

Enrique Tarrío Additional Sentencing Arguments

The evidence at trial showed Tarrío to be a naturally charismatic leader, a savvy propagandist, and the celebrity Chairman of the national Proud Boys organization. In that capacity, he had influence over countless subordinate members of his group and members of the general public, and he used that influence to organize and execute the conspiracy to forcibly stop the peaceful democratic transfer of power. His guidelines range rightly reflects the seriousness of that offense and his unique leading role in it. Tarrío's conduct warrants a sentence of 33 years (396 months) of incarceration.

I. Sentencing Guidelines Analysis

Tarrío was convicted of Counts One through Six. The Sentencing Guidelines are applied for each of the convictions as follows:

Count One (Seditious Conspiracy)

Count Two (Conspiracy to Obstruct an Official Proceeding)

Count Three (Obstruction of an Official Proceeding)

Count Four (Conspiracy to Use Force, Intimidation, or Threats to Prevent Officers of the United States from Discharging Their Duties)

Base Offense Level:	14	§2J1.2(a)
Specific Offense Characteristic	+8	§2J1.2(b)(1)(B) (physical injury)
Specific Offense Characteristic	+3	§2J1.2(b)(2) (substantial interference)
Specific Offense Characteristic	+2	§2J1.2(b)(3)(C) (extensive in scope, planning, or preparation)
Adjustment	+4	§3B1.1(a) (aggravating role—organizer or leader)
Adjustment	+2	§3C1.1 (obstruction)
Total	33	

Count Five (Interference with Law Enforcement During a Civil Disorder)

Base Offense Level:	14	§2A2.4(c) → §2A2.2
Specific Offense Characteristic	+2	§2A2.2(b)(1) (more than minimal planning)
Specific Offense Characteristic	+6	§3A1.2(b) (official victim)
Adjustment	+4	§3B1.1(a) (aggravating role—organizer or leader)
Adjustment	+2	§3C1.1 (obstruction)
Total	28	

Count Six (Destruction of Government Property)

Base Offense Level:	6	§2B1.1
Adjustment	+26 → 32	§3A1.4 (terrorism) (increase by +12 or to level 32)
Adjustment	+4	§3B1.1(a) (aggravating role—organizer or leader)
Adjustment	+2	§3C1.1 (obstruction)
Total	38	

All the counts of conviction group. Tarrío PSR ¶¶ 111, 112. Tarrío’s total adjusted offense level is therefore the highest of the offense levels from the six counts, which is 38 and a guidelines sentence of 360 months to life.

A. Additional factual support for terrorism enhancement

Tarrío’s individual conduct fortifies the conclusion that his offense was calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government by intimidation or coercion. In his references to the event, he constantly reaffirmed that he regarded the siege of the Capitol as a justified attack *on the government* to force it into compliance with his wishes or to punish it for failing to comply.

That was never clearer than during the afternoon of January 6, when Tarrío posted encouragement to his tens of thousands of followers on Parler.¹ He referred approvingly to the

¹ Defense counsel’s suggestion that Tarrío was not in touch with his men on January 6 is belied by the evidence. In fact, among other contacts, Tarrío exchanged messages with other MOSD leaders concerning “live feeds” that others were using to monitor the conditions on the ground in Washington, D.C. Ex. 509-28.

rioters (including his co-conspirators) as “Revolutionaries,” echoing his frequent invocation of the phrase “1776” in the weeks leading up to event. Ex. 600-61. Shortly thereafter, he posted that “liberty” comes “[w]hen the government fears the people.”² Ex. 600-63. When he wrote those words, Tarrío was not referring to politicians’ fear of being voted out of office. He was speaking concretely and approvingly about what the members of Congress and their staffs were experiencing that very afternoon: fear of injury and death at the hands of a vicious mob that included Tarrío’s own hand-picked soldiers. To erase any doubt, Tarrío accompanied the post with a photograph of terrified legislators cowering in the gallery of the House chamber. *Id.* Later that evening, Tarrío claimed he did not want the day’s events to “continue to happen,” but that the “elected officials” who had “created the problem” had better “listen... Because things can get ugly.” Ex. 600-65. This veiled threat of further violence is the political version of an extortionate shakedown: *Nice democracy you’ve got there; be a shame if something were to happen to it.*

To Tarrío, January 6 was an act of revolution. Indeed, Tarrío had been consumed with revolution in the weeks leading up to January 6. Shortly before New Year’s Eve, Tarrío received a document from a girlfriend—titled “1776 Returns”—that contained plans calling for the occupation of government buildings in Washington, D.C. to protest the election results. Ex. 528-1. The document called for groups of people to amass outside government buildings and overwhelm the defenses with a sudden surge of the crowd. Ex. 528-1A.³ Tarrío’s girlfriend told

² Although the quotation is sometimes attributed to Thomas Jefferson, *e.g.*, Tr. 18025 (Rehl), there is no evidence Jefferson ever said or wrote any variation of it. *See* Thomas Jefferson Foundation, “When government fears the people, there is liberty... (Spurious Quotation),” *available at* <https://www.monticello.org/research-education/thomas-jefferson-encyclopedia/when-government-fears-people-there-liberty-spurious-quotation/>.

³ The document referred to this effort as storming the “Winter Palace,” which referenced the Russian Revolution, and which obscure reference would later be invoked by Tarrío in private

him that the “revolution is more important than anything,” to which Tarrío replied, “[t]hat’s what every waking moment consists of.” Ex. 523-1. Tarrío then assured his girlfriend that he was “not playing games.” *Id.*

In the weeks following the riot, Tarrío continued to describe the offense in the same terms. On January 9, in a message later deleted, he invoked revolutionary language again, saying that the riot sent the message “DON’T FUCKING TREAD ON US.” Ex. 600-72. And he made clear that he regarded the attack on the Capitol as politically justified, posting messages to his followers like “NEVER APOLOGIZE. YOU ARE THE RESISTANCE... NEVER STOP FIGHTING,” Ex. 600-66, “I’m not denouncing shit,” Ex. 600-75, and “FUCK THE SYSTEM,” Ex. 600-67. Amplifying his portrayal of the federal government as the enemy of the people, Tarrío scorned the legitimacy of its efforts to investigate what was self-evidently a serious crime, calling law enforcement authorities “Gestapo forces,” Ex. 600-69, and describing suspects as being “hunted by the tyrannical government,” Ex. 600-67. *See also* Ex. 514-57 (Tarrío: “In the gulag we’ll be heroes.”).

All this rhetoric from Tarrío, as leader of the conspiracy, underscores the common-sense conclusion that the crimes committed by him and his co-defendants on January 6 were calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government by intimidation or coercion.

B. Factual support for role enhancement

Tarrío’s conduct warrants an adjustment to reflect his clear leadership role. Tarrío’s role as primary organizer is most evident from his creation of and command over the Ministry of Self Defense, which was the primary instrument through which the defendants planned and prepared

messages as the riot unfolded on January 6. *Id.*; *see also* Ex. 530-5. Tarrío thereafter Googled “Winter Palace,” and interacted with the document on his phone. Tr. 12966:11 – 12967:1 (Miller).

for January 6. However, Tarrío’s pernicious, violence-oriented leadership predated his creation of MOSD. Following a July 4 rally in 2020, Tarrío posted on Parler, “[W]e were whooping Antifa and BLM ass at the Washington monument while fireworks were going off. Best Independence Day EVER!” Tarrío Ex. 1. And after the election, Tarrío’s violent rhetoric only intensified. Most vividly, on November 25 he posted the “Bad Company” propaganda video that portrayed the Proud Boys as vigilante warriors in a physical battle of good against evil. Ex. 600-22. Content like this, from a figure as prominent as Tarrío, had the natural and intended effect of recruiting and radicalizing members who would be eager for violence. Tr. 5336-37 (Greene discussing “propaganda video[s]” that “appeal[ed] to [him] at the time”); Tr. 14575 (Nugent admitting violent videos helped with recruitment)

The importance of Tarrío’s leadership was evident in how his co-conspirators and other subordinates responded to his temporary absence upon his arrest. One MOSD member asked whether “our task” would be “aborted” now that Tarrío was unavailable. Ex. 510-8. While Biggs, Nordean, and Rehl each stepped up to exercise authority (see their respective Attachments accompanying this filing), the group still felt Tarrío’s absence acutely, with Donohoe asking, “Hey who’s boots on ground with a plan RN... Guys are asking.” Ex. 509-23. Biggs assured the other leaders that he had “[j]ust spoke with Enrique,” *id.*, and Bertino reasoned that Tarrío could “take the reigns back” as soon as he obtained “a burner phone.” Ex. 509-21. Tarrío did, in fact, take the reins back immediately upon his release, moving quickly to reset his Telegram account and obtain a burner phone. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 490A at 3:16 – 5:30 (Tarrío instructing sister on how to remotely access his Telegram); Tr. 4515 (Quested: “[H]e expressed a need to communicate with his colleagues.”); Tr. 4517 (Quested: “[L]ater that evening, we went to buy a phone at a drug store in Baltimore.”). Before leaving Washington, D.C., Tarrío met with the leader of the Oath Keepers in

an underground garage and assured others that law enforcement would not be able to access his phone. *See* Ex. 490-F (Tarrío exchange with Bianca Gracia explaining his security settings).

The period after January 6 included still more evidence of Tarrío’s unequalled influence over other participants in the offense, and his use of that influence to condone and promote violence. In the uncertainty following the riot, an MOSD member implored that Tarrío “need[ed] to speak,” with another agreeing, “Yeah a good pick me up would be good for the boys.” Ex. 510-50. In response, Tarrío — who had been quiet on the chat — emerged to provide approval (“Proud of y’all”), warning (“we’re going to be the fuckdolls of the government for a little”), and direction (“Let’s chill our for a sec while we reassess”). Ex. 510-51. Likewise, in the late afternoon of January 6 when the riot was waning, an upper-echelon Proud Boy “Elder” wanted to know “what do we do now?” Ex 500-86. It was Tarrío who answered: “Do it again.” *Id.*

Based on all the foregoing, a four-point increase is necessary to account for Tarrío’s role as the organizer and leader of the conspiracy.

C. Tarrío obstructed justice by seeking to conceal and destroy evidence, directing Pezzola to lie to authorities, and discouraging other subordinates from cooperating with law enforcement.

The PSR correctly applies a two-point adjustment for obstruction of justice. Tarrío PSR ¶ 96. This adjustment is appropriate because Tarrío sought to conceal his group’s communications from law enforcement, he instructed Pezzola to provide materially false information to law enforcement and/or a court, and he used his leadership influence to discourage potential witnesses from providing information to the government,.

When Tarrío was arrested, his lieutenants’ immediate reaction was to destroy their communications in an attempt to prevent law enforcement from obtaining them. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 501-62. This was in accordance with Tarrío’s continuous emphasis on secrecy and operational security,

or “OPSEC.” *See, e.g.*, Ex. 613-A (MOSD video briefing, with discussion of “OPSEC”); Ex. 503-1 (welcome message for MOSD members chat, prohibiting “[S]creenshots or the sharing of information outside of this chat” on penalty of “instant disavowal out of the chapter. NO EXCEPTIONS”). Tarrío’s own actions were similar: Within minutes of his release from custody, he spoke with his sister on a borrowed cell phone and (in a conversation he asked not to be recorded) instructed her on how to log out of his devices. Ex. 490A at 3:16 – 5:30. Shortly thereafter, he assured another worried associate that the chats on his seized phone would not be accessed by law enforcement because “I cleared that out... They couldn’t get in there... ‘cause I have two steps.” Ex. 490F at 8:15 – 8:45. Likewise, after the riot when Proud Boys leaders learned that Nordean would soon be arrested, Tarrío assured them that had just spoken to Nordean and “[h]e’s keeping his phone away but we need to remove em.”⁴ Ex.500-107. All these were willful attempts to conceal and destroy evidence related to the offenses of conviction, justifying the application of the obstruction guideline. *See* U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, Application Note 4(D).

In addition, after Pezzola was arrested, Tarrío recorded a voice note directing that Pezzola state he was not affiliated with the Proud Boys. Tr. 19253 (Pezzola testimony). The message was transmitted to Pezzola by way of co-conspirator Jeremy Bertino as an intermediary; Bertino forwarded the message, and Pezzola received and listened to it. *Id.* In this message, clearly intended to shield the group and its leadership from legal exposure, Tarrío was attempting to corruptly influence Pezzola at best and to suborn perjury at worst; regardless of how the conduct is characterized, the enhancement applies. *See* U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, Application Notes 4(A); 4(B). Such effort was likely to succeed, as shown by another exchange from roughly the same timeframe

⁴ Nordean did not, in fact, successfully keep his phone away from law enforcement, and it was the source of many incriminating messages introduced at trial.

that illustrates the loyalty Tarrío commanded from lower-level subordinates, even those facing serious charges. Tarrío forwarded to the Elders a message from “one of the two in the news” (i.e., one of the Proud Boys who had recently been charged with conspiracy offenses)⁵ in which the sender provided assurance, “I’m a Proud Boy for life boss and I’m with you 100%. Uhuhu.” Ex. 500-105.

Finally, Tarrío broadcast a voice message to a Proud Boys group in which he discouraged anyone from cooperating with law enforcement. Disputing the truth of media reporting that Rehl had been “talking or cooperating with the feds,” Tarrío assured his listeners that Rehl would “hold fast.” Tarrío went on to explain that “guys that are in prison right now” — i.e., Biggs, Nordean, Rehl, and Pezzola — were “holding onto hope” that everyone was “fucking staying put because they didn’t do anything wrong.” Elaborating, Tarrío made clear that “staying put” meant refusing to cooperate with the ongoing investigation: “The moment that they think that one of the guys flipped, it throws everything off, and it makes everybody turn on each other, and that’s what we’re trying to fucking avoid. Until I don’t see hard evidence of one of these guys fucking flipping on the others... Like, dudes, we gotta support these guys a hundred and fucking fifty percent.” Tarrío Ex. 13; *see also* Reuters, “EXCLUSIVE Proud Boys leader urged group not to ‘turn on each other’ in riot probe” (September 9, 2021), *available at* <https://www.reuters.com/world/us/exclusive-proud-boys-leader-urged-group-not-turn-each-other-riot-probe-2021-09-09/> (reporting on audio message, including response by Tarrío). The obstructive intent is clear on the face of the messages, but coming from Tarrío—who previously cooperated with the government—that obstructive intent

⁵ Based on the name of the attorney identified in the message, the sender appears to be Pezzola’s original co-defendant, William Pepe.

is clear as can be. In a subsequent message to the group, Tarrío complained that whoever had shared the message with the press had potentially put him in “felony territory.” *Id.*

Based on the totality of Tarrío’s conduct, the obstruction adjustment is warranted.

II. Section 3553(a) Factors

The government’s specific recommendation for Tarrío of a sentence of 33 years of incarceration is further justified by the nature and circumstances of Tarrío’s individualized conduct, his personal history and characteristics, and the need to protect the public from future crimes by Tarrío.

A. Nature and Circumstances of Tarrío’s Conduct.

Tarrío is unique among his co-defendants in that he was not present at the Capitol on January 6. That fact, however, does not significantly mitigate his culpability when viewed against the totality of the circumstances. The only reason Tarrío did not march alongside the others is because he was arrested upon his arrival in Washington, DC and placed under a court order to leave the District. *See generally* Tr. 4212-14 (Koble). As demonstrated by his messages with fellow MOSD leaders and with a Metropolitan Police Department officer, Tarrío knew full well that he would be arrested if he came to D.C., but he did so anyway, all while using his social media account to taunt law enforcement. Tarrío Ex. 2 (“Come get me if you feel like what I did was wrong. We’ll let the public decide.”).

Those same messages, and his social media activity, suggest that Tarrío strategically calculated his arrest as a means to inspire a reaction by his followers. *See* Ex. 503-40 (MOSD leaders discussing likely responses by “our guys” and “the normies,” with Tarrío explaining he was “pushing for” the arrest to happen “on the 6th”); Tarrío Ex. 2 (“[L]et the public decide.”). The arrest did, in fact, serve the Proud Boys’ strategic interests on January 6: both Biggs and Nordean delivered speeches to the marching group in which they portrayed Tarrío’s arrest as an injustice

demanding a response. *See* Ex. 1000 at 4:10 (Nordean: “Enrique shows up and gets detained... For what? ... [T]hese people put us in jail. Well, I’m tired of it. It’s time to just say no. Back the yellow.”); *id.* at 5:00 (Biggs: “[A]fter what they did to our boy Enrique, we’re gonna let D.C. fucking know we’re goddamn here.”). Clearly there was some pre-planning to this aspect of the rally, because although Tarrío was arrested less than 48 hours before the Proud Boys marching group assembled on the morning of January 6, various members arrived wearing custom “ENRIQUE TARRIO DID NOTHING WRONG” t-shirts. *See generally id.*

Moreover, if Tarrío *had* been able to accompany his subordinates during the attack on the Capitol, there is every reason to believe he would have been an active and enthusiastic participant. In the Proud Boys Presidents Chat, he said “They should have sat in. God didn’t put me there for a reason. We would still be there.” Ex. 514-59. And as Jeremy Bertino testified, Tarrío told Bertino after the fact that he would have been waving the crowd forward while urging “Go. Go. Go.” if he had been there. Tr. 10224.

In short, Tarrío’s physical absence does nothing to detract from the severity of his conduct, because (as argued above) he was a general rather than a soldier. By provoking a desire for political violence among his followers, creating MOSD, filling it with men he could trust to “fit in or fuck off,” inflaming the group with rage against law enforcement, and then turning it loose on the Capitol, Tarrío did far more harm than he could have as an individual rioter. His sentence should reflect that.

B. Tarrío’s Personal History and Characteristics

Tarrío is an individual of uncommon ability. He is intelligent, charming, creative, and articulate — a gifted communicator who excels at attracting followers and “creat[ing] compelling spectacles.” Trial. Trans. 6451 (Special Agent Camiliere, quoting from “laws of power” referenced

in Tarrío Parler post). Regrettably, Tarrío has used those talents to inflame and radicalize untold numbers of followers, promoting political violence in general and orchestrating the charged conspiracies in particular.

Nor is this Tarrío's first serious criminal offense. In 2012, Tarrío was charged with, and ultimately pleaded guilty to, offenses related to a scheme to misbrand, mishandle, and fraudulently sell large quantities of stolen diabetic testing supplies. *See* Tarrío PSR ¶ 127.⁶ As the prosecutor on that case explained, that offense — like this one — drew upon Tarrío's marketing skills, with him acting as the conspiracy's "savvy" and "sophisticated" "lead salesperson." Tarrío Ex. 5 at 10; *see also id.* at 13 (Tarrío's counsel not disputing mass-marketing guideline enhancement).

More recently, Tarrío participated in the burning of a Black Lives Matter banner that subordinate Proud Boys had stolen from a historic Black church in Washington, D.C. In accordance with the Court's pretrial rulings, this episode appeared at trial only in sanitized form and for narrow purposes. Now at the sentencing stage, however, the Court should consider the incident for all it shows about Tarrío's respect for the law, his supposed tolerance of opposing viewpoints, his malign influence on his followers, his likelihood of reoffending, and his overall character.

Just as telling as the banner burning itself are Tarrío's public statements about it, which displayed a total lack of remorse and a brazen contempt for law enforcement and the victims. Roughly a week after committing the crime, after his "Come get me" post cited above, Tarrío posted a screenshot of a news headline referencing his "burning the Black Lives Matter banner of a historic Black church"; he accompanied it with the caption "I'M DAMN PROUD I DID IT!"

⁶ The loss amount for that offense was calculated at roughly \$1.1 million. *See* Tarrío Ex. 5 at 37.

Tarrío Ex. 3. Several days later, on December 22, he posted the following meme, mocking the notion that he had committed a “hate crime” and announcing “I’LL FUCKING DO IT AGAIN”:



Tarrío Ex. 4. Tarrío added the caption, “Ayo... Pass me the lighter.” *Id.* This behavior, which took place while Tarrío was actively recruiting co-conspirators for the instant offense, was a show of total disdain for the rule of law and an explicit approval of criminal action in furtherance of the Proud Boys’ goals.

To the extent Tarrío expresses remorse during his sentencing allocution at the upcoming hearing, the Court should be mindful that he has done so before. At his sentencing on the stolen test strips case, Tarrío expressed his “sincerest apology” for what he called a “lapse in judgment,” and he professed to have developed “a better sense of responsibility, a better sense of community” as a result of the experience. *See* Tarrío Ex. 5 at 61-62. Similarly, at sentencing for the more recent banner burning and magazine possession, Tarrío purported to “profusely apologize” for what he called a “very, very, very bad mistake.” Tarrío Ex. 6 at 16. Although the transcript of his allocution is somewhat hard to follow, he appears to portray his early public admissions to having burned the banner (e.g., “I’LL FUCKING DO IT AGAIN”) as a sincere effort to take responsibility and “do what is right.” *See id.* (“I did consult a media post and at that time I didn’t see the consequences of what I did because I believe that was just two or three days after the events of December 12th

and to give you context on that, I did see it and I did see what I did was wrong and that's why before I got anything -- before I got Congress on the case, anything, any pictures of anything, I needed to do what is right and to do what is right was to plead guilty to this case.”).

False contrition would be entirely consistent with Tarrío's broader pattern of duplicity and double-dealing. Examples abound: Tarrío told the House Select Committee under oath that “with almost 100 percent certainty... if I would've been on the ground on January 6th, there would be absolutely zero Proud Boys that got arrested,” Tarrío Ex. 7 at 173, but he told the Proud Boys Presidents Chat that, if he had been present, “We would still be there,” Ex. 514-59. When Gavin McInnes, the founder of the Proud Boys, raised concern that a member was generating controversy by wearing a t-shirt emblazoned “6MWE” (a grotesque reference to the Holocaust, standing for “six million wasn't enough”), Tarrío proposed to falsely claim it meant “6 man weapon and equipment.” Tarrío Ex. 8 at 1.⁷ Tarrío publicly accused a media outlet of intentionally publishing a “false” and “EXTREMELY dangerous” report that Proud Boys were wearing orange hats, Tarrío Ex. 9, but numerous photos and videos from the event prominently show the orange hats worn by members of the marching group from the Arizona chapter, *e.g.*, Tarrío Ex. 10. On an episode of his “War Boys” podcast, he told his audience that they should attend the inauguration “in Biden gear” and “turn [it] into a fucking circus, a sign of resistance, a sign of revolution.,” Tarrío Ex. 11; then, responding to media coverage that quoted the statement verbatim, Tarrío took to Parler to deny ever having said it, Ex. 604-14. All this flows from Tarrío's apparent worldview, in which “all politics revolves around half-truths and some lies,” Ex. 490K at ~8:32, and in which

⁷ In an apparent effort to placate McInnes, Tarrío also falsely denied that he had “any more rallies planned” as of December 22. *See* Tarrío Ex. 8 at 2.

“Goebbels” is flip shorthand for the use of “misinformation” to deceive the public and “cultivate[] fear,” Ex. 514-47.

In sum, Tarrío’s personal history and characteristics provide every reason to impose a substantial sentence.

C. The Need to Protect the Public from Further Crimes by Tarrío

Tarrío was able to orchestrate a conspiracy — one that very nearly succeeded in provoking a constitutional crisis — thanks to his powerful influence over others, both associates and strangers. One defense witness described Tarrío as “like a movie star.” Tr. 15786 (“Aaron”). Another witness, called by Tarrío, noted Tarrío’s “great leadership skills” before going on to reaffirm his belief that January 6 was a “glorious event” that was “the most patriotic act in this country in the last hundred years.” Tr. 15426-27 (Meza).

In fact, even while the jury was deliberating on his case, Tarrío gave an interview from jail that was heard by more than 26,000 people. *See* Tarrío Ex. 12, *available at* <https://twitter.com/i/web/status/1651015232741945345>. On the interview, Tarrío echoed the positions of his testifying co-defendants, stating “we know we’re innocent,” *id.* at 33:27, and accusing the government of “manipulat[ing]” the Proud Boys’ “locker room” talk in an effort to wrongly convict him, *id.* at 30:15 – 31:15. *See also id.* at 44:10 (Tarrío: “The Proud Boys did nothing wrong.”).

Using his powerful platform, Tarrío has repeatedly and publicly indicated that he has no regrets about what he helped make happen on January 6. As summarized above, his post-riot social media activity and internal communications have described the rioters as the patriotic “resistance” and the government as a “tyrannical” oppressor using “Gestapo forces” to “hunt” the revolutionary “heroes.” There is no reason to believe this violent anti-government sentiment has abated at

present: during his interview from jail while the verdict was pending, Tarrío explained that ever since his incarceration for the banner burning, his “priorities have changed” from “God, family, and *country*” to “God, family, and *tribe*.” (emphasis added)). Tarrío Ex. 12 at 45:44 - 46:35.

In sum, Tarrío continues to possess the same motive (a belief the government is illegitimately infringing his rights) and the same means (massive popular influence, including over those willing to embrace political violence) that led to the offenses of conviction. For the sake of specific deterrence, and to limit his ability to recruit and direct followers, a significant sentence is necessary.