/10 |  | 1074/15 1075/3 |  |
| 1072/19 1074/10 | 1062/23 106 | 1078/14 1079/13 | 1059/8 1059/16 1065/8 |
| going [21] 1020/21 |  | 1090/5 1090/6 1091/6 |  |
| 1023/11 1024/21 | 1068/19 1072/2 | 1094/19 1095/18 | 1065/25 1066/2 1066/8 |
| 1044/10 1048/20 | 1076/11 1076/16 | 1096/12 1097/14 |  |
| 1049/6 1058/4 1059/1 | 1076/24 1078/3 | 1097/15 | 1068/24 1072/4 1072/9 |
| 1069/1 1074/6 1074/16 | Great [1] 1078/19 | hadn't [2] 1092/23 | 1072/9 1073/6 1076/16 |
| 1077/22 1088/5 1088/9 | Great [1] 1078/19 greater [2] 1029/24 | 1094/13 | 1078/11 1078/21 <br> 1084/16 1085/5 |
| 1089/3 1090/21 | greater [2] 1029/24 $1091 / 19$ | half [1] 1019/22 |  |
| 1090/21 1092/21 | ground [2] 1029/14 | hand [4] 1027/24 | 1089/13 1100/15 |
| 1093/4 1098/22 1099/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ground [2] } 1029 / 14 \\ & 1029 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1028/21 1029/5 | hasn't [4] 1092/5 |
| good [14] 1019/2 | grounded [2] 1089/11 | 1098/24 | $1092 / 91092 / 18$ |
| 1019/7 1019/11 | $1089 / 12$ | handful [1] 1067/4 | 1093/13 |
| 1019/15 1019/16 | guess [2] 1020/19 | handing [1] 1043/4 | have [89] |
| 1024/5 1024/6 1041/24 | 1047/24 | hands [1] 1085/22 | haven't [1] 1094/17 |
| 1057/18 1057/19 | guilt [3] 1027/9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { handwrit } \\ & \text { 1095/6 } \end{aligned}$ | having [1] 1031/20 |
| 1093/11 1100/5 | 1027/10 1028/13 | haphazard [1] 1094/3 | he [193] |
| Gordon [1] 1019/9 | guilty [25] 1027/15 | happen [2] 1029/12 | he's [11] 1073/4 |
| got [14] 1043/12 | 1027/23 1028/2 1028/4 | 1085/19 | 1073/5 1077/3 1077 |
| 1047/7 1052/18 | 1028/19 1028/20 | happened [4] 1048/25 | 1081/18 1085/13 |
| 1070/21 1070/22 | 1028/22 1028/23 | 1056/13 1069/22 | 1090/21 1090/22 |
| 1074/2 1075/5 1075/6 | 1033/12 1033 | 1096/17 | 1092/16 1093/4 1097/2 |
| 1079/9 1079/10 | 1033/25 1039/11 |  | health [1] 1098/1 |
| 1080/22 1081/10 | 10 | 1053/21 1096/17 | healthy [1] 1098/20 |
| 1094/22 1095/14 | 1057/13 1059/5 | happens [5] 1036/4 | hear [2] 1047/23 |
| govern [2] 1059/10 | 1068/20 1080/7 1080/8 | 1043/8 1056/14 |  |
| 1059/11 | 1085/13 1097/21 | 1057/12 1099/2 |  |
| government [49] |  | happy [1] 1096/11 |  |
| 1018/4 1019/6 1027/15 | GULLAND [1] 1017/11 | hard [9] 1020/1 1020/7 | 1058/8 1065/10 $1065 / 121072 / 15$ |
| 1027/20 1027/24 | GULLAND [1] 1017/11 | 1020/9 1044/13 | 1065/12 1072/15 |
| 1028/4 1031/4 1033/15 |  | 1055/16 1087/9 | 1073/2 1073/2 107 |
| 1033/18 1034/17 |  | 1087/13 1094/25 | 1073/2 1073/2 1073/3 |


| H Case 1:21-cr-006 | Righlighteactijent 179 | 10ide4d1524394446 Page | 1PG38/161 035/8 1038/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heard... [13] 1073/8 | 1076/15 | 1096/25 | 1099/12 |
| 1077/10 1077/23 | him [47] |  | human [5] 1025/24 |
| 1078/7 1085/16 | 1028/6 1028/20 | history [1] 1042/23 | 1071/5 1095/ |
| 1089/23 1090/4 | 1028/23 1028/23 | hold [2] 1030/16 | 1095/19 1096/2 |
| 1091/13 1091/14 | 1032/23 1033/10 | 1033/9 | hypothetical [3] |
| 1094/13 1097/23 | 1041/7 1041/13 104 | holding [1] | 1081/18 1081 |
| 1097/25 1098/5 | 1045/17 1045/22 | honestly [3] 1081/1 | 1084/15 |
| heart [1] 1093/20 | 1046/1 1046/2 1046/9 | 1086/2 1086/6 | I |
| held [1] 1042/15 | 48/11 1048/20 | 019/7 1019/11 | I'd [2] 1035/18 1059/25 |
| hell [2] 1044/10 | 1048/21 1051/2 | 1019/14 1019/16 | I'II [5] 1024/12 1069/16 |
| 1058/12 | 1052/24 1054/9 | 1020/6 1020/11 | 1076/8 1077/5 1077/8 |
| help [3] 103 | 1054/17 1054/18 | 1020/16 1022/4 | I'm [12] 1021/12 |
|  | 1054/19 1056/5 | 1022/14 1022/24 | 1058/4 1060/9 1065/23 |
| 1059/22 1066/16 | 1056/11 1057/13 | 1023/3 1023/9 1023/16 | 1072/24 1072/24 |
| 1068/8 1068/10 1069 | 1068/20 1071/2 | 1057/15 1057/17 | 1075/12 107 |
| 1069/4 1069/7 1069/8 | 1071/19 1073/2 1073/3 | 1059/18 1059/24 | 1090/21 1098/22 |
| 1070/1 1070/15 | 1073/4 1077/2 1078/12 | 1060/2 1060/10 | 1099/3 1102/18 |
| 1070/22 1070/22 | 1079/5 1079/8 1084/25 | 1060/11 1063/16 | I've [8] 1019/24 |
| 1070/23 1071/8 | 1085/13 1088/25 | 1065/6 1070/11 | 1034/15 1035/17 |
| 1071/11 1071/14 | 1090/14 1090/19 | 1079/14 1081/6 | 1036/18 1039/8 |
| 1072/3 1072/7 1072/12 | 1091/2 1091/5 1094/13 | 1081/16 1081/20 | 1069/16 1076/8 107 |
| 1072/14 1072/15 | himself [1] 1091/7 | 1084/14 1085/2 | idea [2] 1055/ |
| 1073/1 1073/8 1073/12 | hindsight [2] 1058/18 | 1085/23 1086/21 | 11 |
| 1073/25 1074/1 | 1084/22 | 1086/23 1087/6 | ideas [1] 1070/4 |
| 1075/10 1075/11 | Hindsight | 1101/17 1102/10 | identification [1] |
| 1075/22 1075/24 | 1084/23 | 1102/22 1102/23 | 1034 |
| 1091/15 | his [63] 1027/18 | 1103/12 1103/14 | identifying [1] 1021/13 |
| here [31] 1033/8 | 1029/10 1029/15 | HONORABLE [1] | [1] |
| 1035/5 1037/14 | 1033/11 1035/14 | 1017/8 | ignore [11] 1025/5 |
|  | 1041/3 1041/4 1041/5 | hostility [2] 1032/1 | 1025/16 1026/19 |
| 1044/5 1047/4 1049/4 | 1044/22 1045/2 | 1066/2 | 1030/21 1030/25 |
|  | 1045/11 1045/15 | Hotel [1] 1044/6 | 1047/15 1048 |
|  | 1045/21 1046/9 | hour [1] 1019/22 | 1053/14 1053/1 |
| 1056/4 1069/4 1069/14 | 1046/15 1046/20 | House [8] 1039/1 | 1055/4 1097/5 |
| 1071/12 1072/2 1078/6 | 1047/5 1047/12 | 1042/20 1043/20 | ignored [3] 1047/ |
| 1078/23 1080/14 | 1047/14 1047/22 | 1046/13 1051/22 | 1047/24 1048/2 |
| 1087/10 1088/3 10 | 1048/17 1051/1 1051/1 | 1053/25 1054/19 | ignoring [1] 1048/7 |
| 1088/16 1089/15 | 1052/23 1053/3 1054/6 | 1076/18 | illegally [1] 1053/16 |
| 1089/25 1093/6 | 1054/16 1056/7 1056/7 | how [26] 1026/16 | illegally-parked [1] |
| 1095/15 1097/11 | 1057/3 1057/25 | 1029/21 1035/6 | 1053/16 |
| 1098/10 | 1058/11 1058/19 | 1037/17 1037/19 | Imagine [3] 1047/4 |
|  | 1070/7 1076/5 1076/20 | 1051/17 1053/13 | 1081/5 1081/8 |
| hereby [1] 1087/17 | 1080/10 1081/2 | 1056/13 1068/1 | immaterial [1] 1040/2 |
| herman [4] 1017/21 | 1084/25 1085/1 1088/2 | 1073/25 1074/8 | impartial [4] 1026/6 |
| 1017/24 1104/3 1104/9 | 1088/19 1089/1 1089/1 | 1080/25 1087/16 | 1030/7 1030/ |
| herself [5] 1032/24 | 1089/4 1089/23 | 1087/19 1088/1 | 1074/18 |
| 1068/2 1069/10 | 1090/15 1090/22 | 1088/12 1088/18 | implicit [1] 1026/2 |
| 1069/12 1073/24 | 1091/1 1091/17 | 1093/19 1096/3 | important [14] 1042 |
| Hey [2] 1073/6 107 | 1091/18 1091/18 | 1096/17 1097/4 1097/5 | 042/12 1042/12 |
| hide [2] | 1091/22 1092/8 | 1100/18 1100/22 | 1053/18 1056/20 |
| hide [2] 1090/17 | 1092/21 1093/1 1093/3 | 1101/7 1103/1 | 1058/17 1059/14 |
| hiding [1] 1089/5 | 1094/2 1094/3 1094/3 | however [5] 1029/13 | 1073/4 1074/4 1080/6 |


| $1 \quad$ Case 1:21-cr-006 | 79 |  | $19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| important... [4] | 1040/14 1043/13 | 1025/15 1034/14 | involved [4] 1057/22 |
| 1086/18 1099/24 | 1043/24 1044/2 | 1034/16 1041/20 | 1066/23 1066/25 |
| 1101/10 1101/12 | 1050/15 1051/15 | 1056/24 1058/22 | 1074/ |
| impossible [1] | 1052/4 1052/7 1057/9 | 1065/12 1065/13 | involving [1] 1074/20 |
| 1081/10 | 1057/11 1071/19 | 1077/23 1085/6 | irony [1] 1097/3 |
| impresses [2] 1031/19 | 1071/20 1092/1 1092/3 | 1098/10 1099/6 | is [253] |
| 1031/20 | 1093/2 1099/16 | 1099/19 1101/7 | issue [7] 1022/3 |
| improbability [1] | informed [1] 1054 | Intelligence [2] | 1047/1 1060/1 1062/12 |
| 1032/18 | injecting [1] 1096/18 | 1076/18 1076/19 | 1067/9 1069/18 |
| improper [1] 1033/10 | innocence [3] 1027/12 | intend [3] 1020/12 | 1074/20 |
| inadmissible [1] | 1027/14 1027/18 |  | issued [4] 104 |
| 1030/12 | innocent [4] 1027/13 | intended [4] 1020 | 1040/8 1043/14 106 |
| inadvertence [2] | 1058/2 1058/5 1086/20 | 1027/2 1037/2 106 | issues [1] 109 |
| 1040/24 1085/10 | inquiry [1] 1040/9 | intent [4] 1081/12 | issuing [1] 1045/1 |
| inadvertently [1] | instances [2] 1076/19 | 1081/13 1081/14 | it [178] |
| 1036/2 | 1077/10 | 1085/5 | it's [34] 102 |
| include [7] 1021 | instead [4] 1049 | intentional [6] | 1057/21 1057/2 |
| 1021/13 1022/18 | 1054/1 1092/4 1098/9 | 1032/15 1040/22 | 1058/17 1058/2 |
| 1023/11 1023/12 | instruct [5] 1025/12 | 1040/22 1045/1 | 1059/3 1067/7 1068 |
| 1023/18 1023/19 | 1025/14 1077/23 | 1052/13 1085/9 | 1068/17 1068/25 |
| included [1] 1070/17 | 1085/4 1099/4 | intentionally [3] | 1071/10 1073/15 |
| includes [1] 1036/10 | instructed [4] 1052/11 | 1041/9 1076/3 1080/25 | 1074/12 1076/11 |
| including [4] 1032/5 | 1065/23 1090/13 | interactions [1] | 1076/12 1077/7 1078/3 |
| 1040/24 1071/24 | 1092/11 | 1069/9 | 1080/5 1080/1 |
| 1102/15 | instruction [46] | interest [7] 1031/24 | 1085/25 1086/1 |
| income [1] 1026/1 | 1019/23 1021/14 | 1032/25 1058/8 1066/1 | 1087/2 1087/14 1090/8 |
|  | 1022/5 1022/8 1022/12 | 1066/7 1071/18 | 1090/24 1090/25 |
| 1032/9 1032/12 | 1022/15 1022/19 | 1071/24 | 1090/25 1091/22 |
| 1032/14 1098/7 | 1022/20 1022/22 | internet [4] 1035/20 | 1093/8 1093/11 |
| independent [1] | 1022/22 1023/12 | 1036/16 1099/7 1099/9 | 1095/20 1095/25 |
| 1036/13 | 1023/13 1023/20 | interview [2] 1073/9 | 1096/19 1098/15 |
| indicate [1] 1038/5 | 1024/13 1024/23 | 1075/12 | items [2] 1052/3 |
| indicated [1] 1026/18 | 1025/9 1025/17 | interviewed [2] | 1088/13 |
| indicating [1] 1026/16 | 1026/21 1026/25 | 1066/14 1075/16 | its [18] 1042/8 1043/14 |
| indictment [18] 1021/4 | 1027/5 1027/11 1028/3 | interviews [1] 1043/13 | 1045/12 1048/1 |
| 1021/7 1021/11 | 1028/24 1030/3 | introduce [1] 1019/5 | 1051/19 1053/10 |
| 1021/23 1023/2 1023/7 | 1030/12 1031/3 1033/6 | introduced [1] | 1053/18 1053/20 |
| 1023/10 1023/21 | 1033/14 1033/20 | 1079/18 | 1057/7 1059/2 1059/2 |
| 1023/22 1027/5 1027/6 | 1034/3 1034/8 1034/19 | invested [1] 1101/10 | 1059/8 1065/8 1067/22 |
| 1027/8 1033/21 | 1035/2 1035/16 | investigate [3] 1039/2 | 1067/22 1072/5 1093/2 |
| 1033/25 1034/2 | 1035/16 1036/8 | 1043/10 1051/20 | 1096/23 |
| 1038/13 1038/24 | 1036/17 1037/4 | investigating [2] | itself [2] 1030/6 |
| 1041/19 | 1037/23 1038/12 | 1073/3 1073/5 | 1052/3 |
| indictment's [1] | 1038/22 1041/14 | investigation [8] | J |
| 1021/19 |  |  | Janu |
| infer [1] 1038/1 | instructions [32] | 1050/15 1076/18 | 1042/7 1042/22 |
| inference [1] 1027/10 | 1018/3 1019/19 1020/2 | 1102/13 1102/25 | 1043/10 1043/22 |
| inferences [1] 1029/6 | $1021 / 101022 / 41022 / 6$ | investigator [1] | 1043/25 1044/7 1044/9 |
| influence [1] 1034/1 | $1024 / 101024 / 14$ | 1093/17 | 1044/10 1051/20 |
| inform [1] 1071/22 | 1024/20 1024/22 | invite [1] 1035/13 | 1052/1 1053/21 |
| information [23] <br> 1039/1 1039/17 | 1024/23 1024/24 | invocation [1] 1076/20 | 1056/13 1057/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1039 / 1 \text { 1039/17 } \\ & 1039 / 25 \text { 1040/1 } 1040 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1025/1 1025/2 1025/3 | invoked [1] 1079/22 | 1057/11 1057/20 |


| J Case 1:2 | 79 |  | /1611029/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1057/24 | 1056/18 1056/22 | 1051/10 1051/11 | 1029/20 1034/15 |
| 1058/12 1058/14 | 1065/24 1067/5 1075/1 | 1051/17 1051/24 | 1041/4 1042/24 |
| 1071/17 1078/19 | 1076/24 1077/22 | 1052/1 1053/22 1054/4 | 1048/15 1048/19 |
| 1087/19 1088/15 | 1081/5 1085/6 1087/7 | 1055/25 1059/1 | 1054/14 1056/15 |
| 1091/8 1102/15 | 1097/2 1097/22 1099/2 | 1067/19 1067/20 | 1057/2 1077/24 1085/5 |
| January 6 [1] 1042/7 | 1099/13 1099/15 | 1068/1 1069/1 1069/3 | 1097/20 1097/21 |
| January 6th [19] | 1099/17 1099/19 | 1073/4 1077/16 | lawful [1] 1047/20 |
| 1039/2 1042/22 | 1100/2 1100/4 1100/7 | 1077/18 1077/18 | lawmakers [1] 1043/1 |
| 1043/10 1043/22 | 1100/13 1100/15 | 1084/21 1084/24 | lawsuit [3] 1049/18 |
| 1043/25 1044/10 | 1101/1 1101/2 1101/5 | 1086/16 1087/18 | 1069/22 1092/25 |
| 1051/20 1052/1 | 1101/9 | 1088/14 1089/15 | lawyer [14] 1030/15 |
| 1053/21 1056/13 | just [46] 1019/17 | 1089/15 1090/16 | 1030/16 1046/15 |
| 1057/9 1057/11 | 1020/6 1020/20 1021/5 | 1092/20 1093/19 | 1049/6 1052/23 |
| 1057/24 1058/12 | 22/9 1022/14 | 1093/22 1094/6 | 1058/20 1062/24 |
| 1071/17 1087/19 | 1022/21 1022/22 | 1094/14 1094/19 | 1069/10 1069/13 |
| 1088/15 1091/8 | 1022/24 1023/13 | 1095/3 1096/5 1097/9 | 1076/5 1081/10 |
| 1102/15 | 1024/7 1024/20 1026/5 | 1097/9 1101/7 1101/13 | 1081/10 1081/11 |
| jointly [2] 1020/21 | 1035/9 1036/3 1047/15 | 1103/1 | 1084/25 |
| 1021/1 | 1049/4 1050/6 1051/8 | knowing [1] 1037/25 | lawyer's [2] 1030/18 |
| joints [1] 1087/23 | 1052/11 1052/16 | knowledge [5] 1029/4 | 1030/2 |
| judge [11] 1017/8 | 1053/6 1053/14 | 1059/23 1070/24 | lawyers [5] 1027/1 |
| 1050/6 1052/6 1052/11 | 1065/23 1067/14 | 1070/25 1071/12 | 1027/3 1030/13 |
| 1052/21 1069/1 | 1069/6 1069/22 | known [3] 1072/16 | 1066/23 1067/8 |
| 1069/19 1077/4 | 1069/23 1072/1 | 1074/15 1075/3 | lead [1] 1071/20 |
| 1077/23 1090/13 | 1073/19 107 | knows [3] 1084/1 | leading [2] 1043/2 |
| 1092/11 | 1078/2 1081/10 | 1089/12 1098/18 | 1051/25 |
| Judge's [1] 1069/19 | 1081/11 1090/11 | L | leads [1] 1092/3 |
| judges [3] 1025/19 | 1090/20 1091/16 | lack [1] 1033/17 | 1074/13 |
| 1031/7 1065/18 |  | ladies [8] 1024/5 |  |
| Judging [1] 1031/11 | 1097/25 1100/6 1101/2 | 1054/3 1087/8 1092/19 | 1096/6 |
| judgment [5] 1031/15 | $1101 / 18$ | 1097/22 1098/2 | least [1] 1101/8 |
| 1033/5 1034/4 1067/17 | justice [2] 1026/8 | 1099/17 1101/5 | leave [2] 1097/8 |
|  | 1046/13 | laid [1] 1042/20 | 1098/22 |
| 1078/16 1079/18 | K | language [4] 1021/ | leaves [1] 1028/12 |
| 1080/5 1100/7 1104/9 | keep [2] 1034/23 | 1078/5 |  |
| juror [7] 1034/4 1034/5 | 1096/2 | lapses [1] 1032/15 | 1047/2 1066/22 |
| 1035/13 1098/13 1098/19 1098/20 | keeps [1] 1095/4 | large [1] 1068/25 | legalese [1] 1059/13 |
| 1098/19 1098/20 1098/22 | kept [1] 1090/5 | last [6] 1055/6 1062/21 | legally [1] 1049/13 |
| 1098/22 | key [4] 1058/23 1065/9 | 1063/1 1090/8 1097/23 | legislation [4] 1043/2 |
| jurors [7] 1028/6 | 1065/22 1067/10 | 1098/12 | 1043/8 1051/23 |
| 1036/3 1036/17 | kid [1] 1089/12 | last-minute [1] 1090/8 | 1053/20 |
| 1037/17 1099/3 | kidding [1] 1090/20 | Lastly [1] 1056/7 | legit [1] 1063/15 |
|  | kind [2] 1027/8 | late [2] 1075/5 1102/17 | Lesley [6] 1020/14 |
|  | 1084/24 | later [9] 1029/10 | 1024/3 1098/25 |
|  | knew [6] 1045/17 | 1032/6 1036/7 1041/12 | 1099/15 1100/10 |
| 1022/8 1024/3 1024/4 | 1045/18 1046/14 | 1041/13 1058/17 | 1101/4 |
| 1022/8 1024/3 1024/4 1024/10 1025/17 | 1052/15 1053/1 1089/3 | 1092/12 1099/22 | let [12] 1029/8 1036/4 |
| 1024/10 1025/17 | know [49] 1022/5 | 1103/3 | 1049/4 1053/1 1053/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1025 / 18 \text { 1030/5 } \\ & 1034 / 20 \text { 1035/3 } 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1028/14 1036/4 | law [20] 1017/15 | 1056/11 1062/12 |
| 1036/10 1036/20 | 1036/18 1043/19 | 1025/12 1025/12 | 1062/17 1074/5 |
| 1037/5 1037/9 1037/10 | 1046/14 1048/25 | 1025/14 1027/17 | 1076/10 1089/22 |



| M Case 1 | 79 | 1Fids943120 | 1P549 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minutes [3] 1050/7 | 1024/21 1041/24 | 1094/7 1096/5 1097/1 | 1072/10 1081/17 |
| 1087/1 1087/1 | 1041/25 1057/18 | 1103/2 1103/13 | 1096/7 |
| mirrored [1] 1069/8 | 1057/19 1090/13 | Mr. Corcoran's [2] | Ms. Lesley [6] 1020/14 |
| missed [2] 1045/23 | 10 | 10 | 1098 |
| 1055/2 | mornings [1] 1085/14 | Mr. Costello [8] | 1099/15 1100/10 |
| missing [2] 1087/10 | most [5] 1043/2 | 1049/6 1058/20 | 1101/4 |
| 1087/12 | 1071/8 1071/11 | 1076/22 1089/4 | Ms. Vaughn [3] 1023/6 |
| mission [2] 1035/9 | 1085/14 1092/4 | 1089/22 1090/4 | 1041/23 1042 |
| 1052/5 [2] | motivated [1] 1032/25 | 1095/12 1095/13 | much [8] 1029/21 |
| mistake [23] 1032/15 | motive [5] 1033/14 | Mr. Schoen [1] | 1055/21 1065/6 |
| 1040/24 1040/24 | 1033/14 1033/16 | 1103/13 | 1087/16 1087/1 |
| 1045/1 1045/19 | 1033/17 1033/17 | Mr. Trump [1] 1045/24 | 1088/1 1099/14 |
| 1052/18 1053/24 | mountaintop [1] | Ms [2] 1072/16 | 1101/13 |
| 1054/21 1055/4 1055/7 | 1088/3 | 1095/21 | Mueller [1] 1076/1 |
| 1055/7 1055/10 | Mr [7] 1019/12 | Ms. [48] 1020/14 | multiple [2] 1033/20 |
| 1058/20 1058/24 | 1019/18 1049/13 | 1023/6 1024/3 1041/23 | 1071/16 |
| 1072/24 1084/25 | 1049/15 1063/9 | 1041/23 1042/3 1049/7 | must [33] 1027/7 |
| 1085/11 1085/12 | 1076/12 1079/11 | 1052/1 1058/6 1058/9 | 1028/10 1028/11 |
| 1090/1 1090/8 1090/12 | Mr. [45] 1019/12 | 1059/9 1059/22 | 1028/19 1028/22 |
| 1090/24 1094/14 | 1045/24 1045/24 | 1062/14 1063/8 1066/6 | 1030/8 1030/9 1030/16 |
| mistaken [1] 1089/19 | 1046/3 1047/23 | 1066/6 1066/16 | 1030/22 1031/6 1033/9 |
| [1] 1089/19 | 1048/15 1049/5 1049/6 | 1067/17 1068/21 | 1033/12 1034/3 1034/5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { misunae } \\ 1032 / 15 \end{gathered}$ | 1056/8 1057/3 1058/8 | 1069/3 1070/1 1070/6 | 1034/6 1034/17 |
| mob [1] 1042/23 | 1058/19 1058/20 | 1070/14 1072/2 1072/7 | 1035/24 1035/25 |
| modern [1] 1095/23 | 1059/25 1062/24 | 1072/9 1072/10 | 1039/9 1039/1 |
| modest [1] 1019/22 | 1069/9 1069/12 1070/5 | 1072/12 1072/16 | 1039/25 1040/2 1040/6 |
| modifies [1] 1034/16 | 1070/9 1071/10 | 1072/25 1073/21 | 1040/15 1059/5 1060/4 |
| MOLLY [2] 1017/11 | 1071/13 1071/16 | 1073/24 1075/8 | 1060/4 1060/9 1065/16 |
| 1019/8 | 1076/14 1076/16 | 1077/16 1081/17 | 1068/19 1074/22 |
| moment [4] | 1076/21 1076/22 | 1089/6 1091/13 1092/2 | 1087/20 1098/10 |
| 1022/10 1054/23 | 1079/7 1080/18 | 1093/17 1094/11 | mutual [1] 1035/12 |
| 1073/19 | 1084/22 1085/12 | 1095/2 1095/11 1096/7 | my [17] 1020/25 |
| momentarily [1] | 1089/4 1089/7 1089/22 | 1096/7 1098/25 | 1024/13 1024/22 |
| 1056/24 | 1090/4 1090/11 1091/3 | 1099/15 1100/10 | 1024/25 1025/9 |
| money [2] 1072/1 | 1094/7 1095/12 | 1101/4 | 1025/20 1036/4 |
| 1075/24 | 1095/13 1096/5 1097/1 | Ms. Amerling [27] | 1071/15 1071/23 |
| Montgom | 1103/2 1103/6 1103/13 | 1049/7 1058/9 1059/9 | 1076/6 1088/6 1095/13 |
| 1017/16 | 1103/13 | 1059/22 1062/14 | 1098/9 1099/6 1101/7 |
| months [11] 1058/16 | Mr. Bannon [18] | 1063/8 1066/6 1066/6 | 1102/12 1102/18 |
| 1067/23 1074/10 | 1019/12 1045/24 | 1066/16 1067/17 | myself [1] 1085/19 |
| 1074/10 1074/11 | 1048/15 1058/8 <br> 1058/19 1070/5 1070/9 | 1068/21 1070/1 10 | N |
| 1089/16 1092/1 1092/4 | 1071/10 1071/13 | 1072/16 1072/25 | name [4] 1051/19 |
| 1092/10 1092/17 | 1071/16 1076/14 | 1073/21 1073/24 | 1055/24 1093/15 |
| 1092/22 | 1076/16 1076/21 | 1077/16 1089/6 | 1098/24 |
| more [10] 1028/8 | 1079/7 1080/18 | 1091/13 1092/2 | name-calling [1] |
| 1028/11 1037/8 | 1084/22 1085/12 | 1093/17 1094/11 | 1093/15 |
|  | 1103/6 | 1096/7 | nation's [1] 1042 |
| 1088/4 1089/22 1092/1 | Mr. Bannon's [4] | Ms. Amerling's [7] | national [2] 1026/9 |
| morning [17] 1019/2 | 1046/3 1062/24 1069/9 | 1052/1 1069/3 1070/14 | 70/19 |
| 1019/7 1019/11 | 1069/12 | 1072/12 1075/8 1095/2 | nature [3] 1030/3 |
| 1019/15 1019/16 | Mr. Corcoran [11] 1047/23 1049/5 1056/8 | 1095/11 <br> Ms. Gaston [5] | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 1030/5 1030/8 } \\ \text { near [6] 1038/20 } \end{array}$ |


| $\mathbf{N}$ Case 1:21-cr-006 | 9046 di $9094 /$ Mint 179 | 10ided412/31/22 Page | $1 p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5] 1078/24 | nine [3] 109 | now [34] 1020/3 | ur [4] 1078/2 |
| 1080/15 | 1092/10 1092/1 | 1020/4 1020/13 | 1080/10 1080/14 |
| 1080/17 1080/18 | no [46] 1017/3 1021/1 | 1022/23 1023/17 | 1080/ |
| necessary [4] 1028/7 | 1022/11 1022/17 | 1024/2 1041/21 | occurring [1] |
| 1037/6 1038/11 | 1026/4 1029/14 1031/1 | 1048/15 1051/5 1058/4 | October [57] 1023/2 |
| 1039/23 | 1035/5 1037/10 | 1065/7 1072/7 1074/25 | 1023/25 1038/14 |
| need [24] 1022/23 | 1037/25 1044/23 | 1074/25 1075/4 | 1038/16 1039/5 1039/7 |
| 1023/13 1038/17 | 1045/1 1049/8 1053 | 1075/15 1077/22 | 1044/16 1044 |
| 1050/7 1053/10 | 1053/24 1054/9 1055/5 | 1078/19 1084/21 | 1044/22 1045/2 |
| 1055/25 1057/8 | 1057/22 1062/23 | 1085/21 1086/15 | 1045/20 1046/19 |
| 1065/25 1066/1 1066/4 | 1063/5 1066/21 | 1089/17 1090/20 | 1047/18 1048/4 1048/7 |
| 1067/19 1069/25 | 1066/23 1067/19 | 1092/4 1092/18 1094/4 | 1048/8 1048/9 1048/13 |
| 1070/1 1078/7 1089/15 | 1070/12 1070/24 | 1097/3 1097/23 | 1048/13 1048 |
| 1089/17 1091/23 | 1070/24 1072/24 | 1098/20 1099/11 | 1048/25 1049/11 |
| 1092/1 1093/19 | 1073/24 1074/23 | 1101/14 1102/8 | 1049/15 1049/16 |
| 1093/22 1095/2 1099/1 | 1074/24 1077/14 | 1102/14 1102/18 | 1049/20 1049/20 |
| 1099/12 1102/6 | 1080/22 1086/3 1086/7 | number [5] 1050/22 | 1049/21 1051/6 1051/7 |
| needed [1] 1048/23 | 1086/18 1087/20 | 1051/3 1051/13 1077/1 | 1054/16 1055/15 |
| needs [1] 1023/18 | 1089/24 1090/2 1093/8 | 1098/24 | 1055/15 1067/11 |
| negotiate [2] 1055/19 | 1093/8 1096/8 1096/ | numbers [1] 1050/21 | 1067/11 1067/21 |
| 1067/8 | 1102/10 1103/3 | nutshell [1] 1069/11 | 1071/5 1071/9 1071/13 |
| negotiation [10] | 1103/12 1103/14 | NW [2] 1017/12 | 076/13 1080 |
| 9/6 1049/23 | non [5] 1075/13 |  | 1087/11 1087/11 |
| 1055/20 1077/12 | 1075/18 1075/20 | 0 | 1090/2 |
| 1077/21 1079/2 1088/8 | non-partisan [5] | object [2] 1021/25 | 1094/6 1094/14 |
|  | 1075/13 1075/18 | 1030/18 | 1094/21 1094/22 |
| $1067 / 10$ | 1075/20 1075/21 | objected [1] 1030/13 | 1094/23 1102/17 |
|  | 1076/1 | objecting [1] 1030/15 | 1102/20 1103/4 1103/8 |
| eutral [3] 1074/8 | noncompliance | 7 | 103/ |
| 1074/15 1086/17 | 1046/9 1052/12 | 202/17 | October 14 [1] 1103/4 |
| neutrality [2] 1074/4 | 1052/17 1089/8 | 1022/25 1023/3 | October 14th [13] |
| 1074/17 | none [3] 1055/2 |  | 038/14 1039/5 |
| neutrally [1] 1074/10 | 1057/20 1094/9 | 1059/24 1060 | 1044/17 1047/18 |
| never [8] 1027/16 | nonetheless [1] | 1063/16 1066/22 | 048/4 1048/8 1048/13 |
| 1037/11 1037/15 | 1058/16 | 1067/24 1070/10 | 1051/7 1055/15 |
| 1037/19 1043/8 | not [163] | 1079/14 1081/6 | 067/11 1071/13 |
| 1054/15 1056/13 | note [5] 1025/7 1037/7 | 1081/11 1081/16 | 1094/3 1094/22 |
| 1066/16 | 1037/11 1100/6 1100/8 | 1084/17 1085/2 | October 18th [6] |
| new [6] 1022/21 | notebook [1] 1098/23 | 1085/23 1086/9 | 1023/25 1038/16 |
| 1069/21 1069/21 | notebooks [1] 1036/19 | objections [4] 1019/18 | 1048/23 1048/25 |
| 1092/2 1092/3 1092/3 | notes [4] 1036/19 | 1021/1 1030/16 1098/3 | 1049/16 1049/21 |
| newspaper [2] | 1036/23 1037/1 1037/2 | obligation [2] 1045/24 | October 7th [16] |
| 1035/20 1058/10 | notetaker's [1] 1037/3 | 1091/6 | 1044/16 1044/22 |
| next [8] 1025/17 | notetaking [1] 1036/17 | observe [1] | 1045/2 1048/7 1048/13 |
| 1037/4 1043/5 1045/11 | nothing [8] 1034/11 | observed [1] 103 | 049/11 1049/20 |
|  | 1034/14 1034/15 | obstacle [6] 1076/6 | 1051/6 1055/15 |
| 1091/11 1095/13 | 1056/12 1056/14 | 1076/7 1077/8 1080/5 | 1067/11 1087/11 |
| NICHOLS [7] 1017/8 | 1057/12 1093/10 | 1084/20 1091/5 | 1087/11 1094/1 1094/6 |
| 1050/6 1052/6 1052/11 | 1098/15 | obtaining [1] 1071/19 | 1094/14 1094/21 |
| 1052/21 1090/13 | notice [1] 1046/15 | obviously [3] 1102/6 | OFC [1] 1017/11 |
| 1092/11 night [3] 1045/2 | November [4] 1073/9 1075/12 1075/16 | 1102/24 1102/25 occasions [2] 1076/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { off [3] 1050/21 1089/1 } \\ & 1092 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |


| O Case 1:21-cr-006 | 9091120 P09ympnt 179 |  | $10878 / 2417037 / 21037 / 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| offense [15] 1023/19 | 1097/18 1097/24 | 1036/16 1037/21 | 1072/15 1075/24 |
| 1023/23 1023/24 | 1098/18 1099/2 | 1037/22 1038/4 1044/2 | 1089/23 109 |
| 1027/21 1027/23 | 11 |  | P |
| 1027/25 1028/2 | one-week [1] 1049/17 | 1070/18 1071/21 | p.m [6] 1048/24 |
| 1033/21 1033/22 | ones [2] 1055/15 | 1071/21 1072/17 | 1049/1 1100/4 1102/20 |
| 1038/18 1038/20 | 1078/14 | 1074/15 1075/3 | 1103/8 1103/18 |
| 1038/23 1077/25 | ongoing [1] 1045/24 | 1075/17 1077/14 | page [14] 1018 |
| offenses [1] 1033/15 | only [18] 1027/2 | 1085/16 1099/2 1101/8 | $10$ |
| offer [1] 1092/8 | 1028/7 1030/9 1034/18 | others [2] 1025/5 | 1062/21 1063/1 |
| offered [3] 1020/21 | 1041/14 1042/13 | otherwise [2] 1037/19 | 1068/4 1068/4 1068/11 |
| 1030/14 1041/12 | 1042/14 1042/14 | 1103/11 [2] 1037/19 | 1085/7 1098/23 |
| offers [1] 1077/1 | 1056/9 1066/18 | our [25] 1022/25 | paper [5] 1047/6 |
| e [4] 1047/10 | 1075/23 1089/16 | 1026/5 1026/7 1042/13 | 1067/6 1067/7 1087/16 |
| 疗 | 1093/5 1096/18 | 1042/22 1042/24 | 1095/1 |
| 1103/7 | 1096/19 1096/23 | 1043/1 1057/4 1057/6 | papers [2] 1039/16 |
|  | open [3] 1037/14 | 1057/21 1057/23 | 1050/13 |
|  | 1037/19 1069/6 | 1059/14 1080/22 | paragraph [3] 1021/17 |
| inal [2] | opening [4] 1047/22 | 1086/2 1086/4 1086/5 | 1059/15 1076/15 |
|  | 1049/4 1056/7 1057/3 | 1086/16 1091/14 | Paralegal [1] 1019/8 |
| okay [13] 1022/10 | operations [1] 1024/8 | 1091/19 1096/15 | [1] 1089/11 |
| 1022/18 1023/5 | operative [1] 1040/25 | 1096/22 1097/1 1097/4 | ked [1] 1053/16 |
| 1023/11 1024/1 | opinion [4] 1026/15 | 1097/16 1097/18 | parking [5] 1047/4 |
| 1068/14 1070/11 | 1026/19 1034/12 | out [22] 1020/24 | 047/10 1053 |
| 1085/25 1085/25 | 1091/1 | 1029/9 1029 | 1053/14 1081/18 |
| 1102/11 1102/24 | opinions [1] 1025/25 | 1042/20 1051/19 | part [3] 1031 |
| 1103/15 | opportunity [2] 1022/7 | 1056/12 1057/23 | 1057/21 1091/14 |
| old [1] 10 | 1031/22 | 1058/20 1066/15 | cipants [ |
| old-fashioned [1] | opposition [ | 1068/2 1068/5 1068/ | 1057/5 |
| 1085/15 | 1085/18 | 1068/14 1068/17 | particular [5] 1025 |
|  | option [1] 1070/13 | 1070/18 1074/6 1094/3 | 1027/21 1027/25 |
| once [1] 1024/18 | optional [1] 1055/9 | 1094/13 1096/1 | 1029/21 1042/1 |
| one [53] 1020/4 | options [1] 1091/3 | 1097/16 1098/23 | parties [11] 1019/ |
| 1020/16 1020/22 | orally [2] 1024/12 | 1099/16 | 1019/21 1019/23 |
| 1021/2 1024/19 | 1037/14 | outcome [3] 1031/24 | 20/1 102 |
| 1029/20 1030/4 | order [10] 1024/8 | 1066/1 1066/7 | 5 1021/2 |
| 1033/25 1035/19 | 1034/5 1040/1 1047/2 | outlet [1] 1058/14 | 6 1024/17 |
| 1037/8 1043/2 1043/5 | 1047/16 1047/17 | outset [1] 1058/17 | /20 1103/3 |
| 1044/15 1047/22 | 1047/20 1050/7 1053/9 | outside [1] 1072/20 | partisan [5] 1075/13 |
| 1049/17 1050/3 1050/4 | 1080/24 | over [14] 1029/20 | 1075/18 1075/20 |
| 1050/9 1050/21 | orderly [1] 1025 | 1035/4 1043/4 1050/ | 075/21 1076/1 |
| 1050/22 1055/6 1059/9 | ordinarily [1] 1037/24 | 1054/14 1067/8 | 107 |
| 1063/4 1063/7 1063/10 | Organizations [1] | 1067/10 1073/23 |  |
| 1065/9 1065/22 1070/2 | 1095/23 | 1086/3 1086/5 1088/11 | 1072/13 1073/22 |
| 1070/25 1072/10 | organize [1] 1035/11 | 1091/10 1094/18 | 1073/25 1075/21 |
| 1072/13 1072/22 | orientation [1] | 1096/19 | 1075/22 1075/24 |
| 1073/21 1073/25 | 1026/10 | overcomes [1] | 1075/25 10 |
| 1074/13 1074/25 | origin [1] | 1028/17 | 2 |
| 1075/23 1075/25 | other [30] 1027/24 1028/21 1029/5 | Overruled [3] 1059/19 1079/15 1081/7 | pass [2] 1043/2 108 path [5] 1058/19 |
| 1076/10 1077/1 1085/7 | 1028/21 1029/5 | 1079/15 1081/7 | $1058 / 19 \text { 1084/23 }$ |
| 1085/7 1085/22 1086/7 1089/22 1091/19 | $1032 / 131032 / 21$ | own [8] 1026/23 | 1085/13 1090/12 |


| P Case 1:21-cr-006 | $79$ |  | 1p829/122 $027 / 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pattern [1] 1022/7 | Phrased [1] 1040/6 | potentially [2] 1071/16 | pretending [1] 1094 |
| pause [8] 1022/13 |  |  | pretty [1] 1087/2 |
| 1023/15 1059/7 | piece [1] 1047/5 | 1044/4 1066/11 | peviously [1] 102 |
| 1062/18 1062/25 1063/3 1063/6 1074/21 | piece [1] 1047/5 | 1080/23 1085/1 | principle [1] 1086/16 |
| pay [3] 1047/9 1047/14 | place [3] 1026/4 | 1091/23 1097/2 | prior [2] 1076/17 |
| 1047/16 | 1046/4 1079/25 | 1097/18 | 1098/9 |
| peaceful [2] 1043/4 |  | powerful [1] | privilege [31] |
| 1044/4 | 1067/12 1067/12 | practice [2] 1102/12 | 1041/7 1045/4 1045 |
| pending [1] 1079/9 | 1077/9 | 1102/14 | 1045/21 1045 |
| people [17] 1026/7 |  | precisely [1] 10 | 1049/2 1052/25 1054/8 |
| 1032/1 1042/13 | play [11] 1022/6 | predicted [2] 1058/1 | 1054/11 1054 |
| 1042/14 1042/15 | 1031/1 1042/10 | 1058/15 | 1067/24 1069/18 |
| 1053/14 1053/16 | 1042/14 1057/7 | preferences [1] | 1069/23 1070/9 1076/7 |
| 1053/19 1066/10 | 1069/25 1070 | 1026/4 | 1077/2 1078/11 |
| 1069/25 1070/1 | 1075/10 1075/11 | prejudice [2] 1026/14 | 1078/21 1079/23 |
| 1072/22 1073/18 | 1086/18 1087 | 1033/1 | 1080/1 1081/2 |
| 1074/13 1085/17 | played [1] 1101/12 | prejudiced [1] 1032/24 | 1088/6 1089/6 1090 |
| 1094/8 1094/12 | plays [1] 1091/10 | prejudices [2] 1025/25 | 1090/11 1090/12 |
| people's [1] 1097/15 | please [11] 1019/5 | 1026/4 | 1090/14 1090/18 |
| perception [1] | 1020/14 1024/3 1025/7 | prepared [3] 1058/1 | 1094/18 |
| 1032/16 | 34/23 1055/16 | 02/13 1102/2 | privileges [2] 1053/8 |
| perform [1] 1097/15 | 1057/16 1060/12 | preponderance [1] | 1076 |
| perhaps [4] 1020/24 | 99/14 1100/1 | 28 | prized [1] 1043/2 |
| 1023/14 1071/22 | 13 | presence [1] 1044/6 | probability [1] |
| 1081/11 | ploy [1] 1093 | present [1] 1073/10 | 1032/18 |
| permission [1] 1091/7 | podcast [1] 1068/24 | presented [5] 1030/2 | Probation [1] 1103/6 |
| permitted [2] 1029/23 | point [4] 1021/9 | 30/10 1036/1 | problem [2] 1023 |
| permited [2] 1029/23 | 1045/6 1047/8 1048/4 | 1081/10 1081/17 | 1094/11 |
| persist [1] 105 | political [16] 1056/12 | presentence [2] | procedures [1] |
| person [19] 1027/7 | 1056/14 1068/24 | 1102/13 1102/25 | 1046/11 |
| 1029/8 1029/10 | 1072/13 1073/15 | presenting [1] | proceedings [3] |
| 1031/19 1036/15 | 1073/21 1073/22 | 1081/18 | 1056/18 1103/18 |
| 1037/16 1037/25 | 1073/23 1073/25 | preserved [1] 1022/1 | 1104/5 |
| 1052/20 1056/2 1056/9 | 1075/10 1075/24 | preside [1] 1035 | process [3] 1046/ |
| 1073/6 1074/14 | 1075/25 1086/1 1086/5 | Presidency [1] | 1074/12 1098/15 |
| 1077/13 1084/16 | 1096/15 1096/16 | 1085/2 | processes [1] 1097/17 |
| 1084/18 1084/19 | politics [11] 1056/9 | President [24] 1043/5 | proclaim [1] 1096/11 |
| 1091/23 1095/24 | 1056/10 1067/13 | 1043/22 1046/24 | proclaimed [1] |
| 1096/18 | 1067/16 1074/4 | 1052/24 1054/8 | 1088/15 |
| personal [10] 1025/24 | 1086/11 1086/18 | 1068/23 1069/15 | produce [16] 1027/18 |
| 1026/3 1026/8 1037/3 | 1096/14 1096/14 | 1070/7 1076/20 1077/2 | 1039/6 1039/16 |
| 1070/24 1070/25 | 1096/18 1096/20 | 1078/9 1078/11 | 1043/16 1044/15 |
| $1073 / 101073 / 12$ | portion [1] 1025/3 | 1079/13 1079/19 | 1044/20 1044/24 |
| 1096/8 1098/15 | position [2] 1047/1 | 1088/6 1088/14 | 1045/18 1045/25 |
| perspective [1] | 1079/11 | 1088/15 1088/18 | 1046/23 1048/12 |
| perspective [1] | positions [1] 1066/11 | 1088/22 1090/21 | 1048/16 1050/13 |
| $\text { [1] } 10$ | possibility [3] 1026/2 | 1091/7 1091/15 1093/3 | 1053/3 1053/23 1054/7 |
| pertinent [8] 1039/18 | 1028/22 1099/3 | 1093/16 | producing [1] 1049/16 |
| 1039/25 1040/1 | possible [3] 1028/17 | President's [1] | professionalism [1] |
| 1040/11 1040/13 | 25 1103/7 | 1093/23 | 1103/16 |
| 1050/15 1052/5 1052/8 phone [1] 1098/24 | possibly [1] 1045/9 <br> posted [1] 1045/12 | presumed [1] 1027/13 presumption [2] | promised [1] 1049/5 <br> promote [1] 1035/15 |


| Case 1 | 8 | raitleq | 1006St15 $1069 / 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| proof [19] 1023/20 | 23 1077/11 | raised [4] 1066/22 | 1070/4 1074/1 |
| 1027/11 1028/10 | [2] 10 | $51070$ | $11$ |
| 1028/12 1028/12 | 1098/6 | raises [2] 1072/8 | $1032 / 17$ |
| 1028/16 1037/23 10317 | proving [2] 1028/4 | 1075/9 | reasonably [1] |
| 1038/17 1039/8 1068/3 1068/7 1068/9 1068/10 | 1029/19 | random [1] 1098/15 | 1038/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1068 / 1068 / 9106 \\ & 1068 / 141068 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | public [5] 1026/15 | rants [1] 1093/15 | reasoning [1] 1040/8 |
| 1078/3 1095/9 1095/11 | 1044/9 1045/16 | reach [4] 1030/6 | reasons [4] 1033/11 |
| 1095/16 | 1096/12 1097/14 | 1035/9 1069/15 | 1087/1 1098/19 |
| proper [1] 1030/15 | publicity [2] 1035/17 | 1079 | /15 |
| propose [1] 1022/20 |  | reached | Rebuttal [ |
| proposed [2] 1021/2 | pull [1] 1023/14 <br> purported [2] 1045/23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1100/8 } \\ & \text { reaching [3] 1029/25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { recall [2] 1032/7 } \\ 1043 / 25 \end{array}$ |
| 1022/4 | purported [2] 1045/23 $1098 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reaching [3] 1029/25 } \\ & 1030 / 10 \text { 103 } 4 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | recalled [1] 1031/13 |
| prosecution [4] <br> 1054/19 1055/4 10 | purportedly [1] | read [5] 1035/22 | receive [1] 1033/5 |
| 1086/17 | 1062/20 | 1035/24 1058/10 | received [11] 1019/18 |
| prosecuti | purpose [5] 1040/19 | 1058/10 1076/23 | 1038/4 1038/7 1041/3 |
| $1062 / 17$ | 1040/20 1052/14 | reading [3] 1020/3 | 1079/12 1079/20 |
| pro | 1093/5 1103/5 | 1024/10 1099/7 | 1079/21 1081/4 1081/8 |
| 1069/24 1072/8 | purposes [2] 1043/9 | ready [3] 1024/2 | 084/16 1100/6 |
| 1072/16 1073/1 | 1057/23 | 1057/14 1087/5 | receiving [1] 1046/16 |
| 1073/11 1073/13 | put [7] 1057/23 1070/4 | real [4] 1028/21 | recent [1] 1078/9 |
| 1074/21 1075/3 1079/3 | 1071/18 1078/2 1078/7 | 1070/2 1074/17 | recess [3] 1087/3 |
| 1079/6 1080/3 | 1085/18 1093/21 | 1094/11 | 1087/4 1100/3 |
| 1079/6 1080 | putting [1] 1078/4 | realized [2] 1054/23 | recipient [2] 1066/21 |
| 1060/3 | Q | 1081/9 | 077 |
| prosecutors [5] | question [20] 1030/7 | 1042/9 1077/18 |  |
| 1072/10 1073/10 | 1030/14 1030/21 | 1077/18 1081/13 | 1053/11 1096/23 |
| 1080/17 1080/21 | 1030/22 1030/24 | 1087/11 1088/9 | 1097/3 |
| 80/23 | 1031/1 1066/15 | 1092/20 1097/23 | recognizing [1] |
| 1081/2 1084/25 | 1067/17 1068/14 | 1099/18 | 1101/11 |
| prove [11] 1027/18 | 1070/2 1070/2 1070/22 | reason [18] 1031/21 | recollection [3] |
| 1027/25 1028/7 | 1071/6 1071/9 1071/11 | 1033/11 1040/20 | 1026/21 1071/15 |
| 1033/16 1034/17 | 1073/14 1074/18 | 1043/21 1043/23 | 1071/23 |
| 1039/9 1040/2 10 | 1075/15 1080/6 | 1047/7 1052/16 | recollections [1] |
| 1040/15 1059/2 | 1084/15 | 1052/22 1053/9 1054/6 | 1032/6 |
| 1068/11 | questioning [2] | 1059/4 1059/8 1060/3 | record [4] 1019/6 |
| proved [11] | 1079/6 | 1068/18 1068/18 | 1019/17 1022/25 |
| 1033/18 1037/24 | questions [14] 1025/6 | 1072/4 1096/20 | 1104/5 |
| 1038/10 1039/13 | 1025/11 1027/3 1030/4 | 1096/23 | recording [1] 1034/1 |
| 1041/16 1059/2 1059/8 | 1042/8 1043/17 10 | reasonable [31] | records [2] 1039/7 |
| 1065/8 1065/14 1072/5 | 1070/4 | 1027/16 1027/21 | 1100/22 |
| proven [3] 1027/15 | 1070/15 1072/8 1075/9 1089/7 | 1028/1 1028/3 1028/5 | edbook [1] 1022/15 |
| 1027/20 1098/10 | Quiana [1] 101 | /5 103! | 1025/2 1025/2 |
| provide [12] 1024/25 | quick [2] 1047/22 | 1034/17 1038/10 | referee [1] 1091/9 |
| 1039/5 1039/16 1042/7 | 1087/2 | 1038/19 1039/10 | reference [1] 1026/22 |
| 1079/22 1090/15 | R | 1039/13 1040/2 1040/7 | referencing [2] 1049/2 |
| 1093/12 1094/1 | race [1] 1026/9 | 41/16 1050/10 | 1046/12 |
| 1099/15 1100/22 <br> provided [11] 1020/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { radio [2] 1035/20 } \\ & 1085 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1059/3 1059/3 1059/4 <br> 1063/12 1065/8 | referred [3] 1054/19 1055/3 1091/4 |


| R Case 1 | revifid [2]096059\%179 |  | ROse 1 17] 1017/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| referring [1] 1048/21 | 1036/8 | 1080/9 | RPR [1] 1017/21 |
| reflect [2] 1019/17 | $1046 / 1 \text { 1048/11 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { respond [2] 1046/5 } \\ & \text { 1049/1? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rule [2] 1025/11 } \\ & 1057 / 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1021/7 | remove [4] 1076/6 | responded [2] | ruled [1] 1030 |
| refusal [7] 1039/22 | 1077/7 1084/20 1091/5 | 1047/25 1070/19 | rules [14] 1035/6 |
| 1040/18 1040/20 | removed [1] 1080/5 | responding [2] 1050 | 1042/11 1042/14 |
| 1050/20 1077/6 | rendered [1] 1025/8 | 1078/18 | 1046/22 1047 |
| refusals [1] 1096/4 | repeat [2] 1035/16 | response [4] 1071/25 | 1049/25 1053/1 |
| refuse [6] 1025/16 | 1053/ | 1078/13 1089/6 1093/1 | 1057/7 1059/ |
| 1049/25 1053/23 | repeatedly [2] 1054/5 | responsibility [3] | 106 |
| 1055/20 1076/3 1076/4 | 1076/5 | 1025/20 1026/20 | 1070/1 1098/5 109 |
| refused [8] 1039/21 | replace [1] | 1030/18 | ruling [1] 1070/10 |
| 1041/10 1045/18 | replaces [2] 1034/ | responsive [2] 10 | rush [2] 1067/17 |
| 1046/21 1047/21 | \| 1034/15 report [4] | 1071/1 | 1067/19 |
| 1048/6 1050/18 | 1102/13 1102/25 | rests [1] 1072/2 | $1068 / 1$ |
| refusing [6] 1055 | 1103/6 | result [7] 1032/14 | S |
| 1081/15 1088/16 | Reported [1] 1017/21 | 1040/23 1046/12 | said [31] |
| 1092/13 1092/22 | Reporter [2] 1017/22 $1104 / 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1052/17 1056/5 } \\ & 1085 / 10 \text { 1100/10 } \end{aligned}$ | $1026 / 16 \text { 1035/17 }$ |
| 10 | reporters [1] 1045/16 | retire [1] 1036/6 | 1041/20 1044/3 |
| regard [2] 1058/ | reports [3] 1035/20 | return [5] 1025/7 | 1044/10 1047/23 |
|  | 1035/24 1085/16 | 1033/23 1034/5 1035/3 | 1057/3 |
| $1035 / 141040 / 25$ | represent [1] 1034/4 | 1099/18 | 1058/13 1059/2 |
| 1098/10 1099/6 | representatives [1] | reveal [1] 1037/16 | 1067/19 1068/10 |
| regardless [2] 1026/8 | 1070/8 | reviews [1] 1047/7 | 1069/24 1070 |
| 1045/23 | represents [1] | RIANE [2] 1017/18 | 070/17 1070/18 |
|  | 1030/17 | 1019/13 | 1070/19 1073/19 |
|  | request [3] 1049/12 | rid [2] 1070/8 1076/7 | 1075/13 1075/2 |
|  | 1060/11 1069/15 | right [19] 1020/3 | 1076/6 1076/16 107 |
| reject [2] 1047/16 $1055 / 12$ | requests [2] 1043/13 | 1020/9 1023/18 1033/6 | 1079/6 1090/1 1090/2 |
| rejected [4] 1045/21 | 1049/14 | 1033/8 1033/9 1043/1 | 1093/13 1094/16 |
| $1054 / 161090 / 6$ | require [5] 1027/17 | 1057/4 1074/7 1078/23 | 1097/1 1101/ |
| 1095/18 | 1028/16 1029/24 | 1080/14 1085/21 | same |
|  | 1043/15 1088/13 | 1088/15 1088/20 | 1058/15 1078/25 |
| rejects [1] 10 | required [11] 1033/16 | 1093/2 1094/10 1097/2 | 1079/1 1090/5 |
|  | 1041/5 1044/14 | 1102/6 1102/14 | sat [1] 1075/1 |
| rejoin [1] | 1044/15 1045/17 | Rioters [1] 1042/24 | saw [9] 1029/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { relatec } \\ & 1051 / \end{aligned}$ | 1046/1 1046/20 1048/9 | rise [2] 1100/1 | 1029/12 1029/14 |
|  | 1059/15 1071/24 | 1100/14 | 1029/14 1042/19 |
| $1072 / 81072 / 91072 / 9$ | 1088/11 | Road [1] 1017/15 | 1049/7 1049/9 1051/24 |
| 1072/19 1073/11 | requirements [1] | roadmap [1] 1070/20 | 1088/10 |
| 1074/20 1096/8 | 1048/2 | Robert [1] 1088/24 | say [20] |
| relatively [1] 1019/22 | research [4] 1035/18 | role [4] 1025/9 | 1023/22 1049/4 1053/6 |
| relevant [3] 1038/9 | 1036/15 1043/12 | 1025/17 1086/18 | 059/22 1065/13 |
| 1071/21 1071/21 | 1099/8 | 1101/12 | 1073/4 1074/25 |
| religion [1] 1026/9 | Resolution [2] | roles [1] 1071/16 | 077/17 1078 |
| rely [2] 1037/1 1097/3 | 1042/20 1051/22 | room [14] 1017/23 | 1078/23 1080/13 |
| relying [1] 1090/12 | resolve [2] 1066/25 | 1034/20 1035/3 1036/6 | 1080/19 1087/1 1087/2 |
| remained [1] 1098/20 | 1084/20 | /20 1053/25 | 1090/6 $1090 / 71095 / 13$ |
| remains [1] 1027/14 | resolved [3] 1069/1 1077/4 1093/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1067/5 1077/22 1085/6 } \\ & 1088 / 12 \text { 1093/15 } \end{aligned}$ | 1090/6 1090/7 1095/13 |
| remarks [1] 1097/24 <br> remember [1] 1070/15 | respect [4] 1034/1 | 1096/3 1097/8 1099/18 | 1070/1 1077/7 1078/10 |


| S | 75 | 10idegd1421039 3 2 4 Page | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| saying... [5] 1080/11 | 1040/13 1040/19 | 1101/6 1101/9 1101/13 | 1037/18 1038 |
| 1080/21 1090/5 1091/9 | 1043/9 1045/25 1046/3 | serving [1] 1089/4 | 1062/16 1065/20 |
| 1094/23 | 1046/6 1046/10 | set [1] 1053/8 | 1074/21 1079/4 1079/4 |
| says [24] 1021/4 | 1048/17 105 | settle [1] | 1084/22 1086/7 |
| 1021/6 1023/10 | 1050/15 1051/20 | several [2] 1067/23 | 1093/19 1098/3 1098 |
| 1023/20 1029/18 | 1052/8 1066/13 106 | 1076/8 | 103/11 |
| 1058/23 1059/15 | selected [2] 1071/13 |  |  |
| 1068/7 1071/10 1073/5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { selected [2] 1071/13 } \\ & 1098 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | sexual [1] 1026/10 <br> shall [1] 1059/15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { show [12] 1042/5 } \\ 1042 / 6 \text { 1042/6 1042/13 } \end{array}$ |
| 1076/14 1077/25 | selecting [2] 1030/5 | shared [2] 1057/5 | 1047/21 1056/6 |
| 1079/20 1079/21 | 1035/10 | 1073/16 | 1058/11 1058/14 |
| 1079/24 1080/3 1085/8 | selection [3] 1035/2 | she [52] 1030/17 | 1062/17 1068/23 |
| 1087/10 1088/3 1088/7 | 1056/18 1098/14 | 1031/23 1058/9 | 1068/24 1076/10 |
| 1090/20 1100/8 | self [1] 1032/25 | 1058/10 1058/10 | showed [2] 1079/17 |
| schedule [2] 1078/22 | self-interest [1] | 1058 | 1090/20 |
|  | 1032/25 | 1066/7 1066/8 1066 | shown [1] 1032/23 |
| 11 | Senate [2] 1076/19 | 1066/13 1066/18 | shows [5] 1045/16 |
| scheduling [1] | 1085/21 | 1066/19 1066/25 | 1058/5 1090/22 1093/ |
| 1066/23 | send [4] 1025/7 | 1067/19 1068/1 1068 | 1097/5 |
| SCHOEN [4] 1017/14 | 1037/7 1049/1 105 | 1068/2 1068/2 1068/4 | side [2] 1030/14 |
| 1017/15 1019/12 | sending [2] 1034/20 | 1068/4 1068/8 1068/9 | 1032/25 |
| 1103/13 | 095 | 1069/6 1069/6 1069 | sidebar [11] |
| scope [1] 1066/23 | sense [3] 1043/7 | 1069/10 1069/12 | 1060/14 1062/ |
| scorn [1] 1056/3 | 047/25 1097/9 | 1069/14 1070/1 | 1063/17 1063/1 |
| scorn [1] 1056/3 | sent [10] 1019/23 | 1070/19 1070/1 | 1065/5 1081/20 |
|  | 1020/24 1044/12 | 1070/24 1071/2 1071/6 | 1081/22 1084/13 |
| 1098/22 | 1045/3 1052/2 1055/2 | 1072/8 1073/8 1073/10 | 1101/19 1102/5 |
| d [1] 1096/19 | 1077/11 1078/18 | 1073/12 1073/1 | signature [6] 1062/22 |
| seats [2] 1098/16 | 1093/16 1094/15 | 1075/10 1075/10 | 1063/2 1063/5 1063/9 |
| 1098/16 | sentence [5] 1021/4 | 1075/11 107 | 1063/14 1068/8 |
| second [10] 1021/17 | 1058/23 1078/23 | 1075/13 1075/13 | signatures [3] 1095/6 |
| 1039/17 1039/24 | 1085/7 1085/8 | 1075/14 1075/20 | 1095/7 1098/8 |
| 1050/14 1051/13 | sentencing [4] 1102/7 | 1075/22 1077/17 | signed [6] 1037/8 |
| 1052/9 1068/4 1074/23 | 1102/9 1102/19 1103/3 | 1077/18 1077/18 | 1037/11 1062/15 |
| 1074/24 1101/18 | separate [2] 1033/21 | she's [5] 1066/25 | 1063/8 1063/13 1100/8 |
| secret [1] 1070/19 | 1033/23 | 1072/13 1072/14 | silence [1] 1085/18 |
| Section [2] 1059/17 | separately [1] 1033/23 | 1075/23 1075/2 | SILVERMAN [1] |
| 1059/23 | September [2] | shifts [1] 1027/16 | 1017/18 |
| Sections [1] 1046/12 | 1044/12 1044/21 | short [1] 1041/20 | ilarly [1] 1027/3 |
| see [10] 1049/5 1054/6 | serious [3] 1073/13 | should [43] 1019/2 | simple [2] 1042/4 |
| 1058/22 1073/25 | 1075/9 1093/17 | 1021/5 1023/19 | 1087/14 |
| 1074/2 1074/9 1077/24 | seriously [3] 1055 | 1024/14 1025/7 | simply [1] 1102/18 |
| 1078/13 1088/3 | 1093/19 1101/11 | 1025/15 1026/1 | Since [2] 1098/19 |
| 1103/16 | serve [1] 1053/18 | 1026/12 1026/13 | 1099/3 |
| seeking [1] 1057/11 | served [3] 1028/6 | 1026/16 1026/19 | single [6] 1044/24 |
| seem [2] 1053/6 | 1068/9 1072/13 | 1026/24 1030/ | 1048/16 1054/7 1067/1 |
| 1066/9 | service [20] 1051/1 | 1030/21 1030/25 | 1071/1 1094/17 |
|  | 1057/1 1068/3 1068/7 | 1030/25 1031/ | singled [1] 1066/15 |
| seen [5] 1029/10 | 1068/9 1068/10 | 1031/10 1033/ | sitting [1] 1071/12 |
| 1029/16 1050/24 | 1068/11 1068/15 | 1033/22 1033/23 | situation [2] 1055/24 |
| 1050/25 1058/4 | 1068/15 1068/17 | 1034/1 1034/11 | 1084/15 |
| select [22] 1035/4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1081/5 1095/9 1095/11 } \\ & 1095 / 16 \text { 1097/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1034/13 1035/3 1035/6 } \\ & 1035 / 21 \text { 1036/24 } \end{aligned}$ | skip [1] 1053/24 <br> skipping [1] 1048/8 |


| S Case 1 | 9 | e | 11848/117046/4 1046/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sleep [1] 1029/14 | someone's [2] | 1044/9 1045/16 | 1046/9 1046 |
| htly [1] 1022/3 | 1037/24 1038/1 | 1047/22 | 1047/24 1048/1 1048/9 |
| SLUTKIN [1] 1017/18 | something [8] 10 | statements [6] | 1048/11 1048/19 |
|  | 1052/21 1053/20 | 1026/25 1027/1 | 1049/14 1049/24 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { small [2] } \\ 1099 / 12 \end{gathered}$ | 1056/19 1056/19 | 1032/10 1098/1 1098/3 | 1050/14 1050/18 |
| snow [4] 1029/9 | 1066/3 1094/18 1099/2 | 1098/4 | 1050/24 1051/2 |
| 029/11 1029/14 | sometime [1] 1102/17 | STATES [11] 1017/1 | 1051/15 1052/3 |
| 1029/15 | sometimes [3] | 1017/2 1017/8 1019/3 | 1052/13 1053/2 1 |
| snowed [1] 1029/17 | 1030/13 1073/14 | 1019/8 1039/2 1042/23 | 1055/8 1055/10 |
| so [50] 1019/24 | 1073/18 | 1043/3 1043/10 | 1055/14 1057/10 |
| 1020/14 1021/2 1021/5 | soon [2] 1036/4 | 1046/11 1051/21 | 1057/24 1058/1 1058/7 |
| 1022/6 1022/18 1023/6 | 1057/20 | STEPHEN [2] 1017/5 | 1058/16 1062/1 |
| 1023/22 1024/10 | sorry [3] 1 | 1019/4 | 1063/7 1063/9 1063/13 |
| 1024/14 1024/20 | 1075/12 1078/17 | steps [1] 1085/18 | 1066/21 1067/1 |
| 1024/22 1033/2 | sought [9] 1039/17 | Steve [24] 1042/4 | 1067/11 1068/3 |
| 1036/19 1040/21 | 1039/25 1040/4 1040/9 | 1057/22 1058/2 1059/5 | 1068/12 1070/16 |
| 1041/23 1042/12 | 1040/13 1043/7 1044/ | 1059/23 1060/4 1060/4 | 1071/3 1071/18 1076/3 |
| 1044/20 1045/8 | 1050/14 1052/8 | 1060/9 1066/15 | 1077/9 1077/11 |
| 1048/10 1048/23 | speak [2] 1035/13 | 1067/17 1068/19 | 1077/14 1077/20 |
| 1048/23 1049/19 | 1058/8 | 1068/22 1069/1 | 1077/20 1079/1 107 |
| 1051/10 1051/13 | Special [4] 1019/9 | 1070/25 1072/5 | 1079/20 1079/21 |
| 1052/24 1054/5 | 1089/23 1090/4 1095 | 1074/22 1075/7 1076 | 1080/9 1080/10 |
| 1055/13 1066/14 | Special Agent Hart [1] | 1077/6 1079/4 1079/19 | 1080/19 1087/10 |
| 1066/24 1067/25 | 1090/4 | 1080/25 1081/14 | 1087/17 1088/10 |
| 1068/8 1070/4 1070/9 | specialist [1] 1019/9 | 1086/20 | 1088/17 1088/2 |
| 1071/23 1077/4 1078/3 | specific [1] 1035/6 | still [10] 1043/21 | 1093/25 1094/2 1095/8 |
| 1078/5 1084/15 1088/9 | speculate [2] 1030/22 | 1049/22 1067/21 | 1095/12 1095/19 |
| 1092/9 1092/25 1093/5 | 1033/11 | 1077/20 1077/21 | 1095/25 |
| 1097/11 1100/5 | spelled [1] 1051/19 | 1079/2 1091/17 1092/5 | subpoena's [2] 1048/7 |
| 1101/11 1102/6 | spend [1] 1056/17 | 1092/18 109 | 1055/9 |
| 1102/18 1102/24 | spent [1] 1094/7 | stood [2] 1088/1 | subpoenaed |
| 1102/18 | split [1] 1074/9 | 1088/20 | 1039/15 1050/12 |
| soccer [1] 1091/9 | spoke [4] 1058/6 | stop [2] 1053/20 | 1050/22 |
| social [1] 1088/2 | 1058/9 1062/14 | 1096/17 | subpoenas [3] |
| socialized [1] 1072/20 | 1075/10 | straightforward [1] | 1043/14 1053/1 |
| society [1] 1053/13 | spoken [1] 1054/22 | 1071/6 | 1070/17 |
| sole [4] 1025/19 | spokesperson [1] | Street [2] 1017/12 | such [12] 1026/8 |
| 1026/20 1031/7 | 1035/5 | 17/19 | 026/19 1029/3 |
| 1065/18 | staff [2] 1072/13 | stricken [2] 1030/12 | 1030/16 1033/1 1033/4 |
| solely [2] 1026/12 | 1075/17 | 1030/25 | 1035/21 1035 |
| 1035/25 | stall [1] 1049/3 | strict [2] 1053/6 | 1036/11 1037/11 |
| olutions [1] | stalled [1] 1053/19 | 1053/9 | 1041/6 1049/8 |
| some [14] 1019/18 | stalling [1] 1055/12 | subject [2] 1051/15 | sudden [4] 1092/8 |
| 1019/22 1025/4 1028/6 | stand [5] 1031/18 | 1051/18 | 1092/20 1093/10 |
| 1035/17 1035/19 | 1045/13 1069/4 1069/5 | submit [1] 1103/3 | 1093/20 |
| 1039/22 1040/5 1040/8 | 1088/21 | subpoena [81] | suddenly [1] |
| 1043/14 1059/6 1081/3 | stands [2] 1031/25 | 1039/17 1039/21 | sufficient [1] 1038/18 |
| 1086/2 1091/6 | 1074/13 | 1039/25 1040/3 1040/8 | suggest [2] 1034/12 |
| somebody [6] 1066/9 | start [2] 1067/13 | 1040/19 1041 | 076 |
| 1073/5 1073/20 1074/6 | 1086/13 | 1041/10 1042/17 | suggested [1] 1095/24 |
| 1080/7 1080/8 | state [5] 1037/23 | 1044/1 1044/13 | Suite [1] 1017/15 |
| somehow [1] 1092/9 someone [3] 1041/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1037/24 1038/1 1038/5 } \\ & 1038 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1044/21 1045/5 1045/8 } \\ & 1045 / 12 \text { 1045/17 } \end{aligned}$ | sum [1] 1049/10 summary [1] 1024/8 |


| S Case 1:21-cr-006 |  |  | 7089/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| summon [1] 1099/1 | tear [1] 1098/23 | 1049/13 1049/14 | $171091 / 8$ |
| summons [2] 1081/19 |  |  |  |
|  | 1047/25 | 1050/24 1051/4 1051/7 | 1030/17 1034/22 |
| supported [1] 1032/21 | television [1] 1035/21 | 1051/10 1052/1 1053/3 | 1036/20 1049/10 |
| supports [1] 1050/9 | tell [15] 1033/3 | 1054/8 1065/10 | 1050/6 1050/10 |
| supposed [3] 1051/16 | 1037/19 1049/22 | 1065/16 1066/5 1069/3 | 1058/23 |
| 1051/19 109 | 1055/13 1056/8 | 1069/8 1070/14 | 1067/2 1075/1 |
| sure [4] 1022/24 | 1078/25 1087/18 | 1070/23 1071/9 10771 | $10921 / 1093$ |
| 1043/7 1056/13 | 1088/13 1090/16 | 1074/3 1075/9 107/1 | 10927/14 1093/12 |
| 121 | 1088/13 1090/16 |  |  |
| surprising [1] 1073/16 | 1093/12 1093/18 | 1095/2 1095/3 1096/6 |  |
| surrounding [2] | 1099/10 | 18 | 24/19 1029/14 |
|  | telling [1] | [1] 103611 | 1051/22 106817 |
| ained [6] | [5] |  |  |
| 6018 1085/3 1085/24 | 1055/25 1056/1 | (1) | 1074/13 1076/15 |
| 1086/12 1098/2 | 1090/16 1093/22 | 288111 $029 / 25$ | 1078/22 |
| sworn [1] 1043/16 |  |  | there [39] 102019 |
| sympathy [1] 1026/14 |  | 1067/23 1088/1 | 1021/1 1028/13 |
| system [6] 1026/7 | term [2] 1023/1 | thank [23] 1019/16 | 1032/8 1035/5 1035 |
|  |  | 1020/11 1020/23 | 1032/8 1035/5 1035 |
| 1096/22 1097/1 1097/4 | s [1] 1091/18 | 1021/24 1022/11023/5 | 1040/7 1051/22 105 |
| T | tes | 1057/17 1065/6 | 1054/9 1056/12 |
| table [2] 1019/10 |  | 1070/11 1084/14 |  |
| 1019/13 | 1031/23 | 1085/19 1086/21 | 1059/4 1067/19 |
| tactics [1] 1055/12 | 59/10 | 1086/22 1099/14 | 1067/20 1070/10 |
| take [11] 1022/10 | 1062/14 1063/8 | 1099/22 1099/23 | 1070/10 1071/18 |
| 6/15 1036/19 | 1065/17 1066/13 | 1100/25 1101/4 1101/5 | $1071 / 2310$ |
| 1036/19 1055/8 | 66/25 | 1101/14 110 | 1073/20 1073/22 |
| 1069/21 1077/4 | 1076/17 | 1103/15 1103/15 | 1074/23 1074/24 |
| 1085/17 1091/2 | 1076/21 1077 | that [441] | 1078/13 1087/13 |
| 1093/19 1100/10 | 1079/12 1093/18 | that's [38] 1023/3 | 1087/23 1089/24 |
| taken [4] 1034/11 | 1095/21 |  | 1090/2 1092/21 |
| 1037/1 1084/22 1087/4 | testifies [1] 1077/13 | /2 1052/9 10 | 1096/19 1099/11 |
| takes [2] 1047/6 | [19] 1033/7 | 00/1 1066/3 10 | 1099/12 110 |
| 1102/16 | 3/8 1033/13 | 保 |  |
| [22] 1042/18 | 1046/23 1059/16 | 1068/13 1068/16 | 1022/7 1047/5 10 |
| [2/19 1046/25 | 1067/25 1069/16 | 1068/18 1068/18 | 1059/13 1066/14 |
| 55/6 1062/12 1077/1 | 1070/5 1070/9 1071/14 | 1071/10 1073/13 | 1067/16 1 |
| 1078/12 1088/9 1095/6 | 1073/2 1076/8 1077/8 | 1074/2 1074/1/ | 1077/14 10 |
| 1095/7 1095/8 1095/9 | 1078/12 1079 | 19 1075/13 | 109 |
| 1095/15 109 | 1079/12 1079/22 | 107 | The |
| 1095/18 1096/3 1096/4 | 1080/2 1080/18 | 1075/25 1076/1 | thes |
| 1096/11 109 | 031/18 | 107 | 1025/2 1026/110 |
| 1096/23 1096/24 | , | 1080/11 108 | 1056/18 1059/10 |
| $1102 / 7$ | 1076/6 | 1085/25 1086/10 | 1059/21 1070 |
| talked [1] 1070 | mony [48] 1029/4 | 1086/13 10911 | 110 10793 |
| talking [11] 1054/21 | 1029/15 | 1091/21 1091/23 | 18 |
| 1073/5 1079/1 1079/2 | 1031/6 1032/9 1032/10 | 1093/9 11022121 | 109 |
| 109 |  |  |  |
| 96/9 | 1033/2 1033/4 1038/25 | 103 |  |
| 1096/10 1096/14 | 1039/5 1039/1 | 1065/10 1066/10 | they [47] 1019/24 |


| T Cas | thinks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| they... [43] 1036/23 | 1074/12 1091/1 | 1081/3 1089/2 1089/15 | 1036/2 1036/9 1036/18 |
| 1036/24 1042/15 | 1091/18 1093/8 | 1092/4 1094/5 1094/7 | 1036/22 1038/7 |
| 1066/24 1072/17 | Third [2] 1039/20 | 1099/23 | 1041/18 1092/2 |
| 1072/20 1072/20 | 1050/17 | time-limited [1] 1092/4 | 1093/18 1101/8 1101/9 |
| 1073/16 1073/17 | this [148] | timeframe [1] 1102/17 | tried [2] 1056/8 |
| 1080/24 1084/16 | THOMPSON [10] | timeline [1] 1102/14 | 1088/18 |
| 1087/9 1089/12 | 1017/18 1062/20 | times [1] 1097/12 | true [4] 1028/8 |
|  | 1062/24 1063/9 | today [4] 1069/14 | 1032/20 1047/25 |
| 1091/10 1091/23 | 1063/13 1070/3 1070/7 | 1071/12 1075/6 1103/7 | 1104/4 |
| 1091/25 1092/5 | 1076/12 1080/1 | today's [1] 1100 | Trump [24] |
| 1092/14 1093/21 | 1080/13 | together [8] 1053/13 | 1043/22 1045/14 |
| 1093/21 1094/12 | Thompson's [3] | 1057/4 1071/18 | 1045/24 1046/24 |
| 1094/18 1094/18 | 1062/22 1063/2 1063/5 | 1072/18 1074/16 | 1052/24 1054/14 |
| 1094/19 1094/19 | those [19] 1036/18 | 1086/19 1095/22 | 1068/23 1069/16 |
| 1095/7 1095/7 1095/8 | 1037/1 1043/9 1051/8 | 1099/21 | 1070/7 1076/20 1077/2 |
| 1095/15 1095/16 | 1052/3 1055/4 1063/10 | told [27] 1028/7 | 1078/10 1078/11 |
| 1095/18 1095/21 | 1067/7 1067/11 1071/6 | 1036/22 1042/3 1046/9 | 1079/13 1079/19 |
| 1096/2 1096/3 1096/4 | 1076/19 1077/15 | 1046/15 1048/3 | 1088/6 1088/14 |
| 1096/8 1096/20 | 1077/17 1077/17 | 1048/10 1048/14 | 1088/20 1088/22 |
| 1096/20 1096/23 | 1086/3 1088/12 1093 | 1048/20 1049/19 | 1091/7 1091/15 109 |
| 1096/24 1097/14 | 1098/4 1103/1 | 1052/12 1052/21 | 1093/16 |
| they're [9] 1073/20 | though [3] 1045/8 | 1054/5 1054/11 1058 | truth [3] 1031 |
| 1074/18 1077/20 | 1079/5 1098/25 | 1068/2 1068/2 1068/4 | 1033/3 1056/2 |
| 1080/19 1084/19 | thought [6] 1055/14 | 1075/14 1075/16 | truthful [1] 10 |
| 1084/19 1089/11 | 1056/4 1074/14 | 1088/25 1089/11 | truthfully [2] 1031/12 |
| 1089/12 1092/3 | 1087/23 1089/19 | 1090/18 1092/2 | 1080/2 |
| they've [1] 1089/11 | 1090/6 | 1094/11 1097/11 | try [9] 1037/10 |
| thing [10] 1020/17 | thoughtful [1] 1056/25 | 1098/13 | 1069/15 1070/8 |
| 1049/8 1056/2 1058 | thoughts [1] 1057/24 | tomorrow [1] 1044/11 | 1072/25 1079/7 |
| 1069/21 1072/7 | three [3] 1050/21 | too [1] 1075/4 | 1084/25 1085/17 |
| 1073/18 1075/8 | 1051/3 1076/17 | took [3] 1058/19 | 1089/24 1093/6 |
| 1089/22 1098/12 | through [8] 1043/8 | 1058/20 1070/23 | trying [12] 1049/2 |
| things [16] 1 | 1045/2 1051/23 | top [2] 1068/7 1068/23 | 1055/13 1055/18 |
| $1026 / 1 \text { 1028/14 }$ | 1054/18 1056/7 | topic [1] 1040/9 | 1067/8 1073/12 |
|  | 1067/24 1076/5 | topics [4] 1044/2 | 1080/19 1084/20 |
| 1063/10 1065/9 | 1085/16 | 1068/24 1070/16 | 1091/17 1092/13 |
|  | throughout [4] | 1088/10 | 1095/4 1096/16 |
| 1069/6 | 1027/14 1027/17 | tossed [1] 1092/25 | 1097/14 |
| 1096/24 1101/9 1103/1 | 1046/17 1072/12 | total [2] 1049/10 | tucked [1] 1047/6 |
| think [25] 1020/1 | Thus [1] 1039/10 | 1089/7 | Tuesday [1] 1042/3 |
| 1021/20 1022/15 | ticket [4] 1047/4 | toward [1] 1032/ | turn [2] 1088/11 |
| 1026/16 1028/21 | 1047/7 1053/13 | towards [1] 1066/3 | 1099/16 |
| 1038/9 1053/12 | 1081/18 | towed [1] 1047/15 | turned [1] 1058/2 |
| 1054/22 1057/7 | tickets [1] 1053/14 | tracks [1] 1076/23 | Twenty [1] 1066/17 |
| 1058/17 1058/18 | tie [1] 1059/25 | trail [2] 1067/6 1067/7 | twice [1] 1048/6 |
| 1065/9 1070/2 1081/3 | time [26] 1029/12 | transcript [2] 1017/7 | two [14] 1020/19 |
| 1084/21 1084/24 | 1032/5 1040/3 1040/7 | 1104/4 | 1024/20 1028/25 |
| 1084/24 1085/19 | 1040/13 1041/10 | transfer [3] 1043/4 | 1044/16 1050/3 |
| 1086/25 1088/4 1088/4 | 1041/21 1043/22 | 1044/4 1097/18 | 1051/13 1067/8 1072/3 |
| 1091/3 1101/7 1101/11 | 1046/4 1049/22 1052/8 | treatment [1] 1026/7 | 1076/22 1077/1 1078/7 |
| 1103/8 | 1052/19 1056/18 | trial [17] 1017/7 | 1087/1 1087/13 |
| thinking [2] 1038/1 | 1066/18 1071/17 1072/22 1073/23 | $\begin{aligned} & 1025 / 10 \text { 1025/21 } \\ & 1027 / 141027 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1098/15 <br> types [1] 1028/25 |


| U Case 1:21-cr-006 | 79 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S [5] 1017/10 | 1054/22 1054/24 | 1058/17 1065/6 | 7/24 |
| 1017/11 1017/22 | 1056/6 1073/24 1074/9 | 1068/24 1078/25 | wasn't [2] 1088/24 |
| 1039/1 1087/18 | 1091/2 1092/13 | 1079/1 1099/14 | 1094/14 |
| ultimately [1] 1055/3 | upcoming [1] 10 | 1099/24 1101/13 | te [1] |
| ultimatum [1] 1047/1 | upon [2] 1069/4 | views [5] 1035/14 | $1035 / 24$ |
| umpire's [1] 1091/11 | 1100/15 | 1086/1 1086/2 1086/3 | way [20] 1 |
| 1049/13 | urgency [1] 1067/20 | 1086/5 | 1036/16 1037/25 |
| unanimity [1] 1034/3 | urgent [1] 1089/16 | violation [3] 1048/19 | 1040/5 1053/8 1053/16 |
| unanimous [2] 1034/7 | us [10] 1052/10 1056/1 | 1056/19 1098/5 | 10 |
| unanimous [2] 1034/7 | 1057/20 1058/18 | violence [1] 1042/24 | 1067/15 1069/7 |
|  | 1071/20 1078/21 | violent [1] 1096/15 | 1069/10 1069 |
| 1100/19 1100/23 | 1086/2 1087/18 | voluntary [1] 1043/13 | 1069/12 1086/10 |
| unbiased [1] 1086/17 | 1102/17 1102/23 | vote [1] 1037/21 | 1091/21 1092/18 |
| unbroken [1] 1043/3 | use [12] 1020/2 1021/2 | voted [2] 1054/18 | 093/14 1095 |
| under [12] 1032/6 | 1024/23 1034/10 | 1075/1 | 1095/22 1099/16 |
| 1037/15 1040/9 | 1036/20 1037/3 | voting [1] 1037/1 | ways [1] 1035/17 |
| 1043/15 1047/2 10 | 38/12 1053/20 | 1 | [ |
| 1072/18 1073/17 | 1059/11 1070/21 | W | we'd [1] 1022/8 |
| 1074/14 1077/21 |  | wait [4] 1075/1 |  |
| 79/2 1087/17 | 1066/10 | 1075/23 1079/7 1092 | we're [16] |
| undermining [1] | useful [1] 1086/25 | waive [3] 1077/2 | 1024/2 1024/21 1057/3 |
| 1096/22 | uses [2] 1022/2 | 1079/25 1090/21 | 1069/13 1074/23 |
| understand [5] 1023/4 1044/14 1058/18 | 1023/7 | waived [2] 1076/20 | 1078/3 1079/1 1079/2 |
| 1078/20 1096/16 | V | 078/21 | 1086/3 1086/4 1086/5 |
| understanding [4] |  |  | 5 1094/ |
| 1020/25 1027/2 1041/4 | valid [1] 1062/15 | want [37] 1019/17 | 1094/24 1096/9 |
|  | variance [1] 1023/1 | 1020/20 1021/3 1021/5 | we've [3] 1066/10 |
| underst | various [2] 1087/ | 024/7 1025/2 | 1066/12 1078/6 |
| 1071/16 | /15 | 1036/7 1042/7 1042/8 | week [10] 1049/17 |
| UNITED [11] 1017/1 | VAUGHN [5] 1017/10 | 1042/9 1044/19 | 1069/21 1069/23 |
| $1017 / 21017 / 81019 / 3$ | 1019/8 1023/6 1041/23 | 1068/22 1069/6 1071/7 | 1072/3 1078/6 1081/12 |
| 1019/8 1039/2 1042/23 | 1042/3 | 1085/7 1087/9 1087/25 | 1092/9 1099/23 |
| 1043/3 1043/10 | verdict [27] 1020/20 | 1089/12 1089/21 | 1101/10 1103/16 |
| 1046/11 1051/21 | 1025/8 1026/6 1026/19 | 1095/7 1095/8 1095/9 | weeks [1] 1088/4 |
| unlawfully [ | 1030/1 1030/7 1030/9 | 1095/15 1095/16 | weight [4] 1025/21 |
|  | 1030/11 1034/1 1034/3 | 1095/18 1096/2 1096/3 | 1029/21 1029/23 |
| uniess [4] 1027/15 | 1034/5 1034/6 1034/6 | 1096/4 1096/10 | 1033/4 |
| 1059/16 1062/15 | 1034/8 1034/9 1034/13 | 1096/20 1096/20 | II [12] |
| 1091/10 | 1034/18 1035/1 1035/9 | 1096/23 1096/24 | 1020/25 1047/7 |
| unlikely [1] 1099/1 | 1037/18 1099/11 | 1097/24 1099/22 | 051/18 1059/9 10 |
| unreasonableness [1] | 1099/21 1100/9 | 1101/5 | 1068/22 1070/15 |
| 1032/18 | 1100/11 1100/15 | wanted [4] 1036/19 | 1075/21 1077/18 |
| unrelated [1] 1049/18 | 1101/1 1101/2 | 1048/22 1088/25 | 1079/8 1080 |
| until [6] 1027/15 | verdicts [2] 1033/23 | 1091/4 | went [5] 1029/14 |
| 1037/17 1046/23 | 1034/22 | wants [5] 1067/5 | 1044/24 1046/23 |
| 1067/22 1079/12 | version [5] 1019/21 | 1071/2 1087/9 1095/5 | 1049/1 1096/1 |
| 1099/4 | 1020/8 1020/9 1020/12 | 1097/3 | were [25] |
| up [20] 1023/14 | 1022/6 | warned [2] 1054/12 | 1030/4 1030/5 1034/23 |
| 1029/15 1035/7 | versus [2] 1019/3 | 1054/17 | 1034/24 1054/20 |
| 1035/13 1038/6 1042/5 | 1095/6 | was [129] | 1054/21 1055/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1042/6 1042/6 1042/13 } \\ & \text { 1043/25 1045/6 } \end{aligned}$ | very [11] 1024/8 | Washington [3] | 1067/11 1067/23 |


| W | 79 |  | 11-624/151 $024 / 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| were... [15] 1069/11 | 1044/15 1044/20 | 1051/23 1055/24 | 1024/19 1024/25 |
| 1070/4 1072/3 1072/20 | 1045/12 1048/2 | 1058/2 1059/7 1059/12 | 1034/9 1034/20 |
| $1073 / 10 \text { 1074/15 }$ | 1052/20 1054/15 | 1059/15 1062/13 | 1034/24 1035/10 |
| 1075/15 1075/17 | 1054/16 1054/17 | 1062/19 1065/20 | 1035/12 1035/14 |
| 1077/9 1078/14 | 1054/18 1057/9 | 1071/6 1080/1 1080/12 | 1036/5 1037/11 |
| 1087/13 1090/7 | 1058/22 1065/7 | 1085/8 1091/20 | 1041/20 1049/15 |
| 1094/18 1096/4 1101/9 | 1065/13 1066/4 | 1092/18 1093/21 | 1057/20 1059/7 |
| what [78] 1024/21 | 1066/18 1068/11 | 1095/9 1095/24 | 1067/22 1069/19 |
| 1025/18 1025/20 | 1070/6 1073/4 1074/23 | 1102/16 | 1075/4 1078/24 |
| 1025/21 1029/1 | 1075/10 1075/15 | while [3] 1025/2 | 1079/25 1080/14 |
| 1029/10 1029/16 | 1076/20 1076/2 | 1025/19 1029/17 | 1080/16 1085/4 10 |
| 1030/22 1034/12 | 1077/3 1077/16 | white [8] 1017/18 | 1093/18 1098/1 1099/1 |
| 1035/17 1037/25 | 1077/24 1078/12 | 1017/18 1019/13 | 1099/10 1099/12 |
| 1038/6 1041/4 1044/14 | 1079/8 1079/21 | 1043/20 1055/15 | 1099/19 1099/20 |
| 1047/15 1047/19 | 1080/10 1080/18 | 1087/16 1095/1 1095/5 | 1099/22 1100/13 |
| 1048/2 1048/25 | 1081/1 1081/8 1085/18 | who [30] 1030/16 | 1102/24 1103/16 |
| 1049/19 1049/24 | 1086/4 1087/10 | 1031/6 1035/10 | Willard [1] 1044/6 |
| 1050/2 1053/7 1055/6 | 1089/25 1092/19 | 1035/11 1035/12 | willful [10] 1039/4 |
| 1058/13 1059/3 1059/6 | 1093/14 1093/25 | 1035/13 1035/14 | 1039/6 1039/22 |
| 1059/22 1065/23 | 1094/2 1097/7 1097/7 | 1036/18 1037/1 1042 | 1040/17 1046/9 |
| 1065/24 1067/20 | 1097/16 1097/17 | 1056/9 1056/15 1058/9 | 1048/18 1050/20 |
| 1068/22 1069/1 1069/3 | where [14] 1021/12 | 1062/14 1065/16 | 1082/19 1100/18 |
| 1070/14 1071/4 | 1021/16 1026/5 1028/7 | 1066/9 1066/11 1071/4 | 1100/22 |
| 1071/14 1073/8 1074/9 | 1043/17 1068/7 1073/9 | 1071/12 1071/14 | willfully [9] 1038/25 |
| 1075/14 1075/20 | 1073/22 1075/2 | 1072/1 1072/22 1073/5 | 1040/17 1048/16 |
| 1075/20 1076/22 | 1077/10 1084/16 | 1074/9 1074/13 | 1052/20 1054/4 1081 |
| 1077/6 1078/21 | 1086/3 1088/3 1090/20 | 1077/19 1078/14 | 1092/15 1093/2 |
| 1078/23 1078/25 | whether [37] 1021/6 | 1079/17 1093/18 | 109 |
| 1080/13 1081/12 | 030/5 1031/ | 109 | willfulness [1] |
| 1081/12 1081/14 | 1031/9 1031/11 | who's [1] 1074/6 | 1052/11 |
| 1084/17 1084/24 | 1031/12 1031/19 | whole [2] 1025/4 | willing [2] 1078/20 |
| 1085/6 1086/4 1086/4 | 1031/20 1031/21 | 1025/15 | 1092/16 |
| 1087/10 1087/15 | 1031/22 1031/24 | whose [1] 105 | window [2] 1029/9 |
| 1087/18 1088/14 | 2/8 1032/ | why [33] 1042/6 | 1029/13 |
| 1088/25 1089/3 1089/5 | 1032/19 1032/20 | 1042/12 1056/12 | windshield [1] 1047/6 |
| 1089/8 1089/15 | 1033/1 1033/ | 1058/4 1066/15 | wiper [1] 1047/6 |
| 1089/16 1090/16 | 1037/21 1038/9 | 1066/15 1067/17 | wish [3] 1036/7 1036/7 |
| 1092/17 1093/9 | 1040/10 1041/15 | 1067/18 1068/17 | 1036/21 |
| 1095/12 1095/15 | 1057/10 1057/25 | 1068/21 1068/21 | wishes [1] 1089/5 |
| 1095/18 1095/19 | 1063/13 1063/14 | 1069/13 1071/5 1071/6 | withdraw [1] 1078/11 |
| 1096/2 1096/5 1096 | 1065/7 1065/14 | 1071/10 1072/25 | without [2] 1026/14 |
| 1096/16 1097/16 | 1065/19 1065/25 | 1073/12 1073/12 | 1053/15 |
| 1103/2 | 1066/2 1072/4 1073/4 | 1074/2 1074/4 1075/9 | witness [35] 1029/3 |
| what's [6] 1075/8 | 1073/6 1074/3 1074/18 | 1075/11 1075/22 | 1030/24 1031/9 |
| 1081/13 1084/18 | 1094/19 | 1077/17 1077/17 | 1031/10 1031/12 |
| 1088/9 1092/20 1095/5 | which [38] 1019/22 | 1080/3 1086/13 1087/1 | 1031/13 1031/14 |
| whatever [2] 1052/16 | 1022/4 1023/13 1026/3 | 1095/23 1096/9 | 1031/16 1031/17 |
| 1074/9 [2] 1052/16 | 1027/22 1028/8 | 1096/14 1096/17 | 1031/18 1031/19 |
| when [58] 1021/6 | 1028/25 1029/6 | 1097/16 | 1031/20 1031/21 |
| 1024/14 1024/15 | 1031/10 1031/14 | will [45] 1020/3 1020/4 | 1031/22 1031/24 |
| 1025/8 1029/3 1030/4 | 1031/23 1032/7 | 1021/2 1022/18 1024/1 | 1032/7 1032/11 |
| 1030/13 1034/10 | 1033/22 1034/16 | 1024/10 1024/11 | 1032/19 1032/20 |
| 1035/3 1036/7 1042/9 | 1038/5 1042/20 1043/1 | 1024/12 1024/13 | 1032/23 1033/2 1033/3 |


| W |  | y 5 dqd $[144] 37653 / 7 \mathrm{Page}$ | 117 of 117 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| witness... [13] 1033/4 | 1046/10 1046/22 | 1058/22 1059/7 1065/9 |  |
| 1058/8 1059/15 | 1047/23 1048/1 1049/5 | 1065/12 1065/24 |  |
| 1059/16 1065/20 | 1053/19 1054/22 | 1067/5 1077/24 $108 / 13$ 1085/5 1085/6 |  |
| 1065/20 1065/25 | 1055/3 1055/11 | 1086/15 1086/16 |  |
| 1066/2 1066/17 | 1058/12 1074/18 | 1088/11 |  |
| 1066/20 1070/11 | 1075/22 1086/25 | you're [11] 1059/1 |  |
| 1070/21 1075/3 | 1093/12 1093/13 | 1065/7 1065/18 1066/4 |  |
| witness's [7] 1029/4 | 1102/17 1102/18 | 1069/1 1077/22 |  |
| 1031/11 1031/18 | 1103/2 1103/5 | 1078/20 1080/7 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1032 / 31032 / 91032 / 10 \\ & 1032 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | wouldn't [2] 1053/15 | 1081/15 1087/12 |  |
| witnesses [16] | 1090/1 | 1089/16 |  |
| 1025/23 1031/4 1031/6 | write [2] 1079/20 | you've [11] 1058/4 |  |
| 1031/8 1043/16 1056/8 | 1098/23 | 1070/21 1070/22 |  |
| 1065/10 1065/16 | $1078 / 18$ | 1075/6 1077/23 1079/9 |  |
| 1065/19 1066/14 | writing [2] 1037/13 | 1079/10 1080/21 |  |
| 1069/2 1071/21 1072/3 1078/7 1087/13 1092/3 | 1037/19 | your [110] |  |
| 1078/7 1087/13 1092/3 woke [1] 1029/15 | written [1] 1099/19 | Your Honor [39] |  |
| woke [1] 1029/15 <br> won't [3] 1049/20 | wrong [5] 1052/18 | 1019/2 1019/7 1019/11 |  |
| 1049/21 1049/22 | 1052/19 1052/19 | 1019/14 1019/16 |  |
| wonder [2] 1063/14 | 1053/25 1054/1 | 1020/6 1020/11 |  |
| 1087/10 | wrote [9] 1045/20 | 1020/16 1022/4 |  |
| word [2] 1048/5 | 1045/23 1046/2 | 1022/14 1022/24 |  |
| 1094/13 1023/7 | 1049/11 1049/15 | 1057/15 1057/17 |  |
| words [4] 1023/7 1034/6 1052/14 | 1049/17 1071/4 | 1059/18 1059/24 |  |
| 1077/14 | Y | 1060/2 1060/10 |  |
| work [13] 1024/1 | year [7] 1019/3 | 1065/6 1070/11 |  |
| 1043/12 1053/18 | 1067/23 1067/23 | 1079/14 1081/6 |  |
| 1066/8 1067/22 | 1068/25 1074/25 | 1081/16 1081/20 |  |
| 1067/22 1067/24 | 1074/25 1075/4 | 1084/14 1085/2 |  |
| 1072/20 1085/14 | years [14] 1043/19 | 1085/23 1086/23 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1093/24 1094/12 } \\ & 1097 / 41103 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | 1066/9 1066/16 | 1087/6 1101/17 |  |
| worked [8] 1043/19 | 1066/18 1066/19 | 1102/10 1102/22 |  |
| 1072/17 1072/22 | 1072/17 1073/21 | 1102/23 1103/12 |  |
| 1073/17 1073/21 | 1074/15 1075/4 | yourself [6] 1049/9 |  |
| 1075/23 1094/8 | 1075/23 1085/16 | 1063/10 1067/15 |  |
| 1095/21 <br> works [5] 1042/13 | 1086/15 | 1068/21 1075/1 |  |
| 1042/14 1042/14 1091/21 1102/23 | yelled [1] 1088/2 | 1084/21 |  |
| 1091/21 1102/23 | yes [14] 1020/18 1020/23 1020/25 | yourselves [1] 1019/6 |  |
| world [2] 1028/14 | 1021/15 1021/18 | Z |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1095 / 23 \\ & \text { worth [1] 1055/22 } \end{aligned}$ | 1022/1 1023/22 | Zelda [1] 1017/15 |  |
| worthless [1] 1056/2 | 1053/11 1057/15 |  |  |
| would [34] 1021/12 1021/20 1022/7 | 1060/2 1060/13 |  |  |
| 1021/20 1022/7 <br> $1022 / 141022 / 20$ <br> $1022121102 / 22$ | yesterday [3] 1019/20 |  |  |
| 1022/14 1022/20 1022/21 1023/22 | 1066/18 1079/6 |  |  |
| 1022/21 1023/22 $1029 / 111029 / 16$ $1030 / 61030 / 23$ | yet [1] 1071/1 |  |  |
| 1029/11 1029/16 $1030 / 61030 / 23$ | you [393] |  |  |

